SI Appendix: Mathematical modeling of the *apo* and *holo* transcriptional regulation in *Escherichia coli*

Fernando J. Álvarez-Vásquez, Julio A. Freyre-González, Yalbi I. Balderas-Martínez, Mónica I. Delgado-Carrillo, Julio Collado-Vides

Contents

1.	SI Figures	3
	Figs. S1-2. Regulation by <i>TrpR</i> of repressible system with negative control	
	in high (Fig S1) and low demand (Fig S2)	3
	Figs. S3-4. Regulation by <i>MalT</i> of inducible system with positive control	
	in high (Fig. S3) and low demand (Fig. S4)	5
	S5-6. Regulation by <i>Cbl</i> of positive regulation in high (Fig. S5) and low	
	(Fig. S6) demand	6
	Figs. S7. <i>LacI</i> threshold for selection of the wild-type regulatory	
	mechanism	7
	Figs. S8. <i>TrpR</i> threshold for selection of the wild-type regulatory	
	mechanism	8
	Figs. S9. <i>MalT</i> threshold for selection of the wild-type regulatory	
	mechanism	9
	Figs S10 <i>Cbl</i> threshold for selection of the wild-type regulatory	
	mechanism	10
	Figs S11 <i>Lacl</i> enrichment of the wild-type regulatory mechanism over	10
	time	11
	Figs. S12. <i>TrpR</i> enrichment of the wild-type regulatory mechanism over	11
	time	11
	Figs. S13. <i>MalT</i> enrichment of the wild-type regulatory mechanism over	
	time	12
	Figs. S14. <i>Cbl</i> enrichment of the wild-type regulatory mechanism over	
	time	12
	Figs S15a-1 Influence of the constituent parameters on the values of the	
	wild-type D_{min} and D_{max}	13
	Figs S16a-m Influence of the constituent parameters on the values for the	10
	$D_{\rm min}$ and $D_{\rm max}$ for the thresholds of selection not surrounding the wild-type	
	region	21
	Fig. \$17 General flow chart of wild-type and mutant populations	30
2	SI Tables	31
4.	Table S1. TE mutation rate parameters for the mutant populations	31
	Table S2 TE growth rate parameters for the wild type and mutant	51
	nonulations	37
		52

		4/
	3.2.1. Time course of selection	17
	3.2. SI Results	47
	3.1. SI Model description	43
3.	SI Text	43
	Table S10. Summary of the advantages from Tables 8 and 9	41
	conformations within the positive mode of regulation	40
	Table S9. Parameter sensibility analysis for the apo and holo	
	conformations within the negative mode of regulation	38
	Table S8. Parameter sensibility analysis for the apo and holo	
	values for demand	37
	Table S7. Influence of parameters sensibility on minimum and maximum	
	equals forty	36
	contemplated for the sectors of the threshold of selection when omega	
	Table S6. Expression of wild-type and single mutant populations	55
	equals twenty	35
	contemplated for the sectors of the threshold of selection when omega	
	Table S5 Expression of wild type and single mutant populations	
	aguals one	34
	contemplated for the sectors of the threshold of selection when omega	
	Table S4 Expression of wild-type and single mutant populations	55
	defined in Table 1	33

1.- SI Figures

Fig. S1



Fig. S2



Figs. S1-2. Regulation by *TrpR* of repressible system with negative control during high (Fig S1) and low demand (Fig S2). The DNA can mutate (diagonal red line) in the modulator (M), promoter (P), and/or in the regulator site, R_1 if the mutation occurs in the TF-ligand domain or in R_2 if the mutation occurs in the TF-DNA binding domain. The horizontal arrow represents the gene expression of the structural gene (E). A dotted blue line starting from R_2 and ending in an arrowhead indicates interaction of the TF with the DNA; if the blue line ends in an X, it represents no TF-DNA interaction with the operon. Fig. S1: High demand; a) wild type, b-e) four single mutants, f-k) six double mutants. Fig. S2: Low demand; a-k) similar to Figs. 4a.



Fig. S4



Figs. S3-4. Regulation by *MalT* of inducible system with positive control during high (**Fig. S3**) and low demand (**Fig. S4**). For explanation of symbols see legend for Figs. S1-2.

Fig. S5







Figs. S5-6. Regulation by *Cbl* of positive regulation during high (Fig. S5) and low (Fig. S6) demand. For explanation of symbols see legend for Figs. S1-2.



Figs. S7. LacI threshold for selection of the wild-type regulatory mechanism. The thresholds are represented as functions of the cycle time and the demand for gene expression with negative mode of regulation. The demand (*D*) and the total cycle (*C*) are represented on a logarithmic scale. The thresholds are for the promoter (*p*) in blue, modulator (*m*) in black, TF-effector regulatory section (r_1) in green, and TF-DNA regulatory section (r_2) in red. The solid and dotted line intervals for each curve represent the low- and high-C asymptotes, respectively, where the root finding method was implemented. Curves when: *a*) $\omega = 1$; *b*) $\omega = 20$.



Figs. S8. *TrpR* threshold for selection of the wild-type regulatory mechanism. Descriptions as in Figs. S7. Curves when: *a*) $\omega = 1$; *b*) $\omega = 20$, the curves for X_{r_1}/X_w and X_m/X_w are superimposed.



Figs. S9. MalT threshold for selection of the wild-type regulatory mechanism. Thresholds are represented as functions of the cycle time and the demand for gene expression with positive mode of regulation. The demand (1-D) and the total cycle (C) are represented on a logarithmic scale. The thresholds presented are for the promoter (p) in blue, modulator (m) in black, TF-effector regulatory section (r_1) in green, and TF-DNA regulatory section (r_2) in red. The solid and dotted line intervals for each curve represent the low- and high-C asymptotes, respectively, where the root finding method was implemented. Curves when: a) $\omega = 1$; b) $\omega = 20$, the curves for X_{r_1}/X_w and X_m/X_w are superimposed.



Figs. S10. *Cbl* threshold for selection of the wild-type regulatory mechanism. Descriptions as in Figs. S9. Curves when: *a*) $\omega = 1$, the curves for X_{r_1}/X_w and X_p/X_w are superimposed; *b*) $\omega = 20$.



Figs. S11. *Lac1* enrichment of the wild-type regulatory mechanism over time. The wild-type enrichment was calculated as a ratio with respect to the mutant populations X_m , X_p , X_{r1} , and X_{r2} . The recursive ratio $f_m = X_w / (X_m + X_p + X_{r1} + X_{r2})$ was calculated from Eqs. S33-S34 and Eqs. S31-S32 to calculate $f_m^{SS}(C)$ and $f_m(t + C)$, respectively. The normalized log ratio is enclosed between [0,1] and is given by $10 \times [X_w / X_w / (X_m + X_p + X_{r1} + X_{r2})] / [X_w / X_w / (X_m + X_p + X_{r1} + X_{r2})]^{SS}$ or $10 f_m^{SS} / f_m$. For all cases the cycle time is C = 3000. All enrichments were calculated with $\omega = 20$. *a*) D = 0.000316; *b*) D = 0.0001; *c*) D = 0.000316.



Figs. S12. *TrpR* enrichment of the wild-type regulatory mechanism over time. Procedure as in Figs. S11. For all cases C = 3000. *a*) D = 0.0000316; *b*) D = 0.0001; *c*) D = 0.000316.



Figs. S13. *MalT* enrichment of the wild-type regulatory mechanism over time. Procedure as in Figs. S11. For all cases C = 300. *a*) D = 0.937; *b*) D = 0.985; *c*) D = 0.996.



Figs. S14. *Cbl* enrichment of the wild-type regulatory mechanism over time. Procedure as in Figs. S11. For all cases C = 300. *a*) D = 0.937; *b*) D = 0.985; *c*) D = 0.996.

Figs S15-a.- mu (μ)



TrpR









log [mu/mu₀]





- 13-

Figs. S15-c.- *upsilon* (v)







Figs S15-d.- $tau(\tau)$













log [tau/tau₀]



Figs S15-e.- rho (ρ)





Figs S15-f.- *epsilon* (ϵ)







- 15-

























Cbl

Figs S15-h.- *gamma* (γ)









- 17-

р













MalT



- 18-

Figs S15-k.- sigma (σ)









TrpR



- 19-



Figs. S15. Influence of the constituent parameters on the values of the wild-type D_{\min} and D_{\max} . Each model parameter is varied around its nominal value, and the resulting lower (D_{\min}) and upper (D_{\max}) values are calculated. Solid lines correspond to the D_{\max} , magenta dashed-dotted lines correspond to the D_{\min} . Mutation rate $\omega = 20$ was used along these analysis. The axes are represented in decimal logarithmic scale. Mutation rates: *a*) mu (μ); *b*) pi (π); *c*) upsilon (υ); *d*) tau (τ); *e*) rho (ρ); *f*) epsilon (ε); *g*) psi (ψ). Growth rates: *h*) gamma (γ); *i*) delta (δ); *j*) lambda (λ); *k*) sigma (σ). Criterion of selection: *l*) theta (θ).

Figs. S16-a.- mu (μ)

LacI

MalT















- 21-

Figs. S16-c.- *upsilon* (v)









Figs. S16-d.- *tau* (τ)

LacI











- 22-

Figs. S16-e.- $\it{rho}(\rho)$

















TrpR



- 23-



















log [epsilon/epsilon₀]

TrpR

- 24-

Figs. S16-h.- *psi* (ψ)



Figs. S16-i.- *gamma* (γ)





TrpR



— m log [psi/psi₀] p m



TrpR























- 26-

Cbl

Figs. S16-k.- $lambda (\lambda)$











LacI

- 27-







Cbl















Figs. S16a-m. Influence of the constituent parameters on the values for the D_{\min} and D_{\max} for the thresholds of selection not surrounding the wild-type region. Each model parameter is varied around its nominal value, and the resulting thresholds are calculated. Solid and dashed-dotted black lines correspond to thresholds surrounding the wild-type D_{\max} threshold. Magenta dashed-dotted line corresponds to the thresholds surrounding the wild-type D_{\max} threshold region. Mutation rate $\omega = 20$ was used along these analysis. The axes are represented in decimal logarithmic scale. Mutation rates: *a*) mu (μ); *b*) pi (π); *c*) upsilon (υ); *d*) tau (τ); *e*) rho (ρ); *f*) omega (ω); *g*) epsilon (ε); *h*) psi (ψ). Growth rates: *i*) gamma (γ); *j*) delta (δ); *k*) lambda (λ); *l*) sigma (σ). Criterion of selection: *m*) theta (θ).



Fig. S17. General flow chart of wild-type and mutant populations. The output for the expression or non-expression for the structural genes is summarized here for all the TFs and conditions contemplated in Figs. 41-2 and S1-6.

2.- SI Tables

			Negative	regulation		Positive regulation						
		High d	emand	Low d	emand	High d	emand	Low d	emand			
		<i>Holo</i> Inactive	<i>Apo</i> Inactive	<i>Holo</i> Active	<i>Apo</i> Active	<i>Holo</i> Active	<i>Apo</i> Active	<i>Holo</i> Inactive	<i>Apo</i> Inactive			
Fig. 2 key	Mutation	LacI	TrpR	TrpR	LacI	MalT	Cbl	Cbl	MalT			
b	m_{r_1w}	μω	μω	μω	μω	μω	μω	μω	μω			
С	m_{r_2w}	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)			
d	m_{mw}	μτε	μτε	μτψ	μτψ	μτεψ	μτεψ	μτ	μτ			
е	m_{pw}	μπε	μπε	μπ	μπ	μυε	μυε	μυ	μυ			
f_1	m_{d_1m}	μπε	μπε	μπε	μπε	μυ	μυ	μυ	μυ			
f_2	m_{d_1p}	μτ	μτ	μτψ	μτψ	μυεψ	μτεψ	μτε	μτε			
<i>B</i> 1	$m_{d_6r_1}$	μτψ	μτε	μτε	μτψ	μτ	μτεψ	μτεψ	μτ			
g_2	m_{d_6m}	μω	μω	μω	μω	μω	μω	μω	μω			
h_1	$m_{d_3r_1}$	μπ	μπε	μπε	μπ	μυ	μυε	μυε	μυ			
h_2	m_{d_3p}	μω	μω	μω	μω	μω	μω	μω	μω			
i_1	$m_{d_2r_1}$	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)			
i_2	$m_{d_2 r_2}$	μω	μω	μω	μω	μω	μω	μω	μω			
j_1	$m_{d_{5}r_{2}}$	μτε	μτε	μτε	μτε	μτ	μτ	μτ	μτ			
j_2	m_{d_5m}	μ (ω+ρ) μ (ω+ρ)		μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)			
k_1	$m_{d_4r_2}$	μπε	μπε	μπε	μπε	μυ	μυ	μυ μυ				
k_2	m_{d_4p}	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)	μ (ω+ρ)			

Table S1. TF mutation rate parameters for the mutant populations. The mutation rate *i* and *j* subscripts (m_{ij}) can take the symbols { $w, m, p, r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5, d_6$ } according to the origin (*j*) and destination (*i*) of the mutation. The first column corresponds to the key for Fig. 2.

		Negative re	egulation		Positive regulation							
	High d	emand	Low d	emand	High d	emand	Low d	emand				
	<i>Holo</i> Inactive	<i>Apo</i> Inactive	<i>Holo</i> Active	Apo Active	Holo Active	<i>Apo</i> Active	<i>Holo</i> Inactive	<i>Apo</i> Inactive				
	LacI	TrpR	TrpR	LacI	MalT	Cbl	Cbl	MalT				
g_w	γ	γ	γδ	γδ	γδ	γδ	γ	γ				
g_{r_1}	γλ	γ ¹	γδσ	γδ¹	γδλ	γδ¹	γσ¹	γ ¹				
g_{r_2}	γ ¹	γ ¹	γδσ	γδσ	γδλ	γδλ	γ	γ ¹				
g_m	γ ¹	γ ¹	γδσ	γδσ	γδλ	γδλ	γ	γ ¹				
g_p	γλ	γλ	γδ¹	γδ ¹	γδ¹	γδ¹	γσ¹	γσ				
g_{d_1}	γλ	γλ	γδ¹	γδ ¹	γδ ¹	γδ ¹	γσ¹	γσ				
g_{d_2}	γ ¹	γ ¹	γδσ	γδσ	γδλ	γδλ	γ	γ ¹				
g_{d_3}	γλ	γλ	γδ ¹	γδ ¹	γδ ¹	γδ ¹	γσ¹	γσ				
g_{d_4}	γλ	γλ	γδ¹	γδ ¹	γδ¹	γδ¹	γσ¹	γσ				
g_{d_5}	γ ¹	γ ¹	γδσ	γδσ	γδλ	γδλ	γ	γ ¹				
g_{d_6}	γ¹	γ ¹	γδσ	γδσ	γδλ	γδλ	γ	γ ¹				
Single	2/4	3/4	3/4	2/4	1/4	2/4	2/4	3/4				
Double	3/6	3/6	3/6	3/6	3/6	3/6	3/6	3/6				
Total	5/10	6/10	6/10	5/10	4/10	5/10	5/10	6/10				

¹ Favorable mutant

Table S2. TF growth rate parameters for the wild-type and mutant populations. By definition, the *holo* and *apo* active conformations correspond at the TF, exerting their function over their DNA target. The last three rows compile the fractions of favorable single mutants from Figs. 3, S1-6 during high demand. Please note that these fractions do not include the favorable wild-type population during low demand.

Mutation rates (<i>base</i> ⁻¹ generation ⁻¹)	Negative	Positive
μ	6×10^{-10}	6×10^{-10}
π	10	-
υ	-	1
τ	20	20
ρ	60	60
ω	1, 20, 40	1, 20, 40
ε	1	1
Ψ	0.1	0.1
Growth rate (<i>generation hour</i> ⁻¹)		
γ	1	1
δ	0.0125	0.0125
λ	0.97	-
λ	-	0.97
σ	0.999	-
σ	-	0.999

Table S3. Numeric values for the mutation and growth rate parameters defined inTable 1.

	1				2					3					4							
				т	р	r_1	r_2		т	р	r_1	r_2		т	р	r_1	r_2		т	р	r_1	r_2
	Α			+	_	—	+		+	—	_	—		_	—	—	-					
LacI	В		U	+	_	+	+		+	—	+	—		_	—	+	_					
	С		F	+	+	+	+	F	+	+	+	_		_	+	+	_					
λR	Α		U	+	_	+	+		+	_	+	_		+	_	_	_		_	_	_	_
Tr_{I}	В		F	+	+	+	+	F	+	+	_	+	 	_	+	+	_		_	+	_	_
ιT	Α			_	_	-	-		_	_	+	-		+	_	+	-	F	+	_	+	+
Ma	В			_	+	_	_		_	+	+	_	U	+	+	+	_	F	+	+	+	+
				_	_	+	-		+	_	+	_	F	+	_	+	+					
Cbl	A			_	+	_	_		+	+		_	 F	+	+	—	+					
	В			_	+	+	_	U	+	+	+	_	F	+	+	+	+					

Table S4. Expression of wild-type and single mutant populations contemplated for the sectors of the threshold of selection when omega equals one. The second column and first row indicate the *i* and *j* alpha-numbers for the different $C_{i,j}$ regions from Figs. 4a, 5a, 6a, and 7a. The favorable (F) or not favorable (U) single populations were obtained from Figs. 3, S1-6 during high demand. Positive and negative signs mean functional and non-functional sectors of the DNA or the TF. Modulator (*m*), promoter (*p*), effector-TF sector (*r*₁), or DNA-TF sector (*r*₂).

				1					2					3		
	ī		т	р	r_1	r_2		т	р	r_1	r_2		т	р	r_1	r_2
	Α		+	—		+		+	_	—	_		_	_	_	_
LacI	В	U	+	+	_	+		+	+	—	_		_	+	_	_
	С	F	+	+	+	+	F	+	+	+	_		—	+	+	_
pR	V	U	+	—	+	+		+	_	+	_		_	_	_	—
Tr_{l}	В	F	+	+	+	+	F	+	+	+	_		_	+	_	_
Π	Α		_	_	_	_		+	_	_	_	F	+	_	+	+
Ma	В		_	+	_	_	U	+	+	+	_	F	+	+	+	+
	Α		_	_	_	_		+	_	_	_		+	_	_	+
Cbl	В	 	_	+	_	_		+	+	_	_	F	+	+	_	+
	С		_	+	+	_	U	+	+	+	_	F	+	+	+	+

Table S5. Expression of wild-type and single mutant populations contemplated for thesectors of the threshold of selection when omega equals twenty. Descriptions as in TableS4.

	1				2					3						4								
		_		т	р	r_1	r_2			т	р	r_1	r_2		т	р	r_1	r_2			т	р	r_1	r_2
	Α			+	_	_	+	_		+	-	—	_		_	—	—	_						
LacI	В	_	U	+	+	_	+			+	+	—	_		—	+	_	_						
	С		F	+	+	+	+	_	F	+	+	+	_		_	+	+	_	_					
pR	Α		U	+	_	+	+			+	-	+	-		+	_	-	_			-	-	-	_
Tr_{1}	В		F	+	+	+	+		F	+	+	+	_		+	+	_	_			_	+	_	_
ιT	Α			-	_	_	-			+	_	–	—		+	_	+	_		F	+	_	+	+
Ma	В			_	+	_	_			+	+	_	_	U	+	+	+	_		F	+	+	+	+
	Α			_	_	_	_			+	_	_	_		+	_	_	+						
Cbl	В			_	+	_	_			+	+	_	_	 F	+	+	_	+						
	С			_	+	+	_		U	+	+	+	-	 F	+	+	+	+						

Table S6. Expression of wild-type and single mutant populations contemplated for thesectors of the threshold of selection when omega equals forty. Descriptions as in TableS4.

		Neg	ative	Posi	tive
		LacI	TrpR	MalT	Cbl
	D_{\min}	2, ↑	2,↑	3,↑	2,↓
mu (μ)	$D_{\rm max}$	3,↓	3,↓	2,↓	3,↑
ni (T)	D_{\min}	1,—	2, ↑	N/A	N/A
pr (<i>n</i>)	$D_{\rm max}$	1,—	1,—	N/A	N/A
uncilon (1)	D_{\min}	N/A	N/A	1,—	1,—
upsnon (0)	$D_{\rm max}$	N/A	N/A	2,↓	1,—
tau (π)	D_{\min}	1,—	1,—	1,—	1,—
tau (t)	$D_{\rm max}$	1,—	1,—	1,—	1,—
rho(0)	D_{\min}	1,—	1,—	3,↑	1,—
1110 (þ)	$D_{\rm max}$	3,↓	3,↓	1,—	3,↑
omega (@)	D_{\min}	2, ↑	1,—	3,↑	2,↓
oniegu (w)	$D_{\rm max}$	3,↓	3,↓	1,—	3,↑
ensilon (g)	D_{\min}	1,—	1,—	1,—	1,—
epsnon (e)	$D_{\rm max}$	1,—	1,—	4,↓	1,—
nsi (w)	D_{\min}	1,—	1,—	1,—	1,—
Ρ51 (ψ)	$D_{\rm max}$	1,—	1,—	1,—	1,—
gamma (v)	D_{\min}	1,—	1,—	1,—	1,—
gamma (7)	$D_{\rm max}$	1,—	1,—	1,—	1,—
delta (δ)	D_{\min}	2, ↑	2, ↑	3,↓	2,↓
uella (0)	$D_{\rm max}$	2, ↑	2, ↑	2,↓	3,↓
lambda (λ)	D_{\min}	5,↑	5,↑	5,↑	1,—
Tulliodd (N)	$D_{\rm max}$	1,—	1,-	1,—	5,↑
sigma (G)	D_{\min}	1,—	1,—	1,—	4,↓
Signia (0)	$D_{\rm max}$	5,↓	5,↓	4,↓	1,—
theta (θ)	D_{\min}	2,↓	2,↓	3,↓	2,↑
	$D_{\rm max}$	3,↑	3,↑	2, ↑	3,↓

Table S7. Influence of parameters sensibility on minimum and maximum values for demand. Analysis from parameters represented in Figs. 13a-m, for the minimum (D_{\min}) and maximum (D_{\max}) values for the demand. As in ¹, the influence of the parameters are classified into five categories: 1 = no discernible influence, 2 = nearly linear variation, 3 = cube-root influence, 4 = moderate amplification (order of magnitude), 5 = extreme amplification (1000-fold). Starting from the basal value: \uparrow = increase of D_{\min} (D_{\max}), \downarrow = decrease of D_{\min} (D_{\max}), - = no discernible change of D_{\min} (D_{\max}).

		LacI	TrpR	Comments
		=	=	D_{\min} increase for both
()	\rightarrow	=	=	$D_{\rm max}$ decrease for both
mu (μ)	/	=	=	D_{\min} decrease for both
	~	=	=	D_{max} increase for both
		Α		D_{\min} increase for <i>TrpR</i> narrowing their WT region
ni (T)		=	=	D_{max} no discernable change in either
pr(n)	/		Α	D_{\min} decrease for <i>TrpR</i> increasing the WT region
		=	=	D_{max} no discernable change in either
		=	=	D_{\min} no discernable change in either
ton (7)	7	=	=	D_{max} no discernable change in either
tau (t)	/	=	=	D_{\min} no discernable change in either
		=	=	D_{max} no discernable change in either
		=	=	D_{\min} no discernable change in either
$r h_{2}(\mathbf{a})$		=	=	D_{max} sharp decrease for both
mo (p)	/	=	=	D_{\min} no discernable change in either
		=	=	D_{max} sharp increase for both
			Δ	D_{\min} linear increase for LacI narrowing their WT region. Advantage for
	\rightarrow		71	TrpR
omega		=	=	$D_{\rm max}$ decrease for both
(ω)		Δ		D_{\min} linear decrease for LacI increasing the WT region. Advantage for
	\leftarrow			LacI
		=	=	$D_{\rm max}$ decrease for both
	\rightarrow		=	D_{\min} no discernable change in either
epsilon		=	=	D_{max} no discernable change in either
(3)	4		=	D_{\min} no discernable change in either
	ì	=	=	D_{max} no discernable change in either
	~	=	=	D_{\min} no discernable change in either
nsi (w)		=	=	D_{max} no discernable change in either
psi (ψ)	4	=	=	D_{\min} no discernable change in either
		=	=	$D_{\rm max}$ no discernable change in either

Table S8. (cont.)

		LacI	TrpR	Comments
		=	=	D_{\min} no discernable change in either
	\rightarrow	=	=	D_{max} no discernable change in either
gamma (y)	/	=	=	D_{\min} no discernable change in either
		=	=	D_{max} no discernable change in either
		=	=	D_{\min} increase for both narrowing the WT region
dalta (S)	7	=	=	D_{max} increase for both narrowing the WT region
della (0)		=	=	D_{\min} decrease for both increasing the WT region
	$\overline{}$	=	=	D_{max} increase for both narrowing the WT region
	\rightarrow	=	=	D_{\min} abrupt increase for both increasing WT regions
lambda ())	7	=	=	D_{max} no discernable change in either
Tambua (K)		=	=	D_{\min} abrupt decrease for both increasing WT regions
	$\overline{}$	=	=	D_{max} no discernable change in either
	<u> </u>	=	=	D_{\min} no discernable change in either
ciamo (5)	7	=	=	D_{max} decrease abrupt for both
sigilia (0)		=	=	D_{\min} no discernable change in either
		=	=	D_{max} no discernable change in either
	<u> </u>	=	=	D_{\min} with decrease in both WT regions
thata (A)	7	=	=	D_{max} increase in the WT region for both
tileta (0)	_	=	=	D_{\min} with increase in both
		=	=	D_{max} with decrease in both

Table S8. Parameter sensibility analysis for the *apo* and *holo* conformations within the negative mode of regulation. The mutation and growth rate parameter sensitivities from Figs. 6 and S15 are analyzed for the minimum (D_{min}) and maximum (D_{max}) values of the demand around the nominal values. The analysis focuses on the effects that small increments (\rightarrow) or decrements (\leftarrow) have around the parameter's nominal maximum values of the demand for the wild type. The results were compared within the two TFs with negative regulation and classified as: no difference (=) between them or with advantage (A) of one over another.

		MalT	Cbl	Comments
			А	1- D_{\min} increase for <i>Cbl</i> and decrease for <i>MalT</i> . Advantage for <i>Cbl</i> with
	\rightarrow			decrease in D_{\min}
mu (µ)			А	$1-D_{\text{max}}$ increase for <i>MalT</i> and decrease for <i>Cbl</i> . Advantage for <i>Cbl</i> with an increase in the <i>D</i>
		•		$\frac{1}{1} D = \frac{1}{1} \frac{D}{D} $
	\leftarrow	A		1- D_{\min} decrease for $M_{al}T$ and then increase in D_{\min} . Advantage for $M_{al}T$
		A		1- D_{max} decrease for <i>Mal1</i> and then increase in D_{max} . Advantage for <i>Mal1</i>
uncilon	\rightarrow	=	=	1-D _{min} no discernable change in either
upsilon			A	1- D_{max} increase for <i>Mat1</i> and then decrease in D_{max} . Advantage for <i>Col</i>
(0)	\leftarrow	=	=	$1-D_{\min}$ no discernable change in either $1-D_{\min}$ degrades for $M_{el}T$ and then increase the D_{\min} Advantage for $M_{el}T$
	-	A		$1-D_{\text{max}}$ decrease for <i>Mal1</i> and then increase the D_{max} . Advantage for <i>Mal1</i>
	\rightarrow	=	=	1-D _{min} no discernable change in either
tau (τ)	-	=	=	$1-D_{\text{max}}$ no discernable change in either
	4	=	=	1-D _{min} no discernable change in either
	`	=	=	$1-D_{\text{max}}$ no discernable change in either
			А	$1-D_{\min}$ decrease for <i>MalT</i> which implies increase of D_{\min} with a decrease of
	\rightarrow			the WT region. Advantage for <i>Cbl</i>
rho(0)			A	1- D_{max} decrease for <i>Cbl</i> which implies increase in D_{max} and advantage for <i>Cbl</i>
1110 (p)		A		$1-D_{\min}$ increase for <i>MalT</i> which implies decrease in D_{\min} . Advantage for <i>MalT</i>
	\leftarrow	А		$1-D_{\text{max}}$ increase for Cbl which implies decrease of the WT region. Advantage for <i>MalT</i>
				$1-D_{\min}$ slight decrease for <i>MalT</i> which implies increase in D_{\min} . $1-D_{\min}$ linear
	\rightarrow		A	increase for <i>Cbl</i> which implies decrease in D_{\min} . Advantage for <i>Cbl</i>
			Α	$1-D_{\text{max}}$ slight decrease in <i>Cbl</i> implying the increase in D_{max} . Advantage for <i>Cbl</i>
omega		•		$1-D_{\min}$ slight increase for <i>MalT</i> which implies decrease in D_{\min} . Advantage for
(ພ)		A		MalT
	\leftarrow			1- D_{max} slight increase for <i>Cbl</i> which implies decrease in D_{max} . 1- D_{min} linear
		A		decrease for <i>Cbl</i> which implies increase in D_{\min} . Advantage for <i>MalT</i>
		=	=	$1-D_{\min}$ no discernable change in either
	\rightarrow			$1-D_{\text{max}}$ increase in <i>MalT</i> which implies a decrease in D_{max} . No discernible effect
epsilon			A	for <i>Cbl</i> . Advantage for <i>Cbl</i>
(3)		=	=	$1-D_{\min}$ no discernable change in either
	\leftarrow	Α		$1-D_{\text{max}}$ decrease for <i>MalT</i> which implies increase in D_{max} . Advantage for <i>MalT</i>
psi (ψ) –		=	=	$1-D_{\min}$ no discernable change in either
	\rightarrow	=	=	$1-D_{\text{max}}$ no discernable change in either
		=	=	$1-D_{\min}$ no discernable change in either
	\leftarrow	=	=	$1-D_{\text{max}}$ no discernable change in either

Table S9. (cont.)

		MalT Cbl Comments					
gamma (γ)	\rightarrow	=	=	$1-D_{\min}$ no discernable change in either			
		=	=	$1-D_{\text{max}}$ no discernable change in either			
	÷	=	=	$1-D_{\min}$ no discernable change in either			
		=	=	$1-D_{\text{max}}$ no discernable change in either			
delta (δ)	\rightarrow		А	1- D_{\min} more pronounced increase for <i>Cbl</i> which implies decrease in D_{\min} . Advantage for <i>Cbl</i>			
			А	1- D_{max} more pronounced increase for <i>MalT</i> which implies decrease in D_{max} . Advantage for <i>Cbl</i>			
	÷	А		1- D_{\min} more pronounced decrease for <i>Cbl</i> which implies increase in D_{\min} . Advantage for <i>MalT</i>			
		А		1- D_{max} more pronounced decrease for <i>MalT</i> which implies increase of D_{max} . Advantage for <i>MalT</i>			
lambda (λ)	\rightarrow		Α	$1-D_{\min}$ abrupt decrease for <i>MalT</i> with no room for increase. Advantage for <i>Cbl</i>			
		Α		1- D_{max} abrupt decrease for Cbl with no room for increase. Advantage for MalT			
	÷	А	=	1- D_{\min} sharp increase for <i>MalT</i> which implies increase in D_{\min} . Advantage for <i>MalT</i>			
		=	А	1- D_{max} sharp increase for <i>Cbl</i> which implies increase in D_{min} . Advantage for <i>Cbl</i>			
sigma (σ)	\rightarrow	А		1- D_{\min} abrupt increase in <i>Cbl</i> which implies decrease of D_{\min} but with no room for further increase of the parameter. Advantage for <i>MalT</i>			
			А	1- D_{max} abrupt increase in <i>MalT</i> which implies decrease of D_{max} but with no room for further increase of the parameter. Advantage for <i>Cbl</i>			
	÷		Α	1- D_{\min} decrease in Cbl which implies increase in D_{\min} . Advantage for Cbl			
		Α		$1-D_{\text{max}}$ decrease in <i>MalT</i> which implies increase in D_{max} . Advantage for <i>MalT</i>			
theta (θ)	\rightarrow	А		1- D_{\min} decrease for <i>Cbl</i> which implies an increase in D_{\min} . 1- D_{\min} increase for <i>MalT</i> which implies a decrease in D_{\min} . Advantage for <i>MalT</i>			
		А		1- D_{max} decrease for <i>MalT</i> which implies an increase in the D_{max} . 1- D_{max} increase for <i>Cbl</i> which implies a decrease in D_{max} . Advantage for <i>MalT</i>			
	÷		А	1- D_{\min} increase for Cbl which implies decrease in D_{\min} . Advantage for Cbl			
			Α	1- D_{max} increase for <i>MalT</i> which implies a decrease in the D_{max} . 1- D_{max} decrease for <i>Cbl</i> which implies an increase in D_{max} . Advantage for <i>Cbl</i>			

Table S9. Parameter sensibility analysis for the *apo* and *holo* conformations within thepositive mode of regulation.Descriptions as in Table S8.

	Negative		Positive	
	LacI	TrpR	MalT	Cbl
Total	2	2	16	16
Mutation	2	2	8	8
Growth	0	0	8	8
Increase (\rightarrow)	1	1	4	12
Decrease (←)	1	1	12	4
D_{\min}	2	2	7	7
D_{\max}	0	0	9	9

Table S10. Summary of the advantages from Tables S8 and S9. Grouped according to: a) total number of advantages, b) mutation and growth parameters, c), increase and decrease around the nominal value, and d) maximum and minimum values for the demand. The parameter theta (θ) is included in the count.

3.1.- SI Model description

Savageau's seminal model

The demand theory of gene regulation (DTGR) equations from the Savageau's original model ² include the wild type (X_w) , mutant promoter (X_p) , mutant modulator (X_m) , and the double mutant (X_d) populations. The X_w can mutate to X_p or X_m and, if these two mutations are produced in the same organism, then the double mutant X_d is originated (Eqs. S1-S4). The growth and mutation rates are given by the symbols g and m with the corresponding subscripts from the set $\{w, p, m, d\}$.

$$dX_w/dt = g_w X_w - g_w m_{pw} X_w - g_w m_{mw} X_w$$
[S1]

$$dX_p/dt = g_p X_p + g_w m_{pw} X_w - g_p m_{dp} X_p$$
[S2]

$$dX_m/dt = g_m X_m + g_w m_{mw} X_w - g_m m_{dm} X_m$$
[S3]

$$dX_d/dt = g_d X_d + g_m m_{dm} X_m + g_p m_{dp} X_p$$
[S4]

A simplification of Eqs. S1-S4 can be achieved by grouping growing and mutational rates into alpha terms with the corresponding subscripts:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{d} &= g_{d} \\ \alpha_{pw} &= g_{w} m_{pw} \\ \alpha_{mw} &= g_{w} m_{mw} \\ \alpha_{dm} &= g_{m} m_{dm} \\ \alpha_{dp} &= g_{p} m_{dp} \\ \alpha_{pp} &= g_{p} (1 - m_{dp}) \\ \alpha_{mm} &= g_{m} (1 - m_{dm}) \\ \alpha_{ww} &= g_{w} \left(1 - (m_{pw} + m_{mw}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Representing Eqs. S1-S4 in alpha terms,

$dX_w/dt = \alpha_w X_w$	[S5]
$dX_p/dt = \alpha_{pw}X_w + \alpha_p X_p$	[S6]
$dX_m/dt = \alpha_{mw}X_w + \alpha_m X_m$	[S7]
$dX_d/dt = \alpha_d X_d + \alpha_{dm} X_m + \alpha_{dp} X_p$	[S8]

The Transcription Factor Conformation (TFC) model, represented in Fig 2, include the populations: wild type (X_w) , mutant promoter (X_p) , mutant modulator (X_m) , and six double mutants $(X_{d_1} \dots X_{d_6})$,

$$\begin{aligned} dX_w/dt &= g_w X_w - g_w m_{pw} X_w - g_w m_{mw} X_w - g_w m_{r_1w} X_w - g_w m_{r_2w} X_w \qquad [S9] \\ dX_p/dt &= g_p X_p + g_w m_{pw} X_w - g_m m_{d_1p} X_p - g_p m_{d_3p} X_p - g_p m_{d_4p} X_p \qquad [S10] \\ dX_m/dt &= g_m X_m + g_w m_{mw} X_w - g_m m_{d_1m} X_m - g_m m_{d_5m} X_m - g_m m_{d_6m} X_m \qquad [S11] \\ dX_{r_1}/dt &= g_{r_1} X_{r_1} + g_w m_{r_1w} X_w - g_{r_1} m_{d_2r_1} X_{r_1} - g_{r_1} m_{d_3r_1} X_{r_1} - g_{r_1} m_{d_6r_1} X_{r_1} \qquad [S12] \\ dX_{r_2}/dt &= g_{r_2} X_{r_2} + g_w m_{r_2w} X_w - g_{r_2} m_{d_2r_2} X_{r_2} - g_{r_2} m_{d_4r_2} X_{r_2} - g_{r_2} m_{d_5r_2} X_{r_2} \qquad [S13] \\ dX_{d_1}/dt &= g_{d_1} X_{d_1} + g_m m_{d_1m} X_m + g_p m_{d_1p} X_p \qquad [S14] \\ dX_{d_2}/dt &= g_{d_2} X_{d_2} + g_{r_1} m_{d_2r_1} X_{r_1} + g_{r_2} m_{d_2r_2} X_{r_2} \qquad [S15] \\ dX_{d_3}/dt &= g_{d_3} X_{d_3} + g_{r_1} m_{d_3r_1} X_{r_1} + g_p m_{d_3p} X_p \qquad [S16] \\ dX_{d_4}/dt &= g_{d_4} X_{d_4} + g_{r_2} m_{d_4r_2} X_{r_2} + g_p m_{d_4p} X_p \qquad [S17] \\ dX_{d_5}/dt &= g_{d_5} X_{d_5} + g_{r_1} m_{d_6r_1} X_{r_1} + g_m m_{d_6m} X_m \qquad [S19] \end{aligned}$$

Grouping Eqs. S9-S19 into alpha terms,

$\alpha_{d_1} = g_{d_1}$	$\alpha_{d_3r_1} = g_{r_1}m_{d_2r_1}$
$\alpha_{d_2} = g_{d_2}$	$\alpha_{d_3p} = g_p m_{d_3p}$
$\alpha_{d_3} = g_{d_3}$	$\alpha_{d_4r_2} = g_{r_2}m_{d_4r_2}$
$\alpha_{d_4} = g_{d_4}$	$\alpha_{d_4p} = g_p m_{d_4p}$
$\alpha_{d_5} = g_{d_5}$	$\alpha_{d_5r_2} = g_{r_2}m_{d_5r_2}$
$\alpha_{d_6} = g_{d_6}$	$\alpha_{d_5m} = g_m m_{d_5m}$

$\alpha_{pw} = g_w m_{pw}$	$\alpha_{d_6r_1} = g_{r_1}m_{d_6r_1}$
$\alpha_{mw} = g_w m_{mw}$	$\alpha_{d_6m} = g_m m_{d_6m}$
$\alpha_{r_1w} = g_w m_{r_1w}$	$\alpha_p = g_p \big[1 - \big(m_{d_1 p} + m_{d_3 p} + m_{d_4 p} \big) \big]$
$\alpha_{r_2w} = g_w m_{r_2w}$	$\alpha_m = g_m [1 - (m_{d_1m} + m_{d_5m} + m_{d_6m})]$
$\alpha_{d_1m} = g_m m_{d_1m}$	$\alpha_{r_1} = g_{r_1} \big[1 - \big(m_{d_2 r_1} + m_{d_3 r_1} + m_{d_6 r_1} \big) \big]$
$\alpha_{d_1p} = g_p m_{d_1p}$	$\alpha_{r_2} = g_{r_2} \big[1 - \big(m_{d_2 r_2} + m_{d_4 r_2} + m_{d_5 r_2} \big) \big]$
$\alpha_{d_2r_1} = g_{r_1}m_{d_2r_1}$	$\alpha_w = g_w \big[1 - \big(m_{pw} + m_{mw} + m_{r_1w} + m_{r_2w} \big) \big]$
$\alpha_{d_2r_2} = g_{r_2}m_{d_2r_2}$	

Collapsing Eqs. S9-S19 into alpha terms,

$dX_w/dt = \alpha_w X_w$	[S20]
$dX_p/dt = \alpha_{pw}X_w + \alpha_p X_p$	[S21]
$dX_m/dt = \alpha_{mw}X_w + \alpha_m X_m$	[\$22]
$dX_{r_1}/dt = \alpha_{r_1w}X_w + \alpha_{r_1}X_{r_1}$	[\$23]
$dX_{r_2}/dt = \alpha_{r_2w}X_w + \alpha_{r_2}X_{r_2}$	[S24]
$dX_{d_1}/dt = \alpha_{d_1}X_{d_1} + \alpha_{d_1m}X_m + \alpha_{d_1p}X_p$	[\$25]
$dX_{d_2}/dt = \alpha_{d_2}X_{d_2} + \alpha_{d_2r_1}X_{r_1} + \alpha_{d_2r_2}X_{r_2}$	[S26]
$dX_{d_3}/dt = \alpha_{d_3}X_{d_3} + \alpha_{d_3r_1}X_{r_1} + \alpha_{d_3p}X_p$	[S27]
$dX_{d_4}/dt = \alpha_{d_4}X_{d_4} + \alpha_{d_4r_2}X_{r_2} + \alpha_{d_4p}X_p$	[S28]
$dX_{d_5}/dt = \alpha_{d_5}X_{d_5} + \alpha_{d_5r_2}X_{r_2} + \alpha_{d_5m}X_m$	[S29]
$dX_{d_6}/dt = \alpha_{d_6}X_{d_6} + \alpha_{d_6r_1}X_{r_1} + \alpha_{d_6m}X_m$	[S30]

The linear equations S20-S30 are solved and the solutions at the end of a life cycle, which started in high-demand (H) environment, read as:

$$X_w(t+C) = X_w(t)exp[C(\alpha_w^H D + \alpha_w^L(1-D))],$$
[S31]

$$X_{*}(t+C) = X_{*}(t) \left(\frac{\alpha_{*w}^{L}}{\alpha_{w}^{L} - \alpha_{*}^{L}} \{ exp[C(\alpha_{w}^{H}D + \alpha_{w}^{L}(1-D))] \right) \\ - exp[C(\alpha_{w}^{H}D + \alpha_{*}^{L}(1-D))] \} \\ + \frac{\alpha_{*w}^{H}}{\alpha_{w}^{H} - \alpha_{*}^{H}} \{ exp[C(\alpha_{w}^{H}D + \alpha_{*}^{L}(1-D))] - exp[C(\alpha_{*}^{H}D + \alpha_{*}^{L}(1-D))] \} \right) \\ + X_{*}(t) exp[C(\alpha_{*}^{H}D + \alpha_{*}^{L}(1-D))]$$
[S32]

where *C*, is the average time for a gene to complete an on/off cycle, and demand *D*, corresponds at the fraction of the cycle time that the gene is on. The asterisks represent one in the set $\{p, m, r_1, r_2\}$, which are the corresponding variables for each of the mutant populations. The superscripts represent the fraction of the cycle time spent in environment with high (*H*) and low (*L*) demand with respect to the average total cycle time. The solutions at the end of a life cycle that start in a low-demand (*L*) environment can be obtained simply by interchanging superscripts *H* and *L* and the symbols *D* and (1 - D).

The steady state pattern of mutant populations vs. wild type at can be expressed as the ratio of the two.

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha_{*w}^{L}}{\alpha_{w}^{L} - \alpha_{*}^{L}}(1 - exp[(\alpha_{*}^{L} - \alpha_{w}^{L})(1 - D)C]) + \frac{\alpha_{*w}^{H}}{\alpha_{w}^{H} - \alpha_{*}^{H}}(1 - exp[(\alpha_{*}^{H} - \alpha_{w}^{H})DC])\right)exp[(\alpha_{*}^{L} - \alpha_{w}^{L})(1 - D)C]}{1 - exp[(\alpha_{*}^{H} - \alpha_{w}^{H})DC + (\alpha_{*}^{L} - \alpha_{w}^{L})(1 - D)C]}$$

$$[S33] = \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha_{*w}^{H}}{\alpha_{w}^{H} - \alpha_{*}^{H}}(1 - exp[(\alpha_{*}^{H} - \alpha_{w}^{H})DC]) + \frac{\alpha_{*w}^{L}}{\alpha_{w}^{L} - \alpha_{*}^{L}}(1 - exp[(\alpha_{*}^{L} - \alpha_{w}^{L})(1 - D)C])\right)exp[(\alpha_{*}^{H} - \alpha_{w}^{H})DC]}{1 - exp[(\alpha_{*}^{L} - \alpha_{w}^{L})(1 - D)C + (\alpha_{*}^{H} - \alpha_{w}^{H})DC]}$$
[S34]

Equations [S33] and [S34] correspond to the steady state ratios analogous to equations 10 and 11 from the original Savageau manuscript 2 . The thresholds of selection (TS) were

calculated equating the Eqs. S33 and S34 to the criteria of selection (θ) and then using the bisection method to calculate *C* and *D*.

The wild-type time course enrichment dynamics of selection from Figs. S11-S14 were defined as the fraction of wild type against mutants $f_m = X_w/(X_p + X_m + X_{r_1} + X_{r_2})$ along *n* cycles times *nC*. This was calculated for each cycle time *C* with the abscissa as number of cycles and the ordinate as the log of a normalized ratio $10 f_m/f_m^{steady-state}$.

Following Savageau's methodology ^{1, 2}, the influence of the TFC constituent parameters (Tables 1 and S1) on the extreme minimum (D_{min}) and maximum (D_{max}) values of the demand (Figs. 6, S15, and S16) were obtained after approximation from the corresponding Eqs. S33 or S34 with the first three Taylor terms when $C \ll 1$ and solving for C = 0 as a function of D. The Taylor approximations were used to obtain D_{min} and D_{max} curves after the parameters varied around its nominal value.

3.2- SI Results

3.2.1. *Time course of selection*. The enrichment recovery dynamics of the wild-type regulatory mechanism represent the time course of the ratio of wild-type to all the single mutant populations at different high demand intervals (*D*). It starts at time zero with equal numbers of mutants and a ratio of $X_w/(X_p + X_m + X_{r_1} + X_{r_2})$ of 10% of its steady state value.

As in the Savageau work ¹, the time course of selection for the TFs with negative modes of regulation (*LacI* and *TrpR*) emerges more rapidly when the demand for gene expression (*D*) increases (Figs. S11 and S12). By contrast, the positive mode of gene control emerges more rapidly as the demand for gene expression (*D*) decreases (Figs. S13 and S14).

Within the negative mode of regulation, *TrpR* presents a faster recovery with short *D* cycles (Fig. S12a) than *LacI* (Fig. S11a). For longer *D* cycles, the wild-type enrichments are very similar among the negative *apo* and *holo* conformations (Figs. S11b-c vs. S12b-c).

Within the positive mode of regulation, faster recovery is observed for *MalT* over *Cbl*, especially at higher values of *D* (Figs. S13b-c vs. S14b-c).

3.2.2. Influence of parameters on minimum and maximum values for demand.

Positive mode of regulation. Some of the parameter sensitivities display similar behavior to that published in {Savageau, 1998 #643}; this is the case of *LacI* and *MalT* for: μ (Fig. S15-a), ϵ (Fig. S15-g), δ (Fig. S15-j), σ (Fig. S15-l), θ (Fig. S15-m), and ρ for *MalT* (Fig. S15-e).

Some of the thresholds of selection not delimiting the wild-type regions (Figs. S16a-m) manifest sensitivities similar to those described in ¹. This is the case for *LacI*: μ (Fig. S16-a), π (Fig. S16-b), τ (Fig. S16-d), ϵ (Fig. S16-g), δ (Fig. S16-j), λ (Fig. S16-k), and θ (Fig. S16-m).

In some cases only one of the thresholds matches in shape with ¹. These are: *MalT* in μ for the modulator (Fig. S16-a), υ for r_1 (Fig. S16-c), τ for the modulator (Fig. S16-d), ε for r_1 (Fig. S16-g), δ for r_1 (Fig. S16-j), σ for r_1 (Fig. S16-l), θ for r_1 (Fig. S16-m).

3.3.3.- *Enrichment dynamics*. The dynamics for the negative and positive modes of regulation follow the pattern reported by Savageau ³, *i.e.* a more rapid emergence of the negative mode of regulation with an increase in the demand, and the opposite pattern for the positive mode of regulation.

Within the negative modes of regulation, the *holo* conformation has a sharper increase for the wild type than the *apo* conformation (Figs. S11-S12). In the positive mode of control, the opposite trend was observed, with a faster recovery for the *apo* conformation as compared with the *holo* conformation (Figs. S13-S14).

4.- SI References

- 1. M. A. Savageau, *Genetics*, 1998, **149**, 1677-1691.
- 2. M. A. Savageau, *Genetics*, 1998, **149**, 1665-1676.
- 3. M. A. Savageau, *J Theor Biol*, 1969, **25**, 370-379.