# Cocrystal of 4-oxopimelic acid and 4,4'-bipyridine: polymorphism and solid-state transformation

Ivan Halasz,\* Mirta Rubčić, Krunoslav Užarević, Ivica Đilović and Ernest Meštrović

# **Table of Contents**

- 1. Materials and Methods
- 2. X-ray crystallography (Table S1)
- 3. X-ray powder diffraction experiments
- 4. References

### **Materials and Methods**

**Materials.** All chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. Solvents (p.a. grade) were purchased from Kemika, Zagreb.

#### Methods.

**IR spectra** were recorded on PerkinElmer *Spectrum RXI* FT-IR spectrometer as KBr pellets  $(4000-400 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ range}, 2 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ step}).$ 

**DSC measurements** were performed on the Mettler–Toledo DSC823<sup>e</sup> calorimeter with STARe SW 9.01 in the range of 25–600 °C (heating rate 5 °C/min) under nitrogen stream.

X-ray Diffraction Experiments. The single-crystal X-ray data were collected by Oxford Diffraction X calibur CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation at 293 K using  $\omega$ -scans at the crystal to detector distance of 50 or 60 mm. Details of data collection and crystal structure refinement are presented in Table 1. Programs CrysAlis CCD and CrysAlis  $RED^1$  were employed for data collection, cell refinement and data reduction. The structures were solved by direct methods. Refinement procedure by full-matrix least squares methods based on  $F^2$  values against all reflections included anisotropic displacement parameters for all non-H atoms. The positions of hydrogen atoms residing on carbon atoms were positioned geometrically and refined applying the riding model [C-H = 0.95-0.98 Å andwith  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2$  or  $1.5U_{eq}(C,N)$ ]. The position of the hydroxyl group hydrogen atoms were obtained from the difference Fourier map and were included in the refinement process with isotropic thermal parameter [O-H = 0.91(3)-0.94(2) Å]. Calculations were performed with SHELXS97<sup>2</sup> and SHELXL97<sup>3</sup> (both operating under the WinGX<sup>4</sup> program package). Geometry calculations were done using PLATON<sup>5</sup> and PARST<sup>6</sup>, and the molecular graphics were done with ORTEP<sup>7</sup> and Mercury<sup>8</sup>. Selected bond distances and valence angles are listed in Table S2.

**X-ray powder diffraction** experiments were performed on a Philips PW 3710 diffractometer, CuK $\alpha$  radiation, flat plate sample on a zero background in Bragg-Brentano geometry, tension 40kV, current 40 mA. The patterns were collected in the angle region between 4 $\theta$  and 50 $\theta$  (2 $\theta$ ) with a step size of 0.02° and 1.0 s counting per step.

### **Syntheses**

To a solution of 4-oxopimelic acid (**opa**, 0.050 g, 0.3 mmol) in 2 mL of appropriate alcohol 4,4'-bipyridine (**bipy**) was added (0,045 g, 0.3 mmol). Crystalline product was collected after two days standing at room temperature. Form I was obtained from ethanol (0.54 g, 56 %); Form II from methanol (0.60 g, 63 %).

Reaction was repeated in methanol with different stoichiometries of starting compounds. When an excess of **opa** was added (**opa:bipy** = 2:1, 4:1, 6:1) to the solution of **bipy**, Form II was collected with similar yields. Due to the poor solubility of **opa**, the 6:1 ration of reactants was the highest ratio tested.

When an excess of **bipy** was added, no product was obtained, possibly due to the deprotonation of **opa**.

#### **Polymorph screening experiments**

Form I (space group:  $P2_1/n$ ) was obtained from ethanol, acetone, acetonitrile, water and dimethyl formamide/acetone (1:1) mixture.

Reactions from methanol, propanol, hexanol, benzonitrile, ethyl acetate, dimethyl sulfoxide and dimethyl formamide afforded Form II (space group: C2/c).

### **Infrared Spectroscopy**



Figure S1. a) Infrared spectra of **opa** (red), Form I (black) and Form II (blue) in the range of 3500–800 cm<sup>-1</sup>; b) Infrared spectra of **opa** (red), Form I (black) and Form II (blue) in the

range of 1800–800 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Bands characteristic for dimeric O–H…O hydrogen bond in **opa** are designated in red. **Opa·bipy** bands important for discussion are denoted with asterisk.

## **Thermal experiments**





Figure S2. a) DSC curves of Form II and b) overlay of both forms (Form I – orange, Form II – green).

### X-ray crystallography



Figure S3. ORTEP-POV-Ray rendered view of opa-bipy polymorphs with atom numbering. Ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are presented as spheres of arbitrary small radii; a) Form I (*P*21/c) and b) Form II (*C*2/c).

	<i>d</i> (D–H)/Å	<i>d</i> (H···A)/Å	d(D - A)/Å	$\angle (D-H\cdots A)^{\circ}$
Form I				
C21–H21···O13 <sup><i>i</i></sup>	0.93	2.46	3.260 (3)	145
C27–H27…O15 <sup><i>ii</i></sup>	0.93	2.46	3.150(3)	131
C29–H29····O13 <sup>iii</sup>	0.93	2.57	3.236(2)	129
Form II				
C13–H13B…O11 <sup><i>iv</i></sup>	0.97	2.54	3.378(3)	145
C22–H22···O12 <sup>v</sup>	0.93	2.55	3.434(3)	159
C24–H24····O12 <sup>vi</sup>	0.93	2.60	3.483(3)	159

Table S1. C-H···O interactions in the crystal structures of all three polymorphs

i = 1-x, 1-y, 1-z; ii = -1/2+x, 3/2-y, -1/2+z; iii = -1+x, 1+y, z.

iv = 1/2+x, -1/2+y, z; v = x, 1+y, z; vi = 1-x, 1-y, -z.



Figure S4. Space-filling model of hydrogen bonded zig-zag chains in a) Form I and b) Form II.





**Figure S5.** (a) Hirshfeld surface<sup>9</sup> for **opa** in Form I mapped with  $d_{norm}$  over the range -0.8 to 1.3. Close contacts with neighbouring molecules are presented with dashed lines;

(b) and (c) fingerprint plots for **opa** in Form I, broken down into contributions from specific pairs of atom-types. The grey shadow represents an outline of the complete fingerprint plot.



Figure S6. (a) Hirshfeld surface for opa in Form II mapped with  $d_{norm}$  over the range -0.8 to 1.3. Close contacts with neighbouring molecules are presented with dashed lines;

(b) and (c) fingerprint plots for opa in Form II, broken down into contributions from specific pairs of atom-types. The grey

shadow represents an outline of the complete fingerprint plot.







(b)



**Figure S7.** (a) Comparison of powder patterns for Form I (calculated-blue, experimentalgreen); (b) comparison of powder patterns for Form II (calculated-gray, experimentalmagenta); (c) experimental powder patterns of Form II (magenta), product collected after heating of Form II to 100  $^{\circ}$ C (red) and pattern of Form I (green).

## References

<sup>2</sup> G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXS97, Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.

<sup>7</sup> L. J. Farrugia, J. Appl. Cryst. 1997, **30**, 565.

<sup>9</sup> (a) http://hirshfeldsurface.net/CrystalExplorer/index.shtml. (b) McKinnon, J. J., Spackman,

M. A., Mitchell, A. S. Acta Cryst. 2004, B60, 627-668.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Oxford Diffraction, Oxford Diffraction Ltd., Xcalibur CCD system, CRYSALIS Software system, Version 1.170, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst., Sect A 2008, 64, 112–122.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> L. J. Farrugia, J. Appl. Cryst. 1999, **32**, 837–838.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> A. L. Spek, Acta Cryst., Sect. A 1990, 46, C34.; A.L. Spek, PLATON, A Multipurpose

Crystallographic Tool, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 1998.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> M. Nardelli, Comput. Chem. 1983, **7**, 95–98; M. Nardelli, *J. Appl. Cryst.* 1995, **28**, 659–673.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> C. F. Macrae, P. R. Edgington, P. McCabe, E. Pidcock, G. P. Shields, R. Taylor, M. Towler, J. van de Streek, *J. Appl. Cryst.* 2006, **39**, 453-457.