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## 1. The synthesis of the title compound and its control compounds are shown in Scheme S1.



Scheme S1 The synthesis of the title compound and control compounds. Reagents and conditions: (a) (Boc) $)_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 1,4-dioxane, r.t. (b) IDB, HATU, DIEA (c) $\mathrm{HCl}, 1,4$-dioxane; aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (d) PSI, DMF (e) aqueous NaOH , DMF; propylamine, DMF; hydroxylethylamine, DMF.

Synthesis of 4-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)aminobutyric acid (1). The compound of $\mathbf{1}$ was obtained from 4aminobutyric acid using standard protection methods. Yield $70 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}: 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9$ $\mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{qt}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.38(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.27-3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.80-4.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}: 25.21,28.50,31.53,39.92,79.51,156.32,178.14$.
Compound 2. $1.4 \mathrm{~g}(1.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ compound 1 was dissolved in 3 mL DMF, and then $1.06 \mathrm{~g}(2.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ HATU and $0.37 \mathrm{~mL}(3.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ DIEA was added to the above mentioned reaction with stirring for 5 min at room temperature. $0.96 \mathrm{~g}(1.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ compound IDB was added to the reaction and monitored by TLC. After stirring for 10 h , the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude product was dissolved in EA. The solution was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated brine $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After the solvent was removed under vacuum, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}=50: 1, R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.4\right)$ to afford the compound $2(1.33 \mathrm{~g}$, 1.05 mmol ): yield $57 \%$. ESI-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 463.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}: 12.37(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59$ $(\mathrm{d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=20 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.38$ $(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.68(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}: 175.8,174.3,156.4$, $152.0,151.1,138.5,137.6,122.8,122.6,115.2,114.8,79.2,77.2,64.5,49.0,47.4,39.6,28.4$.
Compound 3. A mixture formed by 1,4-Dioxane ( 4 mL ) and hydrochloric acid ( 1 mL ) was added to 25 mL round bottom flask, followed by $0.82 \mathrm{~g}(1.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ compound 2 . The solution was stirred at room temperature to generate white solid. After stirring for 4 h , the white solid is obtained by centrifugation. The free base was obtained by neutralization of the reaction mixture with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution followed by extraction with EA. The product was $0.45 \mathrm{~g}(83 \%)$. ESI-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 363.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
PASP-IDB. 1.8 g ( 3.3 mmol ) 3 and 128 mg poly(succinimide) (PSI) was dissolved in 30 mL DMF, and the reaction was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h to generate compound PSI-IDB. The solvent was removed and 1 M NaOH was added in the reaction with stirring at room temperature. After 6 h , the crude products were dialyzed with distilled water for 48 h and lyophilized to provide the target products 202 mg . ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOD}$ ): $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}: 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=24 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~s}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~s}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2$ H), 1.93 (s, 2 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOD}$ ): $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}$ : 171.0, 168.8, 128.0, 127.6, 124.1, 123.8, 117.6, 111.1, 46.5, 42.3, 40.2, 33.8, 32.7, 26.8, 20.9.

PASP-IDB-propyl. After the generation of compound PSI-IDB, 20 mL propylamine was added to the above reaction with stirring at room temperature for 12 h . The crude products were dialyzed with distilled water for 48 h and lyophilized to provide the target products $159 \mathrm{mg} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOD}$ ): $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}: 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $32 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~s}, 28 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~s}, 30 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60$ $(\mathrm{s}, 26 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 39 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOD}$ ): $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}: 170.0,127.3,127.1,125.4,47.8,39.9,40.1$, 34.0, 33.9, 26.7, 18.7, 18.5, 6.7.

PASP-IDB-hydroxyethyl. The preparation is similar to that of PASP-IDB-propyl. (propylamine was replaced by hydroxylethylamine) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOD}$ ): $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}: 7.75$ (d, $8 \mathrm{H}, J=32 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 5.71 (s, 2 H ), $5.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2$ H), $5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{~s}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR
（100 MHz， $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOD}$ ）：$\delta / \mathrm{ppm}: 173.5,131.5,131.0,129.3,77.4,66.8,51.7,46.4,43.9,41.2,37.8,31.6,31.1$ ， 22．5．

2．The NMR spectra of compounds are shown in Figs．S1－S4．


Fig．S1 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR（a）and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR（b）spectra of PASP－IDB（ $\mathrm{DS}=14.2 \%$ ）（in $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOD}$ ）．
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Fig． $\mathbf{S 2}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of PASP－IDB（ $\mathrm{DS}=5.0,9.1,26.2$ and $33.3 \%$ for（a），（b），（c）and（d），respectively．）（in $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOD}$ ）．


Fig. S3 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (a) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (b) spectra of PASP-IDB-propyl (in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}+5 \% \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOD}$ ).


Fig. S4 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (a) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (b) spectra of PASP-IDB-hydroxyethyl (in $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOD}$ ).

## 3. MALDI-TOF MS spectra are shown in Fig. S5.

MALDI-TOF MS was carried out on a Bruker Autoflex operating in reflected mode. 2-(4Hydroxyphenylazo)benzoic acid (HABA) was used as matrix, and NaCl or KCl was used as cationizing agent. Samples were dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1)$ at a concentration of $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}$. HABA was dissolved in dioxane at a concentration of 0.05 M . Sample ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and matrix $(80 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) solutions were mixed, and then $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 0.02 M NaCl or KCl was added. Finally, $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the resulting mixture was placed on the MALDI plate.

TOF/TOF ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Reflector Spec \#1 MC[BP = 1052.5, 245]



Fig. S5 The MALDFI-TOF spectra of the a) PASP and b) PASP-IDB.

## 4. Degree of substitution (DS) of PASP-IDB

The degrees of substitution (DS) of PASP-IDB were calculated by the amount ratio of $\mathrm{Hc} / 4$ to Ha . The DS of PSIIDB, PASP-IDB-propyl and PASP-IDB-hydroxyethyl are the same with PASP-IDB due to the preparation from the same intermediate PSI-IDB.


| Samples | DS (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| PASP-IDB | $5.0,9.1,14.2,26.2$ and 33.3 |
| PSI-IDB | 7.1 |
| PASP-IDB-propyl | 7.1 |
| PASP-IDB-hydroxyethyl | 7.1 |

## 5. Agrose gel electrophoresis experiments

Electrophoresis experiments were performed with plasmid pUC18 DNA. In a typical experiment, supercoiled pUC18 DNA ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mu \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ) in Tris-HCl buffer ( $40 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$ ) was treated with different concentration catalyst, followed by dilution with the Tris- HCl buffer to a total volume of $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The samples were then incubated at different temperature and time intervals, and quenched with loading buffer containing 0.5 M EDTA, and loaded on a $1 \%$ agarose gel containing $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}$ ethidium bromide (EB). Electrophoresis apparatus was using a Biomeans Stack II Electrophoresis system, PPSV-010. Electrophoresis was carried out at 85 V for 1 h in TAE buffer, and bands were visualized by UV light and photographed, recorded on an Olympus Grab-IT 2.0 Annotating Image Computer System.


Fig. S6 Time dependence of plasmid pUC18 DNA $\left(0.05 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mu \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$ cleavage by $\operatorname{IDB}(0.12 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in 40 mM pH 7.4 Tris- HCl buffer at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Lane 1: control; Lanes 2-12: $0.5,1,2,4,6,8,12,14,16,20$ and 24 h, respectively. N: nicked DNA; L: linear DNA; S: supercoiled DNA.


Fig. S7 Agrose gel electrophoresis of plasmid pUC18 DNA cleavage in 40 mM pH 7.4 Tris- HCl buffer at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Lane 1 : DNA control; Lane 2: EDTA (1 mM); Lane 3: IDB ( $0.12 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ); Lane 4: PASP-IDB $(0.12 \mu \mathrm{M})$; Lane 5: PASP-IDB $(0.12 \mu \mathrm{M})+$ EDTA (1 mM). N: nicked DNA; L: linear DNA; S: supercoiled DNA.


Fig. S8 (a) Concentration dependence of plasmid pUC18 DNA $\left(0.05 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot u \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$ by PASP in 40 mM pH 7.4 Tris- HCl buffer at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Lane 1: DNA control; Lane $2-11: 1.0,4.7,9.5,19.0,38.1,76.0,95.1,114.1,133.1$ and $190.1 \times 10^{-3} \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. (b) Quantitation of \% various DNA forms per lane. N: nicked DNA; S: supercoiled DNA.


Fig. S9 (a) pUC18 plasmid DNA cleavage by PASP-IDB with different degrees of substitution in 40 mM pH 7.4 Tris- HCl buffer at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Lane 1: DNA control; Lane 2: 5.0\%; Lane 3: 9.1\%; Lane 4: 14.2\%; Lane $5: 26.2 \%$; Lane 6: 33.3\%. (b) Quantitation of $\%$ various DNA forms per lane. N: nicked DNA; L: linear DNA; S: supercoild DNA.



Fig. S10 The plot of $\ln (\%$ nicked or linear DNA) vs reaction time..

## 6. UV-Vis absorption spectra

UV-Vis absorption spectra as a convenient technology are usually used to study the interaction of molecules with DNA. Absorption spectra of PASP-IDB ( $\mathrm{DS}=14.2 \%$ ) in the presence of ct-DNA are shown in Fig. S10. Fig. S10 shows that PASP-IDB had the characteristic absorption peak at 276 nm and 281 nm . With increasing amounts of ct-DNA added to the solution containing a fixed concentration of PASP-IDB ( 0.45 mM ), an obvious hyperchromicity effect and blue shift ( 1 nm ) was observed. Generally, red shift and hypochromic effect were observed in the absorption spectra of molecules if they intercalated into DNA. ${ }^{1}$ The obvious hyperchromicity
effect and blue shift indicated strong interaction between the PASP-IDB and ct-DNA, and the intercalation binding of PASP-IDB to ct-DNA was excluded. The possible binding mode of PASP-IDB to ct-DNA may be electrostatic attraction.


Fig. S11 Absorption spectra of 0.45 mM PASP-IDB ( $\mathrm{DS}=14.2 \%$ ) in the absence and presence of increasing amounts of ct-DNA in 40 mM pH 7.4 Tris- HCl buffer. [DNA] $=0-6.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ from bottom to top curves.
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