Supplementary Material (ESI) for MedChemComm

This journal is (c) The Royal Society of Chemistry 2013

## **Electronic Supporting Information**

# Novel sphingosine-containing analogues selectively inhibit sphingosine kinase (SK) isozymes, induce SK1 proteasomal degradation and reduce DNA synthesis in human pulmonary arterial smooth muscle cells

Hoe-Sup Byun,<sup>a</sup> Susan Pyne,<sup>b</sup> Neil MacRitchie,<sup>b</sup> Nigel J. Pyne<sup>b</sup> and Robert Bittman<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Queens College, The City University of New York, Flushing, NY 11367-1597, USA. E-mail: robert.bittman@qc.cuny.edu <sup>b</sup>Cell Biology Group, Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G4 0RE, UK

## **Table of Contents**

| A. Experimental Methods                                | S2-S18         |
|--|----------------|
| (a) Biological methods                                 | S2-S5          |
| (b) Synthetic procedures and compound characterization | S5-S18         |
| B References   | <b>S18-S19</b> |
|  | 510 517        |
| C. NMR Spectra   | S20-S52        |

### **A. Experimental Methods**

## (a) Biological methods

*Materials*--All general biochemicals and the anti-actin antibody were from Sigma (Poole, UK). High glucose Dulbecco's modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM), European fetal calf serum, and penicillin-streptomycin (10000 U/mL penicillin and 10 mg/mL streptomycin) were from Invitrogen (Paisley, UK). Sphingosine (Sph) was from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL, USA). Purified SK2 was from Enzo Life Sciences (Exeter, UK). BML-258 was from Tocris (Bristol, UK). PF-543 was from Calbiochem (EMD Millipore). VPC96091 was synthesized by following the procedure of Kennedy et al.<sup>S1</sup> Human pulmonary aortic smooth muscle cells (PAMSC), human smooth muscle cell growth medium, and passaging solutions were from TCS Cellworks (Buckingham, UK). [ $\gamma$ -<sup>32</sup>P]ATP (specific activity, 4.4×10<sup>4</sup> cpm/nmol) was from Perkin-Elmer (Buckingham, UK).

Figure S1. Structures of PF-543 and VPC96091



*Cell Culture*. PASMC were grown in human smooth muscle cell growth medium. HEK 293 cells stably over-expressing GFP-SK1 (30-fold increase in SK1 activity vs. vector-transfected cells) were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% European fetal calf serum, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, 1% non-essential amino acids, and 0.8% geneticin at 37 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

*Preparation of Whole Cell Extracts.* PASMC cell extracts for SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis were prepared according to ref. S2.  $[^{3}H]$ -*Thymidine Incorporation Assay.* PASMC cells were plated at a density of 2.0 × 10<sup>4</sup> cells/well in 24-well plates and grown to 60% confluency in complete medium before being quiesced in human smooth muscle cell basal growth medium containing 0.1% eFCS for 24 h. Subsequently, cells were treated with varying concentrations of inhibitor dissolved in DMSO or vehicle control (DMSO, 0.1%) for 48 h in complete medium. During the final 24 h, the cells were incubated with [<sup>3</sup>H]-thymidine (0.25 µCi/mL) before termination by washing 3 times with 1 mL of ice-cold 10% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid. [<sup>3</sup>H]-Thymidine incorporated into DNA was harvested with 0.25 mL of 0.1% (w/v) SDS and 0.3 M NaOH and was quantified by liquid-scintillation counting with 2 mL of scintillation cocktail. Each concentration of the inhibitor was tested in triplicate.

Sphingosine Kinase Activity Assays. In order to measure SK2 activity, Sph was complexed with fatty acid free bovine serum albumin (final concentration, 0.2 mg/mL) in buffer A containing 20 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>, 40 mM β-

glycerophosphate, 1 mM NaF, 0.007% (v/v)  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol, 20% (v/v) glycerol, 10 µg/mL aprotinin, 10 µg/mL soybean trypsin inhibitor, 1 mM PMSF, 0.5 mM 4-deoxypyridoxine, and 400 mM KCl. SK2 assays were performed using 37 ng of purified SK2 and incubating the assay for 30 min at 30 °C in the presence of 10 µM Sph, 250 µM [ $\gamma$ -<sup>32</sup>P]ATP in 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and varying concentrations of the inhibitors dissolved in DMSO or control (5% v/v DMSO). To measure SK1 activity, Sph was solubilized in Triton X-100 (final concentration, 0.063% w/v) and combined with buffer A without KCl. Thirty micrograms of recombinant SK1 was incubated for 30 min at 30 °C, in the presence of 3 µM Sph, 250 µM [ $\gamma$ -<sup>32</sup>P]ATP in 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> with or without inhibitor dissolved in DMSO or control (5% v/v DMSO). Both assay reactions were terminated by the addition of 500 µL of 1-butanol. After 1 mL of 2 M KCl was added, with mixing, two phases were formed. The lower (aqueous) phase, which contains unreacted [ $\gamma$ -<sup>32</sup>P]ATP, was removed and discarded. The organic phase containing [<sup>32</sup>P]-S1P was extracted by washing twice with 2 M KCl (1 mL each time) before quantification by Cerenkov counting. To evaluate the test compounds as putative substrates of SK1 and SK2, the assay was conducted in the presence of 50 µM of the test compound (but in the absence of Sph) and radioactivity in the 1-butanol phase was quantified.

We designed the SK1 and SK2 assays to enable us to evaluate selectivity of compounds for either of these lipid kinases. These assays were performed using Sph at concentrations of 3 and 10  $\mu$ M, which correspond to the K<sub>m</sub> values of SK1 and SK2, respectively.<sup>S3,S4</sup>

Therefore, the assays are performed under conditions where both enzymes are saturated to 50% with Sph, which enables estimation of selectivity by comparing the % inhibition of SK1 with the % inhibition of SK2 using a fixed concentration of inhibitor.



**Figure S2.** Evaluation of compounds as putative substrates of SK1 and SK2. SK1 and SK2 activity was measured using 50  $\mu$ M compound and 250  $\mu$ M ATP in the absence of Sph (n = 3 for each compound); results are expressed as mean ± S.D. Control = activity using Sph alone (3  $\mu$ M for SK1 and 10  $\mu$ M for SK2) and is represented as 100% against which each compound alone is compared.

Fig. S2 shows that **67-302** (*cis*-Sph; see structure on page S18) is an effective substrate of SK2; on the other hand, **F-01** is a very weak SK2 substrate. None of the analogues is an effective substrate at 50  $\mu$ M, but **F-01**, **77-13**, **67-341**, and **67-302** are weak substrates of SK1.

### (b) Synthetic procedures and compound characterization

*General experimental methods*. All chemicals were reagent grade and were used as purchased. Reactions were carried out under a dry nitrogen atmosphere using oven-dried glassware and magnetic stirring. The solvents were dried as follows: THF was heated at reflux over sodium benzophenone ketyl; toluene was heated at reflux over sodium; CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> were dried over CaH<sub>2</sub>. The progress of the reactions was monitored by TLC analysis using aluminum-backed silica gel 60 F254 plates of 0.2-mm thickness. The spots were visualized with short wavelength ultraviolet light or by charring after spraying with 15% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel grade 60 (230–400 ASTM mesh). <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded in  $\delta$  units relative to deuterated solvents (CDCl<sub>3</sub>  $\delta$  = 7.26 ppm for <sup>1</sup>H NMR and 77.00 ppm for <sup>13</sup>C NMR); CD<sub>3</sub>OD  $\delta$  = 4.78, 3.31 ppm for <sup>1</sup>H NMR and 49.1 ppm for <sup>13</sup>C NMR), which served as an internal reference, at 400 or 500 (for <sup>1</sup>H NMR) and 100 MHz (for <sup>13</sup>C NMR), respectively. The purity of the products was >95% based on proton NMR spectra. High-resolution mass spectra were recorded on an Agilent Technologies G6520A Q-TOF mass spectrometer using electrospray ionization. Optical rotations were recorded on a digital polarimeter at the sodium-D line at rt. *Detailed synthetic procedures*.

(2S,3R)-2-Azidooctadecane-1,3-diol (2). This compound was prepared by reduction of azidoester 1<sup>S5</sup> with an excess of NaBH<sub>4</sub> in THF/MeOH (100:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.88 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (m, 26H), 1.5-1.58 (m, 2H), 3.42 (q, J = 5.2 Hz,

1H), 3.90 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 14.1,22.7, 25.6, 29.3, 29.48, 29.52, 29.56, 29.62, 29.68, 31.9, 33.7, 62.5, 66.8, 72.1.

(2S,3R)-1-Deoxy-2-amino-3-octadecanol (55-21). To a solution of azide  $2^{S4}$  (1.50 g, 4.58 mmol) in 50 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was added 430 µL (5.92 mmol) of SOCl<sub>2</sub>, followed by 950 µL (11.7 mol) of pyridine at -78 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h, then at rt for 2 h, and was filtered through a pad of silica gel, which was washed with hexane/EtOAc (10:1). The filtrate was concentrated to give a cyclic sulfite intermediate. To a solution of the cyclic sulfite in 25 mL of MeCN were added 1.30 g (6.07 mmol) of crystalline NaIO<sub>4</sub> and 30 mg (0.14 mmol) of RuCl<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O in 5 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O. The mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h, and then was diluted with 250 mL of Et<sub>2</sub>O and washed with H<sub>2</sub>O. The ether layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated to give 2-azido-1,3cyclic sulfate 3. To a solution of 3 in 25 mL of DMF were added 380 mg (10.0 mmol) of NaBH<sub>4</sub> and 760 mg (5.07 mmol) of NaI at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 48 h at rt, and then was diluted with 200 mL of Et<sub>2</sub>O, treated with 100 mL of 1 M of aqueous HCl solution for 4 h, and neutralized with 5 M of aqueous NaOH solution. The organic layer was separated, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH/concd NH<sub>4</sub>OH 130:25:4) to afford 1.04 g (79%) of 55-21. The product was dissolved in a minimum volume of CHCl<sub>3</sub> and passed through a Cameo filter to remove dissolved silica gel:  $[\alpha]_D$  +5.0 (c 0.40, MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.88 (t, 3H, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.01 (d, 2H, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.26 (m,

26H), 1.35 (m, 1H), 1.73 (br s, 3H), 2.98 (m, 1H), 3.44 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 14.1, 22.7, 26.5, 29.34, 29.60, 29.61, 29.64, 29.69, 29.8, 31.9, 33.8, 63.4, 70.8.

(2*S*,3*R*)-2-*N*,*N*-Dimethylamino-3-octadecanol (55-22). To a mixture of 55-21 (457 mg, 1.60 mmol) and paraformaldehyde (500 mg, 16.6 mmol) in 50 mL of MeOH was added NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (1.10 g, 17.5 mmol) at 0 °C. After the mixture was stirred at rt for 48 h, it was diluted with 200 mL of EtOAc and was washed with brine. The organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with hexane/ EtOAc 1:1) to afford 412 mg (82%) of 55-22:  $[\alpha]_D$  +4.9 (*c* 0.35, MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.88 (t, 3H, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 0.98 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 1.26 (m, 26H), 1.53 (m, 1H), 2.21 (m, 2H), 2.30 (s, 6H), 3.72 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.6, 14.1, 22.7, 26.5, 29.35, 29.61, 29.62, 29.65, 29.68, 29.8, 31.9, 33.8, 42.8, 63.6, 70.8.

(2*S*,3*R*)-*N*,*N*,*N*-Trimethyl-3-hydroxy-2-octadecanaminium *p*-toluenesulfonate (77-13). A mixture of 55-22 (81 mg, 0.26 mmol) and methyl *p*-toluenesulfonate (63 mg, 0.34 mmol) in 5 mL of THF was stirred overnight at rt. After the *N*-methylation reaction was completed, the mixture was diluted with 5 mL of hexane. Filtration provided 125 mg (100%) of 77-13: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.88 (t, 3H, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 0.98 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 1.26 (m, 26H), 1.53 (m, 1H), 2.21 (m, 2H), 2.30 (s, 9H), 3.72 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.6, 14.1, 22.7, 26.5, 29.35, 29.61, 29.62, 29.65, 29.68, 29.8, 31.9, 33.8, 42.8, 63.6, 70.8; HRMS (M<sup>+</sup>) calcd for *m*/*z* C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>48</sub>NO<sup>+</sup> 328.3574, found 328.3579.

Ethyl 4-Tetradecyloxy-2(E)-butenonate (6). To a solution of NaIO<sub>4</sub> (4.12 g, 19.2 mmol) in 25 mL of water was added a solution of 1-O-tetradecyl-rac-glycerol (4, 4.27 g, 14.8 mmol)<sup>S6</sup> in 25 mL of THF at 0 °C, followed by stirring at rt. After the oxidative glycol cleavage was completed (about 2 h at rt), the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure in order to remove THF and the formaldehyde formed, providing aldehyde 5. To the residue of crude 5 was added a solution of triethyl phosphonoacetate (4.68 g, 20.9 mmol) in 50 mL of 2-propanol, followed by dropwise addition of a solution of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (26.0 g, 187 mmol) in 50 mL of water at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was gradually warmed to rt. After the mixture was stirred overnight at rt, the olefination product 6 was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated. The product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (elution with hexane/EtOAc 25:1) to give 4.40 g (91%) of 6: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.88 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.25 (s, 22H), 1.31(t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.57-1.63 (m, 2H), 3.46 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 4.13 (dd, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), = 2.0, 4.3 Hz, 2H, 4.21 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 6.08 (dt, J = 2.0, 15.7 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (dt, J = 4.3, 15.7 Hz, 1H);<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  14.1, 14.2, 22.7, 26.1, 29.3, 29.45, 29.56, 29.58, 29.63, 29.64, 29.66, 31.9, 60.3, 69.3, 71.2, 121.1, 144.7, 166.3; HRMS (MH<sup>+</sup>) calcd for *m/z*  $C_{20}H_{39}O_3^+$  327.2894, found 327.2893.

Ethyl (2*R*,3*R*)-4-Tetradecyloxy-2,3-dihydroxybutanonate (7). After a solution of 14.0 g of AD-mix- $\beta$  in 100 mL of *t*-BuOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1) was stirred vigorously at rt for 1 h, 950 mg (10.0 mmol) of MeSO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> was added, and stirring was continued for an additional 10 min. After 3.27 g (10.0 mmol) of **6** was added, the mixture was allowed to warm to rt. After the  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated ester

was completely consumed (TLC), the reaction was quenched by the addition of sodium sulfite (1.5 g, 14.6 mmol). The product was extracted with EtOAc. The combined extracts were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated. Chromatography on silica gel (elution with hexane/EtOAc 2:1) gave 3.07 g (89%) of 7:  $[\alpha]_D$  +7.8 (*c* 1.61, CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH 1:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.87 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 1.24 (s, *J* = 22H), 1.31 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.54-1.57 (m, 2H), 2.61 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.27 (d, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 3.51-3.62 (m, 2H), 4.06-4.13 (m, 1H), 4.23 (dd, *J* = 2.1, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  14.08, 14.10, 22.7, 26.0, 29.3, 29.4, 29.53, 29.56, 29.58, 29.62, 29.63, 29.65, 31.9, 62.0, 70.8, 71.0, 71.2, 71.7, 173.1; HRMS (MH<sup>+</sup>) calcd for *m*/*z* C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>31</sub>O<sub>5</sub><sup>+</sup> 361.2949, found 361.2952.

Ethyl (2*S*,3*R*)-4-Tetradecyloxy-2-azido-3-hydroxybutanonate (9). To a solution of 2.89 g (8.01 mmol) of 7 in 50 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was added 1.3 mL (16.1 mmol) of pyridine at rt. After the mixture was stirred and chilled to 0 °C, 760  $\mu$ L (10.4 mmol) of SOCl<sub>2</sub> was added slowly. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min, and then was filtered through a pad of silica gel in a sintered glass funnel. The pad was washed with hexane/EtOAc 10:1, and the filtrate was concentrated and further dried under high vacuum (~1 torr) for 2 h. To a solution of the crude cyclic sulfite in 20 mL of MeCN was added 2.57 g (12.0 mmol) of NaIO<sub>4</sub>. The heterogeneous mixture was stirred vigorously while a solution of 2.8 mg (0.080 mmol) of RuCl<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O in 8 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O was added. After the full consumption of the starting cyclic sulfite was observed (~1 h), the reaction mixture was diluted with 250 mL of Et<sub>2</sub>O and washed with brine. The organic phase was dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and passed through a small pad of silica gel. Concentration of the filtrate gave crude

cyclic sulfate **8**, which was used without further purification. To a solution of **8** in 30 mL of acetone was added 1.56 g (24 mmol) of NaN<sub>3</sub>, followed by 15 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt until **8** was fully consumed. After acetone and water were removed, the residue was dissolved in 100 mL of Et<sub>2</sub>O, and the solution was treated with 50 mL of 20% aqueous H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in a fume hood with vigorous stirring of the heterogeneous mixture until the hydrolysis was completed. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (2 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were treated with anhydrous K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (~100 mg) to remove the dissolved H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and then were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). After concentration, the product was purified by chromatography on silica gel (elution with hexane/EtOAc 10:1) to give 2.44 g (79%) of azide **9**:  $[\alpha]_D$  +40.9 (*c* 0.40, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.88 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (s, 22H), 1.33 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.54-1.57 (m, 2H), 2.77 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.41-3.51 (m, 2H), 3.52-3.61 (m, 2H), 4.03-4.13 (m, 2H), 4.28 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  14.08, 14.10, 22.7, 26.0, 29.3, 29.4, 29.56, 29.59, 29.63, 29.67, 31.9, 62.0, 63.2, 70.3, 70.8, 71.8, 168.7; HRMS (MNa<sup>+</sup>) calcd for *m/z* C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>NaO<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> 408.2833, found 408.2837.

(2S,3R)-4-Tetradecyloxy-2-azido-1,3-butanediol (10). To a solution of 2.43 g (6.30 mmol) of 9 in 100 mL of THF was added 380 mg (10.0 mmol) of NaBH<sub>4</sub>, followed by 1 mL of MeOH at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred vigorously while the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt until the disappearance of 9 (monitored by TLC). After THF and water were removed, the residue was dissolved in 200 mL of EtOAc, and then was washed with brine and water. The organic layer was dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (elution with hexane/EtOAc 10:1, 8:1, and 6:1) to

give 1.11 g (51%) of **10**:  $[\alpha]_D$  +3.5 (*c* 0.40, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.87 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (s, 22H), 1.53-1.63 (m, 2H), 2.51 (t, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.40-3.65 (m, 5H), 3.77-3.87 (m, 2H), 3.88-3.97 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  14.1, 22.7, 26.0, 29.51, 29.56, 29.59, 29.63, 29.65, 29.67, 31.9, 62.7, 64.0, 70.7, 71.2, 71.8; HRMS (MH<sup>+</sup>) calcd for *m/z* C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>39</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 327.2894, found 327.2893.

(2*S*,3*R*)-4-Tetradecyloxy-2-amino-3-butanol (77-6b). A mixture of 10 (382 mg, 1.11 mmol) and *n*-Bu<sub>2</sub>SnO (280 mg, 1.12 mmol) in 25 mL of toluene was heated at reflux until a clear solution was formed. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue (11) was dried further under high vacuum for 2 h. After the dry residue was dissolved in 25 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 220 mg (1.15 mmol) of *p*-toluenesulfonyl chloride was added at 0 °C. After the mixture was stirred overnight at rt, the reaction was quenched by addition of H<sub>2</sub>O (20  $\mu$ L, 1.11 mmol). The mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, which was washed with 100 mL of Et<sub>2</sub>O. The filtrate was washed with brine, aqueous saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution, and water. The organic layer was dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated to give crude tosylate 12. To a solution of 12 in 25 mL of THF was added 100 mg (2.64 mmol) of NaBH<sub>4</sub> at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 48 h at rt, diluted with 200 mL of Et<sub>2</sub>O, and washed with brine. The ether layer was dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated. To the residue was added Pearlman's catalyst (50 mg) in 25 mL of MeOH, and the mixture was stirred overnight at rt under a hydrogen atmosphere. After the catalyst was removed by filtration, the product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH/conc. NH<sub>4</sub>OH 130:25:4) to afford 241 mg (66%) of 77-6b. The product was dissolved in a

S13

minimum volume of CHCl<sub>3</sub> and passed through a Cameo filter to remove dissolved silica gel:  $[\alpha]_D$  +4.5 (*c* 0.40, MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.88 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.10 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 1.26 (m, 26H), 1.57 (m, 2H), 2.45 (br s, 3H), 3.05 (m, 1H), 3.43-3.49 (m, 4H), 3.62 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  14.1, 18.6, 22.7, 26.1, 29.33, 29.44, 29.56, 29.58, 31.9, 48.8, 71.7, 71.8, 73.6; HRMS (MH<sup>+</sup>) calcd for *m/z* C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>40</sub>NO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> 302.3054, found 302.3057.

(2*S*,3*R*)-4-Tetradecyloxy-2-(*N*,*N*-dimethylamino)-3-butanol (77-7). To a mixture of paraformaldehyde (50 mg, 1.6 mmol) and 77-6b (43 mg, 0.14 mmol) in 10 mL of MeOH was added NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (110 mg, 1.75 mmol) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at rt for 48 h, and then was diluted with 200 mL of EtOAc and washed with brine. The organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with CHCl<sub>3</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH 25:1, and then CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH/conc. NH<sub>4</sub>OH 130:25:4) to afford 38 mg (80%) of product: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.88 (t, 3H, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 1.02 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 1.26 (m, 26H), 1.58 (q, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.27 (s, 6H), 2.49 (q, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.37 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.46 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 3.54 (dd, *J* = 3.6, 9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.87-3.82 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.5, 14.1, 22.7, 26.1, 29.35, 29.46, 29.59, 29.64, 29.67, 31.9, 41.7, 61.2, 70.8, 71.5, 73.1; HRMS (MH<sup>+</sup>) calcd for *m*/*z* C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>44</sub>NO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> 330.3367, found 330.3365.

**General Procedure for Preparation of** *N***-Arylthiourea and** *N***-Arylurea Derivatives.** To a solution of the amino-sphingoid base (1.02 mmol) in 30 mL of CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH (1:1) was added the aryl isothiocyanate or aryl isocyanate (XC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NCS or XC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NCO,

1.00 mmol) at rt. The mixture was stirred overnight, and then was concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel.





Scheme S1. Synthesis of *N*-arylthiourea and *N*-arylurea derivatives by the reaction of Sph, phytosphingosine (PHS), 2-*epi*-pachastrissamine, or sphinganine with an aryl isothiocyanate (X = S) or an aryl isocyanate (X = O).

**F-02.** [α]<sup>30</sup><sub>D</sub> = +22.6 (*c*, 9.65); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 0.88 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (m, 26H), 1 58 (m, 2H), 2.76 (br s, 2H), 3.93 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (br s, 1H), 7.41-7.59 (m, 5H), 8.74 (br s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 14.1, 22.7, 25.9, 29.3, 29.5, 29.56, 29.62, 29.65, 29.67, 31.9, 34.6, 57.9, 61.9, 74.6, 119.4, 120.61, 120.64, 120.86, 120.72, 122.1, 122.8, 124.8, 127.0, 130.3, 132.0132.3, 137.6, 179.8; HRMS (MH<sup>+</sup>) calcd for *m/z* C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>44</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sup>+</sup> 505.3070, found 505.3074; HRMS (MNa<sup>+</sup>) calcd for *m/z* C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>43</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>SNa<sup>+</sup> 527.2890, found 527.2891.

**67-301.** Yield: 91%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (pyridine-d<sub>5</sub>) δ 0.87 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.25 (m, 24H), 1.57-1.74 (m, 1H), 1.73-1.81 (m, 2H), 2.16-2.31 (m, 1H), 4.20-4.29 (m, 1H), 4.34-4.43 (m, 1H), 4.50-4.66 (m, 2H), 5.74 (br s, 1H), 7.05 (t, *J* = 8.6, 2H), 7.53-7.69 (m, 2H),

8.07 (br s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 13.8, 22.5, 25.6, 29.1, 29.4, 29.5, 31.7, 33.1, 56.2, 60.7, 72.6, 75.4, 116.0, 116.2, 126.6, 126.7, 159.4, 161.9, 180.1; HRMS (MH<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd. for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>44</sub>FN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S<sup>+</sup> 471.3051, found 471.3051.

**67-310.** Yield: 89%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$  0.88 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (m, 24H), 1.50-1.61 (m, 1H), 1.70-1.79 (m, 1H), 3.62-3.96 (m, 10H), 7.60 (s, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$  13.8, 22.5, 25.6, 29.1, 29.4, 29.5, 31.7, 33.1, 55.8, 60.7, 72.6, 75.4, 122.49, 122.67, 122.70, 122.76, 125.2, 125.93, 125.97, 126.02, 126.3, 126.7, 141.4, 179.9; HRMS (MH<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd. for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>44</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S<sup>+</sup>, 521.3019, found 521.3019.

**67-311.** Yield: 76%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$  0.89 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (m, 24H), 1.51-1.62 (m, 1H), 1.69-1.80 (m, 1H), 3.35 (br s, 1H), 3.59 (m, 2H), 3.78 (dd, *J* = 4.7, 11.3 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (dd, *J* = 4.4, 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (m, 1H), 4.26 (br s, 1H), 7.48-7.57 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$  13.2, 22.1, 25.2, 28.8, 29.08, 29.12, 31.4, 32.5, 51.5, 60.9, 71.9, 75.5, 114.1 136.4, 138.9, 141.7, 142.9, 145.3, 183.4; HRMS (MH<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd. for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>44</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, 505.3248, found 505.3246.

**67-306**. Yield: 85%;<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$  0.89 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.28 (m, 24H), 1.57 (m, 1H), 1.76 (m, 1H), 3.62 (m, 1H), 3.73 (m, 1H), 3.87 (m, 1H), 3.97 (m, 1H), 4.71 (br s, 1H), 7.46 (br s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  13.6, 22.3, 25.6, 29.0, 29.3, 31.6, 32.7, 56.3, 60.4, 72.5, 75.0, 82.5, 85.1, 114.1 136.1, 138.7, 141.4, 142.8, 145.3, 182.8; HRMS (MH<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd. for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>40</sub>F<sub>5</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S<sup>+</sup>, 543.2674, found 543.2676.

**67-341**. Yield: 84%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 0.88 (t, *J* = 3.7 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (m, 24H), 1.41-1.49 (m, 2H), 3.62 (t, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.73-3.78 (m, 1H), 4.00- 4.05 (m, 1H), 4.22-4.34 (m, 1H), 4.77 (br s, 1H), 7.33 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 13.9, 22.5, 25.6, 29.2, 29.42, 29.46, 29.52, 29.55, 31.8, 33.3, 56.7, 70.0, 74.0, 82.5, 85.2, 114.1, 136.4, 138.9, 141.7, 142.9, 145.3, 183.4; HRMS (MH<sup>+</sup>) *m*/*z* calcd. for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>38</sub>F<sub>5</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sup>+</sup> 525.2569, found 525.2570.

**67-320** (**H**<sub>2</sub>**O**). [α]<sup>30</sup><sub>D</sub> = +21.8 (*c*, 4.35); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 0.88 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (m, 20H), 1.32-1.40 (m, 2H), 2.05 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 2.35 (br s, 1H), 2.48 (br s, 1H), 3.75-3.86 (m, 1H), 4.03 (d, *J* = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (br s, 1H), 5.55 (dd, *J* = 6.0, 15.4 Hz, 1H), 5.80 (dt, *J* = 6.7, 15.4 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.00-7.16 (m, 2H), 7.22-7.28 (m, 2H), 7.74 (br s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 14.1, 22.7, 29.0, 29.2, 29.3, 29.45, 29.59, 29.64, 29.66, 31.9, 32.3, 58.9, 61.9, 74.5, 116.1, 117.0, 126.96, 127.05, 128.3, 132.2, 134.2, 157.0, 162.4, 180.3; HRMS (MH<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd. for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>42</sub>FN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sup>+</sup>, 453.2946, found 453.2943.

**67-330** (**H**<sub>2</sub>**O**). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.88 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (m, 20H), 1.32-1.42 (m, 2H), 2.07 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 2.41 (br s, 1H), 2.54 (br s, 1H), 3.85 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.08-4.11 (m, 2H), 4.50-4.51 (m, 1H), 4.61 (m, 1H), 5.58 (dd, *J* = 6.0, 15.4 Hz, 1H), 5.84 (dt, *J* = 6.7, 15.4 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.00-7.16 (m, 2H), 7.22-7.28 (m, 2H), 7.74 (br s, 1H); HRMS (MH<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd. for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>42</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sup>+</sup> 503.2914, found 503.2914.



**67-304**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.88 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (m, 20H), 1.31-1.40 (m, 2H), 1.98-2.14 (m, 2H), 3.64 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 3.86 (dt, *J* = 4.7, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.46 (t, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 5.57-5.63 (m, 1H), 5.75-5.82 (m, 1H), 6.64 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  14.1, 22.7, 27.9, 29.2, 29.32, 29.34, 29.42, 29.5, 29.64, 29.67, 31.9, 57.3, 61.6, 75.2, 122.0, 137.7, 160.6; HRMS (MNa<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>35</sub>NNaO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 348.2509, found 348.2509.

**67-302** (*cis*-Sphingosine). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 0.89 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.27 (m, 22H), 2.00-2.22 (m, 2H), 3.19-3.24 (m, 1H), 3.65-3.86 (m, 2H), 4.69-4.75 (m, 1H), 5.30-5.45 (m, 1H), 5.63-5.74 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 13.5, 22.2, 27.4, 28.9, 29.08, 29.13, 29.18, 29.21, 29.24, 31.5, 67.1, 57.8, 64.5, 125.7, 135.2; HRMS (MH<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>38</sub>NO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> 300.2897, found 300.2899.

#### **B.** References

- S1. A. J. Kennedy, T. P. Mathews, Y. Kharel, S. D. Field, M. L. Moyer, J. E. East, J. D. Houck, K. R. Lynch and T. L. Macdonald, J. Med. Chem. 2011, 54, 3524.
- S2. D. J. Baek, N. MacRitchie, N. J. Pyne, S. Pyne and R. Bittman, Chem. Comm. 2013, 49, 2136.

- S3. K. G. Lim, S. Chaode, R. Bittman, N. J. Pyne and S. Pyne, Cell. Signal. 2011, 23, 1590.
- S4. K. G. Lim, F. Tonelli, Z. Li, X. Lu, R. Bittman, S. Pyne and N. J. Pyne, J. Biol. Chem. 2011, 286, 18633.
- S5. L. He, H.-S. Byun and R. Bittman, J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 7618.
- S6. C. I. Hong, A. Nechaev, A. J. Kirisits, R. Vig, S.-W. Hui and C. R. West, J. Med. Chem. 1995, 38, 1629.

C. NMR Spectra











S25























































