### **Electronic Supplementary Information**

## Dual-nanogold-linked bio-bar codes with superstructures for in situ amplified electrochemical immunosensing of low-abundance-proteins

Jun Zhou, Junyang Zhuang, Juan Tang, Qunfang Li, Dianping Tang,\* and Guonan Chen

Key Laboratory of Analysis and Detection of Food Safety (Ministry of Education & Fujian Province), Department of Chemistry, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou 350108, P.R. China.

*Fax:* +86 591 22866135; *Tel:* +86 591 22866125; *E-mail: dianping.tang@fzu.edu.cn (D.T.)* 

#### 1 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials and Reagents. Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) was purchased from Biocell 2 Biotechnol. Co., Ltd. (Zhengzhou, China). Monoclonal mouse anti-human CEA antibody 3 (clone II-7, designated as  $mAb_1$ , dilution: 1 : 25 - 1 : 50) and polyclonal rabbit anti-human 4 CEA antibody (clone HP-6001, designated as pAb<sub>2</sub>) were purchased from Dako Diagnostics 5 6 (Shanghai, China) Co., Ltd. HAuCl<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O was purchased from Sinopharm Chem. Reag. Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Gold colloids with 16 nm in diameter were prepared and 7 characterized as described.<sup>S1</sup> All other reagents were of analytical grade and were used 8 9 without further purification. Ultrapure water obtained from a Millipore water purification system ( $\geq$  18 MΩ, Milli-Q, Millipore) was used in all runs. P<sub>1</sub> and P<sub>2</sub> probes were obtained 10 from Sangon Biotech. Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The sequences of oligonucleotides are 11 designed according the literature<sup>S2</sup> and listed as follows: 12

13 **P**<sub>1</sub>: 5'-SH-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>6</sub>-TTTTTCCCTCAGACCCTTTTAGT-3'

14 P<sub>2</sub>: 5'-SH-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>6</sub>-TTTTTACTAAAAGGGTCTGAGGG-3'

15 Preparation of Electrochemical Immunosensor. A 2-mm glassy carbon electrode (GCE) was polished with 0.3 µm and 0.05 µm alumina, followed by successive sonication in 16 bi-distilled water and ethanol for 5 min and dried in air. Gold nanoparticles were 17 electrochemically deposited on the pretreated GCE by a potential-step electrolysis from + 1.1 18 19 to 0 V in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution containing 1.0 mM HAuCl<sub>4</sub> with different pulse time, i.e., 10, 30 and 60 s.<sup>S3</sup> After washing with distilled water, 30  $\mu$ L of mAb<sub>1</sub> antibodies (dilution ratio: 20 1:50) was thrown on the modified electrode, and incubated for 4 h at RT. During this process, 21 22 mAb<sub>1</sub> antibodies were immobilized on the nanogold-modified GCE due to the strong 23 interaction between gold nanoparticles and proteins. Finally, the as-prepared electrode was immersed into 2.5 wt% BSA for 60 min at room temperature to block the possible remained 24 active sites. The obtained immunosensor was stored at 4 °C for further usage. 25

Preparation of  $Ab_2$  and  $P_1$ -Conjugated Gold Nanoparticles ( $Ab_2$ -AuNP- $P_1$ ). The Ab<sub>2</sub>-AuNP- $P_1$  nanocomplexes were synthesized and prepared according to the literatures with

a little modification.<sup>S4</sup> Prior to experiment, 5 mL of 16-nm gold colloids (AuNPs,  $C_{[Au]} = 24$ 28 µM) was adjusted to pH 9.0-9.5 by directly using 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution. Then, 200 29 µL of polyclonal rabbit anti-human CEA antibody (Ab<sub>2</sub>, 1 : 100) was added into gold colloids, 30 and incubated for 20 min at room temperature. During this process, the association of Ab<sub>2</sub> 31 antibodies onto the surface of gold nanoparticles is possibly due to the interaction between 32 cysteine or NH3<sup>+</sup>-lysine residues of the proteins and gold nanoparticle.<sup>S5</sup> Afterwards, the 33 alkylthiol-capped barcode DNA P1 probes (0.5 OD) were injected into the mixture. After 34 gently shaking for 5 min, the mixture was transferred to the refrigerator at 4 °C for further 35 36 reaction (overnight). Following that, the mixture was centrifuged (14,000 g) for 25 min at RT. The pellet (i.e. P<sub>1</sub>/Ab<sub>2</sub>-functionalized gold nanoparticles, designated as Ab<sub>2</sub>-AuNP-P<sub>1</sub>) was 37 re-suspended in 1.0 mL of 2 mM sodium carbonate solution ( $C_{[Au]} = 120 \mu M$ ) containing 1.0 38 wt % BSA and 0.1% sodium azide, pH 7.0, and stored at 4 °C until use. 39

Preparation of Single-Stranded DNA-Conjugated Gold Nanoparticles. Prior to 40 experiment, 5 mL of 16-nm gold colloids (AuNPs,  $C_{[Au]} = 24 \mu M$ ) was adjusted to pH 9.0-9.5 41 by directly using 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution. Then, 50 µL of the alkylthiol-capped 42 barcode P<sub>1</sub> and P<sub>2</sub> probes (0.5 OD) were injected into the mixture, respectively. After gently 43 shaking for 5 min, the mixture was transferred to the refrigerator at 4 °C for further reaction 44 45 (overnight). Following that, the mixture was centrifuged (14,000 g) for 25 min at RT. The pellet (designed as P<sub>1</sub>-AuNP and P<sub>2</sub>-AuNP, respectively) was re-suspended in 1.0 mL of 2 46 mM sodium carbonate solution ( $C_{[Au]} = 120 \mu$ M) containing 1.0 wt % BSA and 0.1% sodium 47 azide, pH 7.0, respectively, and stored at 4 °C until use. 48

49 **Electrochemical Measurements.** Electrochemical measurements were performed with an 50 AutoLab (Eco Chemie, The Netherlands) system. A three-electrode system comprising a 51 prepared working electrode, a platinum wire as auxiliary electrode and a saturated calomel 52 electrode (SCE) as reference was employed for all electrochemical experiments. The assay 53 was performed as follows:

54 (i) *Immunoreaction*: 10  $\mu$ L of mixture solution comprising various concentrations of 55 target CEA sample/or standards and 1.0 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> Ab<sub>2</sub>-AuNP-P<sub>1</sub> colloids was

3

dropped onto the surface of the mAb<sub>1</sub>-modified GCE, and incubated for 25 min at
RT. The aim of this step was to form a sandwiched immunocomplex on the GCE
surface.

(ii) *Hybridization reaction for the formation of superstructures*: After washing with pH 7.0 PBS, 20  $\mu$ L of P<sub>1</sub>-AuNP suspension ( $C_{[Au]} = 120 \mu$ M) and 20  $\mu$ L of P<sub>2</sub>-AuNP suspension ( $C_{[Au]} = 120 \mu$ M) were dropped onto the modified GCE in turn, and re-incubated for 30 min at RT. During this process, the hybridization reaction between P<sub>1</sub>-AuNP-Ab<sub>2</sub> and P<sub>1</sub>-AuNP/P<sub>2</sub>-AuNP was automatically progressed to form the superstructures on the GCE surface.

- (iii) *Intercalation of methylene blue*: After washing with pH 7.0 PBS, the modified
  electrode was suspended into the 0.5 mM methylene blue aqueous solution, and
  incubated for 30 min at RT. During this process, the methylene blue molecules were
  intercalated into the grooves of the double-helix.
- (iv) *Electrochemical measurement*: After rinsing thoroughly with pH 7.0 PBS to remove
  the un-intercalated methylene blue, the electrochemical characteristics of the
  resulting immunosensors were investigated in pH 7.0 PBS by square wave
  voltammetry (SWV) from -500 mV to 0 mV (*vs.* SCE) (Amplitude: 25 mV;
  Frequency: 15 Hz; Increase *E*: 4 mV). Analyses are always made in triplicate.

# For comparison, HCR-based immunoassay was also carried out, as described in detail in our most recent paper.<sup>S3</sup>

Characteristics of immunoreaction process. Fig. S1 shows the electrochemical 76 77 impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of variously modified electrode after each step. These EIS data were fitted to a Randles equivalent circuit (inset of Fig. S1), which contains electrolyte 78 resistance  $(R_s)$ , the lipid bilayer capacitance  $(C_{dl})$ , charge transfer resistance  $(R_{et})$  and Warburg 79 element ( $Z_w$ ). The complex impedance can be presented as the sum of the real,  $Z_{re}$  and 80 81 imaginary, Z<sub>im</sub>, components that originate mainly from the resistance and capacitance of the 82 cell. The two components of the scheme,  $R_s$  and  $Z_w$ , represent bulk properties of the electrolyte solution and diffusion of the applied redox probe in solution, respectively. Thus, 83 they are not affected by chemical transformations occurring at the electrode interface. The 84

85 other two components of the circuit,  $C_{dl}$  and  $R_{et}$ , depend on the dielectric and insulating features at the electrode/electrolyte interface. In EIS, the semicircle diameter of EIS equals the 86 electron transfer resistance,  $R_{\rm et}$ . This resistance controls the electron transfer kinetics of the 87 redox-probe at electrode interface. Its value varies when different substances are adsorbed 88 89 onto the electrode surface. As seen from curve 'a' in Fig. S1, a very small  $R_{et}$  was obtained at nanogold-deposited GCE. However, when the mAb<sub>1</sub> antibodies were immobilized onto the 90 91 nanogold-modified GCE, a large resistance ( $R_{et} = 537 \Omega$ ) was observed (curve 'b' in Fig. S1). Moreover, the resistance further increased when the as-prepared immunosensor was reacted 92 93 with target CEA (curve 'c' in Fig. S1). The reason might be attributed to the formation of antigen-antibody immunocomplex. Furthermore, when the sandwiched immunocomplex with 94 the Ab<sub>2</sub>-AuNP-P<sub>2</sub> was formed on the electrode, the resistance re-increased (curve 'd' in Fig. 95 S1). This is most likely as a consequence of the fact that the negatively charged 96 single-stranded DNA hindered the negatively changed  $Fe(CN)_6^{4/3-}$  ions. These results 97 98 revealed that the sandwiched immunocomplex could be formed on the electrode.



99

Fig. S1 Nyquist diagrams for (a) nanogold-modified GCE, (b) mAb<sub>1</sub>-modified nanogold/GCE, (c) electrode 101 'b' after incubation with 0.1 pg mL<sup>-1</sup> CEA, and (d) electrode 'c' after incubation with Ab<sub>2</sub>-AuNP-P<sub>1</sub> in 5 nM 102 Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub><sup>4-/3-</sup> + 0.1 M KCl with the range from  $10^{-2}$  Hz to  $10^{5}$  Hz at an alternate voltage of 5 mV (*Inset*: 103 equivalent circuit).

104 **Optimization of Experimental Conditions.** To ensure an optimal analytical performance 105 of the developed immunoassay, some experimental parameters including incubation time and

106 incubation temperature for the antigen-antibody reaction, and pH of the assay solution should 107 be investigated. Usually, the antigen-antibody reaction is adequately carried out at human normal body temperature (37 °C). Considering the possible application of the proposed 108 immunoassay in the future, we selected room temperature (25 + 1.0 °C) for the 109 antigen-antibody interaction throughout the experiment. At this condition, we monitored the 110 effect of incubation time on the currents of the immunosensors from 10 min to 40 min (Note: 111 112 To avoid confusion, the incubation times of the immunosensor with CEA were paralleled with those of the immunosensor-CEA with Ab<sub>2</sub>-AuNP-P<sub>1</sub>). As shown in Fig. S2, the peak currents 113 114 increased with the increment of incubation time, and tended to level off after 25 min. Hence, an incubation time of 25 min was selected for sensitive determination of CEA at acceptable 115 throughput. 116



117

**Fig. S2.** Dependence of the electrochemical signal of the immunosensors on incubation time for the antigen-antibody reaction by using  $0.1 \text{ pg mL}^{-1}$  CEA.

In this work, the SWV peak current mainly derives from the redox characteristic of the intercalated methylene blue. Usually, the signal is relative to pH value of supporting electrolyte. Fig. S3 displays the dependence of the currents on pH of PBS by using 0.1 pg  $mL^{-1}$  CEA as an example. As indicated from Fig. S3, an optimal current was obtained at pH 7.0 PBS. Higher or lower pHs resulted in the decrease of the peak currents. Thus, a pH 7.0 with PBS was chosen as the supporting electrolyte.



126

Fig. S3. Dependence of the electrochemical signal of the immunosensors on pH of PBS by using 0.1 pg
 mL<sup>-1</sup> CEA.

**Table S1** Comparison of the assayed results for clinical serum samples using the electrochemical
 immunoassay and the referenced ELISA method

Sample no.	Method; concentration <sup>[a]</sup>		RSD (%)
	Immunosensor	ELISA <sup>[b]</sup>	
1	23.5 fg mL <sup>-1</sup>	26.7 fg mL <sup>-1</sup>	6.4
2	84.3 pg mL <sup>-1</sup>	76.8 pg mL <sup>-1</sup>	4.7
3	3.15 ng mL <sup>-1</sup>	2.82 ng mL <sup>-1</sup>	5.5
4	24.7 ng mL <sup>-1</sup>	28.6 ng mL <sup>-1</sup>	7.3
5	78.9 ng mL <sup>-1</sup>	76.2 ng mL <sup>-1</sup>	1.8

131 [a] The average value of three assayed results.

132 [b] The data of samples 1-2 were calculated according to dilution ratio.

#### 133 **Reference**

- 134 S1 R. Yuan, D. Tang, Y. Chai, X. Zhong, Y. Liu and J. Dai, *Langmuir*, 2004, **20**, 7240.
- 135 S2 M. Bui, T. Baek and G. Seong, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 2007, 388, 1185.
- 136 S3 M. El-Deab, K. Arihara and T. Ohsaka, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2004, 151, E213.
- 137 S4 J. Zhou, M. Xu, D. Tang, Z. Gao, J. Tang and G. Chen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 12207.
- 138 S5 D. Tang, R. Yuan and Y. Chai, Electroanalysis, 2006, 18, 295.

139