## **Supporting information for:**

## Hybrid Mesoporous Gadolinium Oxide Nanorods: A Platform for Multimodal Imaging and Enhanced Insoluble Anticancer Drug Delivery with Low Systemic Toxicity

Zhen Liu,<sup>[a]</sup> Xia Liu,<sup>[a]</sup> Qinghai Yuan,<sup>[b]</sup> Kai Dong,<sup>[a]</sup> Liyan Jiang,<sup>[c]</sup> Zhengqiang Li,<sup>[c]</sup> Jinsong Ren,<sup>\*[a]</sup> and Xiaogang Qu<sup>[a]</sup>

[a] Z. Liu, X. Liu, D. Kai, Prof. Dr. J. Ren, Prof. Dr. X. Qu

Laboratory of Chemical Biology and State Key laboratory of Rare Earth Resources Utilization, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences Changchun, 130022 (PR China) and Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100039 (PR China)

[b] Prof. Dr. Q. Yuan

Department of Radiology, The Second Hospital of Jilin University Norman Bethune, Changchun, 130041 (P. R. China)

[c] L. Jiang, Prof. Dr. Z. LiCollege of Life Science, Jilin University, Changchun, 130012 (P. R. China)

Fax: (+86) 0431-85262625

E-mail: jren@ciac.jl.cn



**Fig. S1.** SEM image (a), TEM image (b), wide-angle XRD pattern (c), as well as EDS spectrum (d) of the precursor Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>:Eu nanorods.



Fig. S2. Thermogravimetric analysis curve of the precursor  $Gd(OH)_3$ : Eu nanorods in air.



**Fig. S3.** Synthesis of silanated m-PEG from m-PEG and 3-isocyanatopropyltriethoxysilane (IPTS) using dibutyltin dilaurate (DBTL) as a catalyst.



**Fig. S4.** Photo of power of PEG-Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:Eu nanorods. The sample was totally dried and placed in one 4cm dish. The precursor Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>:Eu nanorods were synthesized in two Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclaves (50 mL).



**Fig. S5.** Detailed room-temperature excitation spectrum (300-450 nm) of PEG-Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:Eu nanorods upon a related emission wavelength of 613 nm.



**Fig. S6.** Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherm of PEG-Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:Eu nanorods. The inset shows the corresponding pore size distribution curve obtained from the adsorption data.



**Fig. S7.** TEM image upon a single PEG-Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:Eu nanorods with high magnification.



PEG-Gd2O3:Eu Nanorods

**Fig. S8.** Photos of Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:Eu nanorods (1 mg/mL) and PEG-Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:Eu nanorods (1 mg/mL) in various solutions including phosphate buffered saline (PBS), fetal bovine serum (FBS), and DMEM cell medium. PEG-Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:Eu exhibited excellent stability in various physiological solutions without showing any noticeable aggregation.



**Fig. S9.** Effect of mesoporous PEG-Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:Eu nanorods on the viability of HepG2 and MCF-7 cells, as measured by MTT assay (a, b). Microscopic images of HepG2 cells incubated without (c) and with (d) the nanorods for 48 h. Concentration-dependent hemolysis of the nanorods (e). Inset: photographic images for direct observation of hemolysis by the nanostructures. UV-vis absorption spectra to detect the presence of hemoglobin in the supernatant of nanostructures by using D.I. water and PBS as the positive and negative controls (f).



Fig. S10. Organ changes of the mouse after intravenous injection of a single dose of  $PEG-Gd_2O_3$ :Eu

nanorods solution. These organs were harvested from heart, spleen, liver, lung, as well as kidneys.



**Fig. S11.** ICP analysis of PEG-Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:Eu nanorod biodistribution in mouse organs that were surgically removed at fifferent time points (a). Pharmacokinetic profile of PEG-Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:Eu nanorods followed a two-compartment model (b). Gd<sup>3+</sup> ions levels in urine and feces at different time points after injection (c).



Fig. S12. In vivo MRI of rats after intravenous injection of PEG-Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:Eu nanorods at timed intervals.



Fig. S13. TEM image of the nanorod before (a) and after (b) loading CPT nanocrystal.



**Fig. S14.** Release amounts of CPT from mesoporous PEG-Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:Eu nanorods measured by UV-vis absorption when CPT nanocrystallite-loaded nanorods were dispersed in PBS and DMSO (a). Cell viabilities of HepG2 cells (b) and MCF-7 cells (c) incubated with free CPT, CPT-loaded nanorods, and CPT nanocrystallite-loaded nanorods at different concentrations for 24 h. Concentration:  $\mu$ M for free CPT,  $\mu$ g/mL for CPT-loaded nanorods and CPT nanocrystallite-loaded nanorods.

Test	Unit	Control group	Treatment group
		(mean $\pm$ sd)	(mean±sd)
blood cell count (WBC)	× 10 <sup>9</sup> /L	9.3±2.4	9.5±2.6
red cell count (RBC)	× 10 <sup>12</sup> /L	10.3±1.7	9.8±2.1
hemoglobin (HGB)	g/L	156.7±29.5	163±22.4
mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH)	pg	16.3±1.4	16.8±0.9
mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC)	g/L	320±20.4	325±19.2
alanine aminotransferase (ALT)	U/L	40.5±6.7	56.3±11.4
aspartate aminotransferase (AST)	U/L	150.8±26.5	187.2±22.3
blood urea nitrogen (BUN)	× 10 <sup>6</sup> /µL	8.7±2.3	7.5±1.9
plasma creatinine (CRE)	× 10 <sup>3</sup> /µL	21.3±2.6	19.7±1.1

Table S1. Hematology analysis and blood biochemical assay

Time interval	Released amount (%) pH=5.0	Released amount (%) pH=7.4
6 h	1.4355	0.7025
12 h	2.2433	0.9975
24 h	4.5685	2.2825

Table S2. drug released amount upon different pH values and time interval.