Supporting information for:

# Photochemical ligand ejection from non-sterically promoted Ru(II)bis(diimine) 4,4'-bi-1,2,3-triazolyl complexes

# Christine E. Welby, Georgina K. Armitage Harry Bartley, Alessandro Sinopoli, Baljinder S. Uppal and Paul I. P. Elliott\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Huddersfield, Queensgate, Huddersfield, HD1 3DH, UK.

# **Experimental details.**

The complex  $[Ru(bpy)_2(btz)][PF_6]_2$  (1a) was prepared as previously described and precursors  $[RuCl_2(p-cyemene)]_2$  and  $[Ru(N^N)_2Cl_2]$  by literature procedures.<sup>1-3</sup> Other reagents and solvent were purchased from Aldrich Chemicals, Fisher Scientific or Acros Organics and used as supplied. NMR characterisation data were recorded on Bruker 500 Avance or 400 Ascend spectrometers. UV-visible absorption data were recorded on a Agilent Technologies Cary 60 spectrometer whilst mass spectrometry data were collected on a Bruker Micro-Q-TOF instrument.

# Synthesis of [RuCl(p-cymene)(dmbpy)][PF<sub>6</sub>].

[RuCl<sub>2</sub>(*p*-cymene)]<sub>2</sub> (100.2 mg, 0.16 mmol) and 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridyl (120.5 mg, 0.65 mmol, 4 eq.) were suspended in 10 mL MeOH and the reaction mixture vigorously stirred at room temperature for 3 hours. After this time, an excess of NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> was added and the volume of the solution reduced by half *in vacuo*. An orange precipitate was observed to form – this was filtered and washed with 10 mL Et<sub>2</sub>O. Yield = 153.5 mg (78.2 %) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz) CD<sub>3</sub>CN  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.02 (s, 3H, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)), 1.04 (s, 3H, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)), 2.20 (s, 3H, *p*-cymene CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.59 (s, 6H, dmbpy), 2.64 (sp, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 5.68 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 6.2 Hz, 2H, *p*-cymene Ar-CH), 5.89 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 6.2 Hz, 2H, *p*-cymene Ar-CH) 7.53 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 5.9 Hz, 2H, dmbpy-H<sub>5</sub>), 8.17 (s, 2H, dmbpy-H<sub>3</sub>), 9.14 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 6.0 Hz, 2H, dmbpy-H<sub>6</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125.8 MHz) CD<sub>3</sub>CN  $\delta_{\rm C}$  18.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>, *p*-cymene Ar), 103.8 (*C*, *p*-cymene Ar-C(CH<sub>3</sub>)), 105.0 (*C*, *p*-cymene Ar-CCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 124.8 (CH, dmbpy-C<sub>3</sub>), 128.9 (CH, dmbpy-C<sub>5</sub>), 153.2 (*C*, 4-Dmbpy-C<sub>4</sub>), 154.8 (*C*, dmbpy-C<sub>2</sub>), 155.1 (CH, dmbpy-C<sub>6</sub>). HRMS-ESI calculated for [RuCIN<sub>2</sub>C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>26</sub>]<sup>+</sup> m/z = 455.082252, found m/z = 455.082790.

# Synthesis of [RuCl(*p*-cymene)(phen)][PF<sub>6</sub>].

[RuCl<sub>2</sub>(*p*-cymene)]<sub>2</sub> (74.9 mg, 0.12 mmol) and 1,10-phenanthroline (88.1 mg, 0.49 mmol, 4 eq.) were suspended in 7 mL MeOH and the reaction mixture vigorously stirred at room temperature for 3 hours. After this time, an excess of NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> was added and the volume of the solution reduced by half *in vacuo*. An orange precipitate was observed to form – this was filtered and washed with 10 mL Et<sub>2</sub>O. Yield = 109.2 mg (74.9 %) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz) CD<sub>3</sub>CN  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.01 (s, 3H, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)), 1.03 (s, 3H, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)), 2.19 (s, 3H, *p*-cymene CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.71 (sp, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.0 Hz, 1H, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 5.86 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 6.4 Hz, 2H, *p*-cymene Ar-CH), 6.05 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 6.4 Hz, 2H, *p*-cymene Ar-CH), 8.06 (dd, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 5.3 Hz, 8.2 Hz, 2H, phen), 8.18 (s, 2H, phen), 8.78 (dd, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 0.9 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 8.3 Hz, 2H, phen), 9.69 (dd, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 1.0 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 5.3 Hz, 2H, phen). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125.8 MHz) CD<sub>3</sub>CN  $\delta_{\rm C}$  18.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>, *p*-cymene), 21.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 31.4 (CH, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 84.8 (CH, *p*-cymene Ar), 86.3 (CH, *p*-cymene Ar), 103.0 (*C*, *p*-cymene Ar-C(CH<sub>3</sub>)), 106.0 (*C*, *p*-cymene Ar-CCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 126.9 (CH, phen), 128.1 (CH, phen), 131.2 (*C*, phen), 139.4 (CH, phen), 146.3 (*C*, phen), 155.9 (CH, phen). HRMS-ESI calculated for [RuCIN<sub>2</sub>C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>22</sub>]<sup>+</sup> m/z = 451.050952, found m/z = 451.051132.

## Synthesis of [Ru(dmbpy)<sub>2</sub>(btz)][PF<sub>6</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (1b).

 $[RuCl_2(dmbpy)_2]$  (30.9 mg, 57.2 µmol) and btz (20.9 mg, 66.7 µmol) were dissolved in 8 mL EtOH and solution degassed by bubbling with N<sub>2</sub>. The dark purple reaction mixture was then heated at reflux for 4 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated and the orange residue purified by silica gel column chromatography using a 7:1:0.5 MeCN:H<sub>2</sub>O:KNO<sub>3</sub>(aq) solvent system. The bright orange band was collected and the solvent evaporated. The orange residue was redissolved in MeCN, filtered to remove KNO<sub>3</sub> and the solvent evaporated once more. The product was dissolved in 8mL EtOH and an excess of NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> was added which caused an orange precipitate to form. This was filtered and washed with small portions of H<sub>2</sub>O and Et<sub>2</sub>O and allowed to dry. Yield 40.8 mg (66.3 %)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) CD<sub>3</sub>CN  $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.54 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub> dmbpy), 2.57 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub> dmbpy), 5.51 (s, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub> of btz), 7.12 – 7.14 (m, 4H, *Ph*), 7.20 (dd, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 1.4 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 5.8 Hz, 2H, dmbpy-H<sub>5</sub>), 7.26 (dd, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 1.2 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 5.8 Hz, 2H, dmbpy-H<sub>5</sub>), 7.34 – 7.41 (m, 6H, *Ph*), 7.67 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 5.8 Hz, 2H, dmbpy-H<sub>6</sub>), 7.69 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 5.8 Hz, 2H, dmbpy-H<sub>6</sub>'), 8.30 (br s, 2H, dmbpy-H<sub>3</sub>), 8.32 (s, 2H, CHN<sub>3</sub>), 8.33 (br s, 2H, dmbpy-H<sub>3</sub>'). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz) CD<sub>3</sub>CN  $\delta_{\rm C}$  20.7 (CH<sub>3</sub> dmbpy), 20.8 (CH<sub>3</sub> dmbpy) 55.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 123.8 (CHN<sub>3</sub>), 124.7 (CH, dmbpy-C<sub>3</sub>), 125.0 (CH, dmbpy-C<sub>3</sub>'), 127.9 (CH, 4-dmbpy-C<sub>5</sub>), 128.5 (CH, Ph), 128.5 (CH, dmbpy-C<sub>5</sub>'), 129.5 (CH, Ph), 129.6 (CH, Ph), 134.5 (C, Ph), 141.0 (C, CN<sub>3</sub>), 150.4 (C, 4-dmbpy-C<sub>4</sub>), 150.6 (C, dmbpy-C<sub>4</sub>'), 151.7 (CH, dmbpy-C<sub>6</sub>), 151.9 (CH, dmbpy-C<sub>6</sub>'), 157.4 (C, dmbpy-C<sub>2</sub>), 157.9 (C, dmbpy-C<sub>2</sub>'). ESI-MS m/z [RuN<sub>10</sub>C<sub>42</sub>H<sub>40</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> predicted 393.123497, found 393.125224.

# Synthesis of [Ru(phen)<sub>2</sub>(btz)][PF<sub>6</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (1d).

[RuCl<sub>2</sub>(phen)<sub>2</sub>] (100 mg, 0.19 mmol) was dissolved in 8 mL EtOH and the solution degassed by bubbling with N<sub>2</sub>. AgPF<sub>6</sub> (99.1 mg, 0.39 mmol) and btz (654 mg, 0.21 mmol) were added to the dark purple reaction mixture and this was heated at reflux for 6 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated and the residue purified by silica gel column chromatography using a 7:1:0.5 MeCN:H<sub>2</sub>O:KNO<sub>3</sub>(aq) solvent system. The bright orange fractions were collected and the solvent evaporated. The residue was redissolved in MeCN, filtered to remove KNO<sub>3</sub> and the solvent evaporated once more. The product was then dissolved in a minimum amount of MeOH and an excess of NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> was added which caused an orange-red precipitate to form. This was filtered and washed with small portions of H<sub>2</sub>O and Et<sub>2</sub>O and allowed to dry. Yield 9.9 mg (4.9 %)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) CD<sub>3</sub>CN  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.39 (d, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 14.9 Hz, 2H, C*H*H of btz), 5.45 (d, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 15.1 Hz, 2H, CH*H* of btz), 7.02 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 4H, *Ph*), 7.27 – 7.39 (m, 6H, *Ph*), 7.59 (dd, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 5.3 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 8.2 Hz, 2H, phen), 7.85 (dd, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 5.2 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 8.3 Hz, 2H, phen), 8.11 (dd, <sup>4</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 1.1 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 5.3 Hz, 2H, phen), 8.23 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 8.9 Hz, 2H, phen), 8.28 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 8.9 Hz, 2H, phen), 8.31 (s, 2H, *CHN*<sub>3</sub>), 8.36 (dd, <sup>4</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 1.1 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 5.2 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 8.9 Hz, 2H, phen), 8.28 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 8.9 Hz, 2H, phen), 8.70 (dd, <sup>4</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 1.1 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 8.3 Hz, 2H, phen); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz) CD<sub>3</sub>CN  $\delta_{\rm C}$  55.8 (*C*H<sub>2</sub>), 123.9 (*C*HN<sub>3</sub>), 125.7 (*C*H, phen), 126.5 (*C*H, phen), 128.3 (*C*H, *Ph*), 128.3 (*C*H, phen), 129.4 (*C*H, *Ph*), 129.6 (*C*H, *Ph*), 131.0 (*C*, phen), 131.3 (*C*, phen) 134.3 (*C*, *Ph*), 137.1 (*C*H, phen), 137.2 (*C*H, phen-C<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup>), 141.2 (*C*, CN<sub>3</sub>), 148.6 (*C*, phen), 149.2 (*C*, phen), 153.5 (*C*H, phen), 153.9 (*C*H, phen).

## References

- C. E. Welby, S. Grkinic, A. Zahid, B. S. Uppal, E. A. Gibson, C. R. Rice and P. I. P. Elliott, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, 41, 7637-7646.
- 2. B. P. Sullivan, D. J. Salmon and T. J. Meyer, Inorg. Chem., 1978, 17, 3334-3341.
- 3. M. A. Bennett and A. K. Smith, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1974, 233-241.

## **Photolysis reactions**



#### NMR data for cis-[Ru(bpy)<sub>2</sub>(MeCN)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> (2a):

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) CD<sub>3</sub>CN  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.27 (t, <sup>3</sup> $J_{\rm HH}$  = 6.6 Hz, 2H,  $H_5$ -bpy), 7.61 (d, <sup>3</sup> $J_{\rm HH}$  = 5.6 Hz, 2H,  $H_6$ -bpy), 7.86 (t, <sup>3</sup> $J_{\rm HH}$  = 6.5 Hz, 2H,  $H_5$ -bpy), 7.96 (t, <sup>3</sup> $J_{\rm HH}$  = 7.9 Hz, 2H,  $H_4$ -bpy), 8.29 (t, <sup>3</sup> $J_{\rm HH}$  = 7.9 Hz, 2H,  $H_4$ -bpy), 8.39 (d, <sup>3</sup> $J_{\rm HH}$  = 8.2 Hz, 2H,  $H_3$ -bpy), 8.53 (d, <sup>3</sup> $J_{\rm HH}$  = 8.1 Hz, 2H,  $H_3$ -bpy), 9.32 (d, <sup>3</sup> $J_{\rm HH}$  = 5.6 Hz, 2H,  $H_6$ -bpy). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz) CD<sub>3</sub>CN  $\delta_{\rm C}$  124.1 (CH, C<sub>3</sub>-bpy), 124.4 (CH, C<sub>3</sub>-bpy), 127.3 (CH, C<sub>5</sub>-bpy), 128.0 (CH, C<sub>5</sub>-bpy), 138.5 (CH, C<sub>4</sub>-bpy), 138.9 (CH, C<sub>4</sub>-bpy), 152.7 (CH, C<sub>6</sub>-bpy), 153.8 (CH, C<sub>6</sub>-bpy), 157.7 (C, C<sub>2</sub>-bpy), 158.5 (C, C<sub>2</sub>-bpy)

#### Photolysis of [Ru(dmbpy)<sub>2</sub>(btz)]<sup>2+</sup> (1b)



#### NMR data for *cis*-[Ru(dmbpy)<sub>2</sub>(MeCN)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> (2b):

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) CD<sub>3</sub>CN  $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.47 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.71 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 7.10 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 6.1 Hz, 2H, H<sub>5</sub>-bpy), ~7.37 (H<sub>6</sub>-bpy), 7.68 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 6.0 Hz, 2H, H<sub>5</sub>-bpy), 8.22 (s, 2H, H<sub>3</sub>-bpy), 8.37 (s, 2H, H<sub>3</sub>-bpy), 9.11 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 5.7 Hz, 2H, H<sub>6</sub>-bpy); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz) CD<sub>3</sub>CN  $\delta_{\rm C}$  20.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>'-4-Dmbpy), 21.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>-4-Dmbpy), 124.7 (CH, C<sub>3</sub>-4-Dmbpy), 125.1 (CH, C<sub>3</sub>-4-Dmbpy), 127.9 (CH, C<sub>5</sub>-4-Dmbpy), 128.7 (CH, C<sub>5</sub>-4-Dmbpy), 150.9 (C, C<sub>4</sub>-4-Dmbpy), 151.2 (C, C<sub>4</sub>-4-Dmbpy), 151.6 (CH, C<sub>6</sub>-4-Dmbpy), 157.3 (C, C<sub>2</sub>-4-Dmbpy), 158.1 (C, C<sub>2</sub>-4-Dmbpy).

#### Photolysis of [Ru(phen)<sub>2</sub>(btz)]<sup>2+</sup> (1c)



# NMR data for *cis*-[Ru(phen)<sub>2</sub>(MeCN)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> (2c):

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) CD<sub>3</sub>CN  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.61 (s, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub> of btz), 7.34 – 7.43 (m, 10H, *Ph*), 7.45 (dd, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 5.4 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 8.2 Hz, 2H, phen), 7.79 (dd, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 1.2 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 5.3 Hz, 2H, phen), 8.15 (s, 2H, CHN<sub>3</sub>), 8.19 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 8.9 Hz, 2H, phen-), 8.25 (dd, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 5.3 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 8.4 Hz, 2H, phen), 8.32 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 8.9 Hz, 2H, phen), 8.47 (dd, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 1.3 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 8.3 Hz, 2H, phen), 8.89 (dd, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 1.3 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 8.4 Hz, 2H, phen), 9.76 (dd, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 1.3 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 5.2 Hz, 2H, phen); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz) CD<sub>3</sub>CN  $\delta_{\rm C}$  54.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 121.8 (CHN<sub>3</sub>), 125.7 (CH, phen), 126.6 (CH, phen), 128.3 (CH, phen), 128.4 (CH, phen), 128.5, 128.9, 129.5 (CH, *Ph*), 131.0 (C, phen-C<sub>3</sub><sup>°</sup>), 131.4 (C, phen) 136.4 (C, *Ph*), 137.4 (CH, phen), 137.9 (CH, phen), 139.6 (C, CN<sub>3</sub>), 148.7 (C, phen), 149.2 (C, phen), 153.7 (CH, phen), 154.7 (CH, phen).