Supporting Information

A Eu/Tb-codoped Coordination Polymer Luminescent Thermometer

Xing Meng,^{*a,b*} Shu-Yan Song,^{**a*} Xue-Zhi Song,^{*a,b*} Min Zhu,^{*a,b*} Shu-Na Zhao,^{*a,b*} Lan-Lan Wu ^{*a,b*} and Hong-Jie Zhang^{**a*}

^{*a*}State Key Laboratory of Rare Earth Resource Utilization, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 5625 Renmin Street, Changchun 130022, P. R. China ^{*b*}University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China

*Corresponding author

Email: hongjie@ciac.ac.cn (Hong-Jie Zhang)

Tel: 86-431-85262127.

Fax: 86-431-85698041.



Scheme 1. The structure of the ligand L (1,4-bis(pyridinil-4-carboxylato)-l,4-dimethylbenzene).



Fig. S1 A distorted dodecahedron geometry of europium ion.



Fig. S2 The packing diagram of EuL along *b*-axis. All the hydrogen atoms, chlorine atoms, and water moleculers have been omitted for clarity (green dot line: $\pi \cdots \pi$ contact).



Fig. S3 The packing diagram of EuL along *c*-axis. All the hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.



Fig. S4 Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of simulated from the X-ray single structure of EuL, assynthesized GdL, TbL, EuL, Eu_{0.0311}Tb_{0.9689}L, and Eu_{0.0618}Tb_{0.9382}L.



Fig. S5 The TGA curve of compound EuL.

Experimental Section

Materials and Measurements

All starting materials and solvents were reagent grade, commercially available and used without further purification. ([H₂L]Cl₂) was synthesized according to the reported procedures.¹ Elemental analyses (C, H and N) were performed on a Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHN elemental analyzer. TG analyses were performed on a Perkin-Elmer Thermal Analysis Pyris Diamond heated from room temperature to 900 °C under a N₂ atmosphere at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ (Fig. S5). The experimental powder X-ray diffraction data (PXRD) were collected on a Bruker D8-FOCUS diffractometer equipped with Cu Ka1 ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å; 1600 W, 40 kV, 40 mA) at a scan speed of 8° min⁻¹. The simulated PXRD patterns were calculated by using single-crystal X-ray diffraction data and processed by the free Mercury v1.4 program provided by the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center. ICP was measured by ICAP 6000 Series (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The ligand [H₂L]Cl₂ luminescence spectra were recorded with a Hitachi F-4500 fluorescence spectrophotometer equipped with a 150W xenon lamp as the excitation source. The temperature-dependent luminescence spectra and the luminescence decay curve were recorded on an Edinburgh Instrument FLSP-920 spectrometer.

Synthesis of LnL: $Ln(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (Ln= Eu, Tb, and Gd) (0.25 mmol), $[H_2L]Cl_2$ (0.1 mmol) and DMF (6 mL) was sealed in a 15 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave under autogenous pressure and heated at constant 85 °C for 3 days and then was cooled to room temperature slowly. The resulting tetragonal-shaped crystals were collected. Elemental analysis for $C_{40}H_{36}N_6ClO_{17}Eu$ (EuL) (1060.16) (%): calcd. C 45.32, H 3.42, N 7.93; found C 45.35, H 3.39, N 7.96.

C₄₀H₃₆N₆ClO₁₇Tb (**TbL**) (1067.12) (%): calcd. C 45.02, H 3.40, N 7.87; found C 45.09, H 3.45, N 7.85.

C₄₀H₃₆N₆ClO₁₇Gd (**GdL**) (1065.45) (%): calcd. C 45.09, H 3.41, N 7.89; found C 45.05, H 3.45, N 7.92.

Synthesis of Ln-doped analogies: $Eu_x Tb_{I-x}L$ were synthesized by using a mixture of $Eu(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ and $Tb(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ as the metal source through the same procedures

as LnL.

Crystal data for **EuL**: C₄₀H₃₆N₆ClO₁₇Eu, $M_r = 1060.16$, Tetragonal, space group *I4/m*, a = 15.181(5) Å, b = 15.181(5) Å, c = 23.369(5) Å, alpha = 90, beta = 90, gamma = 90, V = 5386(3) Å³, Z = 4, $\rho_{calcd} = 1.307$ g cm⁻³, final $R_1 = 0.0683$ and w $R_2 = 0.2326$ ($R_{int} = 0.0966$) for 1047 independent reflections [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]. CCDC 1006519. Crystal data for **TbL**: C₄₀H₃₆N₆ClO₁₇Tb, $M_r = 1067.12$, Tetragonal, space group *I4/m*, a = 15.160(5) Å, b = 15.160(5) Å, c = 23.388(5) Å, alpha = 90, beta = 90, gamma = 90, V = 5375(3) Å³, Z = 4, $\rho_{calcd} = 1.319$ g cm⁻³, final $R_1 = 0.0731$ and w $R_2 = 0.2638$ ($R_{int} = 0.1217$) for 2446 independent reflections [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]. CCDC 1006520. Crystal data for **GdL**: C₄₀H₃₆N₆ClO₁₇Gd, $M_r = 1065.45$, Tetragonal, space group *I4/m*, a = 15.164(5) Å, b = 15.164(5) Å, c = 23.519(5) Å, alpha = 90, beta = 90, gamma = 90, V = 5408(3) Å³, Z = 4, $\rho_{calcd} = 1.309$ g cm⁻³, final $R_1 = 0.0644$ and w $R_2 = 0.2375$ ($R_{int} = 0.0652$) for 2337 independent reflections [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]. CCDC 1006521.

X-ray crystallography

The X-ray intensity data for the two compounds was collected on a Bruker SMART APEX-II CCD diffractometer with graphite monochromatized Mo-K α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) operating at 1.575 kW (45 kV, 35 mA) at room temperature. Data integration and reduction were processed with SAINT software.² Multiscan absorption corrections were applied with the SADABS program.³ All structures were solved by direct methods and refined employing full-matrix least squares techniques based on F^2 using the SHELXTL-97 crystallographic software package.⁴ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic temperature parameters.



Fig. S6 Room-temperature excitation (black) and emission (blue) spectra for the free ($[H_2L]Cl_2$) ligand in the solid state.



Fig. S7 Room-temperature excitation (black) and emission (red) spectra for EuL in the solid state.



Fig. S8 Room-temperature excitation (black) and emission (green) spectra for TbL in the solid state.



Fig. S9 Room-temperature excitation (black) and emission (pinkish red) spectra for $Eu_{0.0311}Tb_{0.9689}L$ in the solid state.



Fig. S10 Room-temperature excitation (black) and emission (pinkish red) spectra for $Eu_{0.0618}Tb_{0.9382}L$ in the solid state.



Fig. S11 Room-temperature emission spectra for $Eu_{0.0311}Tb_{0.9689}L$ in the solid state excited at 488 nm.



Fig. S12 Room-temperature emission spectra for $Eu_{0.0618}Tb_{0.9382}L$ in the solid state excited at 488 nm.



Fig. S13 Emission spectra of $Eu_{0.0311}Tb_{0.9689}L$ recorded between 25 K and 300 K.



Fig. S14 Temperature-dependent intensity ratio of Tb^{3+} (544nm) to Eu^{3+} (613nm) (Inset) temperature-dependent intensity of the ${}^{5}D_{4} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{5}$ and ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{2}$ transition for $Eu_{0.0311}Tb_{0.9689}L$ from 25K to 300 K.



Fig. S15 Temperature-dependent of the ⁵D₄ lifetime for TbL.



Fig. S16 Temperature-dependent of the ⁵D₀ lifetime for EuL.



Fig. S17 Temperature-dependent of the ${}^{5}D_{4}$ and ${}^{5}D_{0}$ lifetime for Eu_{0.0618}Tb_{0.9382}L.

Table S1 Energy transfer efficiency from Tb^{3+} to Eu^{3+} in $Eu_{0.0618}Tb_{0.9382}L$

Temperature / K	25	50	75	100	125	150	175	200
Energy transfer efficiency (%)	22.64	25.00	26.32	27.67	29.03	31.81	32.03	34.41



Fig. S18 Temperature dependence of the energy transfer efficiency from Tb^{3+} to Eu^{3+} ions in $Eu_{0.0618}Tb_{0.9382}L$.

Table S2 The cell parameters of	EuL at room temperature (29	93 k) and liquid nitrogen atmosphere
(190K)		

	a/Å	b/Å	c/Å	$\alpha/^{\circ}$	$eta/^\circ$	γ/°	$V/Å^3$	ММ
At room temperature	15.181(5)	15.181(5)	23.369(5)	90	90	90	5386(3)	11.685
Liquid nitrogen atmosphere	15.056(5)	15.056(5)	23.236(5)	90	90	90	5267(3)	11.618

- 1 F. K. Zheng, A. Q. Wu, Y. Li, G. C. Guo, J. S. Huang, Chin. J. Struct. Chem., 2005, 24, 940.
- 2 SAINT, Program for Data Extraction and Reduction; Bruker AXS, Inc.: Madison, WI, 2001.
- 3 G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1996.
- 4 (a) G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXS 97, Program for the Solution of Crystal Structure;
 University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997; (b) G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXS
 97, Program for the Crystal Structure Refinement; University of Göttingen:
 Göttingen, Germany, 1997.