## Supplementary Data for the Manuscript Entitled

## Enhanced osteoblast functions of narrow interligand spaced

## Sr-HA nano-fibers/rods grown on microporous titania coatings

Yong Han<sup>1\*</sup>, Jianhong Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Shemin Lu<sup>2</sup>, Lan Zhang<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory for Mechanical Behavior of Materials, Xi'an Jiaotong

University, Xi'an 710049, China.<sup>2</sup> Department of Genetics and Molecular Biology,

College of Medicine, Xi'an Jiaotong University Xi'an 710061, China.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: yonghan@mail.xjtu.edu.cn (Y. Han).Tel.:+86 02982665580; fax:,+86 02982663453

## **Contents of Supporting Information**

Fig. S1. AFM images of (a) Ti as well as the (b) as-MAOed, (c) MAO+HT2h, (d) MAO+HT12h and (e) MAO+HT24h coatings.

**Fig. S2.** Surface morphologies of the (a) as-MAOed, (b) MAO+HT2h, (c) MAO+HT12h and (d) MAO+HT24h coatings after immersion in PS solution for 24 weeks.

**Fig. S3.** Representative curves of acoustic output versus load and scratch morphologies (inserts) of the (a), (b) as-MAOed and (c), (d) MAO+HT24h coatings: (a), (c) before and (b), (d) after immersion in PS solution for 24 weeks.

**Fig. S4.** Hydrophilicity of (a) Ti as well as the (b) as-MAOed, (c) MAO+HT2h, (d) MAO+HT12h and (e) MAO+HT24h coatings.

**Fig. S5.** Surface morphologies of the (a, b) MAO+HT24h, (c,d) as-MAOed and (e, f) MAO+HT2h coatings after immersion in SBF for different times (marked in the pictures); the up inserts are corresponding magnified images; the bottom insert in (a) is cross-sectional magnified images; the bottom insert in (b) is XRD pattern detected on the surface.

**Fig. S6.** Morphologies of the cells cultured on (a) Ti as well as the (b) as-MAOed, (c) MAO+HT2h, (d) MAO+HT12h and (e) MAO+HT24h coatings for 1 day.



Fig. S1. AFM images of (a) Ti as well as the (b) as-MAOed, (c) MAO+HT2h, (d) MAO+HT12h and (e)

MAO+HT24h coatings.



Fig. S2. Surface morphologies of the (a) as-MAOed, (b) MAO+HT2h, (c) MAO+HT12h and (d)

MAO+HT24h coatings after immersion in PS solution for 24 weeks.



Fig. S3. Representative curves of acoustic output versus load and scratch morphologies (inserts) of the

(a), (b) as-MAOed and (c), (d) MAO+HT24h coatings: (a), (c) before and (b), (d) afte immersion in PS

solution for 24 weeks.



Fig. S4. Hydrophilicity of (a) Ti as well as the (b) as-MAOed, (c) MAO+HT2h, (d) MAO+HT12h and (e)

MAO+HT24h coatings.



Fig. S5. Surface morphologies of the (a, b) MAO+HT24h, (c,d) as-MAOed and (e, f) MAO+HT2h

coatings after immersion in SBF for different times (marked in the pictures); the up inserts are

corresponding magnified images; the bottom insert in (a) is cross-sectional magnified images; the bottom

insert in (b) is XRD pattern detected on the surface.



Fig. S6. Morphologies of the cells cultured on (a) Ti as well as the (b) as-MAOed, (c) MAO+HT2h, (d)

MAO+HT12h and (e) MAO+HT24h coatings for 1 day.