Electronic supplementary information

High-performance supercapacitor and lithium-ion battery based on 3D

hierarchical NH₄F-induced nickel cobaltate nanosheet-nanowire cluster arrays as

self-supported electrodes

Yuejiao Chen, Baihua Qu, Lingling Hu, Zhi Xu, Qiuhong Li^{b*} and Taihong Wang^{a*}

 ^a Key Laboratory for Micro-Nano Optoelectronic Devices of Ministry of Education Hunan University. E-mail: thwang@iphy.ac.cn
^b State Key Laboratory for Chemo/Biosensing and Chemometrics Hunan University. E-mail: liqiuhong2004@hotmail.com



Figure S1. Photographs of Ni substrate, nickel cobalt hydroxide precursor on Ni foam and NiCo₂O₄ on Ni foam.



Figure S2. N_2 adsorption-desorption isotherm and pore size distribution curves of the NiCo₂O₄ NSWC scraped from the substrate.



Figure S3. EDX pattern of the NiCo₂O₄ NSWC arrays.



Figure S4. SEM images of the products grow on Ti foil obtained at different concentration of NH₄F.



Figure S5. EIS plot of the NiCo₂O₄ NSWC/Ni foam electrode before cycling tests. The inset is an enlarged curve of the high frequency region.

The EIS data shows a solution resistance Rs (the intrinsic resistance of the electroactive materials, the contact resistance of material with substrate, the electrolyte resistance) and a charge-transfer resistance Rct. A vertical line leaning to imaginary axis in the low frequency range represents the solution resistance of about 0.59Ω , indicating an ideal electronic conductivity and good electrochemical capacitance of the electrode. At high frequency region, it displays a negligible semicircle and a high slope, revealing the low charge transfer resistance (Rct) and fast ion diffusion rate during the redox reaction.



Figure S6.The capacitive performance of NiCo₂O₄ NSWC/Ni foam electrode at low current densities.

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Nanoscale This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2013

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI)



Figure S7. Electrochemical property of nickel foam $(0.0402g/cm^2)$, obtained by hydrothermal treating at 100 °C for 5 h and subsequent calcination at 300 °C in purity argon for 2 h, was tested independently (a) at different scan rates; (b) at different charge-discharge rates; (c) Cycling performance of discharge electric quantity at the current density of 56 mA cm⁻² (corresponding to 1.4 A/g).

Discussion on the contribution of nickel foam

It is clearly shown that the nickel foam shows a redox process which is attributed to the reversible reaction of Ni(II)/Ni(III) formed on the nickel surface. For a typical charge-discharge process of the active material on nickel foam (for example, current density is 56 mA/cm²), the area capacitance is calculated to be 0.443 F/cm² (11 F/g for specific capacitance). And according to Fig. 5d, it is about 2.79 F/cm² (1550 F/g). After enduring about 3000 cycles at 56 mA/cm², the capacitance decreased by 80%. Obviously, the mass capacitance contribution from the nickel foam can be absolutely neglectable. And the area capacitance contribution from the nickel foam can also be neglectable after thousands of cycles.

| Current density | Total capacitance | Capacitance of | Real capacitance of | Contribution |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| $(mA cm^{-2})$ | $(F \text{ cm}^{-2})$ | Ni foam (F cm ⁻²) | $NiCo_2O_4$ (F cm ⁻²) | of Ni foam |
| 18 | 3.83 | 0.492 | 3.338 | 12.84% |
| 27 | 3.39 | 0.473 | 2.917 | 13.95% |
| 36 | 3.15 | 0.461 | 2.692 | 14.54% |
| 54 | 2.79 | 0.443 | 2.347 | 15.87% |
| 90 | 2.38 | 0.413 | 1.967 | 17.35% |
| 144 | 2.09 | 0.376 | 1.714 | 17.99% |

Table S1. Comparison of area capacitance before and after removing the contributed part of Ni foam



Figure S8. Dependence of the current density on $v^{1/2}$ for the NiCo₂O₄ nanosheets/Ni foam electrode.



Figure S9. Nyquist plots of the EIS spectra for the $NiCo_2O_4$ NSWC/Ni foam electrode after 5000 and 10000 cycles, respectively

| Nanostructures | Capacitance (F g ⁻¹) | Capacitance (F cm ⁻²) | Current density | Capacitance loss | Referenc e |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Single crystal NiCo ₂ O ₄ nanoneedle arrays | 1118.6 | 1.01 | $5.56 \text{ mA}/\text{cm}^2$ | 10.6 % after 2000 cycles | 1 |
| Ultrathin Mesoporous NiCo ₂ O ₄ Nanosheets | 1450 | 1.16 | 20 A/g (16 mA/cm ²) | 6 % after 2300 cycles | 2 |
| Mesoporous NiCo ₂ O ₄ Nanosheets | 1708 | 2.05 | 19.8 mA/cm ² (16.5 A/g) | 6.7% after 3000 cycles | 3 |
| Hierarchical Co ₃ O ₄ @Ni–Co–O | 1525 | 18.13 | 2.5 A/g (30 mA/cm ²) | 4% after 1000 cycles | 4 |
| urchin-like NiCo ₂ O ₄ nanostructures | 1348 | / | 15 A/g | 9.2 % after 2000 cycles | 5 |
| Hierarchical porous NiCo ₂ O ₄ nanowires | 743 | / | 1 A/g | 6.2 % after 3000 cycles | 6 |
| NiCo ₂ O ₄ –reduced graphene oxide | 615 | / | 20 A/g | / | 7 |

| Table S2. | Comparison | of electrochemical | performance |
|-----------|------------|--------------------|-------------|
|-----------|------------|--------------------|-------------|

| composites | | | | | |
|---|------|------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| NiCo ₂ O ₄ NSWC arrays | 1752 | 3.15 | 36 mA/cm ² (20 A/g) | 6.2% after 10200 cycles | This work |

- 1 G. Q. Zhang, H. B. Wu, H. E. Hoster, M. B. Chan-park, X. W. Lou, *Energy Environ*.
- *Sci.*, 2012, **5**, 9453.
- 2 C. Z. Yuan, J. Y. Li, L. R. Hou, X. G. Zhang, L. F. Shen, X. W. Lou, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, 22, 4592.
- 3 G. Q. Zhang, X. W. Lou, Adv. Mater., 2013, 25, 976-979.
- 4 Z. Y. Lu, Q. Yang, W. Zhu, Z. Chang, J. F. Liu, X. M. Sun, D. G. Evans, X. duan, *Nano Res.*, 2012, 5, 369.
- 5 Q. F. Wang, B. Liu, X. F. Wang, S. H. Ran, L. M. Wang, D. Chen, G. Z. Shen, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 21647.
- 6 H. Jiang, J. Ma, C. Z. Li, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 4465.
- 7 W. W. Huan, Z. A. Hu, Y. Q. Chang, Y. L. Chen, H. Y. Wu, Z. Y. Zhang Y. Y. Yang, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 10504.