Supporting Information for

Cationic Quaternary Chalcohalide Nanobelts: Hg₄In₂Q₃Cl₈ (Q = S, Se, Te)

Yi Liu, Pushkar D. Kanhere, Yuan Shyuan Hoo, Kaiqi Ye, Qingyu Yan, Zhong Chen, Jan Ma, Qichun Zhang*

School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 50 Nanyang Avenue, Singapore 639798, Singapore. Email: <u>qczhang@ntu.edu.sg</u>.

Rajdeep Singh Rawat,

Natural Sciences and Science Education, National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 637616, Singapore.

1. Materials and methods

All the chemicals used for synthesis are of analytical grade and directly used without further purification. The optical diffuse reflectance spectra were measured at room temperature on a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 900 UV–vis-NIR spectro-meter equipped with an integrating sphere. BaSO₄ was used as the reference material, and the polycrystalline samples were ground well before the measurement. The absorption (α /S) data were calculated from the reflectance using the Kubelka–Munk function: α /S = (1-R)²/2R, in which R is the reflectance at a given wavelength, α is the absorption coefficient, and S is the scattering coefficient.¹ Thermal stability studies were carried out on a TGA Q500 instrument under N₂ at a heating rate of 10 °C. The elemental analyses of Hg, In, S, Se, Te and Cl have been examined with the aid of an EDX-equipped JEOL/JSM-6360A SEM. Powder X-ray diffraction data were recorded on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer with a graphite-monochro-matized Cu $K\alpha$ radiation. The operating 20 angle ranges from 10° to 70°. Simulation of the XRD pattern was carried out by the single-crystal data and diffraction-crystal module of the Mercury (Hg) program version 1.4.2 available free of charge via the Internet at http://www.iucr.org.

2. Synthesis of $Hg_4In_2Q_3Cl_8$ (Q = S, Se, Te).

Hg₂Cl₂ (1.15 mmol), In₂Q₃ (0.30 mmol) and Q (1.15 mmol) were loaded and sealed in an evacuated fused silica tube (12 mm i.d.) under high vacuum (~ 10^{-3} Pa). Then it was placed into a box furnace and heated to 200 °C within 5 h, and kept at this temperature for 50 h, subsequently increased to 450 °C within 10 h, and kept at 450 °C for 100 h, followed by cooling to 50 °C at a rate of 5°C /h, finally the furnace was turned off. The presence of each element in the crystals was confirmed by the EDS analysis.

3. Single crystal X-ray data collections and structure determinations.

One crystal of Hg₄In₂Q₃Cl₈ (Q = S, Se, Te) with suitable dimensions was mounted on the glass fibers. Diffraction data were collected on a Bruker APEX II CCD diffractometer equipped with a graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation (λ =0.71073 Å) at room temperature. The data sets were corrected by empirical absorption correction. The structures were solved by the direct methods and refined by the full-matrix least-squares fitting on F^2 in the orthorhombic Pnma (No. 62) space group with the aid of the SHELX-97 software package.² All of the atoms were refined with the anisotropic displacement parameters. The structure was verified using the ADDSYM algorithm from the program PLATON.³

4. Computational Methods.

The bands structures of the crystals were studied by Density Functional Theory (DFT) based quantum chemical calculations using Cambridge Series Total Energy Package (CASTEP) program, implemented in the Materials Studio 5.0. Single-crystal structural data determined by single-crystal Xray diffraction analysis was used to generate the starting geometries of S, Se and Te based crystals. A complete geometry optimization of each lattice was performed till the forces on each atom were less than 0.05 Å/eV and the total stress on the cell was less than 0.05 GPa. All the calculations were done using GGA-PBE functional and ultrasoft pseudo-potentials with a kinetic energy cut off of 450 eV. For the geometry optimization of S, Se, and Te crystals a monkhorsth *k-point* grid of $3 \times 2 \times 2$ were employed for the geometry optimization, respectively. The valence atomic configurations were $5d^{10}6s^2$ for Hg, $4d^{10}5s^25p^1$ for In, $3s^23p^5$ for Cl, $3s^23p^4$ for S, $4s^24p^4$ for Se and $5s^25p^4$ for Te. The other parameters used in the calculations and convergence criteria were set by the default values of the CASTEP code.

Empirical formula	$Hg_4In_2S_3Cl_8$	$Hg_4In_2Se_3Cl_8$	$Hg_4In_2Te_3Cl_8$
Formula weight	1411.78	1552.48	1698.40
<i>a</i> (Å)	13.510(3)	13.7113(11)	15.057(3)
<i>b</i> (Å)	7.3400(15)	7.4616(6)	7.6745(15)
<i>c</i> (Å)	18.190(4)	18.4305(15)	18.879(4)
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	1803.8(6)	1885.6(3)	2181.6(8)
<i>F</i> (000)	2408	2624	2839
D_{cal} (g/cm ³)	5.199	5.469	5.171
$R1, wR2 (I > 2\sigma(I))^b$	0.0496, 0.1361	0.0356, 0.0776	0.0354, 0.0750
R1, wR2 (all data)	0.0601, 0.1450	0.0415, 0.0805	0.0426, 0.0776
GOOF on F^2	1.079	1.059	1.074

Table S1. Crystal Data and Structure Refinements for $Hg_4In_2Q_3Cl_8$ (Q = S, Se, Te).^{*a*}

^{*a*} For all structures, Z = 4, space group = *Pnma*, T =293(2) K, and λ = 0.71073 Å. ^{*b*} $R_1 = \Sigma ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \Sigma |F_o|$. w $R_2 = [\Sigma w (F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \Sigma w (F_o^2)^2]^{1/2}$



Fig. S1 Experimental and simulated XRD patterns of (a) $Hg_4In_2S_3Cl_8$

(b) $Hg_4In_2Se_3Cl_8$ (c) $Hg_4In_2Te_3Cl_8$



Fig. S2 Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy analysis of (a) Hg₄In₂S₃Cl₈

(b) $Hg_4In_2Se_3Cl_8$ (c) $Hg_4In_2Te_3Cl_8$



Fig. S3 TGA analysis of $Hg_4In_2Q_3Cl_8$ (Q = S, Se, Te).



Fig. S4 Total and partial density of states (DOS) and band structure of $Hg_4In_2S_3Cl_8$.



Fig. S5 Total and partial density of states (DOS) and band structure of Hg₄In₂Te₃Cl₈.

References

- 1 G. Kortüm, Reflectance Spectroscopy, Springer, New York, 1969.
- 2 G. M. Sheldrick, Program for Structure Refinement, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- 3 A. L. Spek, J. Appl. Cryst., 2003, 36, 7.