Supplementary information

Structure-activity relationships of benzimidazole derivatives as

antiparasitic agents: Dual activity-difference (DAD) maps

Jaime Pérez-Villanueva, Radleigh Santos, Alicia Hernández-Campos, Marc A. Giulianotti,

Rafael Castillo, and Jose L. Medina-Franco

Table of Contents:

Contents	Page
Table S1. Chemical structures and biological activity of benzimidazoles	S2
Table S2. Correlation matrix for the pairwise molecular similarities	S4
Figure S1. Pairwise molecular similarities as cumulative distribution functions	S5
Figure S2. SAS maps for <i>T. vaginalis</i> and <i>G. intestinalis</i> with different structural representations	S 6
Figure S3. Correlation between the pIC ₅₀ values for <i>T. vaginalis</i> and <i>G. intestinalis</i>	S7
Figure S4. DAD map with data pairs color-coded using a continuous gray scale	S 8
Figure S5. SAS maps with data pairs color-coded using a continuous gray scale	S9

Table S1. Chemical structures of the benzimidazoles used in this study, and biological activity against *T*. *vaginalis* and *G. intestinalis*. The chemical representations 33-78, include the two tautomeric forms of the 23 compounds. The biological activity of the two tautomeric forms is *approximated* to be the same.



		D	R 3	R 4	R 5	R ₆	pIC50	pIC50	A 10	Def
	K1	K 2					T. vaginalis	G. intestinalis	ApIC 50	Kel.
1	Н	CF ₃	Н	Н	Н	Н	5.50	6.97	-1.47	6
2	CH ₃	CF ₃	Н	CF ₃	Н	Н	5.39	5.94	-0.55	6
3	CH ₃	CF ₃	Н	Н	CF ₃	Н	5.27	5.05	0.22	6
4	CH ₃	CF ₃	Н	Propylthio	Н	Н	6.70	4.98	1.72	5
5	CH ₃	CF ₃	Н	Н	Propylthio	Н	5.59	5.85	-0.26	5
6	CH ₃	CF ₃	Н	Benzoyl	Н	Н	4.53	5.96	-1.43	5
7	CH ₃	CF ₃	Н	Н	Benzoyl	Н	4.97	5.89	-0.92	5
8	Н	CF ₃	Н	Br	Br	Н	6.66	6.92	-0.26	7
9	Н	CF ₃	Br	Br	Br	Br	8.70	7.25	1.45	7
10	Н	C2F5	Н	Cl	Cl	Н	6.52	6.25	0.27	7
11	Η	CF ₃	Н	NO ₂	NO ₂	Н	6.24	6.62	-0.38	7
12	Η	C2F5	Br	Br	Br	Br	5.00	7.64	-2.64	7
13	CH ₃	CONH ₂	Н	Н	Cl	Н	6.96	7.12	-0.16	9
14	CH ₃	CONHCH ₃	Н	Н	Cl	Н	6.98	7.15	-0.17	9
15	CH ₃	CON(CH ₃) ₂	Н	Н	Cl	Н	6.63	7.40	-0.77	9
16	CH ₃	COOCH ₂ CH ₃	Н	Н	Cl	Н	7.72	7.32	0.4	9
17	CH ₃	CONH ₂	Н	Cl	Н	Н	6.73	6.63	0.1	9
18	CH ₃	CONHCH ₃	Н	Cl	Н	Н	6.45	6.45	0	9
19	CH ₃	CON(CH ₃) ₂	Н	Cl	Н	Н	6.68	6.61	0.07	9
20	CH ₃	COOCH ₂ CH ₃	Н	Cl	Н	Н	7.57	7.40	0.17	9
21	CH ₃	CONH ₂	Н	Cl	Cl	Н	6.87	6.34	0.53	9
22	CH ₃	CONHCH ₃	Н	Cl	Cl	Н	6.65	6.82	-0.17	9
23	CH ₃	CON(CH ₃) ₂	Н	Cl	Cl	Н	7.12	7.13	-0.01	9
24	CH ₃	COOCH ₂ CH ₃	Н	Cl	Cl	Н	7.53	7.56	-0.03	9
25	CH ₃	CONH ₂	Н	Н	Н	Н	6.78	7.03	-0.25	9
26	CH ₃	CONHCH ₃	Н	Н	Н	Н	6.98	7.22	-0.24	9
27	CH ₃	CON(CH ₃) ₂	Н	Н	Н	Н	6.37	6.29	0.08	9
28	CH ₃	COOCH ₂ CH ₃	Н	Н	Н	Н	7.07	7.16	-0.09	9
29	CH ₃	COCH ₃	Н	Н	Н	Н	6.68	7.06	-0.38	9
30	CH ₃	COCH ₃	Н	Cl	Н	Н	6.88	7.30	-0.42	9
31	CH ₃	COCH ₃	Н	Н	Cl	Н	6.64	7.17	-0.53	9
32	CH ₃	COCH ₃	Н	Cl	Cl	Н	7.20	7.46	-0.26	9
33	Н	CF ₃	Н	Cl	Н	Н	6.35	5.89	0.46	6
34	Η	CF ₃	Н	Н	Cl	Н	6.35	5.89	0.46	6
35	Η	CF ₃	Н	F	Н	Н	5.50	6.31	-0.81	6
36	Η	CF ₃	Н	Н	F	Н	5.50	6.31	-0.81	6

Supplementary Material (ESI) for Medicinal Chemistry Communications This journal is (c) The Royal Society of Chemistry 2010

Table S1. (continued)

	р	D	р	D	р	р	pIC50	pIC50	A. IC	D-f
	K 1	K 2	K 3	K 4	K 5	K 6	T. vaginalis	G. intestinalis	ApiC50	Kel.
37	Н	CF ₃	Н	CF ₃	Н	Н	6.63	6.17	0.46	6
38	Н	CF ₃	Н	Н	CF ₃	Н	6.63	6.17	0.46	6
39	Н	CF ₃	Н	CN	Н	Н	5.64	5.75	-0.11	6
40	Н	CF ₃	Н	Н	CN	Н	5.64	5.75	-0.11	6
41	Н	CF ₃	Н	Propiltio	Н	Н	6.46	5.82	0.64	5
42	Н	CF ₃	Н	Н	Propiltio	Н	6.46	5.82	0.64	5
43	Н	CF ₃	Н	Benzoil	Н	Н	4.55	5.64	-1.09	5
44	Н	CF ₃	Н	Н	Benzoil	Н	4.55	5.64	-1.09	5
45	Н	CF ₃	Η	Br	Н	Н	5.80	6.19	-0.39	7
46	Н	CF ₃	Н	Н	Br	Н	5.80	6.19	-0.39	7
47	Н	CF ₃	Br	Н	Br	Н	6.72	6.60	0.12	7
48	Н	CF ₃	Н	Br	Н	Br	6.72	6.60	0.12	7
49	Н	CF ₃	Br	Br	Br	Н	6.57	6.85	-0.28	7
50	Н	CF ₃	Н	Br	Br	Br	6.57	6.85	-0.28	7
51	Η	SCH2CH2OH	Cl	Н	Cl	Н	6.16	7.42	-1.26	8
52	Н	SCH2CH2OH	Н	Cl	Н	Cl	6.16	7.42	-1.26	8
53	Н	SCH2CH2OH	Br	Н	Br	Н	6.83	6.98	-0.15	8
54	Н	SCH2CH2OH	Н	Br	Н	Br	6.83	6.98	-0.15	8
55	Η	SCH2CH2N(CH3)2	Cl	Н	Cl	Н	7.14	7.68	-0.54	8
56	Н	SCH2CH2N(CH3)2	Н	Cl	Н	Cl	7.14	7.68	-0.54	8
57	Н	SCH ₂ CH ₂ N(CH ₃) ₂	Br	Н	Br	Н	6.51	7.54	-1.03	8
58	Н	SCH ₂ CH ₂ N(CH ₃) ₂	Н	Br	Н	Br	6.51	7.54	-1.03	8
59	Н	SCH2CH2N(C2H5)2	Cl	Н	Cl	Н	7.39	7.72	-0.33	8
60	Н	SCH2CH2N(C2H5)2	Н	Cl	Н	Cl	7.39	7.72	-0.33	8
61	Н	SCH2CH2N(C2H5)2	Br	Н	Br	Н	7.53	7.46	0.07	8
62	Н	SCH2CH2N(C2H5)2	Н	Br	Н	Br	7.53	7.46	0.07	8
63	Η	SCH2CH2CH2N(CH3)2	Cl	Н	Cl	Н	7.07	7.14	-0.07	8
64	Η	SCH2CH2CH2N(CH3)2	Н	Cl	Н	Cl	7.07	7.14	-0.07	8
65	Н	SCH2CH2CH2N(CH3)2	Br	Н	Br	Н	7.99	7.69	0.3	8
66	Н	SCH2CH2CH2N(CH3)2	Η	Br	Н	Br	7.99	7.69	0.3	8
67	Η	SCH ₂ CH ₂ -(<i>N</i> -piperidyl)	Cl	Н	Cl	Н	7.14	7.26	-0.12	8
68	Н	SCH ₂ CH ₂ -(<i>N</i> -piperidyl)	Н	Cl	Н	Cl	7.14	7.26	-0.12	8
69	Н	SCH ₂ CH ₂ -(<i>N</i> -piperidyl)	Br	Н	Br	Н	7.69	6.90	0.79	8
70	Н	SCH ₂ CH ₂ -(<i>N</i> -piperidyl)	Н	Br	Н	Br	7.69	6.90	0.79	8
71	Η	SCH2CH2-(N-morpholinyl)	Cl	Н	Cl	Н	7.29	7.41	-0.12	8
72	Н	SCH2CH2-(N-morpholinyl)	Н	Cl	Н	Cl	7.29	7.41	-0.12	8
73	Н	SCH2CH2-(N-morpholinyl)	Br	Н	Br	Н	7.23	7.23	0	8
74	Н	SCH2CH2-(N-morpholinyl)	Н	Br	Н	Br	7.23	7.23	0	8
75	Н	SCH ₂ CH ₂ -(<i>p</i> -nitrophenyl)	Cl	Н	Cl	Н	7.96	7.09	0.87	8
76	Н	SCH ₂ CH ₂ -(<i>p</i> -nitrophenyl)	Н	Cl	Н	Cl	7.96	7.09	0.87	8
77	Н	SCH ₂ CH ₂ -(<i>p</i> -nitrophenyl)	Br	Н	Br	Н	8.48	7.43	1.05	8
78	Н	SCH2CH2-(p-nitrophenyl)	Η	Br	Н	Br	8.48	7.43	1.05	8

	Radial	Dendritic	MOLPRINT 2D	Atom pairs	MACCS	TGD	GpiDAPH3	piDAPH3	3-point ph4	4-point ph4
Radial	1.00									
Dendritic	0.72	1.00								
MOLPRINT 2D	0.87	0.80	1.00							
Atom pairs	0.87	0.82	0.93	1.00						
MACCS	0.72	0.74	0.78	0.88	1.00					
TGD	0.56	0.67	0.58	0.70	0.69	1.00				
GpiDAPH3	0.59	0.74	0.66	0.72	0.65	0.68	1.00			
piDAPH3	0.55	0.69	0.61	0.65	0.56	0.64	0.91	1.00		
3-point ph4 ^a	0.63	0.77	0.70	0.75	0.71	0.68	0.78	0.75	1.00	
4-point ph4 ^a	0.60	0.71	0.65	0.70	0.65	0.60	0.72	0.69	0.96	1.00

Table S2. Correlation matrix for the pairwise molecular similarities.

^a ph4: pharmacophore



Figure S1. Cumulative distribution functions of 3,003 pairwise molecular similarities using different 2D and 3D fingerprint representations. The table summarizes the information of the distributions. Q3 and Q1 indicate the third and first quartile, respectively.



Figure S2. SAS maps for *T. vaginalis* and *G. intestinalis* with different structural representations: (a) and (b) Dendritic; (c) and (d) MACCS keys; (e) and (f) piDAPH3. Data points are color-coded by the activity of the most active compound in the pair using a continuous scale from green (less active) to red (most active). The figure using a continuous scale from white to black is shown in Figure S5.



Figure S3. Correlation between the pIC₅₀ values for *T. vaginalis* and *G. intestinalis*. Five compounds with the largest potency difference are marked in red. The absolute value of potency difference ($|\Delta pIC_{50}|$) is indicated in parenthesis. On average, the pIC₅₀ values for *T. vaginalis* and *G. intestinalis* have a percentage error of 10.1% (based on the error information available for compounds 1-3, 8-40 and 45-78).



Figure S4. (a) DAD map with data pairs color-coded by dendritic fingerprint similarity using a continuous scale from white (less similar) to black (more similar). (b) Map showing in dark gray-to-black 925 data pairs with high similarity. Selected pairs are labeled with the compound numbers. The chemical structures of compounds in these pairs are shown in Figure 4.



Figure S5. SAS maps for *T. vaginalis* and *G. intestinalis* with different structural representations: (a) and (b) Dendritic; (c) and (d) MACCS keys; (e) and (f) piDAPH3. Data points are color-coded by the activity of the most active compound in the pair using a continuous scale from white (less active) to black (most active).