Supplementary data

Facile in situ synthesis of copper nanoparticles supported on reduced graphene oxide for hydrolytic dehydrogenation of ammonia borane

Yuwen Yang,^a Zhang-Hui Lu,^{a*} Yujuan Hu,^a Zhujun Zhang,^a Weimei Shi,^{b*} and Xiangshu Chen,^{a*} Tingting Wang^a

^aJiangxi Inorganic Membrane Materials Engineering Research Centre, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang, 330022, China, ^bSichuan research center of new materials, Institute of Chemical Materials, China Academy of Engineering Physics, Mianyang, 621900, China

E-mail address: luzh@jxnu.edu.cn (Z.-H. Lu); shiweimei82@gmail.com (W. Shi); cxs66cn@jxnu.edu.cn (X. Chen);

Experimental details

Chemicals

Ammonia borane (NH₃BH₃, AB, Aldrich, 90%), Copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate (CuSO₄·5H₂O, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., \geq 99%), potassium permanganate (KMnO₄, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., \geq 99%), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., \geq 30%), sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., \geq 30%), sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., \geq 30%), sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., 95~98%), hydrochloric acid (HCl, Beijing Chemical Works, 36%~37%), potassium peroxodisulfate (K₂S₂O₈, Beijing Chemical Works, \geq 99%), phosphorus pentoxide (P₂O₅, Beijing Chemical Works, \geq 99.99%), activated charcoal (Aladin Industrial Co.), graphite powder (Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., \geq 99.85%) and nylon filter membranes (Aldrich, pore size 0.2 µm). All chemicals were used as obtained. We use ordinary distilled water as the reaction solvent.

Graphite Oxide (GO) preparation.

GO was made by a modified Hummers method [S1,S2]. Briefly, graphite powder (3 g, 325 mesh) was put into an 80 °C solution of concentrated H₂SO₄ (12 mL), K₂S₂O₈ (2.5 g), and P₂O₅ (2.5 g). After keeping at 80 °C for 4.5 h using a hotplate, the mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with 0.5 L of de-ionized water and left overnight. Then, the mixture was filtered and washed with de-ionized water using a 0.2 micron Nylon Millipore filter to remove the residual acid. The product was dried under ambient condition overnight. Next, the pretreated graphite powder was put into cold (0 °C) concentrated H₂SO₄ (120 mL) in a 250-mL round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar. 15 g KMnO₄ was added gradually under stirring while the temperature of the mixture was kept below 20 °C. The solution was then stirred at 35 °C for 2 h. Afterwards, 250 mL of de-ionized water was added and the suspension was stirred for another 2 h. Subsequently, additional 0.7 L of de-ionized water was added. Shortly after that, 20 mL of 30% H₂O₂ was added to the mixture to destroy the excess of permanganate. The suspension was then repeatedly centrifuged and washed first with 5% HCl solution and then with water. Exfoliation of graphite oxide to GO was achieved by ultrasonication of the dispersion for 30 min.

In situ synthesis of RGO supported Cu NPs and their catalytic activities toward the hydrolysis of AB.

8 mL aqueous solution containing $CuSO_4$ (25.22mg) and GO solution (1.77g, containing 0.68‰ GO) was kept in a 25ml two-necked round-bottom flask. One neck was connected to a gas burette, and the other was connected to a pressure-equalization funnel to introduce 2 mL of aqueous solution containing AB (34.3 mg). The reactions were started when the aqueous AB solution was added to the mixture solution of precursors and GO with vigorous stirring. The evolution of gas was monitored using the gas burette. The reactions were carried out at room temperature under ambient atmosphere.

For comparison, support-free Cu NPs, GO and RGO (GO reduced by NaBH₄) toward the hydrolysis of AB were used the similar method. And RGO and Cu NPs are together employed in the hydrolysis of AB by using the similar method.

Different supported materials

Sets of experiments with different supported materials (such as activated charcoal, graphite powder) were performed at room temperature. All the experiments were performed in the same way as described in the section above.

Catalytic hydrolysis of AB

Sets of experiments with different concentration of Cu (0.04, 0.06, 0.08, and 0.1 mmol) were performed at room temperature (25°C) while the AB concentration was kept the same (1 mmol) to determine the rate law of the catalytic hydrolysis of AB. Temperature was varied at 25°C, 30°C, 35°C and 40°C while the ratio of the concentration of Cu (0.1 mmol) and AB (1 mmol) was kept constant of 0.1 to obtain the activation energy (E_a).

Recyclability test for the hydrolysis of AB

2mL of solution containing NH₃BH₃ (34.3 mg, 1 mmol) was added to 8 mL Copper sulfate solution and GO solution, the evolution of gas was monitored as described above. After the hydrogen generation reaction was completed, new aqueous AB (34.3 mg, 1 mmol) was added into the reaction flask. The evolution of gas was monitored using the gas burette. Such cycle tests of the catalyst for the hydrolysis of AB were carried out four times in air.

Catalyst characterization

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) studies were performed on a Rigaku RINT-22005 X-ray diffractometer with a Cu_{Ka} source (40 kV, 20 mA). The morphologies and sizes of the samples were observed by using a transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEM-2010). The TEM samples were prepared by depositing one or two droplets of the nanoparticle suspensions on to the amorphous carbon coated copper grids. FTIR spectra were collected at room temperature by using a Thermo Nicolet 870 instrument using KBr discs in the 500-4000 cm⁻¹ region. Raman spectrometer were carried out using a confocal Raman microscope (LabRAM HR). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurement was performed with a Thermo ESCALAB 250XI multifunctional imaging electron spectrometer. The ICP analyses of the reaction mixtures after centrifugal separation of the catalysts were performed by a 725-ES Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP) spectrophotometer (Varian Corp.).



Fig. S1. (a) XPS spectra of C 1s of GO and (b) XPS spectra of C 1s of Cu/RGO.



Fig. S2. XPS spectra of Cu/RGO showing the Cu $2p_{3/2}$ and Cu $2p_{1/2}$ at 934.9 and 952.3 eV, respectively, with their shake-up satellite peaks and the peakfit of Cu $2p_{3/2}$ peak.

Cu/RGO was characterized by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Compared with the peaks of GO (Fig. S1a), the intensities of oxygen containing functional groups (such as -C-O, -C=O, -COO) in Cu/RGO (Fig. S1b) decrease significantly, which reveal the reduction of GO to RGO. The XPS spectrum of Cu/RGO shows two prominent peaks at 934.9 and 952.3 eV which are assigned to Cu $2p_{3/2}$ and Cu $2p_{1/2}$, respectively (Fig. S2). The peakfit of Cu $2p_{3/2}$ core level reveals three peaks, the peak at 932.6 eV is attributed to zero valent Cu, while the other two peaks at 932.1 and 934.6 eV stand for oxidized Cu. The

formation of the oxidized Cu most likely occurs during the sample preparation process for XPS measurements [16].



Fig. S3. Hydrogen generation from hydrolysis of ammonia borane (0.10M, 10 mL) catalyzed by as-synthesized Cu/RGO and Cu NPs mixed with RGO. (Cu/AB=0.1).



Fig. S4. Hydrogen productivity vs. reaction time for hydrogen release from an aqueous AB solution (0.10M, 10 mL) catalyzed by the Cu/RGO nanocatalysts during a four cycle reusability test. (Cu/AB =0.1).



Fig. S5. Hydrogen generation from hydrolysis of ammonia borane (0.10M, 10 mL) catalyzed by Cu/RGO with different amount of GO (0.6, 1.2, 2.4 mg), catalyst/AB=0.1.

With increasing GO from 0.6 mg to 1.2 mg, the catalytic performance increases, while GO continues to increase to 2.4 mg, the catalytic performance decreases, indicating the best amount of GO in our system is 1.2 mg (1.77g GO solution, containing 0.68‰ GO).



Fig. S6. Plots of volume of hydrogen generated vs. time. (a) The hydrolysis of AB ammonia borane (0.10M, 10 mL) was catalyzed by the Cu/RGO nanocatalysts at different catalyst concentrations . (b) ln [Cu/RGO] vs. ln rate plot.



Fig. S7. (a). Plots of volume of hydrogen generated vs. time. for Cu/RGO catalyzed hydrolysis of AB at four different temperatures in the range of 298-313K, Cu/AB = 0.1; (b) Arrhenius plot obtained from the data of Fig. S7a with standard deviation bars.

Catalyst	TOF ($H_2 \cdot mol \text{ catalyst}^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}$)	Ref.
Cu/RGO	3.61	This study
Zeolite-confined Cu	1.25	[16]
p(AMPS)-Cu	0.72	[15]
Cu/γ - Al_2O_3	0.27	[9]
CuCl ₂	0.23	[11]
Cu ₂ O NPs	0.18	[20]
Cu NPs	0.06	[20]

Table S1. TOF values (mol H_2 mol catalyt⁻¹ min⁻¹) of Cu nanocatalysts tested in hydrogen generation from aqueous NH_3BH_3 at room temperature.

References

[S1] W. S. Hummers and R. E. Offeman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1958, 80, 1339-1399.

[S2] N. I. Kovtyukhova, P. J. Ollivier, B. R. Martin, T. E. Mallouk, S. A. Chizhik, E. V. Buzaneva, and A. D. Gorchinskiy, Chem. Mater., 1999, 11, 771-778.