Supplementary information

Toward instrument-free quantitative measurements: a threedimensional microfluidic device fabricated in a single sheet of paper by double-sided printing and lamination[†]

Seong-Geun Jeong,^a Sang-Ho Lee,^b Chang-Hyung Choi,^a Jiyun Kim^a and Chang-Soo Lee^{*a}

^a Department of Chemical Engineering, Chungnam National University, Yuseong-gu, Deajeon 305-764, Republic of Korea. E-mail: rhadum@cnu.ac.kr; Tel: +82-42-821-5896.

^b Micro/Nano Scale Manufacturing Research Group, Korea Institute of Industrial Technology, Ansan-si, Geyonggi-so, 426-910, Republic of Korea. In this paper, we studied the relationship between the printed features of a wax pattern and the dimensions of the hydrophobic patterns in paper because the formation of vertical-, upper-, and lower-channels strongly depends on controlling the heights of the wax-patterns. We have derived several basic equations to calculate prerequisite conditions for the formation of a 3D-microfluidic network in a single sheet of paper (Fig. S1).

First, the formation of a docking-wax-barrier requires that the total sum of H_{hf} and H_{hb} should be larger than P_{Th} . This condition can be expressed as in equation (1):

$$(H_{hf} + H_{hb}) > P_{th}$$

$$\tag{1}$$

Secondly, a lower-channel is formed by an independent upper-heated-wax (Fig. S1(B)). H_{LC} is determined by the following simple equation (2):

$$H_{LC} = P_{th} - H_{hf} \tag{2}$$

A lower-channel exists when $H_{LC} > 0$. Thus, it can be expressed as in equation (3):

$$H_{hf} < P_{th} \tag{3}$$

Thirdly, an upper-channel is formed by an independent lower-heated-wax (Fig. S1(C)). H_{UC} is determined by the following equation:

$$H_{UC} = P_{th} - H_{hb} \tag{4}$$

An upper-channel exists when $H_{UC} > 0$. Therefore, it can be expressed as in equation (5):

$$H_{hb} < P_{Th} \tag{5}$$

(A) The formation of Docking-wax barrier



(B) The formation of Lower-channel



(C) The formation of Upper-channel



(E)The formation of 3d-microfluidic network



(D) Fail of formation of 3d-microfluidic network



Fig. S1. Conditions for the formation of a docking-wax-barrier and lower- and upper-channels. (A) A docking-wax-barrier forms when an upper-heated-wax makes contact with a lower-heated-wax. The condition for forming a docking-wax-barrier is that the height of paper thickness (P_{th}) is smaller than the total of the heated

height of an upper-heated-wax (H_{hf}) and the heated height of a lower-heated-wax (H_{hb}). (B) The lower-channel (H_{hf}) should be lower than the thickness of paper (P_{th}). The height of a lower-channel (H_{LC}) is equal to P_{th} minus the heated height of an upper-heated-wax (H_{hf}) on the front of the sheet ($H_{LC} = P_{th} - H_{hf}$). (C) An upper-channel is formed when the height of a lower-heated-wax (H_{hb}) is lower than thickness of paper. The height of an upper-channel (H_{UC}) is equal to P_{th} minus the heated height of a lower-heated-wax (H_{hb}) on the back of the sheet ($H_{UC} = P_{th} - H_{hb}$). (D) A 3D microfluidic network is formed when both H_{hb} and H_{hf} are lower than thickness of the paper (P_{th}), and total of H_{hb} and H_{hf} should be lower than P_{th} . (E) A 3D microfluidic network is not formed when total of H_{hb} and H_{hf} are larger than P_{th} (imperfect-heated state) or when H_{hb} and H_{hf} reach P_{th} (over-heated state). In the case of an imperfect-heated state, there is no docking-wax-barrier to prevent horizontal out flow. In the case of an over-heated state, there are no upper- or lower-channels to flow fluid flow horizontally and vertically. The printed height of an upper-printed-wax (H_{pf}) and the printed height of a lower-heated-wax (H_{ph}) were changed to the heated height of an upper-heated-wax (H_{hf}) and the printed height of a lower-heated-wax (H_{hb}), respectively. The change in the height of the waxes resulted in the formation of a docking-wax-barrier and channels.



Fig. S2. Design of a 3D-microfluidic network in a single sheet of paper.



Fig. S3. Leaking-test as a function of the docking-wax-barrier. We loaded a red dye solution into the inner ring. The illustration shows the formation of a docking-wax-barrier in accordance with the applied heating condition. When a docking-wax-barrier was formed in the well-heated state, there was no leaking of fluid. In the case of imperfect formation of the docking-wax-barrier, the fluid leaked out. The two wax-patterns of the ring were printed on both-sides of the paper and then heated in a laminator for various times ($0.08 \sim 0.40$ sec). The wax-patterns of the ring consisted of an inner ring and an outer ring. The inner ring has different nominal widths (W_n) to investigate the effect of nominal width on docking-wax-barrier formation. The outer ring plays a role in preventing the leaking of fluid to an adjacent ring. The results of the leaking test are below.



Fig. S4. Design of an instrument-free 3D paper-based diagnostic device for multiplexed digital assays. It has (1) sample pad, (2) BSA dots, and (3) a glucose dot.





Fig. S5. Comparison between the conventional method of fabrication for $3D-\mu PADs$ and the new method based on double-side printing and heating using a laminator.



Fig. S6. Relationship between the number of colored dots in $3D-\mu PAD$ and the concentration of albumin in a sample. The inset shows linear dynamic range (0.1 to 1.0 mg mL⁻¹).

Fig. S6 indicates a good linear relationship between the number of colored dots and the concentration of bovine serum albumin (BSA). As a proof-of-concept assay, the dynamic detection range is 0.1 - 3.0 mg mL⁻¹ BSA and the limit of detection is 0.1 mg mL⁻¹. Conventional dipstick analysis is used in most outpatient settings to semi-quantitatively measure the urine protein concentration. The results are graded as negative (less than 0.1 mg mL⁻¹), trace (0.1 to 0.2 mg mL⁻¹), 1+ (0.3 mg mL⁻¹), $2+ (1 \text{ mg mL}^{-1})$, and $3+ (3 \text{ mg mL}^{-1})$.^{1, 2} Therefore, our paper chip accommodates detection range in conventional analysis.

Table S1. Assessment of the processing time required for the fabrication of a 3D-microfluidic network in a single sheet of paper (A4 size) using double side printing and lamination.

	Time
Double-side printing	4 sec
Lamination (Double-side heating)	31.5 sec
Total process time	35.5 sec

The described fabrication method is rapid (< 36 sec for A4 paper), cheap, easy to manipulate, and programmable (Table S1).

Reference

- 1. M. F. Carroll and J. L. Temte, Am. Fam. Physician, 2000, 62, 1333-1340.
- J. S. Cnossen, R. K. Morris, G. ter Riet, B. W. Mol, J. A. van der Post, A. Coomarasamy, A. H. Zwinderman, S. C. Robson, P. J. Bindels and J. Kleijnen, Can. Med. Assoc. J., 2008, 178, 701-711.