Supporting Information

A highly sensitive and selective turn-on fluorescent chemodosimeter for Cu²⁺ based on BODIPY and its application in bioimaging

Jia-Hai Ye,*^a Jing Xu,^a Huachao Chen,^b Yang Bai,^b Wenchao Zhang^a and Weijiang He*^b

 ^a School of Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing, 210094, P. R. China, E-mail: <u>yejiahai@njust.edu.cn</u>
 ^b State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing, 210093, P. R. China, E-mail: <u>heweij69@nju.edu.cn</u>

Table of Contents

| General Information | |
|---|--------|
| General Procedure for UV-Vis and Fluorescence Studies | S2 |
| Cell Culture and Confocal Imaging | S2 |
| Synthesis and Characterization of Fluorescent Probe 1 | S2- S3 |
| Reference | S3 |
| Fig S1-Fig S14 | S3-S13 |

General Information

All chemical reagents were commercially available and of analytical grade. The stock solutions of metal ions were prepared from LiCl, NaCl, KCl, MgSO₄, CaCl₂, Ba(NO₃)₂, Al(NO₃)₃•9H₂O, Pb(NO₃)₂,Cr₂(SO₄)₃, MnCl₂•4H₂O, FeCl₃•6H₂O, Co(NO₃)₂•6H₂O, Ni(NO₃)₂•6H₂O, CuCl, CuCl₂, AgNO₃, Zn(OAc)₂•2H₂O, CdCl₂•H₂O, HgCl₂with doubly distilled water. ¹H NMR (500 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) were measured on a Bruker Avance III spectrometer. Electrospray mass spectra (ESI-MS) were recorded on a Thermofisher LCQ. Fluorescence spectra were determined on a Perkin Elmer LS-55. UV-vis spectra were measured on a Shimadzu UV-3600. The pH values of sample solutions were monitored by a PHS-3 system. Stock solutions for analysis were prepared (1×10⁻⁵ mol·L⁻¹ for compound **1** in CH₃CN:H₂O=1:1, v/v) immediately before the experiments.

General Procedure for UV-Vis and Fluorescence Studies.

Stock solutions of metal ions were prepared $(1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol/L})$ in buffer solution pH=6.8. A stock solution of compound 1 $(1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol·L}^{-1})$ was prepared in CH₃CN:H₂O (1:1, v/v) immediately before the experiments. In experiments, each time a 3 mL solution of compound 1 $(10 \ \mu\text{M})$ was filled in a quartz optical cell of 1 cm optical path length, and then the Cu²⁺ stock solution was added. For fluorescence measurements, excitation was provided at 460 nm, and emission was collected from 470 nm to 650 nm. Results are shown as follows.

Cell Culture and Confocal Imaging

HeLa cells was maintained following protocols provided by the American type Tissue Culture Collection. Cells were seeded at a density of 1.0×10^6 cells·mL⁻¹in RPMI-1640 Medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), NaHCO₃ (2 g/L), and 1% antibiotics (penicillin/streptomycin, 100 U/ml). Cultures were maintained at 37 °C under a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. Confocal fluorescence imaging studies were performed on aLSM710 confocal laser-scanning microscope (Carl Zeiss Co., Ltd.).The working solution was prepared from a 2mMacetonitrile solution of compound 1and then it was diluted with PBS to a final concentration of 10 μ M. Prior to imaging, the medium was removed and cell imaging was carried out after washing cells with PBS for three times.

Synthesis and Characterization of Fluorescent Probe 1





Synthesis of compound 3

A three-necked flask was charged with 35 ml (0.6 mol) of hydrazine hydrate and heated to 120° C. A solution of 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde (3.51 g, 20 mmol) in 15 ml of chloroform was added over a period of 4 hours. After cooling to room temperature the aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and finally washed with a little ethyl acetate. Then compound 3 was obtained as a yellow solid without further purification. ESI-MS: m/z = 187.1 (M+H⁺, 100%).

Synthesis of compound 1

In a three-necked flask, compound **2** (382 mg, 1 mmol) was dissolved in 20 ml of ethanol under reflux. Then, compound **3** (372 mg, 2 mmol) in 20 ml of ethanol was added into the mixture with continuous stirring. Finally the obtained mixture was monitored by TLC. When the compound **2** was no longer continue to disappear, the mixture was filtered while hot. Then, the obtained solid was dissolved in dichloromethane, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated. Then compound **1** was obtained by column chromatography using petroleum ether and ethyl acetate as the eluent (190mg, 35% yield). Mp: 259-261°C.¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) ppm, δ : 9.66 (s, 1H, CH=N), 8.65 (s, 1H, CH=N), 8.16 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.87 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.79 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.57 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.39 (t, J = 7.4 Hz,1H, Ar-H), 7.32 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.21 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.07 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.11 (s, 1H, Pyrrol-H), 3.91 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.93 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.62 (s,3H, CH₃), 1.71 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.49 (s, 3H, CH₃).¹³CNMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) ppm, δ : 161.10, 160.69, 159.94, 159.11, 155.87, 145.91,142.95, 141.70, 134.20,133.64,132.91,131.36, 129.45, 129.28, 128.38, 127.88, 126.83, 123.77, 123.07, 120.41, 119.43, 115.01, 55.59, 29.91, 15.12, 14.60, 12.79. ESI-MS: m/z = 551.2 (M+H⁺).

References:

S1: (a) L. Jiao, C. Yu, J. Li, Z. Wang, M. Wu and E. Hao, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, 74, 7525.
(b) J. Ye, W. Ye, C. Xiao, Y. Chen, G. Wang, and W. Zhang, *Chin. J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, 32, 1503.

The Effect of Organic Solvent to the reactivity of compound 1 with Cu²⁺



Figure S1. Effect of solvent to the reaction of compound $1(10 \ \mu\text{M})$ with Cu²⁺ (40 μM , 4 equiv) in H₂O/solvent (1:1, v/v) medium for 10 minutes (λ_{ex} : 460 nm).

Absorption and Emission Spectra of compound 1 and in the presence of Cu²⁺



Figure S2. (a) Absorbance and **(b)** fluorescence spectra of compound $1(10 \ \mu\text{M})$ and Cu^{2+} ions (40 equiv) in H₂O/CH₃CN (1:1, v/v) medium (λ_{ex} : 460 nm).



The Absorption and Fluorescence Responses of 1 to Cu²⁺ and other metal ions

Figure S3. (a) Absorption spectra of compound **1** (10 μ M) with different metal ions (40 μ M, 4 equiv) in H₂O/CH₃CN (1:1, v/v) medium for 30 minutes and (b) fluorescence spectra of compound **1** (10 μ M) with different metal ions (40 μ M, 4e quiv) in H₂O/CH₃CN (1:1, v/v) medium for 10 minutes (λ_{ex} : 460nm).



Absorption and Fluorescence Titration Spectra of compound 1

Figure S4. (a) Absorbance spectra of compound **1** (10 μ M) towards Cu²⁺ ions (0 to 40 equiv) in H₂O/CH₃CN (1:1, v/v) medium (inset: plot of absorbance depending on the equiv of Cu²⁺ at 525 nm and 490 nm) and (b) fluorescence spectra of compound **1** (10 μ M) towards Cu²⁺ ions (0, 0.01, 0.02, 0.04, 0.1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, 33, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 equiv) in H₂O/CH₃CN (1:1, v/v) medium (λ_{ex} : 460 nm).





Figure S5. Effect of pH to the reaction of compound **1** (10 μ M) with Cu²⁺ (40 μ M, 4 equiv) in H₂O/CH₃CN (1:1, v/v) medium for 10 minutes (λ_{ex} : 460 nm).



The Effect of different cations to the reactivity of compound 1 with Cu²⁺

Figure S6. Fluorescence responses of **1** (10 μ M) to various cations in H₂O/CH₃CN (1:1, v/v) medium. The black bars represent the emission intensities of **1** in the presence of 40 μ M of alkali (IA) and alkaline earth (IIA) metals and for other cations of interest. The red bars represent the change of the emission that occurs upon the subsequent addition of 40 μ M of Cu²⁺ to the above solution. The intensities were recorded at 507 nm, excitation at 460 nm.



The Effect of different anions to the reactivity of compound 1 with Cu²⁺

Figure S7. Effect of different anions to the reaction of compound **1** (10 μ M) with Cu²⁺ (40 μ M, 4 equiv) in H₂O/CH₃CN (1:1, v/v) medium for 10 minutes (λ_{ex} : 460nm).

Determination of Detection Limit

The detection limit was calculated based on the fluorescence titration. Before addingCu²⁺, the emission intensity of compound **1** was measured by 10 times and the standard deviation of blank measurements was determined. Then a good linear relationship between the fluorescence intensity and Cu²⁺ concentration could be obtained in the 0-1 μ M (R²=0.9764). The detection limit is then calculated with the equation: detection limit = 3 σ bi/m, where σ bi is the standard deviation of blank measurements; m is the slope between intensity versus sample concentration. The detection limit was measured to be **0.1** μ M.



Figure S8. (a) Fluorescence changes of compound **1** (10 μ M) upon addition of Cu²⁺ (0.1 to 1.0 μ M, 0.01 to 0.1 equiv) and (b) Fluorescence spectra of compound **1** (10 μ M) in the presence of Cu²⁺ (0.1 μ M, 0.01 eq) in H₂O/CH₃CN (1:1, v/v) medium (λ_{ex} : 460nm).



Figure S9. ¹H NMR spectrum of compound 1 in $CDCl_3$



Figure S10. ¹³C NMR spectrum of compound 1 in CDCl₃



Figure S11. MS spectrum of compound 1

The observed visual color change to the reaction



(a) Visible light

(b) UV light (365 nm lamp)

Figure S12. Observed visual color and fluorescence change of compound $1(10 \ \mu\text{M})$ upon addition of 4 equiv of different metal ions in CH₃CN/H₂O (1:1, v/v) medium for 3 hours.



Figure S13. Proposed sensing mechanism and the change of the ¹HNMR spectrum to the reaction: (a) ¹H NMR spectrum of compound **1** (1.0 mM) in CD₃CN. (b) ¹H NMR spectrum of compound **1** (1.0 mM) upon addition of 1 equiv of Cu²⁺ in CD₃CN (detection after 3 hours).



Fluorescent imaging of Cu²⁺ in HeLa cells

Figure S14. Confocal fluorescence images of living Hela cells: (1a) cells loaded with 10 μ M probe **1** for 30 min. (1b) bright field image of 1a. (3c) overlaid images of panels 1a and 1b. (2a) probe-loaded cells treated with 20 μ M Cu²⁺ for 1 h. (2b) bright field image of 2a. (2c) overlaid images of panels 2a and 2b. (3a) probe-loaded cells treated with 20 μ M Cu²⁺ for 3 h. (3b) bright field image of 3a. (3c) overlaid images of panels 3a and 3b (λ_{ex} : 488 nm, band path for detection: 490-650 nm).



Figure S15. MS spectrum of compound 3