Souvik Banerjee,^a Walker J. Wiggins,^a Jessie L. Geoghegan,^a Catherine T. Anthony,^c, Eugene A. Woltering,^b Douglas S. Masterson^{*a}

Supporting Information



Scheme 8: Stereochemical Configuration of 3b

-



Scheme 9: Absolute configuration of 3c and 3d



Scheme 10: Absolute configuration of 3e and 3f

precipitate was observed within an hour of reflux. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to RT, and the resulting mixture was The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, evaporated under reduced pressure, and purified by column chromatography using 30% was added to a solution of 3.8g (9.3 mmol) of 26 in 50 mL MeOH. The mixture was heated to reflux solvent overnight. A white Synthesis of (R)-ethyl-3-methyl-2-oxopyrrolidine-3-carboxylate (27): A volume of 930 μL (10.2 mmol) 35% hydrazine in water The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was taken up in CH₂Cl₂ and washed with water. filtered.

432.1406

Hexanes/EtOAc giving 1.2 g of a 10:1 mixture of **27a:27b** as a white solid. The mixture was recrystallized in cold Et₂O giving 1g (6 (cm⁻¹): 3245, 2985, 1726, 1698, 1660. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 7.06 (bs, 1H), 4.20 (m, 2H), 3.47 (m, 1H), 3.36 (m, 1H), 2.64 (m, 1H), 2.02 (m, 1H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 1.28 (t, 3H, J = 7 Hz), ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 177.0, 172.0, 61.0, 51.0, 40.0, 34.0, 20.0, mmol, 64.5%) of pure **27a** as white crystals. R_f (**27a**) = 0.31 (30% Hexanes/EtOAc). MP = 63 ${}^{0}C$. [α] ${}^{23}_{D}$ = +19.0 (c = 2, MeOH). IR 14.0. HRMS $[C_8H_{13}NO_3Na^+]$: calculated = 194.0788, found = 194.0795.

was added to the residue and stirred for 5 min. The MeOH layer was decanted from the remaining solid and concentrated in vacuo (*R*) – 3- methyl-2-oxopyrrolidine- 3- carboxylic acid (28): An amount of 1.6g (9.4 mmol) of 27a was dissolved in 15 mL ethanol. A volume of 7 mL 1N NaOH was added to the reaction mixture. The solution was brought to reflux solvent for an hour. The solution was cooled and acidified with HCl to pH 4. The water layer was concentrated at 35 ^oC under high vacuum. A volume of 10 mL MeOH $R_{f} = 0.17$ (5% MeOH/ CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz): δ 3.35 (m, 1H), 3.25 (m, 1H), 2.49 (m, 1H), 1.95 (m, 1H), 1.27 (s, 1.12) (s, giving 1g of **28** (6.9 mmol, 73%) as a white solid. MP = 155 $^{\circ}$ C. IR (cm⁻¹) = 3363, 3368, 2975, 2906, 1749, 1722, 1704, 1636, 1485. 3H). ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD, 100 MHz): δ 179.6, 175.7, 52.1, 40.7, 35.0, 20.3. ESI-MS [C₆H₁₀NO₃]⁺ = 143.1, observed = 143.2. Benzyl (R)- 3- methyl- 2- oxopyrrolidin- 3- ylcarbamate (29): An amount of 1.77g (12.4 mmol) of 28 was dissolved in 50 mL of н. dry dichloroethane. A volume of 3.6 mL (26 mmol) Et₃N was added followed by 3.1 mL (13.6 mmol) diphenylphosphorylazide (DPPA). The solution was allowed to stir for 2 hrs at RT and then heated to reflux solvent for 2 hr. A volume of 1.8 mL (17.4 mmol) benzyl alcohol was then added and the solution was allowed to reflux solvent over night. The dichloroethane layer was concentrated

<u>64%).</u> R_f = 0.10 (40% EtOAc/Hexanes). IR (cm⁻¹) = 3225, 1725, 1693, 1657, 1536. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 7.33 (m, 5H), 6.75 vacuo and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (40% EtOAc/Hexanes) giving 1.97g of 29 as a white wax (7.9 mmol, (bs, 1H), 5.55 (bs, 1H), 5.06 (m, 2H), 3.34 (m, 2H), 2.52 (m, 1H), 2.31 (m, 1H), 1.40 (s, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 178.2, 155.2, 136.2, 128.7, 128.3, 128.2, 66.7, 57.2, 39.0, 34.8, 22.3. HRMS $[C_{13}H_{16}N_2O_3Na^+] = 271.1053$, observed = 271.1047.

MeOH in a pressure bottle. An amount of 0.16g Pd-C (10%) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was allowed to giving 0.66g of the free amine (5.8 mmol), which was then dissolved in 20 mL THF. A volume of 1.7mL (11.6 mmol) Et₃N was added to the reaction mixture. A solution of 1.5g (BOC)₂O (6.9 mmol) in 10 mL THF was added to the reaction mixture drop wise. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir over night at RT. The THF was concentrated and the resulting residue was extracted with Et₂O and water. The ether layer was concentrated and the residue was rinsed with hexane giving 0.83g of the 30 (3.9 mmol, 61% over two (R)- tert-butyl- 3- methyl- 2- oxopyrrolidin-3- ylcarbamate (30): An amount of 1.6 g (6.4 mmol) of 29 was dissolved in 25 mL shake under 20 psi H₂ pressure for 12 hr. The MeOH layer was filtered off through a Celite bed. The filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* steps) as a white solid. The characterization of **30** complied with the literature.¹ $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -16$ (c = 0.35, CHCl₃).

in a round bottom flask. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux solvent for 24 hr. The aqueous layer was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The resulting gummy solid was triturated with EtOAc multiple times leading to 0.4g (1.8 mmol, 86%) of **31a** Synthesis of (*S*)- 2- methyl-ornithinedihydrochloride (31a): A volume of 30 mL 6N HCl solution was added to 1g of 4c (2.1 mmol) as a white solid. All the characterization data of the product complied with the literature.² [α]²⁴ = + 6.86 (c = 0.7, 4N HCl).

reduced pressure. The resulting gummy solid was triturated with EtOAc multiple times leading to 0.36g (1.5 mmol, 71%) of **31b** as a Synthesis of (S)- 2- methyl-lysinedihydrochloride (31b): A volume of 30 mL 6N HCl solution was added in 1g of 4d (2.1 mmol) in a round bottom flask. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux solvent for 24 hr. The aqueous layer was evaporated to dryness under white solid. All the characterization data of the product complied with the literature.² [α]²⁴ = +7.25 (c = 1, 4N HCl).

calculated amount of K₂CO₃ (1.2 equivalent) was added to the solution. A measured volume of benzyl bromide (0.95 equivalents) was General synthetic procedure for the formation 32a, and 32b: An amount of 3e/3f (1 equivalent) was dissolve in DMF under N₂. A added to the reaction mixture. The reaction was allowed to stir over night under N₂. Water was added to the reaction mixture and the was dried over MgSO₄, concentrates, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (40% Et₂O/ Hexanes) giving the product aqueous layer was extracted with $Et_2O(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$. The combined ether layer was given a water wash (10 x 50 mL). The Et_2O layer as a colorless oil.

synthetic procedure for the formation of 32a/32b using 5g (14 mmol) of 3e. An amount of 5.2g of 32a (11.5 mmol, 82%) was obtained as a colorless viscous oil after purification (40% $Et_2O/Hexanes$). $R_f = 0.16$ (40% $Et_2O/Hexanes$). IR (cm⁻¹) = 2938, 1770, 1700. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 7.83 (m, 2H), 7.70 (m, 2H), 7.32 (m, 5H), 5.15 (m, 2H), 4.11 (q, 2H, *J* = 7Hz), 3.64 (t, 2H, *J* = 7Hz), 1.85 (m, 2H), 1.64 (m, 2H), 1.41 (s, 3H), 1.28 (m, 4H), 1.15 (t, 3H, *J* = 7Hz). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 172.2, 172.0, (S)- 1- benzyl 3- ethyl-2- methyl-2-(5-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)pentyl)malonate (32a): 32a was synthesized following the general

Ш synthetic procedure for the formation of 32a/32b using 5g (13.3 mmol) of 3f. An amount of 5.3g of 32b (11.4 mmol, 86%) was obtained as a colorless viscous oil after purification (40% $Et_2O/Hexanes$). $R_f = 0.30$ (40% $Et_2O/Hexanes$). IR (cm⁻¹) = 2936, 1771, 7Hz), 1.85 (m, 2H), 1.64 (m, 2H), 1.41 (s, 3H), 1.30 (m, 4H), 1.17 (m, 5H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 172.3, 172.1, 168.4, [136.0, 134.0, 132.2, 128.5, 128.2, 128.0, 123.2, 66.7, 61.1, 54.0, 38.0, 35.5, 29.4, 28.5, 26.5, 24.2, 20.0, 14. HRMS $[C_{27}H_{31}NO_6Na^+]$ (S)- 1- benzyl 3- ethyl-2-methyl-2-(6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)hexyl)malonate (32b): 32b was synthesized following the general 1706. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): § 7.83 (m, 2H), 7.70 (m, 2H), 7.32 (m, 5H), 5.15 (m, 2H), 4.11 (g, 2H, *J* = 7Hz), 3.64 (t, 2H, *J* calculated = 488.2043, observed = 488.2030.

methanol. A calculated amount of 35% N₂H₄.H₂O in water (1.2 equivalents) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture General synthetic procedure for the formation of 33a, and 33b: A measured amount of 32a/32b (1 equivalent) was dissolved in layer was concentrated *in vacuo* and the gummy solid was taken up in CH₂Cl₂ leading to more white precipitate. The white precipitate was heated to reflux solvent for 6 hrs. The reaction mixture was cooled to RT and the white precipitate was filtered off. The MeOH is again removed by filtration and the CH₂Cl₂ layer was again concentrated in vacuo giving pure product as colorless oil.

а (S)-1-benzyl-3-ethyl-2-(5-aminopentyl)-2-methylmalonate (33a): 33a was prepared from 32a following the general synthetic procedure for the formation of **33a/33b** using 5g of **32a** (11 mmol). An amount of 3.3g (10.3 mmol, 94%) of **33a** was obtained as colorless viscous oil. R_f = 0.12 (3% MeOH/CH₂Cl₂). IR (cm⁻¹) = 3100, 3000, 2938, 1724. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 7.32 (m, 5H), 5.15 (m, 2H), 4.11 (q, 2H, *J* = 7Hz), 2.65 (t, 2H, *J* = 7Hz), 1.87 (t, 2H, *J* = 8Hz), 1.61 (bs, 2H), 1.41 (m, 5H), 1.24 (m, 7H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): § 172.3, 172.2, 136.0, 128.5, 128.2, 128.0, 67, 61.2, 54.0, 42.0, 35.4, 33.5, 27.0, 24.0, 20.0, 14.0. HRMS $[C_{18}H_{27}NO_4Na^+]$ calculated = 344.1832, observed = 344.1823.

procedure for the formation of **33a/33b** using 5g of **32b** (10.7 mmol). An amount of 3g (8.9 mmol, 83%) of **33b** was obtained as a (S)-1-benzyl-3-ethyl-2-(6-aminohexyl)-2-methylmalonate (33b): 33b was prepared from 32b following the general synthetic colorless viscous oil. R_f = 0.14 (3% MeOH/CH₂Cl₂). IR (cm⁻¹) = 3300, 2932, 1726. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): 87.25 (m, 5H), 5.07 (m, 2H), 4.04 (q, 2H, J = 7Hz), 2.68 (bs, 2H), 2.61 (t, 2H, J = 7Hz), 1.77 (t, 2H, J = 7Hz), 1.33 (m, 5H), 1.14 (m, 9H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): § 171.3, 171.2, 135.0, 127.5, 127.2, 127.0, 66.0, 60.1, 53.0, 41.0, 34.5, 32.0, 28.5, 25.1, 19.0, 13.0. HRMS $[C19H29NO4Na^+]$ calculated = 358.1988, observed = 358.1983.

procedure.³ A measured amount of **33a/33b** (1 equivalent) was dissolved in 24 mL 2:1 2.5M NaOH/EtOH mixture. The solution was General synthetic procedure for the formation of 34a, and 34b: 34a/34b were synthesized from 33a/33b following a literature cooled to 0^{0} C and a measured amount of NH₂OSO₃H (2 equivalent) was added to the solution. The solution was stirred at 0^{0} C for 35 The reaction was allowed to stir at 0 ^oC foranother 90 minutes and then allowed to warm to RT overnight. The reaction mixture was minutes. At that point an additional amount of NH₂OSO₃H (1 equivalent) and 5 mL 2.5 M NaOH were added to the reaction mixture.

б

(R)- 2-(ethoxycarbonyl)-2-methylheptanoic acid (34a): 34a was prepared from 33a following the general synthetic procedure of making **34a/34b** using 3g of **33a** (9.3 mmol). An amount of 1.2g of **34a** was obtained (5.5 mmol, 59%) after purification. $R_f = 0.49$ 1H), 4.21 (q, 2H, J = 7Hz), 1.87 (m, 2H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.27 (m, 9H), 0.88 (t, 3H, J = 7Hz). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 178.0, $(50\% \text{ Et}_2\text{O}/\text{Hexanes})$. IR (cm⁻¹) = 2956, 2930, 2871, 1705. $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +3.15$ (c = 2, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 10.38 (bs, 172.5, 61.5, 53.6, 35.7, 32.0, 24.0, 22.3, 20.0, 14.0, 13.9. HRMS $[C_{11}H_{20}O_4Na^+]$ calculated = 239.1255, observed = 239.1253. (R)- 2-(ethoxycarbonyl)-2-methyloctanoic acid (34b): 34b was prepared from 33b following the general synthetic procedure of $(50\% \text{ Et}_2\text{O}/\text{Hexanes})$. IR (cm⁻¹) = 2955, 2927, 2858, 1705. $[\alpha]_D^{22} = + 2.2$ (c = 1, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400MHz): δ 4.21 (q, 2H, $(20\% \text{ Et}_2)$). J = 7Hz), 1.87 (m, 2H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.28 (m, 11H), 0.88 (t, 3H, J = 7Hz). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100MHz): δ 178.0, 172.6, 61.6, 53.6, making 34a/34b using 3g of 33b (8.9 mmol). An amount of 1.3g pure 34b was obtained (5.6 mmol, 63%) after purification. $R_f = 0.51$ 29.4, 24.2, 22.6, 20.0, 14.1. 14.0. HRMS [$C_{12}H_{22}O_4Na^+$] calculated = 253.1410, observed = 253.1409. 35.8, 31.4,

 \mathcal{C} reaction mixture drop wise followed by a solution of methylchloroformate (1.55 equivalent) in 1 mL acetone. The reaction was mL of H₂O, and 1 mL of acetone was added to the solution. A solution of Et₃N (1.2 equivalent) in 1 mL acetone was added to the allowed to stir for 30 minutes at RT. A solution of NaN₃ (1.56 equivalent) in 3 mL H₂O was added to the reaction mixture and the General synthetic procedure for the formation of 35a, and 35b: A measured amount of 34a/34b (1 equivalent) was dissolved in

The The as yellowish oil. A volume of 10 mL 4M HCl was added to the isocyanate and the mixture was heated to reflux solvent for 4 hr. The mixtures was stirred for 2hr. The reaction mixture was then poured into 25 mL of ice cold water. The water layer was extracted with acylazide was dissolved in toluene and heated to reflux solvent for 2 hr. The toluene was concentrated in vacuo giving the isocyanate **35a**/**35b** HCl salt was then dissolved in MeOH and NaHCO₃ was added portion wise to neutralize it to (S)- α -alkyl-alanine (**35a**/**35b**) water layer was concentrated under reduced pressure giving the (S)- α -alkyl-alaninehydrochloride as a pale yellowish solid. ether (3 x 50 mL). The combined ether layer was dried over MgSO₄, concentrated *in vacuo* giving the acylazide as a colorless oil. The MeOH layer was filtered and concentrated giving **35a/35b** as a white solid.

Synthesis of (S)-a-pentylalanine (35a): 35a was prepared following the general synthetic procedure for the formation of 35a/35b using 1g of 34a (5 mmol). An amount of 0.5g (3 mmol, 60%) of (S)-a-pentylalanine (35a) was obtained as a white solid after neutralization. All the characterization data of **35a** complied with the literature.^{4, 5} $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +4.1$ (c = 1, MeOH) Synthesis of (S)-a-hexylalanine (35b): 35b was prepared following the general synthetic procedure for the formation of 35a/35b using 1g of **34b** (4.6 mmol). An amount of 0.55g (3.4 mmol, 74%) of (S)- α -pentylalanine (**35b**) was obtained as a white solid after neutralization. All the characterization data of **35b** complied with the literature.^{4, 5} $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +6.7$ (c = 0.15, MeOH). Specific Binding of Vapreotide analogue (25) against IMR 32 cells: Table 2 illustrates the specific binding experiments of ¹¹¹In-Pentetreotide, which is known to effectively bind to SSTR2, was used as the radio ligand (Hot ligand). In addition, Octreotide Vapreotide analogue (25) against IMR 32 human neuroblastoma cells that are known to over express SSTR2.⁶ In the binding assay

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2013

the cells. The specific binding of each cold ligand was determined from the equation below based on the amount of ¹¹¹In-Pentetreotide Pentetreotide.⁶ The Vapreotide analogue (25, Cold ligand 2) was allowed to compete with the ¹¹¹In-Petetreotide as well (Cold ligand 2). Cells were harvested, washed and counted in gamma counter to determine the quantity of the ¹¹¹In-Pentetreotide (CPM) bound to acetate, which is known to have high selectivity for SSTR2, was used as a positive control (Cold ligand 1) to compete with the ¹¹¹Inbound to the IMR 32 cells as obtained from the gamma counter. Specific binding of Octreotide Acetate in CPM (cold ligand 1) = competitive binding of ¹¹¹In-Pentetreotide and Octreotide Acetate in CPM (Hot + Cold 1) – binding of ¹¹¹In-Pentetreotide in CPM (Hot) Specific Binding of Vapreotide analogue (25, cold ligand 2) = competitive binding of 111 In-Pentetreotide and Vapreotide (35) in CPM (Hot + Cold 2) – binding of ¹¹¹In-Pentetreotide in CPM (Hot)

Competitor:	CPM (Hot)	CPM (Hot + Cold)	CPM
Octreotide Acetate (Cold 1)			Specific binding (Hot - Hot + Cold)
	2591	317	2663.0
	3096	332	
	3238	287	
Mean CPM	2975	312	
Standard Deviation	340	22.9	
Competitor: Vapreotide	3272	4284	-78.3
(Cold 2) (25, Figure 2) with (5)- α -methyl- α -	4062	3415	
lysine	3574	3444	
Mean CPM	3636	3714.3	
Standard Deviation	398.6	493.6	
Total CPM added			348,315
Background CPM			40
Radio Ligand Used		¹¹¹ In-Pentetreotid	e (Hot)
Table 2: Specific against IMR 32 c	binding assay ell line	of the Vapreotide (25	





References

- H. Sasaki and E. M. Carreira, Synthesis, 2000, 2000, 135,138.
- M. Gander-Coquoz and D. Seebach, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1988, **71**, 224-236.
- G. A. K. Doldouras, J., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 341-342
- T. Yamada, Okumura, T., Mizukoshi, R., Tsuboi, D., Murashima, T., Miyazawa, T., In, Y, Peptide Science, 2007, 44, 129-132.
- T. Yamada, Tsuboi, D., Okano, K., Kanda, A., Nishi, Y., Yamanishi, F., Origane, Y., Nakamura, Y., Yanagihara, R., Miyazawa, T, Peptide Science, 2000, 1999, 147-150. ъ. 5.
 - E. A. Woltering, G. J. Drouant, D. S. Diaco, C. Sharma, W. A. Murphy, J. A. Fuselier, D. H. Coy, G. D. Espenan, J. A. Nelson, D. R. Fisher, T. M. Maloney, M. S. O'Dorisio, F. Chen and T. M. O'Dorisio, J. Peptide Res., 1999, 53, 201-213. <u>و</u>.



Chiral HPLC traces of PLE hydrolyzed half-esters

S

97% ee

S























25
























Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry This journal is The Royal Society of Chemistry 2013











































5.0













7.0

7.5

8.0

<u></u>-€1.4

1−00.2 1−40.2





Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry This journal is The Royal Society of Chemistry 2013







Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry This journal is C The Royal Society of Chemistry 2013
























































