

**FACILE, HIGH-YIELDING PREPARATION OF PYRROLIDINIUM, PIPERIDINIUM,  
MORPHOLINIUM AND 2,3-DIHYDRO-1*H*-ISOINDOLINIUM SALTS AND IONIC  
LIQUIDS FROM SECONDARY AMINES**

**Supplementary Information**

Antony J. Ward, Anthony F. Masters, Thomas Maschmeyer\*

Laboratory of Advanced Catalysis for Sustainability, School of Chemistry F11, The University of  
Sydney, NSW, 2006, AUSTRALIA

## CALCULATION OF OVERALL “GREENNESS” SCORE

### EHS Scores for the chemicals used

CHEMICAL	HEALTH	SAFETY	ENVIRON.	EHS SCORE <sup>a</sup>
K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	7	10	10	8.9
LiNTf <sub>2</sub>	4	10	7	6.5
Br(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> Br	4	10	10	7.4
Br(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>5</sub> Br	10	10	10	10
{Br(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> } <sub>2</sub> O	7	10	10	8.9
<i>o</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> Br) <sub>2</sub>	4	10	10	7.4
HN(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	7	4	10	6.5
HN(C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	7	4	10	6.5
HN(C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	7	4	10	6.5
HN(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> )(C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub> )	7	4	10	6.5
HN(CH <sub>3</sub> )(C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub> )	7	4	10	6.5
Pyrrolidine	7	4	10	6.5
Piperidine	4	4	10	5.4
Morpholine	7	7	10	7.9
Formaldehyde	1	10	1	2.2
C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub> Br	10	4	4	5.4
CH <sub>3</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> H	4	7	10	6.5
Zinc	10	1	1	2.2
NaBH <sub>4</sub>	4	4	10	5.4

<sup>a</sup> Determined by the geometric mean of the Health, Safety, and Environmental scores.

## Assessment of Chemistry scores

	For the new method:	For Scheme 4:
Work-up	10	7
Co-reagents	4	4
Other issues	10	10
Stoichiometry	7	1
Clean Chemistry score	7.3	4.1

## Calculation of Overall “Greenness” scores

For the synthesis of **15** (*N*-butyl-*N*-methylpyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide):

For the new method:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Overall EHS} &= (\text{EHS}(\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3) \times \text{EHS}(\text{HN}(\text{CH}_3)(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9)) \times \text{EHS}(\text{Br}(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{Br}) \times \text{EHS}(\text{LiNTf}_2))^{1/4} \\ &= (8.9 \times 6.5 \times 7.4 \times 6.5)^{1/4} \\ &= 7.3\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Overall “greenness” score} &= (\text{EHS} \times \text{Clean Chemistry})^{1/2} \\ &= (7.3 \times 7.3)^{1/2} \\ &= 7.3\end{aligned}$$

For Scheme 4 (method 1 in step 1):

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Overall EHS} &= (\text{EHS}(\text{pyrrolidine}) \times \text{EHS}(\text{Zn}) \times \text{EHS}(\text{formaldehyde}) \times \text{EHS}(\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}) \times \\ &\quad \text{EHS}(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{Br}) \times \text{EHS}(\text{LiNTf}_2))^{1/6} \\ &= (6.5 \times 2.2 \times 2.2 \times 6.5 \times 5.4 \times 6.5)^{1/6} \\ &= 4.4\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Overall “greenness” score} &= (\text{EHS} \times \text{Clean Chemistry})^{1/2} \\ &= (4.1 \times 4.4)^{1/2} \\ &= 4.2\end{aligned}$$

For Scheme 4 (method 2 in step 1):

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Overall EHS} &= (\text{EHS}(\text{pyrrolidine}) \times \text{EHS}(\text{formaldehyde}) \times \text{EHS}(\text{NaBH}_4) \times \text{EHS}(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{Br}) \times \\ &\quad \text{EHS}(\text{LiNTf}_2))^{1/5} \\ &= (6.5 \times 2.2 \times 5.4 \times 5.4 \times 6.5)^{1/5} \\ &= 4.9\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Overall "greenness" score} &= (\text{EHS} \times \text{Clean Chemistry})^{1/2} \\ &= (4.9 \times 4.1)^{1/2} \\ &= 4.5\end{aligned}$$