## New Journal of Chemistry

**RSCPublishing** 

ARTICLE

## **Supporting Information to:**

## Solution thermodynamics, computational and relaxometric studies of ditopic DO3A-based Mn(II) complexes

Roberto Artali,<sup>a</sup> Zsolt Baranyai,<sup>b</sup> Mauro Botta,<sup>c,\*</sup> Giovanni B. Giovenzana,<sup>d,\*</sup> Angelo Maspero,<sup>e</sup> Roberto Negri,<sup>d</sup> Giovanni Palmisano,<sup>e</sup> Massimo Sisti<sup>e</sup> and Stefano Tollari<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Scientia Advice srl, Via Ferraris 28, 20851 Lissone (MI), Italy

<sup>b</sup> Department of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry, University of Debrecen, H-4010 Debrecen. Egyetem tér 1., Hungary.

<sup>c</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze e Innovazione Tecnologica, Università degli Studi del Piemonte Orientale "Amedeo Avogadro", Viale T. Michel 11, 15121 Alessandria, Italy.

<sup>d</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze del Farmaco, Università degli Studi del Piemonte Orientale "Amedeo Avogadro", Largo Donegani 2/3, 28100 Novara, Italy

<sup>e</sup> Dipartimento di Scienza e Alta Tecnologia, Università dell'Insubria, Via Valleggio 11, 22100 Como (Italy)

## Protonation and complexation equilibria

The protonation constants of ligands, defined by Equation (1):

$$K_{i}^{H} = \frac{[H_{i}L]}{[H_{i-1}L][H^{+}]}$$
(1)

where i=1, 2...8, The protonation constants of ligands of **L1** have also been investigated with <sup>1</sup>H-NMR titrations by recording the chemical shift of the non-labile protons as a function of pH. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR titration and the fits of the experimental data points are shown in Figure S1.



**Figure S1.** <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum at pH=12.02 and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR titration curve of L1 ligand.

(a (▲); b,d (♦); c (×); e (●) and f(■); 400 MHz, 0.1 M KCl, 25°C)

The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR titration curve displays sharp changes at different pH values, which are related to the protonation of the ligand. Since the protonation/deprotonation of the different donor atoms is generally fast process on the NMR time scale, the chemical shifts of the observed signals represent a weighted average of the shifts of the different species involved in a specific protonation step (Eq. 2) [Pagado, J. M.; Goldberg, D. E.; Fernelius, W. C., *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1961, **65**, 1062]:

$$\delta_{H(obs)} = \sum x_i \delta_H^{H_i L} \tag{2}$$

where,  $\delta_{H(obs)}$  is the observed chemical shift of a given signal,  $x_i$  and  $\delta_H^{H_iL}$  are the molar fraction and the chemical shift of the involved species, respectively. The observed chemical shifts have been fitted with Eq. 2 (the molar fractions  $x_i$  of the different protonated species are expressed with the use of the protonation constants  $K_i^H$ ).

The protonation and stability constants of the Mn(II)-complexes formed with L1 and L2 ligands have been calculated from the titration curves obtained at 1:1 and 2:1 metal to ligand concentration ratios. The best fitting was obtained by using the model which includes the formation of *MnL*, *MnHL*, *MnH2L*, *MnH4L*, *MnH4L*, *Mn2L*, *Mn2H1L* and *Mn2H2L* species. The stability and protonation constants of the metal complexes formed with L1 and L2 ligands have been defined by Equations (3) - (6).

$$K_{\rm MnL} = \frac{[{\rm MnL}]}{[{\rm Mn}^{2+}][{\rm L}]}$$
(3)

$$K_{\rm MnH_{i}L} = \frac{[{\rm M}\,{\rm nH_{i}}\,{\rm L}]}{[{\rm M}\,{\rm nH_{i-1}}\,{\rm L}][{\rm H^{+}}]} \tag{4}$$

$$K_{Mn_{2}L} = \frac{[Mn_{2}L]}{[MnL][Mn^{2^{+}}]}$$
(5)

$$K_{Mn_{2}H_{i}L} = \frac{[Mn_{2}H_{i}L]}{[Mn_{2}H_{i,1}L][H^{+}]}$$
(6)

where i=1, 2, ...4. The pH-potentiometric titration curves of the H<sub>6</sub>L1, H<sub>6</sub>L2 ligands and Mn(II)-L1, Mn(II)-L2 systems are shown in Figure S2 and S3.



Figure S2. Titration curve of the H<sub>6</sub>L1 ligand in the absence (1) and in the presence of one (2) and two (3) equivalent of Mn(II). ([H<sub>6</sub>L1]=2.0 mM (1); [H<sub>6</sub>L1]=[Mn<sup>2+</sup>]=2.0 mM (2); [H<sub>6</sub>L1]=2.0 mM, [Mn<sup>2+</sup>]=4.0 mM (3); [HCl]=0.014 M, 0.1 M KCl, 25°C).



Figure S3. Titration curve of the H<sub>6</sub>L2 ligand in the absence (1) and in the presence of one (2) and two (3) equivalent of Mn(II). ([H<sub>6</sub>L2]=2.0 mM (1); [H<sub>6</sub>L2]=[Mn<sup>2+</sup>]=2.0 mM (2); [H<sub>6</sub>L2]=2.0 mM, [Mn<sup>2+</sup>]=4.0 mM (3); [HCl]=0.014 M, 0.1 M KCl, 25°C).



**Figure S4.** The relaxivity  $(r_{1p})$  values of the Mn<sub>2</sub>L1 - Zn<sup>2+</sup> reacting system as a function of time. ([Mn<sub>2</sub>L1]=0.5 mM; [Zn<sup>2+</sup>]=10 mM, pH=4.6, [*N*,*N*-dimethyl-piperazine]=0.01 M, 20 MHz, 0.1 M KCl, 25°C).



**Figure S5.** Species distribution and relaxivity values of the Mn(II) - DOTA system as a function of pH ([Mn<sup>2+</sup>]=[DOTA]=1.0 mM, 0.1 M KCl, 20 MHz, 25°C)



**Figure S6.** Species distribution and relaxivity values of the Mn(II) - DO3A system as a function of pH ([Mn<sup>2+</sup>]=[DO3A]=1.0 mM, 0.1 M KCl, 20 MHz, 25°C)



**Figure S7.** Relaxivity values of the Mn<sup>2+</sup> - L1 (A) and Mn<sup>2+</sup> - L2 (B) systems as a function of [Mn<sup>2+</sup>]/[L] ([Mn<sup>2+</sup>]=[L]=1.0 mM, pH=6.5, 0.1 M KCl, 20 MHz, 25°C)