Supporting Information

Interactions of Nanoparticles with Purple Membrane Films

Jennifer M. Bulpett,^a Andrew M. Collins,^a N. H. M. Kaus, ^a Philip T. Cresswell,^a Oier Bikondoa,^b Dominic Walsh,^a Stephen Mann,^a Sean A. Davis,^a and Wuge H. Briscoe*

^a School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Cantock's Close, Bristol BS8 1TS, UK, E-mail: wuge.briscoe@bristol.ac.uk ^b Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK and XMaS, the UK-CRG Beamline, European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF), 6 Rue Jules Horowitz, B.P. 220, 38043 Grenoble CEDEX 9, France.



Fig. S1 NP size distribution histograms for a) citrate stabilised gold NPs and, b) carboxy-methyl dextran coated magnetite NPs.





Fig. S2 AFM images of PM films showing line profiles, with the size of all scale bars 200 nm. a) Control PM sample showing overlapping PM patches, b) [PM4.1Fe₃O₄*CS*], c) [PM0.2Au*CS*], and d) corresponding line profiles of the AFM images.

For Fe₃O₄*CS* samples, Fe₃O₄NPs were also present on the surface of the PM film (cf. Fig. 7(c)) although the surface coverage was smaller than the *FP* sample. It is likely that, as the Fe₃O₄NPs were excluded from the interlamellar spacing during PM self-assembly, they became more concentrated towards the edge of the PM patches and on the surface of the film. Similar surface coverage by AuNPs atop the PM films by both *FP* and *CS* methods was evident from Fig. 7(c) and S2 (c). It should be noted that the spherical features are aggregated NPs, which was a feasible outcome subsequent to evaporation of the dispersing aqueous medium.