# **Electronic Supplementary Information**

# Regio- and stereospecific [2+2] photocyclodimerization of a crowncontaining butadienyl dye *via* cation-induced self-assembly in solution

Evgeny N. Ushakov,\*<sup>a</sup> Artem I. Vedernikov,<sup>b</sup> Michael V. Alfimov<sup>b</sup> and Sergey P. Gromov\*<sup>b</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Department of Nanophotonics, Institute of Problems of Chemical Physics, Chernogolovka 142432, Moscow Region, Russia. Fax: +7 496 515 5420; Tel: +7 496 524 4346; E-mail: en-ushakov@mail.ru
 <sup>b</sup> Photochemistry Centre, Novatorov str. 7A-1, Moscow 119421, Russia. Fax: +7 495 936 1255; Tel: +7 495 935 0116; E-mail: spgromov@mail.ru

## CONTENT

1. Absorption spectroscopy data for a cationic analogue of dye 5	
2. Global analysis of spectrophotometric titration data	
Factor analysis	s3
Complexation stoichiometry	s3
Parameterized modelling of absorption spectra	s4
3. Molecular mechanics and DFT modelling of dimers $(5 \cdot Mg^{2+})_2$	

## 1. Absorption spectroscopy data for a cationic analogue of dye 5

We have demonstrated previously<sup>18</sup> that a cationic analogue of dye 5, *viz.*, the crown-containing butadienyl dye 7, binds  $Mg^{2+}$  in MeCN as 1:1 complexes (7·Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Scheme S1).



Scheme S1 Complexation of crown-containing butadienyl dye 7 with  $Mg^{2+}$  in MeCN:  $lgK_{1:1} = 5.95$  at an ionic strength of 0.01 M.<sup>18</sup>

Figure S1 allows one to compare the absorption spectra of dyes 5 and 7, complex  $7 \cdot Mg^{2+}$  and supposed complex  $(5 \cdot Mg^{2+})_2$ . Uncomplexed forms of dyes 5 and 7 show almost identical spectra. The spectra of  $7 \cdot Mg^{2+}$  and  $(5 \cdot Mg^{2+})_2$  strongly differ from each other in the shape. The spectrum of  $(5 \cdot Mg^{2+})_2$  has a specific profile with a pronounced long-wavelength shoulder. The spectral difference between  $7 \cdot Mg^{2+}$  and  $(5 \cdot Mg^{2+})_2$  resembles that between monomers and H-type aggregates of dyes. Stacking interactions in H-type dye aggregates commonly result in absorption band splitting;<sup>19a</sup> in many cases, the weaker, lower-energy band is unresolved and reveals itself as a shoulder of the more intense, higher-energy band.<sup>19b</sup> Thus, the specificity of the absorption spectrum of  $(5 \cdot Mg^{2+})_2$  is likely to arise from stacking interaction between two identical chromophore units.



Fig. S1 Absorption spectra of dyes 5 and 7, complex  $7 \cdot Mg^{2+}$  and supposed complex  $(5 \cdot Mg^{2+})_2$  in MeCN.

## 2. Global analysis of spectrophotometric titration data

#### **Factor analysis**

In order to estimate the number of absorbing components in the system  $5/Mg(ClO_4)_2$ , the absorption spectra represented in Fig. 1 together with a few spectra measured at intermediate values of  $Mg(ClO_4)_2$  concentration were subjected to factor analysis.<sup>20a</sup>

An  $n \times m$  matrix **D** was constructed from the absorption curves measured at *m* different values of Mg(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> concentration and containing the values of optical density at *n* different wavelengths. If there are *k* linear-independent absorbing components in the system, the experimental matrix **D** can be approximated, within instrumental error, by a theoretical matrix, whose columns are linear combinations of the *k* eigenvectors associated with *k* largest eigenvalues of the second moment matrix **M** = (**D**×**D**<sup>T</sup>)/*m*, where **D**<sup>T</sup> is the transpose of **D**. The total residual error,  $\sigma_k$ , between matrix **D** and its best approximation is estimated by the formula

$$\sigma_k = \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{trace}(\mathbf{M}) - \sum_{i=1}^k r_i}{n-k}},$$

where  $r_i$  are the largest eigenvalues of **M**.

The experimental matrix **D** was approximated quite well by this method under the assumption that there are only two absorbing components in the system ( $\sigma_k = 0.0012$  optical density units, when k = 2); the small value of  $\sigma_k$  suggests that the contribution from a third component to the total spectral variation can be neglected.

#### **Complexation stoichiometry**

The fact that dye **5** complexed with  $Mg^{2+}$  shows specific spectroscopic properties enabled us to assume the formation of 2:2 complexes between **5** and  $Mg^{2+}$ . Then the complexation in the system  $5/Mg^{2+}$  can be represented by the following equilibria:

$$\mathbf{5} + \mathrm{Mg}^{2+} \xleftarrow{K_1} \mathbf{5} \cdot \mathrm{Mg}^{2+},$$
 (S1)

$$\mathbf{5} \cdot \mathrm{Mg}^{2+} + \mathbf{5} \cdot \mathrm{Mg}^{2+} \xleftarrow{K_2} (\mathbf{5} \cdot \mathrm{Mg}^{2+})_2.$$
 (S2)

From the spectroscopic data obtained for the reference cationic dye 7 (Fig. S1) one can predict that complexes  $5 \cdot Mg^{2+}$  and  $(5 \cdot Mg^{2+})_2$  differ significantly from each other in the absorption spectra. This implies that in the system  $5/Mg^{2+}$  there are three linear-independent absorbing components: 5,  $5 \cdot Mg^{2+}$  and  $(5 \cdot Mg^{2+})_2$ . On the other hand, the results of factor analysis (see previous subsection) show that the spectral variations in this system (Fig. 1) can be approximated by two linear-independent absorbing components. We suppose that the contribution from monomers  $5 \cdot Mg^{2+}$  to the absorption spectra represented in Fig. 1 is negligible due to a very high value of the equilibrium constant  $K_2$  (presumably  $\lg K_2 > 7$ ), *i.e.*, the equilibrium of eq S2 is shifted towards the dimers  $(\mathbf{5} \cdot \mathrm{Mg}^{2^+})_2$  even at relatively low concentrations of **5** ( $10^{-6}$ – $10^{-5}$  M). This conclusion is supported by the following facts:

(i) The Debye-Hückel theory predicts that an increase in the ionic strength of solution will shift the equilibrium of eq S2 towards the dimers  $(5 \cdot Mg^{2+})_2$ . The spectrum of complexed form of 5 (spectrum B in Fig. 1) did not change on varying the ionic strength of solution between  $10^{-4}$  and  $10^{-2}$  M (supporting electrolyte Bu<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub>). This observation is attributable to the fact that the equilibrium of eq S2 is strongly shifted towards the dimers even at relatively low ionic strengths.

(ii) The fact that the complexation of dye **5** with  $Mg^{2+}$  induces selective and very efficient [2+2] photocyclodimerization at very low concentrations of **5** ( $10^{-6}-10^{-5}$  M) also corroborates the conclusion about a very high value of the equilibrium constant  $K_2$ .

#### Parameterized modelling of absorption spectra

The parameterized matrix self-modelling  $(PMS)^{20}$  is a very convenient method for treatment of spectroscopic data. This method allows one to verify the complexation model supposed, to estimate the equilibrium constants and to determine the unknown spectra of components.

The facts described in the previous subsections indicate that modelling of the absorption spectra represented in Fig. 1 in terms of the equilibria of eqs S1 and S2 is unreasonable because in the system  $5/Mg^{2+}$  the partial concentration of monomers  $5\cdot Mg^{2+}$  relative to dimers  $(5\cdot Mg^{2+})_2$  is negligibly small at all experimental values of Mg(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> concentration. Therefore the experimental data were analysed by the PMS method in terms of the single equilibrium

$$2L + 2M \xleftarrow{K_{2:2}} L_2M_2, \qquad (S3)$$

where L is dye 5, M is  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $L_2M_2$  is the dimeric complex  $(5 \cdot Mg^{2+})_2$  and  $K_{2:2}$  is the complex stability constant. The relationship between the concentrations of the species involved in the equilibrium of eq S3 is described by the law of mass action (eq S4) and the material balance equations (eqs S5 and S6):

$$[L_2M_2] = K_{2:2}[L]^2[M]^2,$$
(S4)

$$C_{\rm L} = [{\rm L}] + 2[{\rm L}_2{\rm M}_2], \tag{S5}$$

$$C_{\rm M} = [{\rm M}] + 2[{\rm L}_2{\rm M}_2], \tag{S6}$$

where  $C_{\rm L}$  and  $C_{\rm M}$  are the total concentrations of 5 and Mg<sup>2+</sup>, respectively.

The PMS process begins by postulating value of  $K_{2:2}$  and solving the system of eqs S4–6 for [L] and [L<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub>] (this system can be solved only by a numerical method). The resulting values of [L] and [L<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub>] corresponding to *m* different values of  $C_M$  are used to construct a 2×*m* concentration matrix **C**. This matrix is then used together with the experimental matrix **D** to generate an *n*×2 theoretical matrix of molar absorption coefficients  $\mathbf{E}_{cal} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{C}^T(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{C}^T)^{-1}$ . A theoretical matrix of absorption spectra is calculated by the formula  $\mathbf{D}_{cal} = \mathbf{E}_{cal}\mathbf{C}$ . The stability constant  $K_{2:2}$  is determined by minimizing the residual error,  $\sigma_D$ , between the experimental matrix **D** and its approximation  $\mathbf{D}_{cal}$ :

$$\sigma_D = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (D_{cal}^{ij} - D^{ij})^2}{n(m-2)}}$$

The experimental matrix **D** was approximated quite well by this method when  $\lg K_{2:2} > 20$  ( $\sigma_D = 0.002$  optical density units). The value of  $\sigma_D$  is larger than the residual error for the approximation of **D** by the factor analysis method because  $\sigma_D$ , in addition to instrumental errors, includes measurement errors for  $C_{\rm M}$  and  $C_{\rm L}$ . The experimental and reconstructed spectra for the system 5/Mg<sup>2+</sup> are shown in Figure S2.



Fig. S2 Experimental absorption spectra of the system  $5/Mg^{2+}$  (solid curves, correspond to the spectra represented in Fig. 1) and their approximation (dash curves) by the PMS method in terms of the equilibrium of eq S3 with  $lgK_{2:2} = 21$ .

# 3. Molecular mechanics and DFT modelling of dimers $(5 \cdot Mg^{2+})_2$

**Methods:** Two principal conformers of  $(5 \cdot Mg^{2^+})_2$  with the *syn-* and *anti*-head-to-tail alignment of dye molecules were constructed from monomers  $5 \cdot Mg^{2^+}$ . The single-bond rotamer of  $5 \cdot Mg^{2^+}$  that we used to construct the dimers was selected on the basis of the results of quantum chemical calculations published for complexes  $7 \cdot Mg^{2^+}$  (ref.<sup>18</sup>). Both of the principal conformers of  $(5 \cdot Mg^{2^+})_2$ were optimized by a conformational search method using the MMFF94 molecular mechanics model and Monte-Carlo algorithm. The number of conformers examined in each of two searches was 5000. The lowest-energy *syn-* and *anti*-head-to-tail conformers of  $(5 \cdot Mg^{2^+})_2$  were selected and then fully optimized by RI-DFT method with the PBE functional [1] and the def2-SV(P) basis set [2], using the ORCA program [3]. To take into account dispersion forces, the Grimme's correction to DFT energy [4] was employed ('vdw' keyword in ORCA). Finally, single point calculations of the DFT energy in acetonitrile were carried out. The solvent was simulated using the COSMO model as implemented in ORCA [5].

**Results:** The DFT optimized geometries of the *syn-* and *anti-*conformers of  $(5 \cdot Mg^{2^+})_2$  are shown in Figure S3. The DFT energies for these structures in gas phase and acetonitrile are listed in Table S1. The calculations predict that the conformational equilibrium in acetonitrile is shifted toward the *anti-*conformer (the energy difference between the *syn-* and *anti-*conformers in this solvent is 2.6 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). The *anti-*conformation of  $(5 \cdot Mg^{2^+})_2$  is topochemically much more favourable for the [2+2] photocyclodimerization of the butadiene moieties because the distance between reactive C=C bonds in the *anti-*conformer, R = 5-6 Å, is much shorter than that in the *syn-*conformer,  $R \approx 9$  Å. The calculated structure of the *anti-*conformer is consistent with the structure of the photocycloadduct obtained from  $(5 \cdot Mg^{2^+})_2$  (cyclobutane isomer *rtct-*6, Scheme 3).



Fig. S3 DFT optimized conformers of  $(5 \cdot Mg^{2+})_2$ ; the butadiene double bonds are shown as brown cylinders.

Conformer	DFT energy / au	
	Gas phase	Acetonitrile
syn-head-to-tail	-5470.6115	-5471.1520
anti-head-to-tail	-5470.6099	-5471.1562

**Table S1** DFT energies for the *syn*- and *anti*-conformers of dimeric complex  $(5 \cdot Mg^{2+})_2$ .

References: [1] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, 77, 3865; [2] F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2005, 7, 3297; [3] F. Neese, *OCRA – an ab initio, density functional and semiempirical program package*, version 2.7; Universität Bonn: Bonn, Germany, 2009; [4] S. Grimme, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2006, 27, 1787; [5] S. Sinnecker, A. Rajendran, A. Klamt, M. Diedenhofen, F. Neese, *J. Phys. Chem. A.*, 2006, 110, 2235.