

Supplementary Material (ESI) for Lab on a Chip  
This journal is (C) The Royal Society of Chemistry 2008  
**Cell Viability Test**

## Materials and methods

Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Sigma Co., St. Louis, MI, USA) with D-glucose at 4.5 g/L, 10% FBS, HAT (100 µmol/L hypoxanthine, 0.4 µmol/L aminopterin, 16 µmol/L thymidine), 1000 U/L penicillin, and 1 mg/L streptomycin. The cell cultures were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 95% air. Before experiment, cells were trypsinized and resuspended at a final concentration of  $2.5 \times 10^6$  cells/ml into three solutions – PBS, metrizamide (20% w/v metrizamide dissolved in 31% PBS and 69% DI water to make the final osmolarity 290 mosm and density = 1.11 g/cm<sup>3</sup>), and sucrose in deionized water (29.4% w/v, osmolarity > 800 mosm, density = 1.11 g/cm<sup>3</sup>). The prepared cell suspensions were then added with 2 mM of calcein-AM and 4 mM of EthD-1 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) for staining live and dead cells, respectively. 100 µL of each cell suspension was processed with the same PDMS sorting device for the beads sorting experiment but run at 100 times faster (Reynolds number~0.3) to enlarge the possible effect of a MVM device on cell viability. The device-processed and non-device-processed cell suspensions were collected, placed on a hemocytometer, and imaged for counting viable and dead cells using fluorescence microscopy.

## Image analysis

The fluorescence images were analyzed using an image processing program (ImageJ, National Institutes of Health). Briefly, background subtraction, thresholding followed by particle analysis was applied to count viable and dead cells automatically. Data were then analyzed using t-test to determine statistical significance (SigmaStat, Systat Software Inc., Chicago, IL).

## Figures and Captions

