- Supplementary Information -

# Electrical Breakdown of Multilayer MoS<sub>2</sub> Field-Effect Transistors with Thickness-Dependent Mobility

Rui Yang<sup>1</sup>, Zenghui Wang<sup>1</sup>, Philip X.-L. Feng<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering & Computer Science, Case School of Engineering Case Western Reserve University, 10900 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA

### 1. Experimental Details of Electrical Breakdown Measurements

The multilayer  $MoS_2$  devices experience multiple sweeping cycles in the electrical breakdown measurement (as shown in Fig. 4 in the Main Text). In the measurements, we start with smaller sweeping ranges for  $V_D$ , and then gradually increase the voltage range. We repeat multiple times for each  $V_D$  range and observe changes in device characteristics, including breakdown. Figure S1 shows the details of  $V_D$  sweeps. We observe in multiple devices (Figs. S1 (c)-(h)) that the current levels gradually decrease with subsequent sweeping cycles. Different breakdown locations on the devices are observed (Fig. S1 insets).

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author. Email: <u>philip.feng@case.edu</u>



**Fig. S1**:  $V_D$  sweeps during the electrical breakdown measurement of multilayer MoS<sub>2</sub> transistors shown in Fig. 4 in the main text, with (a)-(b) for the device in Fig. 4(a), (c)-(d) for the device in Fig. 4(b), (e)-(f) for the device in Fig. 4(c), and (g)-(h) for the device in Fig. 4(d). The red curves show the final breakdown sweeps. Insets: Optical microscope images before (a,c,e,g) and after (b,d,f,h) the breakdown.

#### 2. Scattering Mechanisms

We model the device mobility dependence on thickness with different scattering mechanisms, and the relaxation time for each scattering mechanism is plotted in Fig. S2 for MoS<sub>2</sub> thickness of 2.5nm to 86nm, which corresponds to 4 to 140 layers, using 0.615nm as the layer spacing<sup>1</sup>. As phonon scattering and charged impurity scattering in MoS<sub>2</sub> has been reported elsewhere1<sup>,2,3,4,5</sup>, here we focus on other mechanisms, including boundary scattering (assuming the electron mean free path is on the order of MoS<sub>2</sub> thickness)<sup>6</sup>, vacancy scattering (in calculation we use values of vacancy defect density  $n_v=10^{13}$ cm<sup>-2</sup>, electron density  $n_e=10^{12}$ cm<sup>-2</sup>, and vacancy radius comparable to the lattice constant)<sup>7</sup>, and thickness step scattering (assuming average step distance of ~1.5µm, and step height comparable to the single layer thickness)<sup>8</sup>. We calculate these scattering mechanisms in MoS<sub>2</sub> and the results are shown in Fig. S2. The total relaxation time is calculated for different fitting parameters  $\beta$  (2, 4, and 6) as shown in the main text.



Fig. S2: Relaxation time for different scattering mechanisms with different MoS<sub>2</sub> thickness.

#### 3. Details of FEM Simulation for Electrical Breakdown

In the electrical breakdown simulation (as shown in Fig. 6 in the Main Text), we use  $\sigma$ =35000S/m in Figs. 6(a)-(c)<sup>9</sup>. In the model we assume the MoS<sub>2</sub> extends 1µm into the contact, with 8k $\Omega$  contact resistance<sup>10</sup>. The heat is generated in MoS<sub>2</sub> channel and contact region and is dissipated to the SiO<sub>2</sub> and Si substrate, using thermal conductivity of SiO<sub>2</sub> and Si of 1.4W/(m K) and 130W/(m K), respectively. The surface of the substrate is held at room temperature (293.15K). The cross-section view of the FEM result (Fig. S3) shows that the heat dissipation into the substrate dominates.



**Fig. S3**: The cross-section view of the FEM result of the temperature profile under Joule heating. In simulation the MoS<sub>2</sub> has t=25nm,  $L=5\mu$ m,  $W=2\mu$ m.

# 4. Summary of All the Measured Devices

Device ID #	MoS <sub>2</sub> Thickness (nm)	SiO <sub>2</sub> Substrate Thickness	Contact Materials	Mobility (cm <sup>2</sup> /(V s))	I <sub>On</sub> /I <sub>Off</sub> Ratio	Comments
1	70.3	290nm	Ti(3nm) /Ni(50nm)	42	10 <sup>4</sup>	Figs. 2(a)- 2(d), Highest Mobility
2	5.7	290nm	Ti(2nm) /Ni(150nm)	9.9	4×10 <sup>6</sup>	Figs. 2(e)- 2(h)
3	12	290nm	Ni(50nm)	18.3	6×10 <sup>4</sup>	Fig. 4(d)
4	18.4	290nm	Ti(2nm) /Ni(150nm)	6.5	$10^{6}$	
5	55	290nm	Ni(50nm)	38.7	$7 \times 10^{4}$	Fig. 4(c)
6	76/22 Step	290nm	Ni(50nm)	2	6×10 <sup>4</sup>	Fig. 4(b)
7	32	290nm	Ti(5nm) /Ni(100nm)	36.8	10 <sup>7</sup>	Highest I <sub>On</sub> /I <sub>Off</sub> Ratio
8	12	290nm	Ti(5nm) /Ni(100nm)	9.8	10 <sup>6</sup>	
9	39	3.5µm	Ti(5nm) /Ni(150nm)	31.9	10 <sup>5</sup>	
10	7	3.5µm	Ti(5nm) /Ni(70nm)	1.6	10 <sup>3</sup>	

# **Table S1**: List of measured multilayer $MoS_2$ FETs and the parameters

### References

- <sup>1</sup> S.-L. Li, K. Wakabayashi, Y. Xu, S. Nakaharai, K. Komatsu, W.-W. Li, Y.-F. Lin, A. Aparecido-Ferreira, K. Tsukagoshi, Nano Lett. **13**, 3546 (2013).
- <sup>2</sup> N. Ma, D. Jena, Phys. Rev. X 4, 011043 (2014).
- <sup>3</sup> K. Kaasbjerg, K. S. Thygesen, K. W. Jacobsen, Phys. Rev. B 85, 115317 (2012).
- <sup>4</sup> B. L. Gelmont, M. Shur, M. Stroscio, J. Appl. Phys. 77, 657 (1995).
- <sup>5</sup> S. Kim, A. Konar, W.-S. Hwang, J. H. Lee, J. Lee, J. Yang, C. Jung, H. Kim, J.-B. Yoo, J.-Y. Choi, Y. W. Jin, S. Y. Lee, D. Jena, W. Choi, K. Kim, Nat. Commun. **3**, 1011 (2012).
- <sup>6</sup> P. E. Hopkins, J. Appl. Phys. 105, 093517 (2009).
- <sup>7</sup> J.-H. Chen, W. G. Cullen, C. Jang, M. S. Fuhrer, E. D. Williams, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 236805 (2009).
- <sup>8</sup> Y. Tokura, T. Saku, Y. Horikoshi, Phys. Rev. B 53, R10528 (1996).
- <sup>9</sup> B. Radisavljevic, A. Kis, Nat. Mater. 12, 815 (2013).
- <sup>10</sup> B. W. H. Baugher, H. O. H. Churchill, Y. Yang, P. Jarillo-Herrero, Nano Lett. 13, 4212 (2013).