Supporting Information

A fluorescent probe for the selective detection of sulfate ions in water

Rajnish Saini and Subodh Kumar*

Corresponding author. Address: Department of Chemistry, UGC Centre for Advanced Studies, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar 143 005, India.

Corresponding author. Mobile: +91 9872361528.

E-mail address: subodh_gndu@yahoo.co.in

Contents

	Pages
1. ¹ H NMR, ¹³ C NMR and HRMS Spectra of 1 and 2	2-6
2. UV-Vis and fluorescence studies	7-13
3. ¹ HNMR analysis of 1 + SO ₄ ²⁻ complex	14
4. DFT optimized structures of 2 and 2-SO ₄ ²⁻	14



Figure S1. ¹H NMR spectrum of probe **1**.



Figure S2. ¹³C NMR spectrum of probe 1.



Figure S3. ¹H NMR spectrum of probe **2**.



Figure S4. HRMS of probe 1.



Figure S5. HRMS of probe **2**



Figure S6: The effect of addition of anions on the UV-Vis spectrum of probe 2 (10 μ M, DMSO) (SO₄²⁻ 50 μ M, other anions 200 μ M)



Figure S7:- The effect of addition of anions on the fluorescence spectrum of probe **2** (10 μ M, DMSO), λ_{ex} 360 nm. (SO₄²⁻ 50 μ M, other anions 200 μ M).



Figure S8:- Change in the UV-Vis spectrum of probe 2 (10 μ M, DMSO) upon gradual addition of sulfate ions. Inset shows the change in absorbance intensity at 320 nm and 500 nm with concentration of sulfate ions.



Figure S9:- The change in fluorescence spectrum of probe 1 (10 μ M, DMSO) upon gradual addition of sulfate ions. Inset shows the linear increase in fluorescence at 421 nm between 0-15 μ M of sulfate ions and the fluorescence color change under illumination at 365 nm.



Figure S10:- Change in the fluorescence spectrum of probe **2** (10 μ M, DMSO) upon gradual addition of sulfate ions, $\lambda_{ex} = 360$ nm. Inset shows the change in fluorescence intensity at 420 nm and 535 nm with concentration of sulfate ions.



Figure S11: Job's plot points towards 1:1 stoichiometry between probe 1 and sulfate ions in DMSO as determined by fluorescence studies



Figure S12. Job's plot pointing towards 1:1 stoichiometry between probe **2** and sulfate ions in DMSO as determined by fluorescence studies



Figure S13. Absorbance response of 1 (10 μ M, DMSO) towards various anions (50 μ M each). Red bars represent selectivity (Abs at 500 nm) of 1 upon addition of different anions; blue bars represent competitive selectivity of 1 towards sulfate ions (50 μ M) in the presence of other anions (200 μ M).



Figure S14. Absorbance response of **2** (10 μ M, DMSO) towards various anions (50 μ M each). Red bars represent selectivity (Abs at 500 nm) of **2** upon addition of different anions; blue bars represent competitive selectivity of **2** toward sulfate ions (50 μ M) in the presence of other anions (50 μ M).



Figure S15: Effect of gradual addition of sodium sulfate on the UV-Vis spectrum of probe 1 (10 μ M, HEPES buffer-DMSO; 9:1, pH = 7.4).



Figure S16. Effect of anions on the UV-Vis spectrum of probe 1 (10 μ M, HEPES buffer – DMSO; 9:1, pH = 7.4).



Figure S17:- Kinetic studies of the $1-SO_4^{2-}$ (5 eq.) solution showed the completion of the fluorescence enhancement within 30 minutes.



Figure S18: Job's plot pointing to 1:1 stoichiometry between probe 1 and sulfate ions.



Figure S19- The effect of pH on the fluorescence intensity at 535 nm of both probe 1 and its complex with sulfate ions in 90 % aqueous-DMSO solution.



Figure S20. ¹H NMR spectrum of probe 1 before and after the addition of 1 eq. of Na_2SO_4 in DMSO-d₆-H₂O (8:2).



Figure S21. The optimized structures of probe **2** and its complex with sulfate ion at B3LYP-3-21G* level.