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## Experimental Procedures

## Preparation of SQAG

$\beta$ SQDG (1) and six different analogues 2-7 (Fig. 1) were synthesized as previously described ${ }^{1}$ and subjected to structure-binding analysis. All the compounds were $>95 \%$ pure as judged by analytical HPLC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analyses. ${ }^{1}$

## Proteins and reagents

Growth factors and receptors, which are shown in Table S1, were commercially obtained. T7select ${ }^{\circledR}$ system and expression vector were purchased from Novagen (Madison, HI).

## Instruments

A $27-\mathrm{MHz}$ QCM device, AffinixQ and ceramic sensor chip were purchased from Initium Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). PCR was performed using a PTC-200 (Peltier Thermal Cycler) (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Sequencing analysis was carried out using an ABI PRISM 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Centrifugation was performed using a MX-201 centrifuge (TOMY Industry, Tokyo, Japan). SPR analysis was carried out using Biacore ${ }^{\circledR} 3000$ with a sensor chip CM5 (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ).

## Construction of T7 phage library displaying a fragment of hVEGF 165

The hVEGF ${ }_{165}$-coding gene was partitioned into each domain, as shown in Fig. 3, and amplified by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the primers shown in Table S2. The primers included recognition sites for EcoRI and HindIII to help facilitate the subsequent cloning steps. Each PCR product was subcloned into TA vector (Promega, Madison, WI) and the sequences were then
determined to ensure no mistakes had been introduced during amplification. The insert was double-digested with EcoRI and HindIII, and then inserted into the T7Select10-3b vector (Novagen), followed by in vitro packaging. The T7 phage (del 1-4) was amplified by host E. coli (BLT5615) to $1.0 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{pfu} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$, respectively. These solutions were mixed together in a $1: 1$ ratio and used as a T7 phage library.

## Procedure for the T7 phage display selection using a cuvette type QCM device

A $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ aliquot of $\beta$ SQDG (1) ( 1 mM in DMSO) was dropped onto the gold electrode of the ceramic sensor chip that was immersed into bulk water and left for 5 min at room temperature (mean of immobilization amount: 1800 Hz ( 61.8 pmol ). The sensor chip was setup for the QCM device with the cuvette containing 8 ml of buffer ( 10 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0,200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$ ), which was constantly stirred at 1000 rpm . The QCM sensor was then allowed to fully stabilize. An aliquot of $8 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the T 7 phage library $\left(1.0 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{pfu} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}\right)$ was then injected into the cuvette (final $1.0 \times 10^{6}$ pfu $\left.\mathrm{ml}^{-1}\right)$. Frequency changes, caused by binding to the $\beta$ SQDG immobilized on the gold electrode surface were then monitored for 10 min . For the recovery of bound phages, $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of host $E$. coli culture was dropped onto the gold electrode and then incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . To the resulting solution was then added another $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of LB medium. An aliquot of phage was then extracted from this solution and subjected to PCR analysis followed by DNA sequencing.

## DNA sequencing

DNA sequencing was performed as described previously. ${ }^{2}$

## Construction of bacterial expression plasmids and expression of recombinant proteins

As described above, the hVEGF $_{165}$ coding gene was partitioned into four fragments (del 1-4 Fig. 2).

Each fragment was amplified by PCR using the primers shown in Table S2. The primers contained NdeI and XhoI reognition sites that were used in further cloning experiments. PCR products were double-digested with $N d e \mathrm{I}$ and XhoI and then inserted into the expression vector $\mathrm{pET} 28 \mathrm{a}(+)$ (Novagen). For the expression of protein, Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) (Novagen) was used.

Single colonies were incubated in 100 ml LB medium containing $1 \%$ glucose and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ of kanamycin, and cultured overnight at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cells were further cultured in 1000 ml of LB medium containing $1 \%$ glucose and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ of kanamycin for 3 h at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After adding isopropyl- $\beta$-d-thiogalactoside (IPTG) at a final concentration of 1 mM , the cells were incubated for 3 h at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to induce heterologous gene expression. The cultured cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5000 g for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Procedure of recombinant hVEGF 165 polypeptide

Cultured cells expressing each fragment were resuspended in binding buffer ( 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 containing $500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole, 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.1 mM leupeptin, 0.1 mM pepstatin and 1 mM PMSF). Following sonication and centrifugation, the supernatant was purified by $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ chelation affinity chromatography. The recombinant protein obtained from this procedure was used in the kinetic analysis involving a surface plasmon resonance (SPR) biosensor.

## SDS-PAGE, tricine-SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis

The SDS-PAGE or tricine-SDS-PAGE (for recombinant del 4 polypeptide) analyses were performed using $10 \%$ or $15 \%$ SDS polyacrylamide gels with CBB staining. For Western blot analysis, purified proteins were first separated on each polyacrylamide gel and then transferred to PVDF membranes. The membranes were incubated with a polyhistidine (His $\times 6$ ) mouse monoclonal
antibody (SIGMA-ALDRICH). Anti-mouse IgG conjugated with alkaline phosphatase (AP) (SIGMA-ALDRICH) was used as the secondary antibody. Antigen and antibody binding was detected using BCIP/NBT solution.

## SPR analysis

An analysis of binding between SQAGs and protein was performed using an SPR biosensor (Biacore ${ }^{8} 3000$, GE healthcare). Protein was diluted 1:9 with 10 mM sodium acetate buffer at pH 4 or 5 and immobilized by an amine coupling reaction on a sensor chip CM5 (Biacore AB). The chip surface was activated by injecting a solution containing $200 \mathrm{mM} N$-ethyl- $N$-dimethylaminopropyl carbodiimide (EDC) and $50 \mathrm{mM} N$-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) at a flow rate of $10 \mu \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ for 14 min. Protein was then injected and the coupling to the sensor surface was monitored. The surface was then blocked by injecting 1 M ethanolamine at pH 8.5 for 14 min . The amount of immobilized protein is given in Table S1. Various concentrations of analyte (1, 2, 6, 7: 0, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 1 and $2 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathbf{3 - 5}: 0,1.25,2.5,5,10$ and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in HBS-EP ( 10 mM HEPES, $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 3$ mM EDTA, $0.005 \%$ Surfactant P20)-8\% DMSO were perfused at a flow rate of $20 \mu \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At least two replicate experiments were performed for each protein. Kinetic parameters were obtained by global fitting of the sensorgrams to a $1: 1$ drifted baseline model using BIAevaluation 3.2 software (Biacore AB).

Binding assay between T7 phage-displayed HBD and NRP1 using a cuvette type QCM device
A $15 \mu 1$ aliquot of NRP1 $\left(100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}\right)$ was dropped onto the gold electrode of the ceramic sensor chip that was immersed into bulk water and left for 30 min at room temperature (mean of immobilization amount: 600 Hz ( 200 pmol ). The sensor chip was setup for the QCM device with the cuvette containing 8 ml of buffer ( 10 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0,200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$ ), which was
constantly stirred at 1000 rpm . The QCM sensor was then allowed to fully stabilize. An aliquot of 8 $\mu l$ of the T 7 phage that displays $\operatorname{HBD}\left(1.0 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{pfu} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}\right)$ was then injected into the cuvette (final $1.0 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{pfu} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ ). Frequency changes, caused by binding to the NRP1 immobilized on the gold electrode surface were then monitored for 10 min . The following process was carried out according to the procedure for the T 7 phage display selection as described above.

## Description of the kinetics

Saturation binding kinetics between SQAG and protein immobilized on a sensor chip CM5 can be described as i) Scatchard-plot or ii) Hill-plot represented by following equations:
i) $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{eq}} \cdot[\mathrm{SQAG}]^{-1}=\mathrm{R}_{\max } \cdot \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{eq}} \cdot\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}\right)^{-1}$
ii) $\quad \log \left[\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{eq}} \cdot\left(\mathrm{R}_{\max }-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{eq}}\right)^{-1}\right]=n \log [\mathrm{SQAG}]+\log \left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}\right)^{-1}$
where [SQAG] is the concentration of SQAG; $\mathrm{R}_{\text {eq }}$ is the response (RU) on equilibrium between SQAG and immobilized VEGF on a sensor chip CM5, which was obtained from curve fitting; $\mathrm{R}_{\text {max }}$ is the maximum response $(\mathrm{RU}) ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}$ is the dissociation constant between SQAG and immobilized protein; $n$ is the Hill coefficient. ${ }^{3}$

Binding kinetic parameters can be written as the time-dependence of the response increase. The binding between SQAG and VEGF is as follows:
iii) $\quad[$ SQAG $]+[$ VEGF $] \rightleftarrows[$ SQAG •VEGF $]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}=[\mathrm{SQAG} \cdot \mathrm{VEGF}] \cdot\{[\mathrm{SQAG}] \cdot[\mathrm{VEGF}]\}^{-1}, \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}=\{[\mathrm{SQAG}] \cdot[\mathrm{VEGF}]\} \cdot[\mathrm{SQAG} \cdot \mathrm{VEGF}]^{-1}, \\
& \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{D}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}} \cdot\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

iv) $[\mathrm{SQAG} \cdot \mathrm{VEGF}]_{t}=[\mathrm{SQAG} \cdot \mathrm{VEGF}]_{\infty} \cdot\left\{1-\exp \left(-t \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}^{-1}\right)\right\}$
v) $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{-1}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}} \cdot[\mathrm{SQAG}]+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}$
where [VEGF] is the concentration of VEGF; [SQAG • VEGF] is the concentration of complex of SQAG and VEGF; $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is association rate constant between SQAG and VEGF; $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is dissociation rate constant; $\tau$ is relaxation time, which can be obtained from non-linear curve fitting. ${ }^{3}$

Table S1 Growth factors and receptors used in this study.

| Protein (human) |  | MW (kDa) | Amino acid | Immobilized amount (RU) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{R}_{\max } \\ & (\mathbf{R U})^{a} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VEGF $_{165}$ | R\&D Systems | 19.1 | A1-R165 | 9916 | 453 |
| VEGF $_{121}$ | R\&D Systems | 14 | A1-R121 | 3836 | 239 |
| del 1 |  | 12.7 | A1-R110 | 4847 | 333 |
| del 2 |  | 6.5 | A111-R165 | 2844 | 382 |
| del 3 |  | 3.7 | A111-T142 | 860 | 203 |
| del 4 |  | 2.8 | C137-R165 | 2219 | 692 |
| VEGFR1 | R\&D Systems | 60.7 | S27-I328 | 7000 | 101 |
| VEGFR2 | Acris antibodies | 116 | A20-E764 | 13600 | 102 |
| NRP1 | R\&D Systems | 90 | M1-K644 | 9680 | 94 |
| TGFß2 | Peprotech | 25 | A1-S112 | 4251 | 148 |
| TGF $\beta$ RII | R\&D Systems | 18 | M1-D159 | 10359 | 503 |
| IGF-I | Peprotech | 7.6 | G49-A118 | 656 | 75 |
| IGF-I R | R\&D Systems | 102.9 | E31-N932 | 4724 | 40 |
| FGF1 | Peprotech | 15.8 | M1-D141 | 3040 | 168 |
| FGF2 | Peprotech | 17.2 | A1-S154 | 4116 | 209 |
| FGF R2 $\alpha$ | R\&D Systems | 66 | R22-E378 | 6373 | 84 |
| Ang-1 | Acris antibodies | 66 | N21-F496 | 2942 | 39 |
| Tie-2 | R\&D Systems | 100 | A23-K745 | 11267 | 98 |
| EphB2 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | R\&D Systems | 85.3 | V27-K548 | 7474 | 77 |
| EphB4 | R\&D Systems | 57.9 | L16-A539 | 2615 | 39 |
| EGF | Peprotech | 6.2 | N1-R53 | 596 | 84 |
| EGFR | R\&D Systems | 68.6 | L25-S645 | 14622 | 186 |
| PDGF-BB | Peprotech | 12 | S1-T110 | 3857 | 281 |
| PDGFRB | Abnova Corp. | $36.7^{\text {c }}$ | L33-E133 | 5017 | 119 |
| BMP-2 | Peprotech | 26 | M1-R115 | 4084 | 137 |
| BMP RII | R\&D Systems | 41.5 | A26-I151 | 7245 | 152 |
| sDLL-4 | Peprotech | 54 | S1-P498 | 3781 | 61 |
| Jagged-1 | R\&D Systems | 180 | S32-S1046 | 3187 | 15 |
| Notch-1 | R\&D Systems | 80.1 | A19-Q526 | 3885 | 42 |
| DNA polymerase $\lambda$ (Cont.) |  | 14.3 | M1-R95 | 1614 | 100 |

${ }^{a}$ Calculated maximum response when $100 \%$ bound by $\beta$ SQDG with $1: 1$ stoichiometry. $\mathrm{R}_{\max }=$ (Immobilized amount of protein (RU)) / (MW of protein) $\times$ (MW of $\beta$ SQDG). ${ }^{b}$ The mouse PDGFRB was used. ${ }^{c}$ This MW includes fusion GST tag. RU: resonance unit. $1 \mathrm{RU}=1 \mathrm{pg} \mathrm{mm}{ }^{-2}$.

Table S2 Specific primers for construction of T7 phage and recombinant hVEGF ${ }_{165}$ segment.

|  | Name |  | Nt | Sequence |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Phage | del 1 | up | 28 | GAATTCTGCACCCATGGCAGAAGGAGGA |
|  | del 1 | down | 29 | AAGCTTTCATCTATCTTTCTTTGGTCTGC |
|  | del 2,3 | up | 27 | GAATTCTGCAAGACAAGAAAATCCCTG |
|  | del 2,4 | down | 25 | AAGCTTTCACCGCCTCGGCTTGTCA |
|  | del 3 | down | 29 | AAGCTTTCATGTGTTTTTGCAGGAACATT |
|  | del 4 | up | 27 | GAATTCTTCCTGCAAAAACACAGACTC |
| Protein | del 1 | up | 29 | GGAATTCCATATGGCACCCATGGCAGAAG |
|  | del 1 | down | 32 | GGCCTCGAGTCATCTATCCTTCTTTGGTCTGC |
|  | del 2,3 | up | 33 | GGAATTCCATATGGCAAGACAAGAAAATCCCTG |
|  | del 2, 4 | down | 26 | CCGCTCGAGTCACCGCCTCGGCTTGT |
|  | del 3 | down | 29 | CCGCTCGAGTCATGTGTTTTTGCAGGAAC |
|  | del 4 | up | 29 | CCCATATGTCCTGCAAAAACACAGACTCG |

* Underlined nucleotide sequences represent the following recognition sites of restriction enzyme;

GAATTC: EcoRI, AAGCTT : HindIII, CATATG : NdeI, CTCGAG : XhoI.

Table S3 Raw DNA sequence data of del 1-4 phage.


Table S4 Raw DNA sequence data of del 1-4 protein.

| del | Sequence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ATG | GGC | AGC | AGC | CAT | CAT | CAT | CAT | CAT | CAC | AGC | AGC |
|  | GGC | CTG | GTG | CCG | CGC | GGC | AGC | CAT | ATG | GCA | CCC | ATG |
|  | GCA | GAA | GGA | GGA | GGG | CAG | AAT | CAT | CAC | GAA | GTG | GTG |
|  | AAG | TTC | ATG | GAT | GTC | TAT | CAG | CGC | AGC | TAC | TGC | CAT |
|  | CCA | ATC | GAG | ACC | CTG | GTG | GAC | ATC | TTC | CAG | GAG | TAC |
|  | CCT | GAT | GAG | ATC | GAG | TAC | ATC | TTC | AAG | CCA | TCC | TGT |
|  | GTG | CCC | CTG | ATG | CGA | TGC | GGG | GGC | TGC | TGC | AAT | GAC |
|  | GAG | GGC | CTG | GAG | TGT | GTG | CCC | ACT | GAG | GAG | TCC | AAC |
|  | ATC | ACC | ATG | CAG | ATT | ATG | CGG | ATC | AAA | ССт | CAC | CAA |
|  | GGC | CAG | CAC | ATA | GGA | GAG | ATG | AGC | TTC | CTA | CAG | CAC |
|  | AAC | AAA | TGT | GAA | TGC | AGA | CCA | AAG | AAA | GAT | AGA | TGA |
|  | CTC | GAG | CAC | CAC | CAC | CAC | CAC | CAC | TGA | GAT | CCG | GCT |
|  | GCT | AA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | ATG | GGC | AGC | AGC | CAT | CAT | CAT | CAT | CAT | CAC | AGC | AGC |
|  | GGC | CTG | GTG | CCG | CGC | GGC | AGC | CAT | ATG | GCA | AGA | CAA |
|  | GAA | AAT | CCC | TGT | GGG | CCT | TGC | TCA | GAG | CGG | AGA | AAG |
|  | CAT | TTG | TTT | GTA | CAA | GAT | CCG | CAG | ACG | TGT | AAA | TGT |
|  | TCC | TGC | AAA | AAC | ACA | GAC | TCG | CGT | TGC | AAG | GCG | AGG |
|  | CAG | CTT | GAG | TTA | AAC | GAA | CGT | ACT | TGC | AGA | TGT | GAC |
|  | AAG | CCG | AGG | CGG | TGA | CTC | GAG | CAC | CAC | CAC | CAC | CAC |
|  | CAC | TGA | GAT | CCG | GCT | GCT | AA |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | ATG | GGC | AGC | AGC | CAT | CAT | CAT | CAT | CAT | CAC | AGC | AGC |
|  | GGC | CTG | GTG | CCG | CGC | GGC | AGC | CAT | ATG | GCA | AGA | CAA |
|  | GAA | AAT | CCC | TGT | GGG | ССт | TGC | TCA | GAG | CGG | AGA | AAG |
|  | CAT | TTG | TTT | GTA | CAA | GAT | CCG | CAG | ACG | TGT | AAA | TGT |
|  | TCC | TGC | AAA | AAC | ACA | TGA | CTC | GAG | CAC | CAC | CAC | CAC |
|  | CAC | CAC | TGA | GAT | CCG | GCT | GCT | AA |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | ATG | GGC | AGC | AGC | CAT | CAT | CAT | CAT | CAT | CAC | AGC | AGC |
|  | GGC | CTG | GTG | CCG | CGC | GGC | AGC | CAT | ATG | TCC | TGC | AAA |
|  | AAC | ACA | GAC | TCG | CGT | TGC | AAG | GCG | AGG | CAG | CTT | GAG |
|  | TTA | AAC | GAA | CGT | ACT | TGC | AGA | TGT | GAC | AAG | CCG | AGG |
|  | CGG | TGA | CTC | GAG | CAC | CAC | CAC | CAC | CAC | CAC | TGA | GAT |
|  | CCG | GCT | GCT | AA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]Table S5 QCM-based affinity selection of the T7 phage-displayed defined segment of hVEGF $_{165}$.

| Clone | Parent library | Selection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1 | del 1 | del 2 | del 4 | del 4 |
| 2 | del 4 | del 4 | del 3 | del 4 |
| 3 | del 3 | del 3 | del 4 | del 4 |
| 4 | del 3 | del 1 | del 3 | del 4 |
| 5 | del 3 | del 4 | del 4 | del 4 |
| 6 | del 4 | del 4 | del 4 | del 4 |
| 7 | del 4 | del 3 | del 4 | del 4 |
| 8 | del 3 | del 1 | del 3 | del 4 |
| 9 | del 2 | del 2 | del 2 | del 4 |
| 10 | del 3 | del 3 | del 2 | del 4 |
| 11 | del 1 | del 4 | del 2 | del 4 |
| 12 | del 1 | del 3 | del 2 | del 4 |
| 13 | del 2 | del 3 | del 2 | del 4 |
| 14 | del 4 | del 4 | del 4 | del 4 |
| 15 | del 3 | del 3 | del 3 | del 4 |
| 16 | del 4 | del 2 | del 2 | del 4 |
| 17 | del 4 | del 4 | del 1 | del 4 |
| 18 | del 4 | del 2 | del 1 | del 4 |
| 19 | del 3 | del 4 | del 4 | del 4 |
| 20 | del 3 | del 4 | del 2 | del 4 |
| 21 | del 1 | del 4 | del 3 | del 4 |
| 22 | del 3 | del 2 | del 4 | del 4 |
| 23 | del 4 | del 4 | del 3 | del 4 |
| 24 | del 4 | del 3 | del 2 | del 2 |
| del 1 ( $n$ ) | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| del 1 (\%) | 16.7 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 0 |
| (vs. parent library) | (1) | (0.5) | (0.5) | (0) |
| del 2 ( $n$ ) | 2 | 5 | 8 | 1 |
| del 2 (\%) | 8.3 | 20.8 | 33.3 | 4.2 |
| (vs. parent library) | (1) | (2.5) | (4.0) | (0.5) |
| del 3 ( $n$ ) | 9 | 7 | 6 | 0 |
| del 3 (\%) | 37.5 | 29.2 | 25.0 | 0 |
| (vs. parent library) | (1) | (0.78) | (0.67) | (0) |
| del 4 ( $n$ ) | 9 | 10 | 8 | 23 |
| del 4 (\%) | 37.5 | 41.7 | 33.3 | 95.8 |
| (vs. parent library) | (1) | (1.11) | (0.89) | (2.56) |

Table S6 Phage titer data from the binding assay between NRP1 and T7 phage-displayed HBD.

|  | Rescued del 2 phage titer (pfu ml ${ }^{-1}$ ) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vehicle | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | (DMSO) | $(1 \mu \mathrm{M} \beta \mathrm{SQDG})$ | $(10 \mu \mathrm{M} \alpha \mathrm{SQMG})$ |
|  | 42500 | 9300 | 270 |
|  | 76000 | 21900 | 3420 |
|  | 49500 | 10000 | 2765 |
| Means | 56000 | 13733 | 2152 |
| \% (vehicle $v s$. compound) | 100 | 24.5 | 3.8 |
| S.D. | 18.2 | 7.3 | 1.7 |

Figure S1 SPR sensorgram between $\beta$ SQDDG (1) and human growth factors and cognate receptors. Each protein was immobilized on sensor chip CM5 by an amine coupling reaction and various concentrations of $1(0.125-2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ were injected. Association: 120 sec , dissociation: 120 sec . Response curves were generated by subtraction of the background signals generated simultaneously on the control flow cell (protein non-immobilized cell), the injection of vehicle, and bulk response by DMSO. (A) VEGFR1. (B) VEGFR2. (C) NRP1. (D) TGF $\beta 2$ 2. (E) TGF $\beta$ RII. (F) IGF-1. (G) IGF-1 R. (H) FGF1. (I) FGF2. (J) FGF R2 $\alpha$. (K) Ang-1. (L) Tie-2. (M) EphB2. (N) EphB4. (O) EGF. (P) EGFR. (Q) PDGF-BB. (R) Mouse PDGFRB. (S) BMP-2. (T) BMP RII. (U) sDLL-4. (V) Jagged-1. (W) Notch-1. (X) DNA polymerase $\lambda$ fragment as a control. RU: resonance unit. 1 RU $=$ $1 p \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mm}^{-2}$.
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[^0]:    * CATATG : NdeI, CTCGAG: XhoI. TGA: stop codon.

