Supplementary figures:



Figure S1:

Figure S1: Average ratio of disordered residues (a and c) and ratio of proteins containing >30 residue long disordered regions (b and d) in the 12 datasets analyzed calculated with DISOPRED2 and VSL2. Black horizontal lines represent the average values obtained for the proteins of the human proteome taken from SwissProt. Flags show the confidence interval of α =0.01 calculated from the standard error of the mean of random selected samples from the human proteome (see Data and methods). Significant differences are marked with an asterisk.

Figure S2:



Figure S2: Over- and under-representation of mutations in disordered (red) and ordered regions (blue) as compared to background distributions calculated with DISOPRED2 (see Data and Methods). (a) the distribution of polymorphisms as compared to the uniform random distribution; (b) the distribution of cancer-associated mutations as compared to the uniform random distribution and (c) the distribution of cancer-associated mutations of polymorphisms shown in (a). Significant differences are marked with an asterisk.

Figure S3:



Figure S3: Over- and under-representation of mutations in disordered (red) and ordered regions (blue) as compared to background distributions calculated with VSL2 (see Data and Methods). (a) the distribution of polymorphisms as compared to the uniform random distribution; (b) the distribution of cancer-associated mutations as compared to the uniform random distribution and (c) the distribution of cancer-associated mutations as compared to the expected values based on the distribution of polymorphisms shown in (a). Significant differences are marked with an asterisk.

Supplementary tables:

Table S1: Average ratio of disordered residues, ratio of proteins containing >30 residue long disordered regions and length in the 12 datasets analyzed and in the Human proteome used as a reference. Disorder values were calculated with IUPred. The table also shows the standard error of the mean of the reference values and the probability of the respective database averages coming from the background distribution (see Data and methods).

Table S2: Observed and expected number of cancer-associated mutations and polymorphisms in disordered and ordered regions in the 12 datasets analyzed. Tables show the expected values of mutations calculated from the randomly uniform distribution ("Cancer mutations") and calculated from the distribution of polymorphisms ("Cancer mutations compared to polymorphisms"). The quoted p-values show the significance of the difference between observed and expected values and were obtained using the χ^2 test.

Table S3: Observed and expected number of cancer-associated mutations and polymorphisms in disordered binding regions, disordered and ordered regions in the 12 datasets analyzed. Tables show the expected values of mutations calculated from the randomly uniform distribution ("Cancer mutations") and calculated from the distribution of polymorphisms ("Cancer mutations compared to polymorphisms"). The quoted p-values show the significance of the difference between observed and expected values and were obtained using the chi² test.

Table S4: Bin boundaries for 5 features calculated on the COSMIC census database and the human proteome.

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Molecular BioSystems This journal is C The Royal Society of Chemistry 2011

		Rat	tio of disorde	red residue	S	Ratio of prot	eins with 30+	disordered	l segments		Lengt	th	
Database name	Number of proteins	average in database	average in human proteome	standard error	p-value	average in database	average in human proteome	standard error	p-value	average in database	average in human proteome	standard error	p-value
SP_cancer	1403	0.2423	0.2278	0.0065	0.02575	0.5802	0.4467	0.0133	9.187*10-24	891.5	557.7	16.1	1.509*10 ⁻⁹⁵
SP_cancer_annot	113	0.1918	0.2278	0.0233	0.1211	0.5044	0.4467	0.047	0.2224	811.4	557.7	55.4	4.432*10-6
CGP_br/col_1	924	0.2438	0.2278	0.0082	0.05238	0.5703	0.4467	0.0163	3.732*10-14	861	557.7	20	6.507*10-52
CGP_br/col_2	1335	0.2504	0.2278	0.0068	9.330*10-4	0.594	0.4467	0.0134	4.769*10-28	998.1	557.7	16.5	8.033*10-157
CGP_pan	711	0.24	0.2278	0.0093	0.1936	0.5738	0.4467	0.0186	9.104*10 ⁻¹²	1082.5	557.7	22.4	2.114*10-121
CGP_glio	1089	0.2233	0.2278	0.0075	0.5485	0.5427	0.4467	0.015	1.554*10-10	986.4	557.7	18.2	1.255*10-122
CGP_CAN_br/col_1	174	0.2726	0.2278	0.0191	0.01827	0.6494	0.4467	0.0379	8.322*10 ⁻⁸	1170.9	557.7	45.7	4.617*10-41
CGP_CAN_br/col_2	243	0.2898	0.2278	0.0159	1.002*10-4	0.6872	0.4467	0.032	5.913*10 ⁻¹⁴	1226.8	557.7	39.1	1.250*10 ⁻⁶⁵
CGP_CAN_pan	64	0.2467	0.2278	0.0308	0.5353	0.625	0.4467	0.0625	4.236*10 ⁻³	1130	557.7	73.8	8.493*10-15
CGP_CAN_glio	36	0.2419	0.2278	0.0416	0.7339	0.6111	0.4467	0.0837	0.04884	1195.3	557.7	101.5	3.175*10-10
COSMIC	8957	0.2314	0.2278	0.0026	0.1676	0.5195	0.4467	0.0053	5.848*10-43	770.2	557.7	6.4	1.077*10-241
COSMIC_census	261	0.3414	0.2278	0.0153	1.085*10 ⁻¹³	0.6897	0.4467	0.0305	1.463*10 ⁻¹⁵	912.4	557.7	37.3	1.907*10-21

		Poly	/morphisms	6
				Over-representation compared to
		Expected	Observed	expected
	Disordered	8,071	9,696	20.1%
	Ordered	28,512	26,887	-5.7%
		р =	= 2.713*10 ⁻⁹³	
L		Canc	er mutatior	IS
ē				Over-representation
Ĕ				compared to
- B		Expected	Observed	expected
	Disordered	1,615	1,205	-25.4%
S	Ordered	3,631	4,041	11.3%
		p =	= 1.430*10 ⁻³⁴	
	Cancer n	nutations c	ompared to	polymorphisms
				Over-representation
				compared to
		Expected	Observed	expected
	Disordered	1,897	1,205	-36.5%
	Ordered	3,349	4,041	20.7%
		p =	= 4.422*10 ⁻⁸⁸	

		Poly	morphisms	6		
		Expected	Observed	Over-representation compared to		
	Disordered	967	1.116	15.4%		
	Ordered	2,569	2,420	-5.8%		
	p = 1.894*10 ⁻⁸					
	_			-		
1	Cancer mutations					
۲,		Canc	er mutatior	IS		
ol_1		Canc	er mutatior	IS Over-representation		
/col_1		Canc	er mutatior	Over-representation compared to		
br/col_1		Canc Expected	er mutatior Observed	Over-representation compared to expected		
P_br/col_1	Disordered	Canc Expected 317	er mutatior Observed 313	Over-representation compared to expected -1.3%		
GP_br/col_1	Disordered Ordered	Canc Expected 317 922	er mutation Observed 313 926	NS Over-representation compared to expected -1.3% 0.4%		

Cancer n	Cancer mutations compared to polymorphisms				
			Over-representation		
			compared to		
	Expected	Observed	expected		
Disordered	367	313	-14.7%		
Ordered	872	926	6.2%		
p = 7.437*10 ⁻⁴					

	Poly	morphisms	6
			Over-representation
			compared to
	Expected	Observed	expected
Disordered	1,772	2,036	14.9%
Ordered	4,326	4,062	-6.1%
p =9.622*10 ⁻¹⁴			

~		Canc	er mutation	IS	
or/col		Expected	Observed	Over-representation compared to expected	
	Disordered	448	465	3.8%	
5	Ordered	1,291	1,274	-1.3%	
U U	p = 0.3513				
	Cancer n	nutations c	ompared to	polymorphisms	
				Over-representation	

			Over-representation compared to	
	Expected	Observed	expected	
Disordered	518	465	-10.2%	
Ordered	1,221	1,274	4.3%	
p = 5.451*10 ⁻³				

		Poly	vmorphisms	6
				Over-representation
		Expected	Observed	expected
	Disordered	8.071	9.696	20.1%
	Ordered	28,512	26,887	-5.7%
5		p =	= 2.713*10 ⁻⁹³	
Ē	_			
l et l		Canc	er mutatior	IS
Ē				Over-representation
ਯ		Evported	Observed	compared to
5	Disordered			expected 42.6%
Ŭ	Disordered	333	191	-42.0%
ାଳା	Ordered	1,222	1,364	11.6%
ΰ		p =	= 1.664*10 ⁻¹⁸	
ם']				
v	Cancer n	nutations c	ompared to	polymorphisms
				Over-representation
				compared to
		Expected	Observed	expected
	Disordered	401	191	-52.4%
	Ordered	1,154	1,364	18.2%
		n-	- 5 007*10-34	

		Poly	vmorphisms	6
				Over-representation
				compared to
		Expected	Observed	expected
	Disordered	245	277	13.1%
	Ordered	663	631	-4.8%
		p	= 1.673*10 ⁻²	
<u></u>		Canc	er mutatior	IS
15				Over-representation
요				compared to
Z		Expected	Observed	expected
. <u>≺</u>	Disordered	109	97	-11.0%
	Ordered	286	298	4.2%
윤		l	o = 0.1768	
Ŭ.	-			
	Cancer n	nutations c	ompared to	polymorphisms
				Over-representation
				compared to
		Expected	Observed	expected
	Disordered	123	97	-21.1%
	Ordered	272	298	9.6%
		p	= 4.575 [*] 10 ⁻³	

	Poly	morphism	6
			Over-representation
	–		compared to
	Expected	Observed	expected
Disordered	470	506	7.7%
Ordered	902	866	-4.0%
	p	= 4.056*10 ⁻²	
	Canc	er mutatior	IS
			Over-representation
			compared to
	Expected	Observed	expected
Disordered	151	131	-13.2%
Ordered	362	382	5.5%
	p	= 5.268*10 ⁻²	
Cancer n	nutations c	ompared to	polymorphisms
			Over-representation

ounoor n	indiaciónio o	ompaioa io	perginerpineine
			Over-representation
			compared to
	Expected	Observed	expected
Disordered	163	131	-19.6%
Ordered	350	382	9.1%
	n	= 2 410*10-3	

		Poly	/morphisms	6
				Over-representation compared to
		Expected	Observed	expected
	Disordered	1,090	1,206	10.6%
	Ordered	2,758	2,642	-4.2%
		р	= 3.322*10-5	
		Canc	er mutatior	IS
u		Canc	er mutatior	S Over-representation
pan		Canc	er mutatior	IS Over-representation compared to
P_pan		Canc Expected	er mutatior Observed	Over-representation compared to expected
GP_pan	Disordered	Canc Expected 197	er mutatior Observed 205	Over-representation compared to expected 4.1%
CGP_pan	Disordered Ordered	Canc Expected 197 593	Observed 205 585	Over-representation compared to expected 4.1% -1.3%

1

Cancer mutations compared to polymorphisms				
			Over-representation	
			compared to	
	Expected	Observed	expected	
Disordered	219	205	-6.4%	
Ordered	571	585	2.5%	
		p = 0.2658		

Polymorphisms			
	Expected	Observed	Over-representation compared to expected
Disordered	1,626	1,898	16.7%
Ordered	4,168	3,896	-6.5%
n = 1 820*10 ⁻¹⁵			

		Canc	er mutation	IS
glio				Over-representation compared to
o i		Expected	Observed	expected
ū	Disordered	274	291	6.2%
C	Ordered	921	904	-1.8%
			0 = 0.2421	

Cancer n	Cancer mutations compared to polymorphisms			
			Over-representation	
			compared to	
	Expected	Observed	expected	
Disordered	324	291	-10.2%	
Ordered	871	904	3.8%	
	p	= 3.329*10 ⁻²		

		Poly	/morphisms	6
		Expected	Obsorved	Over-representation compared to
	D's subset		Observeu	expected
	Disordered	6,568	7,784	18.5%
	Ordered	19,867	18,651	-6.1%
		р :	= 4.112*10 ⁻⁶⁷	
	-			
		Canc	er mutatior	IS
υ				Over-representation
lĕ				compared to
ธ		Expected	Observed	expected
Ö	Disordered	5,781	5,215	-9.8%
0	Ordered	16,927	17,493	3.3%
		р :	= 6.572*10 ⁻¹⁸	
	Cancer n	nutations c	ompared to	polymorphisms
				Over-representation
				compared to
		Expected	Observed	expected
	Disordered	6,841	5,215	-23.8%
	Ordered	15,867	17,493	10.2%
		p =	2.679*10-122	

	Polymorphisms			
				Over-representation compared to
		Expected	Observed	expected
Disorc	dered	78	84	7.7%
Orde	ered	211	205	-2.8%
	p = 0.4266			

an	Cancer mutations				
<u> </u>				Over-representation	
\leq				compared to	
3		Expected	Observed	expected	
	Disordered	36	32	-11.1%	
6	Ordered	94	98	4.3%	
ŭΙ			0 = 0.4330		

Cancer n	Cancer mutations compared to polymorphisms				
			Over-representation compared to		
	Expected	Observed	expected		
Disordered	39	32	-17.9%		
Ordered	91	98	7.7%		
		0 = 0.1803			

Polymorphisms				
	Expected	Observed	Over-representation compared to expected	
Disordered	37	54	45.9%	
Ordered	173	156	-9.8%	
$n = 2.076 \times 10^{-3}$				

lio	Cancer mutations			
- 0				Over-representation
AN		Expected	Observed	expected
	Disordered	21	16	-23.8%
<u>д</u>	Ordered	56	61	8.9%
ŭ	p = 0.2008			

Cancer mutations compared to polymorphisms				
			Over-representation	
			compared to	
	Expected	Observed	expected	
Disordered	29	16	-44.8%	
Ordered	48	61	27.1%	
p = 2.103*10 ⁻³				

Polymorphisms			
	Expected	Observed	Over-representation compared to
Disordered	253	303	19.8%
Ordered	420	370	-11.9%
p = 6.916*10 ⁻⁵			

sus	Cancer mutations					
cen		Expected	Observed	Over-representation compared to expected		
ĕ∣	Disordered	1,633	1,125	-31.1%		
ธ	Ordered	3,742	4,250	13.6%		
p = 2.696*10 ⁻⁵¹						

Cancer mutations compared to polymorphisms					
	Expected	Observed	Over-representation compared to expected		
Disordered	2,001	1,125	-43.8%		
Ordered	3,374	4,250	26.0%		
p = 5.316*10 ⁻¹³⁵					

	Polymorphisms				
				Over-representation	
				compared to	
		Expected	Observed	expected	
	Disordered binding sites	4470	4870	8.95%	
	Disordered	5051	6123	21.22%	
	Ordered	27062	25590	-5.44%	
		p = 2.529	*10-75		
		Cancer mu	tations		
5				Over-representation	
ğ				compared to	
au		Expected	Observed	expected	
ပျ	Disordered binding sites	1032	804	-22.09%	
e, l	Disordered	988	842	-14.78%	
w	Ordered	3226	3600	11.59%	
		p = 8.000	*10-26		
	Cancer muta	tions compa	red to polym	orphisms	
				Over-representation	
				compared to	
		Expected	Observed	expected	
	Disordered binding sites	1098	804	-26.78%	
	Disordered	1170	842	-28.03%	
	Ordered	2978	3600	20.89%	
		p = 5.349	*10-66		

		Polymorp	hisms	
		Expected	Observed	Over-representation compared to
	Disordored binding sites	4470	1970	0.5%
	Disordered binding sites	4470	4670	0.95%
	Disordered	2021	0123	21.22%
	Ordered	27062	25590	-5.44%
_		p = 2.529	*10-75	
e				
tat		Cancer mu	tations	
nno				Over-representation compared to
<u> </u>		Expected	Observed	expected
E.	Disordered binding sites	187	144	-22.99%
일	Disordered	226	146	-35.40%
ត្ត	Ordered	1142	1265	10.77%
<u> </u>		p = 7.029	*10-12	
윤				
<i>"</i>	Cancer muta	tions compai	red to polymo	orphisms
				Over-representation
		Expected	Observed	expected
	Disordered binding sites	203	144	-29.06%
	Disordered	274	146	-46.72%
	Ordered	1078	1265	17.35%
		p = 1.770	*10-24	

		Polymorp	hisms	
				Over-representation
		E	Observed	compared to
		Expected	Observed	expected
	Disordered binding sites	152	156	2.63%
	Disordered	130	153	17.69%
	Ordered	626	599	-4.31%
		p = 6.929	9*10 ⁻²	
.				
		Cancer mu	tations	
ĕ				Over-representation
2				compared to
		Expected	Observed	expected
E E	Disordered binding sites	67	64	-4.48%
U.	Disordered	61	56	-8.20%
_ <u>_</u>	Ordered	267	275	3.00%
Ū.		p = 0.6	758	
	Cancer muta	tions compa	red to polym	orphisms
				Over-representation
				compared to
		Expected	Observed	expected
	Disordered binding sites	68	64	-5.88%
	Disordered	72	56	-22.22%
	Ordered	255	275	7.84%
		p = 6.858	3*10 ⁻²	

		Polymorp	hisms	
				Over-representation
				compared to
		Expected	Observed	expected
	Disordered binding sites	581	623	7.23%
	Disordered	551	643	16.70%
	Ordered	2404	2270	-5.57%
		p = 2.416	5*10-6	
		Cancer mu	tations	
<u> </u>				Over-representation
8				compared to
1 i		Expected	Observed	expected
	Disordered binding sites	185	173	-6.49%
6	Disordered	190	195	2.63%
8	Ordered	864	871	0.81%
		p = 0.6	167	
	Cancer muta	tions compa	red to polymo	orphisms
				Over-representation
				compared to
		Expected	Observed	expected
	Disordered binding sites	199	173	-13.07%
	Disordered	222	195	-12.16%
	Ordered	818	871	6.48%
		p = 6.362	2*10-3	

		Polymorp	nisms		
		Expected	Observed	Over-representation compared to expected	
	Disordered binding sites	1056	1118	5.87%	1
	Disordered	1011	1173	16.02%	
	Ordered	4031	3807	-5.56%	
		p = 7.410	*10-10		
		-	-		
N		Cancer mu	tations		-
0			- · ·	Over-representation compared to	
2		Expected	Observed	expected	;
	Disordered binding sites	262	249	-4.96%	
문	Disordered	268	292	8.96%	
ŭΙ	Ordered	1209	1198	-0.91%	
		p = 0.2	352		
		-			
	Cancer muta	tions compa	red to polym	orphisms	
				Over-representation compared to	
		Expected	Observed	expected	
	Disordered binding sites	279	249	-10.75%	1 1
	Disordered	312	292	-6.41%	
	Ordered	1148	1198	4.36%	
		p = 3.534	1*10 ⁻²		

		Polymorp	hisms	
				Over-representation
				compared to
		Expected	Observed	expected
	Disordered binding sites	309	322	4.21%
	Disordered	229	245	6.99%
	Ordered	834	805	-3.48%
		p = 0.2	627	
2				
		Cancer mu	tations	
ĕ				Over-representation
칠				compared to
_		Expected	Observed	expected
l ₹	Disordered binding sites	95	90	-5.26%
U U	Disordered	82	75	-8.54%
'	Ordered	336	348	3.57%
U U		p = 0.5	249	
0				
	Cancer muta	tions compa	red to polym	orphisms
				Over-representation
				compared to
		Expected	Observed	expected
	Disordered binding sites	99	90	-9.09%
	Disordered	88	75	-14.77%
	Ordered	326	348	6.75%
		p = 0.12	210	

		Polymorp	hisms		
		Expected	Observed	Over-representation compared to expected	
	Disordered hinding sites	634	631	-0.47%	
	Disordorod	631	720	14 10%	
	Ordered	2583	2/07	-3 33%	
	Oracica	n = 4.460	10-4	-5.5570	
		p = 4.400	10		
		Cancer mu	tations		
_				Over-representation	
a l				compared to	
익		Expected	Observed	expected	
<u> </u>	Disordered binding sites	113	118	4.42%	
8 I	Disordered	121	128	5.79%	
- I	Ordered	556	544	-2.16%	
	p = 0.6424				
	Cancer muta	tions compa	red to polyme	orphisms	
				Over-representation	
				compared to	
		Expected	Observed	expected	
	Disordered binding sites	113	118	4.42%	
	Disordered	138	128	-7.25%	
	Ordered	539	544	0.93%	
		p = 0.6	089		

		Polymorp	hisms	
				Over-representation compared to
		Expected	Observed	expected
	Disordered binding sites	45	53	17.78%
	Disordered	48	47	-2.08%
	Ordered	196	189	-3.57%
		p = 0.42	289	
5		Cancer mu	tations	
_pe				Over-representation compared to
- Z		Expected	Observed	expected
U	Disordered binding sites	23	24	4.35%
	Disordered	22	18	-18.18%
Ö	Ordered	85	88	3.53%
0		p = 0.64	451	
	Cancer muta	tions compai	red to polymo	orphisms
				Over-representation
				compared to
		Expected	Observed	expected
	Disordered binding sites	27	24	-11.11%
	Disordered	21	18	-14.29%
	Ordered	82	88	7.32%
		p = 0.54	486	

		Polymorp	hisms		
				Over-representation	
		Expected	Observed	expected	
	Disordered binding sites	972	1043	7.30%	
	Disordered	908	1082	19.16%	
	Ordered	3914	3669	-6.26%	
		p = 2.010	*10-12		
		Cancer mu	tations		
0				Over-representation	
ill				compared to	
i i		Expected	Observed	expected	
151	Disordered binding sites	158	154	-2.53%	
ΙŭΙ	Disordered	164	185	12.80%	
-	Ordered	873	856	-1.95%	
	p = 0.2100				
	Cancer mutations compared to polymorphisms				
				Over-representation	
				compared to	
		Expected	Observed	expected	
	Disordered binding sites	1/1	154	-9.94%	
	Disordered	197	185	-6.09%	
	Ordered	827	856	3.51%	
		p = 0.1	793		

	Polymorphisms					
				Over-representation		
				compared to		
		Expected	Observed	expected		
	Disordered binding sites	24	33	37.50%		
	Disordered	19	27	42.11%		
	Ordered	167	150	-10.18%		
	p=1.445*10 ⁻²					
0		Cancer mu	tations			

B				Over-representation				
A		Expected	Observed	compared to expected				
U	Disordered binding sites	13	12	-7.69%				
	Disordered	11	8	-27.27%				
Ö	Ordered	53	57	7.55%				
0	p = 0.5496							
	Cancer mutations compared to polymorphisms							
	Cancer muta	tions compa	red to polymo	orphisms				
	Cancer muta	tions company Expected	r ed to polym Observed	Over-representation compared to expected				
	Cancer muta	tions compa Expected 17	Observed	Over-representation compared to expected -29.41%				
	Cancer muta Disordered binding sites Disordered	Expected 17 15	Observed	Over-representation compared to expected -29.41% -46.67%				
	Cancer muta Disordered binding sites Disordered Ordered	Expected 17 15 45	Observed 12 8 57	Over-representation compared to expected -29.41% -46.67% 26.67%				

		Delument	la i a 114 a				
	Polymorphisms						
				Over-representation			
				compared to			
		Expected	Observed	expected			
	Disordered binding sites	155	157	1.29%			
	Disordered	137	174	27.01%			
	Ordered	381	342	-10.24%			
	p = 9.071*10 ⁻⁴						
sn	Cancer mutations						
ະ				Over-representation			
ē				compared to			
		Expected	Observed	expected			
<u> </u>	Disordered binding sites	1033	807	-21.88%			
Σ	Disordered	992	760	-23.39%			
N N	Ordered	3350	3808	13.67%			
Ŭ	p = 7.663*10 ⁻³⁷						
	Cancer mutations compared to polymorphisms						
				Over-representation			
				compared to			
		Expected	Observed	expected			
	Disordered binding sites	1058	807	-23.72%			
	Disordered	1275	760	-40.39%			
	Ordered	3042	3808	25.18%			
	p = 1.033*10 ⁻¹⁰⁰						

	Polymorphisms						
				Over-representation			
				compared to			
		Expected	Observed	expected			
	Disordered binding sites	3800	4097	7.82%			
	Disordered	3915	4750	21.33%			
	Ordered	18720	17588	-6.05%			
	p = 2.650*10 ⁻⁵⁹						
	Cancer mutations						
				Over-representation			
≌				compared to			
≳		Expected	Observed	expected			
ΙőΙ	Disordered binding sites	3383	3037	-10.23%			
Ŭ	Disordered	3551	3362	-5.32%			
	Ordered	15774	16309	3.39%			
	p = 1.553*10 ⁻¹⁴						
	Cancer mutations compared to polymorphisms						
				Over-representation			
				compared to			
		Expected	Observed	expected			
	Disordered binding sites	3637	3037	-16.50%			
	Disordered	4295	3362	-21.72%			
	Ordered	14776	16309	10.37%			
		p = 9.101	*10-101				

	Bin 1	Bin 2	Bin 3	Bin 4	Bin 5
	boundaries	boundaries	boundaries	boundaries	boundaries
Length	<200	200-400	400-600	600-900	900+
Disorder %	<20%	20-40%	40-60%	60-80%	80%+
Binding regions %	<5%	5-15%	15-25%	25-40%	40%+
COSMIC census mutations	0	1	2	3-4	5+
Interactions	0	1-5	6-10	11-50	51+