## Design of an ultrasmall Au nanocluster-CeO<sub>2</sub> Mesoporous Nanocomposite Catalyst for Nitrobenzene Reduction

Hanbao Chong<sup>1</sup>, Peng Li<sup>1</sup>\*, Ji Xiang<sup>1</sup>, Fangyu Fu<sup>1</sup>, Xiangming Meng<sup>1</sup>, Dandan Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Xiaorong Ran<sup>2</sup>, Manzhou Zhu<sup>1</sup>\*

- College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Anhui University, Heifei, 230601 (P. R. China)
- 2. Agilent Technologies (China) Co., Ltd., Beijing, 100102(P. R. China)

## Contents

- 1. TEM image and size distribution of Au NCs
- 2. XRD pattern of CeO<sub>2</sub> mesoporous spheres
- 3. EDS analysis of Au@CeO<sub>2</sub>[P]
- 4. N2 adsorption-desorption isotherm of Au@CeO2[P]
- 5. TEM images of Au NCs immobilized on different metal oxide supports
- 6. Time conversion plot of nitrobenzene reduction
- 7. Azoxybenzene formation from nitrobenzene at the presence of different reducing agents
- 8. Spectroscopic characterization of products
- 9. NMR spectrum of products



Figure S1. TEM image of Au NCs, the scale bar is 20 nm (The inset is size distribution of them)



Figure S2. XRD pattern of  $CeO_2$  mesoporous spheres.



Figure S3. N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherm of Au@CeO<sub>2</sub>[P]



Figure S4. EDS analysis of Au@CeO<sub>2</sub>[P].



Figure S5. TEM images of Au NCs immobilized on different metal oxide supports (a: amorphous CeO<sub>2</sub>; b: SiO<sub>2</sub>; c: Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>; d: TiO<sub>2</sub>. Scales in a, b, c, d are 50 nm, 100 nm, 100 nm and 200 nm, respectively).



Figure S6. Time conversion plot (yield was determined by GC)

Table S1. Azoxybenzene formation from nitrobenzene at the presence of different reducing agents<sup>a</sup>.

	NO <sub>2</sub> catal., NaBH <sub>2</sub> r.t., EtOH		
Entry	Reducing agent	Time(h)	Conversion(%)
1	NaBH <sub>4</sub>	3	91
2 <sup>b</sup>	$H_2$	24	$NR^{c}$
3	LiAlH <sub>4</sub>	3	93.3

[a] All reactions were carried out with 0.2 mL nitrobenzene (2 mmol) and 100/0.25 substrate/Au loading. Sodium borohydride or lithium aluminum hydride was loaded 2 equiv. (4 mmol) of nitrobenzene. All the loading of Au was 0.25% mol of substrate

[b] Hydrogen was provided by bubbling.

[c] NR represents no reaction

Spectroscopic characterization of products

(Z)-1,2-diphenyldiazene oxide

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D<sub>1</sub>]CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS ):  $\delta$  =7.38 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*=(H,H)=7.36Hz, 1H, CH), 7.52 (m, 5H, CH), 8.17 (m, 2H, CH), 8.30 ppm (m, 2H, CH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, [D<sub>1</sub>]CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS ): δ =144.04, 131.58, 129.60, 128.80, 128.70, 125.53, 122.36 ppm.

N-phenylhydroxylamine

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D<sub>1</sub>]CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS ):  $\delta = 6.74$  (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(H,H)=7.27, 1H, CH), 6.84 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*(H,H)=8.33, 2H, CH), 7.16 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(H,H)=7.68, 2H, CH), 8.23(s, 1H, NH), 8.28 ppm (s, 1H, OH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, [D<sub>1</sub>]CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS):  $\delta = 149.29$ , 128.97, 122.63, 114.99 ppm.

## Aniline

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D<sub>1</sub>]CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS ):  $\delta$  =3.53 (s, 2H, NH), 6.60 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*(H,H)=17.33, 2H, CH), 6.73 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(H,H)=6.71, 1H, CH), 8.23(s, 1H, CH), 7.13 ppm (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(H,H)=7.04, 1H, CH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, [D<sub>1</sub>]CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS):  $\delta$  = 146.57, 129.41, 118.59, 115.22 ppm.

## Azobenzene

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, [D<sub>1</sub>]CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS ):  $\delta$  =7.92 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*(H,H)=7.83, 2H, CH), 7.50 ppm (m, 3H, CH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, [D<sub>1</sub>]CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS):  $\delta$  = 152.69, 131.00, 129.10, 122.86 ppm.

NMR spectrum of products:



7



8



![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)