Supporting Information

for

8-(2-Cycloalkylphenylimino)-5,6,7-trihydro-quinolylnickel halides: Polymerizing ethylene to highly branched and lower molecular weight polyethylenes

Zelin Sun,^{*a,b*} Erlin Yue,^{*b*} Mengnan Qu,*^{*a*} Irina V. Oleynik,^{*c*} Ivan I. Oleynik,^{*c*} Kanshe Li,^{*a*} Tongling Liang,^{*b*} Wenjuan Zhang^{*b*} and Wen-Hua Sun*^{*b*}

^a College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xi'an University of Science and Technology, Xi'an 710054, China.

^bKey laboratory of Engineering Plastics and Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Science, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China.

° N.N. Vorozhtsov Novosibirsk Institute of Organic Chemistry, Pr. Lavrentjeva 9, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia.

General considerations

All manipulations involving air- and moisture-sensitive compounds were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques. Toluene was refluxed over sodium and distilled under nitrogen prior to use. Methylaluminoxane (MAO, 1.46 M solution in toluene), modified methylaluminoxane (MAAO, 1.93 M in heptane) and dimethylaluminium chloride (Me₂AlCl, 1.0 M solution in toluene) were purchased from Akzo Nobel Corp. Diethylaluminium chloride (Et₂AlCl, 1.17 M in toluene) was purchased from Acros Chemicals. High-purity ethylene was purchased from Beijing Yansan Petrochemical Co. and used as received. Other reagents were purchased from Aldrich, Acros, or local suppliers. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DMX 400 MHz instrument at ambient temperature using TMS as an internal standard; IR spectra were recorded using a Perkin-Elmer System 2000 FT-IR spectrometer. Elemental analysis was carried out using a Flash EA 1112 micro-analyzer. Molecular weights and molecular weight distribution (MWD) of polyethylene were determined by PL-GPC220 at 150 °C, with 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene as the solvent. The melting points of polyethylene were measured from the second scanning run using a Perkin-Elmer TA-Q2000 differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) analyzer under a nitrogen atmosphere. In the procedure, a sample of about 4.0 mg was heated to 140 °C at the rate of 20 °C min⁻¹ and kept for 2 min at 140 °C to remove the thermal history and then cooled at the rate of 20 °C min⁻¹ to -40 °C. ¹³C NMR spectra of the polyethylenes were recorded using a Bruker DMX 400 MHz instrument at ambient temperature and then cooled at the rate of 20 °C min⁻¹ to -40 °C.

8-(2,6-Dicyclohexylphenylimino)-5,6,7-trihydroquinoline (L1) and 8-(2,6-Dicyclohexylphenylamino)-5,6-dihydroquinoline (L1'). 5,6,7-Trihydroquinolin-8-one (0.37 g, 2.5 mmol) and 2,6-dicyclohexyl aniline (0.51 g, 2 mmol) were mixed with a catalytic amount of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid dissolved in 25 mL toluene. The solution was heated to reflux and remaining 4 hours, the color of the solution gradually darkened. After solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, silica gel column chromatography (V_{petroleum ether}: V_{triethylamine} = 250 : 1) was used to quickly purify the crude product to obtain yellow oil (L1 : L1' = 36 : 64, 0.25 g, 32% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 8.75 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H, L1-Py H), 8.44 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, L1'-Py H), 7.58 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H, L1-Py H), 7.46 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, L1'-Py H), 7.32–7.29 (m, 1H, L1-Py H), 7.23–7.21 (m, L1'-Py H), 7.18–7.16 (m, 1H, L1-Ar H and L1'-Ar H), 7,14–7.11 (m, L1'-Ar H), 7.08–7.04 (m, 2H, L1-Ar H), 6.64 (s, L1'-NH), 4.54 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz L1'-CH₂), 2.97 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H, L1-CH₂), 2.83 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, L1'-CH₂), 2.44–2.39 (m, 2H, L1-CH₂ and L1'-CH₂), 2.29–2.24 (m, 2H, L1-CH₂), 1.82–1.75 (m, L1'-CH₂), 1.69–1.61 (m, 10H, L1-5 × CH₂), 1.48–1.38 (m, 10H, L1-5 × CH₂), 1.34–1.22 (m, L1'-10 × CH₂). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 164.69, 150.01, 148.90, 147.04, 146.10, 146.00, 140.50, 137.51, 137.30, 136.86, 135.26, 134.69, 132.30, 126.17, 124.81, 124.19, 123.68, 123.23, 122.08, 98.54, 39.10, 39.00, 34.01, 33.83,31.01, 29.61, 28.17, 27.30, 27.23, 26.97, 26.50, 26.42. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3503, 3314, 2921, 2848, 1642, 1570, 1445, 1346, 1261, 1084, 816, 789. Anal. calcd for C₂₇H₃₄N₂ (387): C, 83.89; H, 8.87; N, 7.25. Found: C, 84.24; H, 8.60; N, 7.13%.

8-(2,6-Dicyclopentylphenylimino)-5,6,7-trihydroquinoline (L2) and 8-(2,6-Dicyclopentylphenylamino)-5,6-dihydroquinoline (L2'). This compound was synthesized in the same procedure as described for L1. L2 and L2' were obtained concurrently (yellow oil, L2 : L2' = 17 : 83, 0.27 g, 38% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 8.74 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H, L2-Py H), 8.41 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, L2'-Py H), 7.57 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, L2-Py H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, L2'-Py H), 7.44–7.28 (m, 1H, L2-Py H and L2'-Py H), 7.19 (s, L2'-Ar H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H, L2-Ar H), 7,06–7.02 (m, 1H, L2-Ar H and L2'-Ar H), 6.59 (s, L2'-NH), 4.54 (t, *J* = 4.0 Hz L2'-CH), 3.31–3.27 (m, L2'-CH₂), 2.94 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H, L2-CH₂), 2.88 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H, L2-CH₂), 2.84–2.79 (m, L2'-CH₃), 2.39 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 2H, L2-CH₂), 2.28–2.23 (m, L2'-CH₃), 2.06–2.03 (m, 4H, L2-2 × CH₂ and L2'-2 × CH₂), 1.94–1.88 (m, L2'-3 × CH₂), 1.81–1.68 (m, 6H, L2-3 × CH₂), 1.59–1.48 (m, 6H, L2-3 × CH₂ and L2'-3 × CH₂). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 164.64, 150.01, 148.88, 148.41, 137.26, 137.00, 134.17, 124.77, 123.93, 123.44, 123.23, 40.22, 39.99, 34.57, 34.32, 32.32, 30.99, 29.53, 26.02, 25.94, 25.87, 22.29. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3503, 3322, 2951, 2862, 1639, 1571, 1473, 1345, 1260, 1166, 1081, 1017, 815, 785. Anal. calcd for C₂₅H₃₀N₂ (359): C, 83.75; H, 8.43; N, 7.81 Found: C, 83.97; H, 8.37; N, 7.72%.

8-(2,4-Dimethyl-6-cyclohexylphenylimino)-5,6,7-trihydroquinoline (L3) and 8-(2,4-Dimethyl-6-cyclohexylphenylamino)-5,6dihydroquinoline (L3'). This compound was synthesized in the same procedure as described for L1. L3 and L3' were obtained concurrently (yellow oil, L₃: L₃' = 60 : 40, 0.2 g, 30 % yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 8.74 (d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 1H, L₃-Py H), 8.42 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, L₃'-Py H), 7.56 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, L₃-Py H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, L₃'-Py H), 7.30–7.26 (m, 1H, L₃-Py H), 7.11–7.08 (m, L₃'-Py H), 6.97 (s, L₃'-Ar H), 6.92 (s, 2H, L₃-Ar H), 6.84 (s, L₃'-Ar H), 6.55 (s, L₃'-NH), 4.51 (t, *J* = 4.6 Hz L₃'-CH), 2.94 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H, L₃-CH₂), 2.82 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H, L₃-CH₂), 2.47–2.41 (m, L₃'-2 × CH₂), 2.32 (s, L₃'-CH₃), 2.30 (s, 3H, L₃-CH₃), 2.23 (s, L₃'-CH₃), 1.99 (s, 3H, L₃-CH₃), 1.93–1.89 (m, L₃'-3 × CH₂), 1.80–1.71 (m, 6H, L₃-3 × CH₂), 1.41–1.18 (m, 6H, L₃-3 × CH₂ and L₃'-3 × CH₂). ³³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 164.82, 150.22, 150.12, 148.92, 146.12, 145.70, 145.40, 139.18, 137.28, 136.85, 136.03, 135.84, 135.49, 135.03, 134.67, 132.36, 131.91, 128.81, 128.31, 125.04, 124.91, 124.78, 124.60, 122.02, 98.01, 77.52, 77.20, 76.89, 38.82, 38.70, 34.46, 33.45, 30.76, 29.61, 28.13, 27.24, 27.18, 26.88, 26.49, 26.43, 22.40, 21.56, 21.36, 21.17, 18.55, 18.44. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3503, 3322, 2951, 2862, 1639, 1571, 1473, 1345, 1260, 1166, 1081, 1017, 815, 785. Anal. calcd for C₂₃H₂₈N₂ (332): C, 83.09; H, 8.49; N, 8.43. Found: C, 83.51; H, 9.33; N, 8.31%.

Synthesis of nickel complexes

General procedure: NiCl₂·6H₂O or DME·NiBr₂ (0.5 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL CH₂Cl₂ and added dropwise to the corresponding ligand (0.5 mmol) which dissolved in 5 mL CH₂Cl₂. The mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature, and then diethyl ether was added to the mixture to precipitate the complex. The precipitant was collected by filtration, washed with diethyl ether, and dried under vacuum.

8-(2,6-Dicyclohexylphenylimino)-5,6,7-trihydroquinolylnickel dibromide (Ni1). (Green, 0.29 g, 95% yield): FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3342, 2922, 2848, 1619, 1580, 1447, 1348, 1220, 1083, 882, 774. Anal. calcd for C₂₇H₃₄Br₂N₂Ni (605): C, 53.59; H, 5.66; N, 4.67. Found: C, 53.18; H, 5.51; N, 4.52%.

8-(2,6-Dicyclopentylphenylimino)-5,6,7-trihydroquinolylnickel dibromide (Ni2). (Green, o.19 g, 66% yield): FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2946, 2861, 1619, 1583, 1450, 1337, 1215, 1085, 1045, 773. Anal. calcd for C₂₅H₃₀Br₂N₂Ni (577): C, 52.04; H, 5.24; N, 4.85. Found: C, 51.87; H, 5.12; N, 4.81%.

8-(2,4-Dimethyl-6-cyclohexylphenylimino)-5,6,7- trihydroquinolylnickel dibromide (Ni3). (Green, 0.20 g, 72% yield) FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3098, 2917, 2846, 1618, 1579, 1453, 1327, 1219, 1196, 1142, 1040, 852, 796. Anal. calcd for C₂₃H₂₈Br₂N₂Ni (551): C, 50.14; H, 5.12; N, 5.08. Found: C, 49.87; H, 5.02; N, 4.98%.

8-(2,4-Dimethyl-6-cyclohexylphenylimino)-trihydroquinolylnickel dichloride (Ni4). (Green, 0.17 g, 72% yield): FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2921, 2849, 1624, 1583,1450, 1174, 1118, 1032, 1006, 853, 796, 677. Anal. calcd for C₂₃H₂₈C₁₂N₂Ni₂ (462): C, 59.78; H, 6.11; N, 6.06. Found: C, 59.56; H, 6.02; N, 5.93%.

X-ray crystallographic studies

A single crystal of the nickel complex **Nii**-H₂O suitable for X-ray diffraction was obtained by layering diethyl ether onto the mixed solution of dichloromethane and methanol at room temperature. X-ray studies were carried out using a Rigaku Saturn₇₂₄+CCD diffractometer with MoK α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) at 173(2) K (**Nii**), cell parameters were obtained by global refinement of the positions of all collected reflections. Intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and empirical absorption. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by fullmatrix least squares on *F*². All hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions. Structure solution and refinement were performed by using the SHELXL-97 package.¹ Details of the X-ray structure determinations and refinements are provided in Table S1. The molecular structure is shown in Figure S1, and the bond lengths and angles are tabulated in Table S1'.



Figure S1. ORTEP drawing of the molecular structure of Ni1·H₂O. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 30% probability level. Hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity.

-

	Ni1·H2O		
Crystal color	yellow		
Empirical formula	C ₂₇ H ₃₆ Br ₂ N ₂ Ni O		
Formula weight	623.07		
Temperature (K)	173(2)		
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073		
Crystal system	Triclinic		
Space group	P-1		
a (Å)	10.197(2)		
b (Å)	10.504(2)		
c (Å)	14.735(3)		
α (°)	89.36(3)		
ß	79.33(3)		
ν (°)	64 21(3)		
Volume $(Å^3)$	1392 2(5)		
Z	2		
D calcd (mg m ⁻³)	1 486		
(mm^{-1})	3.588		
F(000)	636		
Crystal size (mm)	$0.74 \times 0.44 \times 0.14$		
θ range(°)	2.26 - 27.50		
Limiting indices	-13 < h <13		
	-13 <k<13< td=""></k<13<>		
	-19 < 1 < 18		
No. of rflns collected	12586		
No. of unique rflns	6356		
Rint	0.0413		
Completeness to θ (%)	99.2 ($\theta = 27.50$)		
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.003		
Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.0503		
	wR2 = 0.1402		
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0590		
	wR2 = 0.1478		
Largest diff. Peak and hole(e Å ⁻³)	1.089 and -0.982		

able S1' Bond lengths (Å) for Ni1	·H ₂ O			
$\mathbf{Pr}(1)$ Ni(1)	2 4642(0)	C(17) $C(18)$	1 547(8)	
DI(1) - NI(1) NI(1) - O(1)	2.4042(9) 2.025(3)	C(17) = C(18) C(17) = H(17A)	0.0000	
$N_{1}(1) = O(1)$ $N_{2}(1) = N(2)$	2.033(3)	C(17) - H(17R)	0.9900	
NI(1) - N(2) NI(1) - N(1)	2.044(3) 2.045(3)	$C(17) = \Pi(17B)$ C(21) = C(20)	0.9900	
$\frac{INI(1)-IN(1)}{INI(1)}$	2.043(3)	C(21) - C(20)	1.328(8)	
NI(1) - BI(2)	2.4079(9)	C(21) - H(21A)	0.9900	
N(1) = C(1)	1.324(5)	C(21) - H(21B)	0.9900	
N(1) - C(9)	1.351(5)	C(23) = C(24)	1.530(6)	
N(2)-C(8)	1.276(5)	C(23) - H(23A)	0.9900	
N(2) - C(10)	1.445(4)	C(23)–H(23B)	0.9900	
C(8) - C(9)	1.474(5)	C(7) - C(6)	1.467(7)	
C(8) - C(7)	1.505(6)	C(7)–H(7A)	0.9900	
C(11)–C(12)	1.391(5)	C(7)–H(7B)	0.9900	
C(11)–C(10)	1.409(5)	C(5)-C(6)	1.520(7)	
C(11)–C(22)	1.523(5)	C(5)–H(5A)	0.9900	
C(22)–C(27)	1.528(6)	C(5)–H(5B)	0.9900	
C(22)–C(23)	1.533(6)	C(25)–C(24)	1.533(9)	
C(22)–H(22)	1.0000	C(25)–H(25A)	0.9900	
C(10)–C(15)	1.411(5)	C(25)–H(25B)	0.9900	
C(15)–C(14)	1.394(5)	C(18)–C(19)	1.508(12)	
C(15)–C(16)	1.517(5)	C(18)–H(18A)	0.9900	
C(13)–C(14)	1.370(6)	C(18)–H(18B)	0.9900	
C(13)–C(12)	1.388(6)	C(27)–H(27A)	0.9900	
C(13)–H(13)	0.9500	C(27)–H(27B)	0.9900	
C(9) - C(4)	1.379(5)	C(6)–H(6A)	0.9900	
C(26)–C(27)	1.514(6)	C(6)–H(6B)	0.9900	
C(26)-C(25)	1.515(9)	C(20) - C(19)	1.487(11)	
C(26)-H(26A)	0.9900	C(20)-H(20Å)	0.9900	
C(26)-H(26B)	0.9900	C(20)-H(20B)	0.9900	
C(4) - C(3)	1.386(6)	C(2) - H(2)	0.9500	
C(4) - C(5)	1.510(7)	C(24) - H(24A)	0.9900	
C(12) - H(12)	0.9500	C(24) - H(24B)	0.9900	
C(16)-C(17)	1.515(7)	C(19)–H(19A)	0.9900	
C(16) - C(21)	1.546(7)	C(19)–H(19B)	0.9900	
C(16)-H(16)	1.0000	C(14) - H(14)	0.9500	
C(1) - C(2)	1.385(7)	O(1) - H(1A)	0.8516	
C(1) - H(1)	0.9500	O(1) - H(1B)	0.8517	
C(3) - C(2)	1 362(8)		0.001,	
C(3) - H(3)	0.9500			

Table S1' Bond angles (°)	for Ni1·H ₂ O				
O(1) = Ni(1) = N(2)	94 96(13)	C(20)-C(21)-H(21B)	109.6	C(13)-C(12)-C(11)	121 3(4)
O(1) - Ni(1) - N(1)	170 68(14)	C(16)-C(21)-H(21B)	109.6	C(13) - C(12) - H(12)	119 3
N(2)-Ni(1)-N(1)	80.05(13)	H(21A) - C(21) - H(21B)	108.2	C(19) - C(20) - H(20B)	109.1
O(1)-Ni(1)-Br(2)	95 52(10)	C(24)-C(23)-C(22)	110 6(4)	C(21)-C(20)-H(20B)	109.1
N(2)-Ni(1)-Br(2)	112 91(9)	C(24)-C(23)-H(23A)	109.5	H(20A) - C(20) - H(20B)	107.9
N(1) - Ni(1) - Br(2)	93 68(10)	C(22) - C(23) - H(23A)	109.5	C(11)-C(12)-H(12)	1193
O(1)-Ni(1)-Br(1)	87.06(10)	C(24) - C(23) - H(23R)	109.5	C(17) - C(16) - C(15)	111 5(4)
N(2) - Ni(1) - Br(1)	108 64(9)	C(22) - C(23) - H(23B)	109.5	C(17) = C(16) = C(21)	110 2(4)
N(1)-Ni(1)-Br(1)	87 11(10)	H(23A) - C(23) - H(23B)	108.1	C(15) = C(16) = C(21)	111.5(4)
Br(2) - Ni(1) - Br(1)	137 94(3)	C(6) - C(7) - C(8)	113 1(4)	C(17) = C(16) = H(16)	107.8
C(1) - N(1) - C(9)	1191(4)	C(6) - C(7) - H(7A)	109.0	C(15) = C(16) = H(16)	107.8
C(1) = N(1) = N(1)	127.5(3)	C(8)-C(7)-H(7A)	109.0	C(21)-C(16)-H(16)	107.8
C(1) = N(1) = Ni(1) C(0) = N(1) = Ni(1)	127.3(3) 113 2(2)	C(6)-C(7)-H(7B)	109.0	N(1)-C(1)-C(2)	121 4(4)
C(9) = N(1) = N(1) C(8) = N(2) = C(10)	113.2(2) 121.3(3)	C(8) C(7) H(7B)	109.0	N(1) - C(1) - C(2) N(1) - C(1) - H(1)	110.3
C(8) = N(2) = C(10) C(8) = N(2) = Ni(1)	121.3(3) 114.2(2)	H(7A) - C(7) - H(7B)	109.0	C(2)-C(1)-H(1)	119.5
C(10) N(2) N(1)	124 A(2)	C(4) C(5) C(6)	107.0	$C(2) - C(1) - \Pi(1)$ C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	119.5
N(2) C(8) C(9)	124.4(2) 117.0(3)	C(4) = C(5) = C(0)	100.2	C(2) - C(3) - C(4) C(2) - C(3) - H(3)	120.4
N(2) = C(8) = C(7)	117.9(3) 125.0(4)	C(4) = C(5) = H(5A)	109.2	$C(2) = C(3) = \Pi(3)$ $C(4) = C(2) = \Pi(3)$	120.4
R(2) = C(8) = C(7)	123.9(4) 116.2(3)	$C(0) = C(3) = \Pi(3A)$ $C(4) = C(5) = \Pi(5B)$	109.2	C(16) C(17) C(18)	120.4
C(3) = C(3) = C(7)	110.2(3) 117.2(3)	C(4) - C(5) - H(5B)	109.2	C(16) = C(17) = C(18)	100.8
C(12) = C(11) = C(10) C(12) = C(11) = C(22)	117.3(3) 120.0(2)	H(5A) = C(5) - H(5B)	109.2	$C(10) - C(17) - \Pi(17A)$ $C(18) - C(17) - \Pi(17A)$	109.8
C(12) = C(11) = C(22)	120.0(3) 122.7(2)	$\Gamma(3A) = C(3) = \Pi(3B)$	107.9	$C(16) - C(17) - \Pi(17A)$	109.8
C(10) - C(11) - C(22)	122.7(3) 112.7(2)	C(26) = C(25) = C(24)	111.6(4)	$C(10) - C(17) - \Pi(17B)$	109.8
C(11) - C(22) - C(27)	113.7(3) 111.2(2)	C(20) - C(25) - H(25A)	109.5	$U(17A) = C(17) = \Pi(17B)$	109.8
C(11) = C(22) = C(23)	111.3(3)	$C(24) - C(25) - \Pi(25A)$	109.3	$\Pi(1/A) = C(1) = \Pi(1/B)$	108.5
C(27) = C(22) = C(23) C(11) = C(22) = U(23)	108.0(4)	C(26) - C(25) - H(25B) C(24) - C(25) - H(25B)	109.3	C(3) - C(2) - C(1)	119.9(4)
C(11) = C(22) = H(22) C(27) = C(22) = H(22)	107.7	U(24) = U(25) = H(25B)	109.3	C(3) - C(2) - H(2)	120.1
C(27) = C(22) = H(22)	107.7	H(25A) - C(25) - H(25B)	107.9	C(1)-C(2)-H(2)	120.1
$C(23) = C(22) = \Pi(22)$	107.7	C(19) = C(18) = C(17)	112.3(0)	C(23) = C(24) = C(23)	109.7(4)
C(11) = C(10) = C(15)	122.3(3)	C(19) - C(18) - H(18A)	109.1	C(25) - C(24) - H(24A)	109.7
C(11) = C(10) = N(2)	118.5(3)	C(17) - C(18) - H(18A)	109.1	C(23) - C(24) - H(24A)	109.7
C(15) = C(10) = N(2)	119.1(3)	C(19) = C(18) = H(18B)	109.1	C(23) - C(24) - H(24B)	109.7
C(14) = C(15) = C(10)	117.2(3)	U(17) = U(18) = H(18B)	109.1	C(25) = C(24) = H(24B)	109.7
C(14) - C(15) - C(16)	120.7(3)	H(18A) - C(18) - H(18B)	107.8	H(24A)-C(24)-H(24B)	108.2
C(10) = C(13) = C(16)	122.1(3)	C(26) = C(27) = C(22)	111.1(4)	C(20) = C(19) = C(18)	111.0(5)
C(14) = C(13) = C(12)	120.2(4)	C(26)-C(27)-H(27A)	109.4	C(20) = C(19) = H(19A)	109.3
C(14) - C(13) - H(13)	119.9	C(22)-C(27)-H(27A)	109.4	C(18) - C(19) - H(19A)	109.3
C(12) - C(13) - H(13)	119.9	C(26) - C(27) - H(27B)	109.4	C(20) - C(19) - H(19B)	109.3
N(1) - C(9) - C(4)	122.2(3)	C(22)-C(27)-H(2/B)	109.4	C(18)-C(19)-H(19B)	109.3
N(1) - C(9) - C(8)	114.3(3)	H(2/A)-C(2/)-H(2/B)	108.0	H(19A) - C(19) - H(19B)	108.0
C(4) = C(9) = C(8)	123.5(4)	C(7) = C(6) = C(5)	114.3(5)	C(13) - C(14) - C(15)	121.7(4)
C(27) = C(26) = C(25)	112.2(5)	C(7) - C(6) - H(6A)	108.7	C(13) - C(14) - H(14)	119.2
C(2/) - C(26) - H(26A)	109.2	C(5) - C(6) - H(6A)	108.7	C(15)-C(14)-H(14)	119.2
C(25) - C(26) - H(26A)	109.2	C(7) - C(6) - H(6B)	108.7	$N_1(1) = O(1) = H(1A)$	109.3
C(2/)-C(26)-H(26B)	109.2	C(5)-C(6)-H(6B)	108.7	C(20) - C(21) - C(16)	110.1(5)
C(25) - C(26) - H(26B)	109.2	H(6A)-C(6)-H(6B)	107.6	C(20)-C(21)-H(21A)	109.6
H(26A)-C(26)-H(26B)	107.9	C(19) - C(20) - C(21)	112.3(5)	C(16)-C(21)-H(21A)	109.6
C(9) = C(4) = C(3)	118.2(4)	C(19)-C(20)-H(20A)	109.1	NI(1) - O(1) - H(1B)	109.9
C(9) = C(4) = C(5)	120.0(4)	C(21)-C(20)-H(20A)	109.1	H(1A)-O(1)-H(1B)	109.4
C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	121.8(4)				

General procedure for ethylene polymerization

Ethylene polymerizations were carried out in a 250 mL stainless steel autoclave equipped with a mechanical stirrer and a temperature controller. And the clave was evacuated by a vacuum pump and back–filled three times with N_2 and once with ethylene. When the required temperature was reached, 30 mL toluene (freshly distilled) was added under ethylene atmosphere, and another 20 mL toluene which dissolved the nickel pre–catalyst was injected. The required amount of co–catalyst (MAO or Et₂AlCl) and additional toluene (maintaining total volume as 100 mL in reactor) were added by syringe. The reaction mixture was intensively stirred for the desired time under 10 atm of ethylene and maintained at this level by constant feeding of ethylene. The reaction was quenched by addition of acidic ethanol. The precipitated polymer was washed with ethanol several times and dried in vacuum until of constant weight.

Similar to Ni1/MAO system(Figure S2) (Table S2), the Ni/Et₂AlCl system also produced highly branched polyethylenes, which were indicated by the ¹³C NMR spectra of the polyethylene by Ni1/Et₂AlCl at 30 (Figure S3) (Table S3) or 50 °C (Figure S4) (Table S4). The Ni2/MAO and Ni3/MAO system were also tested at the best condition (Figure S5) (Table S5) and (Figure S6) (Table S6).



Figure S2. ¹³C NMR spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni1/MAO at 50 °C (entry 9, Table 2).

Peak no.	assignments	ignments Chem. Shifts /ppm	Integral Branch content exp.		a content Percentage over total branching		Percentage of branching
1	$1B_2$	11.46	0.02	N _m	1.51	39.74 %	14.09 %
2	$1Bn, 1B_5$	14.21	1	N _{m(1.4)}	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
4	$1B_1$	19.73	1.97	$N_{m(1.5)}$	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
7	$2B_5, 2Bn$	22.89	0.81	$N_{m(1.6)}$	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
10	$2B_2$	26.95	1.17	Ne	0.52	13.68 %	4.85 %
11	$\beta B_2, \beta B_5$	27.36	2.96	N _p	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
12	$\beta B_1, 4B_5$	27.68	0.47	N _b	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
15	4Bn	29.61	0.58	Na	1.19	31.32 %	11.10 %
16		30	14.41	N ₁	0.58	15.26 %	5.41 %
17	γB_1	30.32	2.91	$N_{l(1,4)}$	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
20	3Bn	32.11	0.58	δδĊH2	13.83		
21	$3B_5$	32.93	1.19	[E]	6.92		
24	αB_2	33.84	0.76	[R]	3.8	100.00 %	
26	$5B_5, \alpha B_5$	34.52	0.38	Total branch	ing = 35.4 %		
29	αB_1	37.3	3.02	177 Branc	hes/1000C		
				Methyl	branches	14.09 %	
				Ethyl b	ranches	4.85 %	
				Propyl I	oranches	0.00 %	
				Butyl b	ranches	0.00 %	
				Amyl b	ranches	11.10 %	
				Long b	ranches	5.41 %	

```
<sup>a</sup> Branches per 1000 C atoms determined by <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy.<sup>2</sup>
```



Figure S3. 13 C NMR spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni1/Et₂AlCl at 30 °C (entry 2, Table 3).

Peak no.	assignments	ignments Chem. Shifts Int /ppm e		Branch co	ontent	Percentage over total branching	Percentage of branching
1	$1B_2$	11.46	0.07	Nm	1.985	40.47 %	14.21 %
2	$1Bn, 1B_5$	14.21	1.28	$N_{m(1.4)}$	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
4	1B ₁	19.73	2.62	$N_{m(1,5)}$	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
7	$2B_5, 2Bn$	22.89	1	$N_{m(1.6)}$	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
10	$2B_2$	26.95	1.35	N _e	0.63	12.84 %	4.51 %
11	$\beta B_2, \tilde{\beta} B_5$	27.36	3.85	Nn	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
12	$\beta B_1, 4B_5$	27.68	0.58	N _b	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
15	4Bn	29.61	0.83	Na	1.46	29.77 %	10.45 %
16		30	18.96	N ₁	0.83	16.92 %	5.94 %
17	γB_1	30.32	4.39	N _{1(1,4)}	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
20	3Bn	32.11	0.83	δδCH2	18.13		
21	3B5	32.93	1.46	[E]	9.065		
24	αB_2	33.85	1.09	[R]	4.905	100.00 %	
26	$5B_5, \alpha B_5$	34.49	0.47	Total branchin	g = 35.1 %		
29	αB_1	37.3	3.97	176 Branche	es/1000C		
				Methyl br	anches	14.21 %	
				Ethyl bra	inches	4.51 %	
				Propyl bra	anches	0.00 %	
				Butyl bra	inches	0.00 %	
				Amyl bra	inches	10.45 %	
				Long bra	nches	5.94 %	

^a Branches per 1000 C atoms determined by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy.²





Figure S4. ¹³C NMR spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni1/Et₂AlCl at 50 °C (entry 8, Table 3).

Peak no.	assignments	nts Chem. Shifts /ppm	Integral exp.	Branch	Branch content		Percentage of branching
1	$1B_2$	11.49	0.02	Nm	0.5	30.67 %	12.99 %
2	$1Bn, 1B_5$	14.21	0.33	$N_{m(1,4)}$	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
4	$1B_1$	19.73	0.7	$N_{m(1,5)}$	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
7	$2B_5, 2Bn$	22.89	0.18	$N_{m(1.6)}$	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
10	$2B_2$	26.93	0.36	Ne	0.17	10.43 %	4.42 %
11	$\beta B_2, \beta B_5$	27.36	1.02	N _p	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
12	$\beta B_1, 4B_5$	27.68	0.15	N _b	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
15	4Bn	29.61	0.56	Na	0.4	24.54 %	10.39 %
16		30	5	N ₁	0.56	34.36 %	14.55 %
17	γB_1	30.32	1.13	$N_{l(1,4)}$	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
20	3Bn	32.11	0.56	δδĊH2	4.44		
21	$3B_5$	32.93	0.4	[E]	2.22		
24	αB_2	33.83	0.29	[R]	1.63	100.00 %	
26	$5B_5, \alpha B_5$	34.55	0.15	Total branch	ing = 42.3 %		
29	αB_1	37.3	1	212 Branc	hes/1000C		
				Methyl	branches	12.99 %	
				Ethyl b	ranches	4.42 %	
				Propyl l	oranches	0.00 %	
				Butyl b	ranches	0.00 %	
				Amyl b	ranches	10.39 %	
				Long b	ranches	14.55 %	

```
<sup>a</sup> Branches per 1000 C atoms determined by <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy.<sup>2</sup>
```



Figure S5. $^{\rm 13}{\rm C}$ NMR spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni2/MAO at 30 °C (entry 13, Table 2).

Peak no.	assignments	Chem. Shifts /ppm	Integral exp.	Branch	content	Percentage over total branching	Percentage of branching
2	1B <i>n</i>	14.2	0.28	N _m	0.43	53.09 %	8.60 %
4	$1B_1$	19.73	0.62	$N_{m(1.4)}$	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
7	2Bn	22.89	0.23	$N_{m(1,5)}$	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
10	$2B_2$	26.94	0.47	$N_{m(1.6)}$	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
11	$\beta B_2, \beta Bn, (n-1)Bn$	27.36	1.18	Ne	0.2	24.69 %	4.00 %
12	βB_1	27.69	0.1	Nn	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
15	4Bn	29.61	0.18	N _b	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
16		30	8.56	Na	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
17	γB_1	30.32	1.09	N ₁	0.18	22.22 %	3.60 %
20	3Bn	32.1	0.18	$N_{1(1 4)}$	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
22	brB_1	32.93	0.43	δδCH2	8.38		
24	αB_2	33.85	0.28	[E]	4.19		
26	$nBn, \alpha Bn$	34.52	0.11	[R]	0.81	100.00 %	
29	αB_1	37.3	1	Total branch	ing = 16.2 %		
				81 Branch	nes/1000C		
				Methyl	branches	8.60 %	
				Ethyl b	ranches	4.00 %	
				Propyl l	oranches	0.00 %	
				Butyl b	ranches	0.00 %	
				Amyl b	ranches	0.00 %	
				Long b	ranches	3.60 %	

```
<sup>a</sup> Branches per 1000 C atoms determined by <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy.<sup>2</sup>
```





Peak no.	assignments	ssignments Chem. Shifts /ppm	Integral Branch content exp.		Percentage over total branching	Percentage of branching	
2	1Bn	14.2	0.29	Nm	0.5	52.91 %	9.74 %
4	$1B_1$	19.73	0.56	$N_{m(1,4)}$	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
7	2Bn	22.89	0.23	$N_{m(1,5)}$	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
10	$2B_2$	26.94	0.37	$N_{m(1.6)}$	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
11	$\beta B_2, \beta Bn, (n-1)Bn$	27.35	1.05	N _e	0.175	18.52 %	3.41 %
12	βB_1	27.68	0.1	N _p	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
15	4Bn	29.61	0.27	N _b	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
16		30	8.65	Na	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
17	γB_1	30.32	1.52	N ₁	0.27	28.57 %	5.26 %
20	3Bn	32.1	0.27	$N_{l(1,4)}$	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
22	brB_1	32.93	0.5	δδĊH2	8.38		
24	αB_2	33.85	0.31	[E]	4.19		
26	$nBn, \alpha Bn$	34.51	0.09	[R]	0.945	100.00 %	
29	αB_1	37.3	1	Total branch	ing = 18.4 %		
				92 Branch	hes/1000C		
				Methyl	branches	9.74 %	
				Ethyl b	ranches	3.41 %	
				Propyl l	oranches	0.00 %	
				Butyl b	ranches	0.00 %	
				Amyl b	oranches	0.00 %	
				Long b	ranches	5.26 %	

^a Branches per 1000 C atoms determined by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy.²

_

We have provided the DSC curves at different conditions (Figure S7 – Figure S40).



Figure S7. DSC spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni1/MMAO at 30 °C (entry 2, Table 1)





Figure S10. DSC spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni1/MAO at 30 °C and the Al/Ni ratio is 2000 (entry 3, Table 2)













Figure S13. DSC spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni1/MAO at 30 °C and the Al/Ni ratio is 3500 (entry 6, Table 2)









Figure S16. DSC spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni1/MAO at 50 °C and the Al/Ni ratio is 2500 (entry 9, Table 2)



Figure S17. DSC spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni1/MAO at 30 °C and the time is 15 min (entry 10, Table 2)







Figure S19. DSC spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni1/MAO at 30 °C and the time is 60 min (entry 12, Table 2)



Figure S20. DSC spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni2/MAO at 30 °C (entry 13, Table 2)



Figure S22. DSC spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni4/MAO at 30 °C (entry 15, Table 2)



Figure S21. DSC spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni3/MAO at 30 °C (entry 14, Table 2)





Figure S24. DSC spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni6/MAO at 30 °C (entry 17, Table 2)



Figure S25. DSC spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni1/Et₂AlCl at 30 °C and the Al/Ni ratio is 200 (entry 1, Table 3)











Figure S28. DSC spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni1/Et₂AlCl at 30 °C and the Al/Ni ratio is 500 (entry 4, Table 3)





Figure S30. DSC spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni1/Et₂AlCl at 20 °C and the Al/Ni ratio is 300 (entry 6, Table 3)



Figure S31. DSC spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni1/Et₂AlCl at 40 °C and the Al/Ni ratio is 300 (entry 7, Table 3)









Figure S34. DSC spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni1/Et₂AlCl at 30 °C and the time is 45 min (entry 10, Table 3)





Figure S36. DSC spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni2/Et₂AlCl at 30 °C (entry 12, Table 3)



Figure S37. DSC spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni3/Et₂AlCl at 30 °C (entry 13, Table 3)







Figure S39. DSC spectrum of the polyethylene by Ni5/Et₂AlCl at 30 °C (entry 15, Table 3)



Conducting the polymerization between 20 to 50 °C, it was still approved as the best activity achieved at 30 °C; higher reaction temperature, the activities were significantly decreased. The obtained polyethylenes showed gradually lower molecular weights regarding the higher temperature used (Figure S41).



Figure S41. GPC traces of PE produced by Ni1/Et₂AlCl catalytic system at different reaction temperature (entries 2, 6–8, Table 3)

Reference

- 1 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL-97 Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- 2 G. B. Galland, R. F. de Souza, R. S. Mauler and F. F. Nunes, Macromolecules, 1999, 32, 1620–1625.