Electronic Supporting Information

Liquid-phase Growth of Platinum Nanoparticles on Molybdenum Trioxide Nanosheets: An Enhanced Catalyst with Intrinsic Peroxidase-like Catalytic Activity

Yixian Wang,^{†*ab*} Xiao Zhang,^{†*a*} Zhimin Luo,^{†*a*} Xiao Huang,^{*a*} Chaoliang Tan,^{*a*} Hai Li,^{*a*} Bing Zheng,^{*a*} Bing Li,^{*c*} Ying Huang,^{*a*} Jian Yang,^{*a*} Yun Zong,^{*c*} Yibin Ying,^{**b*} and Hua Zhang^{**a*}

^aSchool of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 50 Nanyang Avenue, Singapore 639798, Singapore. E-mail: hzhang@ntu.edu.sg

^bCollege of Biosystems Engineering and Food Science, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China. E-mail: ybying@zju.edu.cn

^cInstitute of Materials Research and Engineering, Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A*STAR), 3 Research Link, Singapore 117602, Singapore.

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Experimental Section

Chemicals. Bulk α -MoO₃ powder was purchased from Stem Chemicals Inc. (MA). Potassium tetrachloroplatinate (II) (99.99% trace metals basis), glucose, fructose, lactose, maltose, 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB), glucose oxidase (GOx, from Aspergillus Niger) and human serum samples were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) (50%) and ethanol (99%) were obtained from Aik Moh Paints & Chemicals Pte Ltd (Singapore). All chemicals were used as received without further purification. The deionised water was purified using Milli-Q System (Millipore, Billerica, MA).

Preparation of 2D MoO₃ nanosheets. Liquid exfoliation method was used to prepare the 2D MoO₃ nanosheets.¹ Briefly, 0.2 g of bulk α -MoO₃ powder was grounded with 0.2 mL of acetonitrile for 15 min. The powder was then dispersed in a mixture of ethanol and water (25 mL, v/v = 1/1). After that, the mixed solution was probe-sonicated (Ultrasonic Processor GEX500) for 120 min at the power of 125 W, and then centrifuged at 3,000 r.p.m. for 20 min at room temperature. The blue supernatant containing a high concentration of 2D MoO₃ nanosheets was collected.

Synthesis of Pt-MoO₃ hybrid nanomaterials. After 2 mL of 0.1 mg mL⁻¹ 2D MoO₃ nanosheets solution was centrifuged at 8,000 r.p.m. for 20 min, the supernatant was removed and the precipitate was re-dispersed in the mixture of 7.5 mL of ethanol and 0.5 mL of 1.0 mM K_2 PtCl₄ in deionised water. This mixed solution in a 10 mL glass vial was then irradiated with a 150 W halogen lamp at 80% of its full intensity for 2 h, during which the glass vial was cooled by ice water to prevent the light-induced overheating. After the reaction, the resulting

solution was centrifuged at 7,500 r.p.m. for 15 min, and the precipitated Pt-MoO₃ hybrid nanomaterials was re-dispersed in water solution before further characterization.

Characterization. A drop of a solution containing the 2D MoO₃ nanosheets or Pt-MoO₃ hybrid nanomaterials was dropped on a substrate and then naturally dried in air. Then the samples were characterized by TEM (JEM 2100F, JEOL) coupled with EDX elemental mapping (holey carbon-coated copper grid as substrate), AFM (Dimension 3100, Veeco) (Si as substrate), Raman spectroscopy (InVia Reflex Raman Microscope, Renishaw) (glass as substrate), and XRD (XRD-600, Shimadzu) (glass as substrate). The metal components were measured by Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometer (ICP-OES, Optima 5300 DV, PerkinElmer).

Assay. Kinetic measurements were carried out in time course mode by monitoring the absorbance change at 652 nm using an automatic microplate reader (Infinite M200, Tecan). For the experiment of 2D MoO₃ nanosheets, 16 µg of MoO₃ nanosheets reacted with 300 µL solution containing 25 mM Na₂HPO₄ (pH 4.0, 40 °C), 800 µM TMB (used as substrate), and H₂O₂ (concentration of 50 mM, unless otherwise stated). For the experiment of Pt-MoO₃ hybrid nanomaterials, 30 µL of Pt-MoO₃ (0.414 µg MoO₃ and 0.396 µg Pt) reacted with 300 µL solution containing 25 mM Na₂HPO₄ (pH 4.0, 40 °C) and 800 µM TMB (used as substrate), and H₂O₂ (concentration of 5 mM, unless otherwise stated). The apparent kinetic parameters were calculated based on the function $v = V_{max} \times [S]/(K_m + [S])$, where v is the initial velocity, V_{max} is the maximal reaction velocity, [S] is the concentration of substrate, and K_m is the Michaelis constant.²

Glucose detection. This detection procedure was shown as follows: (1) 5 μ L of 40 mg mL⁻¹ GOx and 100 μ L of glucose with different concentration in 0.5 mM Na₂HPO₄ buffer solution (pH 7.0) were incubated at 37 °C for 1 h; (2) 15 μ L of the above glucose reaction solutions were added into 100 μ L of 2.4 mM TMB, 30 μ L of the Pt-MoO₃ solution, and 155 μ L of 25 mM Na₂HPO₄ buffer solution (pH 4.0). The mixed solution was used to perform the measurement. In control experiments, 5 mM maltose, 5 mM lactose, and 5 mM fructose were used for the test.



Figure S1. AFM image of the prepared 2D MoO₃ nanosheets.



Figure S2. (a) A dark-field TEM image of a Pt-MoO₃ hybrid nanostructure, and its elemental mapping of (b) Pt, (c) Mo and (d) O.



Figure S3. (a) Typical photograph of 800 μ M TMB solution oxidized by 2D MoO₃ nanosheets in the presence of 50 mM H₂O₂ when incubated in 25 mM Na₂HPO₄ solution (pH 4.0) at 40 °C. From left to right: without MoO₃; 16 μ g 2D MoO₃ nanosheets; and 16 μ g bulk MoO₃ powder. (b) The corresponding time-dependent absorbance changes at 652 nm.



Figure S4. Time-dependent absorbance changes at 652 nm of 800 μ M TMB solutions (5 mM H₂O₂) with two different catalysts: physical mixture of 0.414 μ g MoO₃ nanosheets and 0.396 μ g Pt NPs, and 30 μ L of Pt-MoO₃ solution (0.414 μ g MoO₃ and 0.396 μ g Pt).



Figure S5. Time-dependent absorbance changes at 652 nm of 800 μ M TMB solutions in the presence of (a) 2D MoO₃ nanosheets (50 mM H₂O₂) with different amount (from 0 to 16 μ g) and (b) Pt-MoO₃ hybrid nanomaterials (5 mM H₂O₂) with different amount (from 0 to 30 μ L). 30 μ L of Pt-MoO₃ hybrid nanostructure is composed of 0.414 μ g MoO₃ and 0.396 μ g Pt.



Figure S6. The peroxidase-like activity of 2D MoO₃ nanosheets and Pt-MoO₃ hybrid nanomaterials depends on pH (a), temperature (b), and H_2O_2 concentration (c). Experiments were carried out with MoO₃ nanosheets (16 µg) or Pt-MoO₃ hybrid nanomaterials (0.414 µg MoO₃ and 0.396 µg Pt) in 0.3 mL reaction solution using 25 mM Na₂HPO₄ solution with 800 µM TMB as substrate. The concentration of H_2O_2 was 50 mM for 2D MoO₃ nanosheets and 5 mM for Pt-MoO₃ at pH 4.0 and 40 °C, unless otherwise stated. The maximum point in each curve was set as 100%. The optimal catalytic environment for 2D MoO₃ nanosheets towards the TMB-H₂O₂ system is 25 mM Na₂HPO₄ solution (pH 4.0) with 500 mM H₂O₂ at 40 °C. The optimal catalytic environment for Pt-MoO₃ at 0 °C.



Figure S7. Steady state kinetic assay and catalytic mechanism of Pt-MoO₃ hybrid nanomaterials. The velocity (ν) of reaction was measured with 30 µL of Pt-MoO₃ hybrid nanomaterials (0.414 µg MoO₃ and 0.396 µg Pt) in 0.3 mL of 25 mM Na₂HPO₄ solution (pH 4.0) at 40 °C. (a) The concentration of TMB was 800 µM and the H₂O₂ concentration was varied. (b) The concentration of H₂O₂ was 5 mM and the TMB concentration was varied. (c, d) Double-reciprocal plots of activity of Pt-MoO₃ hybrid nanomaterials at a fixed concentration of one substrate versus varying concentration of the second substrate for H₂O₂ and TMB.

1 1			2
Catalyst	Substrate	$K_{\rm m} [{\rm mM}]$	V _{max} [10 ⁻⁸ M s ⁻¹]
2D.MaQ memoryhanta	TMB	0.093	3.041
$2D \operatorname{MOO}_3$ hanosheets	H_2O_2	109.5	5.284
D4 NID-	TMB	0.301	4.252
PUNPS	H_2O_2	6.1	3.611
Minture of 2D Machine and he NDs	TMB	0.296	4.263
Mixture of 2D MoO_3 handsheets and Pt NPS	H ₂ O ₂	5.9	3.625
Pt-MoO ₃ hybrid nanomaterials	TMB	0.106	4.286
	H_2O_2	3.2	3.794
HRP ²	TMB	0.434	10
	H_2O_2	3.7	8.7

Table S1. Comparison of the kinetic parameters of different catalysts and HRP.

 $K_{\rm m}$: Michaelis constant. $V_{\rm max}$: maximal reaction velocity.

Figure S8. Steady state kinetic assay and catalytic mechanism of 2D MoO₃ nanosheets. The velocity (ν) of reaction was measured with 16 µg MoO₃ nanosheets in 0.3 mL of 25 mM Na₂HPO₄ solution (pH 4.0) at 40 °C. (a) The concentration of TMB was 800 µM and the concentration of H₂O₂ was varied. (b) The concentration of H₂O₂ was 50 mM and the concentration of TMB was varied. (c, d) Double-reciprocal plots of activity of 2D MoO₃ nanosheets at a fixed concentration of one substrate versus varying concentration of the second substrate for H₂O₂ and TMB.



Figure S9. Photograph of the phosphate buffer solutions containing 120 μ L of Pt-MoO₃ hybrid nanomaterials and 800 μ M TMB with the addition of 60 μ L of glucose reaction solutions (catalyzed by GOx). The glucose concentration decreases from left to right.

Serum sample ^[a]	Our colorimetric method (mM) ^[b]	Recovery test ^d		
		Added (mM)	Founded (mM)	Recovery (%)
No.1	$3.61\pm0.02^{\rm c}$	1.0	0.93 ± 0.04	93.0
No.2	3.89 ± 0.09	1.0	0.95 ± 0.06	95.0
No.3	3.54 ± 0.07	1.0	0.91 ± 0.05	91.0

 Table S2. Analysis of serum samples.

[a] All the samples were diluted 10-fold using 0.5 mM Na₂HPO₄ solution (pH 7.0). [b] All samples were analyzed using standard addition method (n = 3). [c] Mean value ± standard deviation (n = 3). [d] Recovery test was performed by addition of glucose standard solution into the serum samples with the same test procedure in the sample analysis.

References

- R. J. Smith, P. J. King, M. Lotya, C. Wirtz, U. Khan, S. De, A. O'Neill, G. S. Duesberg, J. C. Grunlan, G. Moriarty, J. Chen, J. Z. Wang, A. I. Minett, V. Nicolosi and J. N. Coleman, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, 23, 3944-3948.
- L. Z. Gao, J. Zhuang, L. Nie, J. B. Zhang, Y. Zhang, N. Gu, T. H. Wang, J. Feng, D. L. Yang, S. Perrett and X. Y. Yan, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2007, 2, 577-583.