Supplementary material:

1,4-Dialkyl-1,4-diazabutadienes, their reactions with aluminum and indium halides.

H. Rojas-Sáenz, G. V. Suárez-Moreno, I. Ramos-García, A. M. Duarte-Hernández, E. Mijangos, A. Peña-Hueso, R. Contreras and A. Flores-Parra*

Department of Chemistry, Cinvestav, AP 14-740, CP 07000, México DF. e-mail: aflores@cinvestav.mx

INDEX:

- S1 Discussion of the X-ray diffraction analyses of (R) 1-chloro-butan-2-amine hydrochloride.
- S2 Macromolecular helix arrangement in the crystal of compound **2**.
- S3 Theoretical conformational analysis of 1,4-dialkyl-1,4-diazabutadienes 1-4.
- S4 X-Ray diffraction structure of compound **10**.
- S5 Cell packing representation of compound **14** with $[I_3]$ as anion.
- S6 Optimized structures of compounds: 5, 17, 18, 20-22.
- S7 Representation of the electrostatic potentials of the indium compounds **16-18** and of the ligand **3** coordinated to one and two InCl₃ molecules.

S1: X-Ray diffraction analysis of (R)-2-chloro-butanamine hydrochloride

The (R)-2-chloro-butanamine hydrochloride was crystallized from $CHCl_3$ and the X-ray diffraction structure obtained. The unit cell contains only one ionic pair, Fig 1a. The chloride forms six hydrogen bonds, three with C-H protons (CH···Cl distances 3.05, 2.89 and 2.90 Å); two with the N-H of two molecules, the five hydrogen bonds are almost in the same plane (NH···Cl distances 2.37 and 2.35 Å), Fig. 1b. The ionic pair forms a planar macromolecular arrangement by Cl···H hydrogen bonds, Fig. 2. Planes are connected between them by perpendicular strong N-H···Cl (2.28 Å). Quite interesting is to find that the CH₂-Cl chlorine atom does not have any interaction with other protons.



Fig.1. a) Structure of the (S)-2-chloro-butanamine hydrochloride found in the solid state. b) Hydrogen bonds of chloride in the cell of (S)-2-chloro-butanamine hydrochloride

Table of selected bond length and angles of (R) (-)-1-chloro-butan-2-amine hydrochloride





The ionic pair of the (S)-2-chloro-butanamine hydrochloride forms a planar macromolecular array by the chloride hydrogen bonds, chloride and nitrogen atoms are represented by spheres.



S2 Macromolecular helix arrangement in the crystal of compound 2:

. Interactions are due to the Cl···H-C hydrogen bonds (2.868 Å). Diazabutadienes are arranged in a helix, with a path of four molecules. The helix axis is perpendicular to the paper plane.

S3 Theoretical conformational analysis of 1,4-dialkyl-1,4-diazabutadienes 1-4

Six planar conformers (A-F) can be described for compounds **1-4**, Scheme 4. Diazabutadienes A, B and C differ by the nitrogen configuration; their interconversion needs only nitrogen inversion. Same situation exits for isomers D-F. Rotation of C2-C2' bond transforms A, B and C into conformers D, E and F, respectively.



Scheme 4. Six planar conformers can be depicted for diazabutadienes 1-4.

It was relevant to know about the energy difference between conformers. Their free energy is related to the steric effects of the tertiary or quaternary N-substituent. The different conformers were calculated by DFT and the hybrid method B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p). In the four molecules **1-4**, isomers A were the most stables. The energy difference (kJ/mol) between A considered as 0.0 and their conformers were calculated, Table. The increase in energy is related to the number of Z relationships: A < B < C. The *cis* conformers (D-F) of compounds **1-3** bearing tertiary N-C carbon atoms are 24.4 – 27.1 kJ/mol less stable than A conformers, whereas the *tert*butyl groups in compound **4** makes isomer D less stable than A by 30.4 kJ/mol. The repulsion of the nitrogen lone pairs in isomer D is also a destabilization factor. In all cases, the calculated energy of the C2-C2' rotational bond barrier for **1-4** is 37.0 kJ/mol. The steric tension in some of the *cis* conformers did not allow getting the planar conformation, these cases are marked with an asterisk. Calculated molecules are in Figure.

Table Energy difference (ΔG° , kJ/mol) between conformers A and B-F.

	Α	В	С	D	E	F
1	0.0	14.9	37.9	27.1	35.8	
2	0.0	19.0	45.6	24.4	<u>41.2</u> *	96.2
3	0.0	20.3	<u>44.4</u> *	25.6	<u>75.5</u> *	91.5
4	0.0	39.2	70.3	30.4	<u>53.4</u> *	<u>179.1</u> *

(*) conformers where the steric effect did not allow to get planar diazabutadienes



Representation of calculated A and D conformers for compounds **1-4**. The N—N distances in cis compounds are shown.

S4 X-Ray diffraction structure of compound 10



Anagostic interactions H...Al are shown.

S5 cell packing representation of compound 14 with $[I_3]^-$ as anion



Ball and stick representation of the $[I_3]^-$ anions in the crystal of imidazolium **14**. $[I_3]^-$ Bond lengths: I1-I2, 2.962(2); I2-I3, 2.892(2); and angle: I3-I2-I1, 177.9(1).

S6 Optimized structures of compounds 5, 17, 18, 20-22



Calculated structure of compound 5



Calculated structures of compounds 17 and 18.



Calculated minimum energy conformers of compounds 20 and 21.

S7 Calculated electrostatic potential for indium compounds



Calculated electrostatic potential for indium compound 15.



Calculated electrostatic potential for indium compound 16.

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and The Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2013



Calculated electrostatic potential for indium compound 18.



Calculated electrostatic potential for the indium compound 17.



Calculated electrostatic potential for indium compound **17**. The blue area belongs to the butadiene protons.



Calculated electrostatic potential for ligand 3 coordinated to one InCl₃.



Calculated electrostatic potential for ligand 3 coordinated to two InCl₃