# **Supporting Information**

#### Latex Synthesis

The synthesis was carried out in a 5-necked 1 L protected from light, glass reactor equipped with a glass, anchor-shaped mechanical stirrer fitted in the middle neck of the reactor. The temperature in the reactor was constantly monitored by a temperature probe directly inserted through one of the four peripheral necks. The reactor was partially immersed in a thermostated oil bath, the temperature of which was also constantly monitored and recorded. The procedure followed for the synthesis of the latex loaded with 4.9 w/w % 2-ethylhexyl-4-methoxycinnamate (EMC) during the last monomer addition step formation is described below.

The polymerization reactor was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen twice. 115.17 g (2.68 mmol) of Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> buffer stock solution (0.33 w/w %) were loaded in the reactor under nitrogen flow followed by the addition of a pre-emulsified solution composed of 16.67 g (6.82 mmol) SLSar stock solution (12.0 w/w %), 54.16 g (422.56 mmol) BuA, 23.22 g (234.92 mmol) MMA and 0.82 g (4.14 mmol) BDDA. The mixture was left to equilibrate at 70 °C under continuous stirring. Subsequently, 2.62 g (0.73 mmol) of NaHSO<sub>3</sub> stock solution (2.88 w/w %) were added at a constant addition rate of 3 mL / min using an isocratic pump. The mixture was once again left to equilibrate under continuous stirring and 7.66 g (1.20 mmol) of K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> stock solution (4.25 w/w %) were added at a controlled addition rate of 3 mL / min using an isocratic pump. Subsequently, the polymerization was left to proceed at 70 °C for one hour. The prepared nanogel latexes were collected and stored in glass bottles.

150 g of the previously prepared latex was transferred into the reactor under inert conditions and the reaction temperature was set at 70 °C. A pre-emulsified solution composed of 1.36 g (10.61 mmol) BuA, 3.37 g (33.66 mmol) MMA, 0.30 g (1.53 mmol) diallyl maleate and 0.49 g of NaHSO<sub>3</sub> stock solution (2.88 w/w %) was added in the reactor at a constant addition rate for two minutes. Subsequently 0.35 g of  $K_2S_2O_8$  stock solution (4.25 w/w %) was added using a peristaltic pump for ten minutes. The reaction was left to proceed at 70 °C for one hour and 2.23 g of sodium hydroxymethanesulfinate stock solution (1.74 wt %) was added. Following, a pre-emulsified solution of 3.61 g (18.98 mmol) EMC, 11.72 g (117.1 mmol) MMA and 3.84 g (213.3 mmol) milli-Q water was added simultaneously with 9.98 g (mmol) of APS stock solution (0.5 wt %) at a constant addition rate using a peristaltic pump for fifteen minutes. The reaction was left to proceed for thirty minutes after which a mixture of 0.47 g APS stock solution (15.6 wt %) and 0.34 g NaHSO<sub>3</sub> – Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> stock solution (2.71 wt %) was added. The reaction was left to proceed at 70 °C for thirty more minutes followed by the removal of the heating bath. The latex was left to reach room temperature and collected in lightprotected glass bottles.

For the synthesis of the core-loaded latexes the appropriate amount of EMC was dissolved in the BuA, MMA, BDDA mixture used for the preparation of the pre-emulsion. The non-loaded core-shell latex was prepared using the same amount of reactants without the addition of EMC.

2

#### Latex characterization

All the latexes were investigated by Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) using an ALV/CGS3 Compact Goniometer System, equipped with an ALV/LSE 5004 multi- $\tau$  digital correlator and a vertically polarised He-Ne laser operating at a wavelength of  $\lambda$  = 632.8 nm. The measurements were performed at seven scattering angles ranging from 60° to 120° with 10° increments, whereas the system was thermostated at 23 °C. 20 mL of milli-Q water were filtered twice through 0.22 µm syringe driven filters in glass vials followed by the addition of 5 µL of latex using a micropipette. The samples were loaded in 10 mm borosilicate glass cells. Three 60-seconds runs were performed for each angle and the data were analysed by Cumulant analysis. The results are shown in Table 1 of the manuscript.

The core-shell latexes were investigated in terms of monomer residuals by near-IR spectroscopy before and after the last addition of the APS and NaHSO<sub>3</sub> – Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> redox couple. The trace recorded for the core-shell latex before the addition is shown and compared to that obtained for pure MMA in Supplementary Figure 1 by the dashed and the solid line respectively. Unlike the spectrum corresponding to the MMA, the one obtained for the core-shell latex does not present the peak at 6 165 cm<sup>-1</sup> attributed to the ethylenic C-H overtone attesting the absence of monomer residuals in the core-shell latexes.



**Supplementary Figure 1.** Near-IR spectra recorded for the core-shell latex (dashed line) and for the MMA monomer (solid line).

### **EMC** stability

As mentioned in the manuscript, as a part of the EMC stability studies under conditions representative of the emulsion polymerization, the UVB-filter was heated at 72 °C for four hours in contact with APS –  $CH_2NaHSO_3$  aqueous solution. The FTIR spectrum is shown in Supplementary Figure 2(a), whereas the spectrum corresponding to the non-thermally treated EMC is given in Supplementary Figure 2(b). The two spectra appear to be identical suggesting that heating of EMC in the presence of APS –  $CH_2NaHSO_3$  aqueous solution does not affect a change in the conformation of the former from *trans*-to *cis*- form.



**Supplementary Figure 2.** FTIR spectra recorded for EMC sample (a) heated at 72  $^{\circ}$ C for four hours in contact with APS – CH<sub>2</sub>NaHSO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution and (b) non-thermally treated.

## Confirmation of EMC presence in the films

As described in the manuscript, EMC was detected on the surface and the interior of the films using ATR-IR. Supplementary Figure 3 shows the region between  $1100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  of the spectra recorded for the EMC-loaded film in red and the non-loaded film in blue. In the same Figure, the trace obtained from the subtraction of the spectrum corresponding to the non-loaded film from the one of the loaded-film is shown in green, whereas the trace of neat EMC is shown in black. The difference spectrum shows a peak at 980 cm<sup>-1</sup> (highlighted by the arrow) attesting that EMC is in *trans*- configuration.



**Supplementary Figure 3.** Part of the ATR-IR spectra obtained for the (a) EMC-loaded film (shown in red), (b) EMC-free film (shown in blue), (c) difference spectrum (shown in green). The trace shown in black corresponds to the IR spectrum of the neat EMC.