#### 1. EPR characterization

The electron resonance (EPR) measurements were made at room temperature using a Bruker EMX-10/12-type spectrometer in the X-band.



Fig. S1 EPR characterization of iron oxide/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system

Six EPR peaks can be found in S1: g = 4.3, g = 2.3, g = 2.035, g = 2.068 and g = 2. In these peaks, the peaks of g = 4.3, g = 2.3, g = 2 are the characteristic peaks of iron oxide, which has been reported in many other papers [1,2]. The peaks of g = 2.068and g = 2.035 can be assigned to the peak of hydroxyl radical. The location of the peak is very near to the superoxide radical, which has been reported in other papers [3,4]. The low intensity of this peak is due to the instability of hydroxyl radical.

## 2. Confirmation of free radical reaction

In order to further confirm that the  $NO_X$  removal is resulted by  $\cdot OH$  oxidation reaction. A series of experiments were conducted. In the  $NO_X$  removal process, the free radical terminator, isopropanol, was injected into the fixed bed. Results are shown in S2.



S2 Variation of NO removal efficiency, NO2 content and oxygen content after

## injecting isopropanol

It can be seen from S2 that both the  $NO_X$  removal efficiency and  $NO_2$  content decline after injecting isopropanol into fixed bed, it indicates that this reaction is free radical reaction, and the isopropanol reacts with the hydroxyl free radicals to form stable free radicals. And these stable free radicals can not remove  $NO_X$ , can not oxidize  $NO_2$  and can not generate  $O_2$ .

#### 3. Characterization of ion chromatography



S3 Ion chromatography of absorbent

The peak of NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> is very weak comparing with the peak of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, and the concentration of NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> can be neglected in this paper.

# 4. TPD of different Fe based catalysts



S4 NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD characterization of Fe based catalysts

From S4 (a) and (b), we can find that the acidic sites of catalysts decreased as follows: Fe-Si > Fe > Fe-Ti > Fe-Al. But the NO<sub>X</sub> removal efficiency decreased as follows: Fe > Fe-Al > Fe-Ti > Fe-Al. Thus, the theory of acidic and alkaline sites can not explain the observed phenomenon definitely.

5. The variation of oxygen content with temperature of simulated flue gas with



S5 Variations of oxygen content with temperature of simulated flue gas at different

catalysts

From S5, we can find that the oxygen content decreases as the following order: Fe-Si > Fe-Ti > Fe > Fe-Al.



#### 6. Characterization of XRD



S6 XRD characterizations of TiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe, Fe-Al, Fe-Ti, Fe-Si

From S6, it can be found that the main peaks of hematite in Fe-Ti and Fe-Si are very weak. But in Fe and Fe-Al, characteristic peaks of hematite can be obviously observed.

7. Ion chromatographs



S7 Ion chromatographs of solution in the inlet of absorber (a) and the absorbent (b)

In S7, the sulfite ratio in sulfite and sulfate remains high in solution in the inlet of absorber and the absorbent. This means the low concentration of  $H_2O_2$  in solution, and most of  $H_2O_2$  is decomposed over the Fe based catalysts.

### 8. Porous structure parameters of different catalysts

Sample	BET surface area $(m^2/g)$	Total pore volume (cm <sup>3</sup> /g)
Fe	45.31	0.122
Fe-Al	44.71	0.172
Fe-Ti	47.30	0.138
Fe-Si	45.03	0.127

## Table S1 Porous structure parameters of different catalysts

## 9. Experimental

## **Synthesis**

The catalyst support (Titania, Silica and Magnesia) was prepared by precipitation method[5-7]. The iron oxide loading catalyst was impregnated by incipient wetness with aqueous solution of 25% (mol/mol) ferric nitrite. The impregnated catalyst was first dried at 120°C for 4 h followed by calcinations at 550°C in air for 5 h. The percent of metals Fe is based on support[8]. The pure iron oxide catalyst was prepared by Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·9H<sub>2</sub>O (Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd, AR). Ferric nitrate was mixed in the 100 ml deionized water under agitating with magnetic stirrer at 80°C until the water is dried. The obtained substance was firstly dried at 120°C for 4 h followed by calculations at 550°C in air for 5h. The iron oxide loading on the titania, alumina, silica, magnesia and pure iron oxide catalyst is denoted as Fe-Ti, Fe-Al, Fe-Si, Fe-Mg and Fe, respectively.

### 10. Charaterization

### **Decomposition of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>**

0.2 g catalyst was mixed into 10 ml 30 wt%  $H_2O_2$  solution under agitating with magnetic stirrer for 30 min to reach equilibrium. Then the solution was centrifuged and 4 ml of the supernatant was mixed into 50 ml deionized water. The potassium permanganate (KMnO<sub>4</sub>) solution with the concentration of 0.01 mol·L<sup>-1</sup> was used to titrate the above supernatant. The consummated KMnO<sub>4</sub> was used to represent the decomposed  $H_2O_2$  in the solution.

## **Concentration of iron ions**

The concentration of iron ions is detected with titration method. Firstly, we obtained the solution with the above method. And then the EDTA solution with the concentration of 0.001 mol·L<sup>-1</sup> was used to titrate the supernatant. The consummated EDTA was used to calculate the concentration of iron ions in the solution.

### **Characterization of catalyst**

The power X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurement was carried out with Beijing Purkinjie general instrument XD-3 X-ray diffraction (CuK $\alpha$ , voltage 35 kV, electrical current 20 mA, 20 from 5° to 80°). Microstructures of the catalyst were observed by scanning electron micrograph (SEM) in JEOLJSM-6380LV (Japan) system at a voltage of 20 kV. Specific surface area was measured using nitrogen adsorption at -196°C and determined by BET method using a V-Sorbet 2800S automated gas sorption system. XPS experiments were carried out on a RBD upgraded PHI-5000C ESCA system (Perkin Elmer) with Mg K $\alpha$  radiation (hv = 1253.6 eV), calibrated by the C 1s peak at 284.6 eV with an accuracy of 0.2 eV. The pzc values were measured with a mass titration method [9,10].

Ammonia temperature-programmed desorption (NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD) experiments were performed in a flow of He (30 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>) over 200 mg of catalyst using a heating rate of 8 °C·min<sup>-1</sup>. Prior to the TPD experiments, the catalysts were preheated under a flow of He at 400 °C for 1 h, followed by cooling down to room temperature in the same flow. The NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption was conducted with the flow rate of 20 ml·min<sup>-1</sup> at room temperature.

The surface acidity of the catalysts was investigated by means of a Fourier transform infrared spectroscopic (FTIR) study of ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) absorption. NH<sub>3</sub> FT-IR spectra were recorded in a IS10 FTIR spectrometer. The samples were absorbed by NH<sub>3</sub> for 5 h. Then, the spectra (200 scans, resolution:  $1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , range of acquisition: 650-4000 cm<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded after evacuation ( $10^{-5}$  Torr or 0.0013 Pa) for 30 min.

#### 11. Economic calculation

The price of 30%  $H_2O_2$  is about 246 dollars/T. The 70% NO<sub>X</sub> removal efficiency is used to be calculated. The cost of NO<sub>X</sub> removal is only about 0.6782 dollars/g NO<sub>X</sub>. It is supposed that the price of energy consummation is about 0.08 dollars/Wh. The cost of energy consummation is only about 8.477 Wh/g (NO<sub>X</sub>). Tseng et al.[11] have investigated the combined removal of NO<sub>X</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> from simulated flue gas in a bench-scale pulsed-corona enhanced wet electrostatic precipitator with the addition of ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) and ozone (O<sub>3</sub>). The maximum removal rates for NO<sub>X</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> were 77% and 99%, respectively, with injection of 312ppm  $O_3$  and 2900ppm NH<sub>3</sub>. The energy consumption rates were as high as 38.6 Wh/g (NO<sub>X</sub>). The energy consumption in the study by Tseng et al. is 4.56 times higher than that obtained in the simultaneous flue gas desulfurization and denitrification with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in the present study (8.477 Wh/g). Saavedra et al.[12] investigated NO<sub>X</sub> treatment using DBD without additional catalysts or oxidants, and the NO<sub>X</sub> removal rate reached 98%. It should be noted that only denitrification was performed in this study, and no desulfurization occurred. The NO<sub>X</sub> energy consumption rate (875 Wh/g) was 103 times higher than the simultaneous flue gas desulfurization and denitrification method with this study in the paper.

# 12. The undetectability of Fe(IV)

According to the Pham's mechanism as follows:

The radical mechanism

 $\equiv \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III}) + \mathrm{H_2O_2} \rightarrow \equiv \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II}) + \mathrm{HO_2^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}}(\mathrm{O_2^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}}) + \mathrm{H^+}(\mathrm{2H^+})$ 

 $\equiv \mathrm{Fe(II)} + \mathrm{H_2O_2} \rightarrow \equiv \mathrm{Fe(III)} + \mathrm{OH} + \mathrm{OH}^{-1}$ 

 $HO_2^{\bullet} \rightleftharpoons H^+ + O_2^{\bullet-}$ 

 $\equiv \operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{III}) + \operatorname{HO}_{2}^{\bullet}(\operatorname{O}_{2}^{\bullet}) \rightarrow \equiv \operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{II}) + \operatorname{O}_{2}(+\operatorname{H}^{+})$ 

The non-radical mechanism

 $\equiv \mathrm{Fe(III)} + \mathrm{H_2O_2} \rightarrow \equiv \mathrm{Fe(II)} + \mathrm{HO_2} \bullet + \mathrm{H^+}$ 

 $\equiv Fe(II) + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe(IV) + 2OH^2$ 

 $\equiv \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{IV}) + \mathrm{H_2O_2} \rightarrow \equiv \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II}) + \mathrm{O_2} + 2\mathrm{H^+}$ 

 $\equiv \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{IV}) + \equiv \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II}) \rightarrow 2 \equiv \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ 

 $\equiv \operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{III}) + \operatorname{HO}_{2}^{\cdot}(\operatorname{O}_{2}^{\cdot \cdot}) \rightarrow \equiv \operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{III}) + \operatorname{O}_{2}(+\operatorname{H}^{+})$ 

From the above mechanism, it can be found that the generation of oxygen is along with the generation of Fe(IV). Thus, maybe the generation of oxygen can be detected by the content of Fe(IV) using XPS characterization. However, previous papers[13] have reported the undetectability of Fe(IV), because the XPS characteristic peaks of Fe(IV) are coincident with those of Fe(II).

#### 13. XPS results of fresh and reacted Fe-Ti and Fe-Al

	Surface atomic concentration (%)				
Samples	Fe		0		
	Fe(III)	Fe(III)OH	Ο	-OH	
Fe-Ti	38.28	9.88	51.05	48.95	
Fe-Al	31.88	20.69	68.93	31.07	

Table S2 XPS results of fresh and reacted Fe-Ti and Fe-Al

[1] G. Ennas, A. Musinu, G. Piccaluga, D. Zedda, Characterization of iron oxide nanoparticles in an Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub> composite prepared by a sol-gel method, Chem. Mater. 10 (1998) 495-502.

[2] M.S. Kumar, M. Schwidder, W. Grünert, A. Brückner, On the nature of different iron sites and their catalytic role in Fe-ZSM-5  $DeNO_X$  catalysis: new insights by a combined EPR and UV/VIS spectroscopic approach, J. catal. 227 (2004) 384-397.

[5] J. Lv, L. Qiu, B. Qu, Controlled growth of three morphological structures of magnesium

<sup>[3]</sup> E. Carter, A.F. Carley, D.M. Murphy, Evidence for  $O_2^-$  radical stabilization at surface oxygen vacancies on polycrystalline TiO<sub>2</sub>, J. Phys. Chem. C 111 (2007) 10630-10638.

<sup>[4]</sup> A. Adamski, B. Gil, Z. Sojka, Role of vanadium sites in NO and O<sub>2</sub> adsorption process over  $VO_X/CeO_2$ -ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalysts-EPR and IR studies. Catalysis Today 137 (2008) 292-299.

hydroxide nanoparticles by wet precipitation method. Journal of Crustal Growth 267 (2004) 676-684.

[6] Riteer, H.S., 1960. Silica precipitation method. US Patent 2,921,839.

[7] R. Zhang, Q. Zhong, W. Zhao, L. Yu, H. Qu, Promotional effect of fluorine on the selective catalytic reduction of NO with NH<sub>3</sub> over CeO<sub>2</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts at low temperature. Applied Surface Science (2013), DOI: 10.1016/j.apsusc.2013.10.143. (In press).

[8] J.A. Navío, G. Colón, M. Trillas, Heterogeneous photocatalytic reactions of nitrite oxidation and Cr(VI) reduction on iron-doped titania prepared by the wet impregnation method. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 16 (1998), 187-196.

[9] J.S. Noh, J.A. Schwarz, Estimation of the point of zero charge of simple oxides by mass titration. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science 130 (1989) 157-164.

[10] J.S. Noh, J.A. Schwarz, Effect of HNO<sub>3</sub> treatment on the surface acidity of activated carbons.Carbon 28 (1990) 675-682.

[11] C.H. Tseng, T.C. Keener, Enhanced effect of in-situ generated ammonium salts aerosols on the removal of  $NO_X$  from simulated flue gas. Environmental Science and Technology 35 (2001) 3219-3224.

[12] H.M. Saavedra, M.P. Pacheco, J.O. Paceco-Sotelo, C.E.T. Reyes, J.A.D. Gomez, Modeling and experimental study on nitric oxide treatment using dielectric barrier discharge. IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science 35 (2007) 1533-1540.

[13] P. Deplano, E.T. Trogu, Sythesis and magnetochemical, spectroscopic, and structural studies of new Tris(N,N-dialkyldiselenocarbamato) iron (IV) tetrafluoroborate complexes. Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions 1 (1983) 25-30.