## Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for RSC Advances. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2014

<Supporting Information>

Subtle backbone modifications control the interpenetration of dibenzosuberone-based coordination cages

Thorben R. Schulte,<sup>a</sup> Marcel Krick,<sup>a</sup> Carmen I. Asche,<sup>a</sup> Sabrina Freye<sup>a</sup> and Guido H. Clever<sup>\*a</sup>

1.	Ligand Synthesis
2.	NMR and ESI data of the Self-Assembly
3.	Literature

## 1. Ligand syntheses

## A) Ligand $L^2$



The syntheses of  $2^1$  and the pyridine precursor are described in the literature.<sup>2</sup>

The Suzuki-cross-coupling reaction was performed under nitrogen atmosphere with dibromobenzosuberone (82 mg, 0.22 mmol, 1.0 eq.), 4-(3-pyridinyl)phenylboronic acid pinacol ester (190 mg, 0.67 mmol, 3.0 eq.), Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (14 mg, 0.012 mmol, 5 mol%) and K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O(163 mg, 0.73mmol, 3eq.) in dioxane (6 mL) for 24 h at 95 °C. The solvent was evaporated under vacuum and the crude residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (SiO<sub>2</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH = 100:1) and washed with MeOH yielding the clean product (41 mg, 0.080 mmol, 36 %).

<sup>1</sup>**H-NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  [ppm] = 3.31 (s, 4H), 7.34 – 7.42 (m, 4H), 7.66 – 7.80 (m, 10H), 7.92 (dt, *J* = 8.1, 1.9 Hz, 2H), 8.35 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 2H), 8.61 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 2H), 8.91 (s, 2H).

<sup>1</sup>**H-NMR** (300 MHz, DMSO):  $\delta$  [ppm] = 3.29 (s, 4H), 7.49 – 7.56 (m, 4H), 7.83 – 7.91 (m, 8H), 7.94 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 2.2, 2H), 8.16 (dt, *J* = 8.1, 2.0, 2H), 8.24 (d, *J* = 2.1, 2H), 8.60 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 1.6, 2H), 8.98 (d, *J* = 1.8, 2H).



<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ [ppm] = 34.79, 123.82, 127.75, 127.81, 129.26, 130.34, 130.96, 134.49, 137.10, 138.96, 139.13, 139.95, 141.41, 148.28, 148.62, 195.54. (the signal of one carbon is missing)



The synthesis of the dibenzosuberone **3** precursor is described in literature.<sup>3</sup>

The Sonogashira-cross-coupling reaction was performed under nitrogen atmosphere with the precursor **3** (50.0 mg, 0.12 mmol, 1.00 eq.), 3-ethynylpyridine (48.0 mg, 0.47 mmol, 3.39 eq.), PdCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (4.80 mg, 0,01 mmol, 5.00 mol%) and CuI (2.1 mg, 0.01 mmol, 8.03 mol%) in dry NEt<sub>3</sub> (2 mL) for 23 h at 90 °C. The solvent was evaporated under vacuum and the crude residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (SiO<sub>2</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH = 50:1  $\rightarrow$  15:1). After evaporation of the solvent and washing with acetonitrile the product (20.0 mg, 0.05 mmol, 41 %) was obtained as a yellow solid.

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  [ppm] = 7.08 (s, 2 H), 7.31 (ddd, J = 7.9, 4.9, 0.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.55 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.77 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.84 (dt, J = 7.9, 1.9 Hz, 2 H), 8.41 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 2 H), 8.58 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.4 Hz, 2 H), 8.80 (s, 2 H).



<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  [ppm] = 80.8, 91.8, 123.2, 123.5, 131.4, 132.0, 133.9, 134.5, 134.6, 134.9, 138.4, 138.8, 148.7, 152.1, 200.0.



**IR** (ATR): *v* [cm<sup>-1</sup>] = 513, 620, 703, 744, 805, 828, 857, 893, 911, 932, 976, 1072, 1115, 1190, 1265, 1292, 1384, 1419, 1480, 1547, 1579, 1759, 1901, 2177, 2346, 2879, 2960.

<b>ESI-HRMS</b> ( $[C_{29}H_{16}N_2O+H^+]$ ):	found:	408.1265
	calc.:	408.1263

C) Ligand L<sup>3b</sup>:



The Sonogashira-cross-coupling reaction was performed under nitrogen atmosphere with **3** (45.0 mg, 0.12 mmol, 1.00 eq.), 3-(2-methoxy-bethoxy-bethoxy-5-ethynylpyridine (48.2 mg, 0.27 mmol, 2.20 eq.), Pd(CN)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2.00 mg, 6.00 µmol, 5.00 mol%), HP(tBu)<sub>3</sub>BF<sub>4</sub> (4.6 mg, 12.0 µmol, 10.0 mol%) and CuI (1.60 mg, 0.06 µmol, 5.00 mol%) in dry DMF (5 mL) and dry NEt<sub>3</sub> (1 mL) for 24 h at 90 °C. The solvent was evaporated under vacuum and the crude residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (SiO<sub>2</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH = 20:1  $\rightarrow$  10:1). After the evaporation of the solvent the product (41.5 mg, 75.0 µmol, 62 %) was obtained as a yellow solid.

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (300 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta$  [ppm] = 3.38 (s, 6H), 4.23 - 4.17 (m, 4H), 7.23 (s, 2H), 7.51 (dd, J = 2.8, 1.7 Hz, 2H), 7.72 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.85 (dd, J = 8.1 Hz,  $J^4 = 1.8$  Hz, 2H), 8.30 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 2H), 8.32 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 8.38 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 2H).

**ESI-HRMS** ( $[C_{35}H_{28}N_2O_5+H^+]$ ): found:

calc.: 557.2071

557.2065

D) Ligand  $L^4$ 



The synthesis of the dibenzocycloheptatriene derivate **4** is described in the literature.<sup>3</sup>

The Sonogashira-cross-coupling reaction was performed under nitrogen atmosphere with the precursor **4** (25.0 mg, 0.07 mmol, 1.00 eq.), 3-ethynylpyridin (24.8 mg, 0.24 mmol, 3.39 eq.),  $PdCl_2(PPh_3)_2$  (2.50 mg, 3.00 µmol, 5.00 mol%) and CuI (1.20 mg, 0.01 mmol, 8.87 mol%) in dry NEt<sub>3</sub> (2 mL) for 23 h at 90 °C. The solvent was evaporated under vacuum and the crude residue was

purified by column chromatography on silica gel (SiO<sub>2</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH =  $50:1 \rightarrow 15:1$ ). After the evaporation of the solvent the product (16.0 mg, 0.04 mmol, 57 %) was obtained as a yellow solid.

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  [ppm] = 3.76 (s, 2 H), 7.06 (s, 2 H), 7.30 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2 H), 7.32 (s, 2 H), 7.40 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.54 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.81 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2 H), 8.57 (s, 2 H), 8.78 (s, 2 H).



<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  [ppm] = 41.1, 87.3, 92.7, 122.7, 123.2, 128.3, 129.5, 131.2, 131.8, 135.7, 137.6, 148.4, 152.1. (the signals of two quaternary carbons are missing)



**IR** (ATR): v [cm<sup>-1</sup>] = 512, 543, 555, 626, 700, 722, 749, 803, 825, 845, 861, 896, 920, 948, 1019, 1039, 1093, 1120, 1165, 1186, 1261, 1329, 1407, 1431, 1472, 1497, 1560, 1579, 1599, 2920, 3026.

<b>ESI-HRMS</b> ( $[C_{29}H_{18}N_2+H^+]$ ):	found:	394.1477

calc.: 394.1470

#### 2. Self-assembly of coordination cages

## a) $[Pd_2L_4^2](BF_4)_4$

Cage compound  $[Pd_2L_4^2](BF_4)_4$  was obtained in quantitative yield by heating a mixture of ligand  $L^2$  (0.693 mg, 1.34 µmol, 2.0 eq.) and  $[Pd(CH_3CN)_4(BF_4)_2]$  (0.689 µmol, 45.9 µL of a 15 mM stock solution in  $d_6$ -DMSO) in  $d_6$ -DMSO (0.500 mL) at 70 °C for 24 h in a closed vial.

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (300 MHz, DMSO):  $\delta$  [ppm] = 3.19 (s, 16H), 7.47 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 8H), 7.84 – 8.00 (m, 48H), 8.43 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 8H), 8.48 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 8H), 9.37 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 8H), 9.62 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 8H).

### b) $[BF_4@Pd_4L_8^2](BF_4)_7$

The double cage compound was obtained by heating a mixture of the ligand  $L^2$  (0.728 mg, 1.41 µmol) and a solution of [Pd(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sub>4</sub>(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] (0.717 µmol, 47.8 µL of a 15 mM stock solution in CD<sub>3</sub>CN) in CD<sub>3</sub>CN (0.500 mL) at 70°C for 24 h in a closed vial.

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (300 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta$  [ppm] = 3.00 – 3.19 (m, 32H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 16H), 7.05 – 7.10 (m, 8H), 7.21 (s, 8H), 7.42 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 8H), 7.54 – 7.58 (m, 8H), 7.61 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 16H), 7.74 – 7.86 (m, 40H), 8.00 (s, 8H), 8.02 – 8.05 (m, 16H), 8.06 – 8.09 (m, 8H), 8.39 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 8H), 9.09 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 8H), 9.51 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 8H), 9.78 (s, 8H), 9.99 (s, 8H).

## c) $[Pd_2L^{3a}_{4}](BF_4)_4$

Cage compound  $[Pd_2L^{3a}_4](BF_4)_4$  was obtained by heating a mixture of ligand  $L^{3a}$  (0.62 mg, 1.52 µmol, 2.0 eq.) and  $[Pd(CH_3CN)_4(BF_4)_2]$  (0.75 µmol, 50.0 µL of a 15 mM stock solution in CD<sub>3</sub>CN) in CD<sub>3</sub>CN (0.500 mL) at 23 °C in a closed vial. NMR signals assignable to the monomeric cage were recorded after a reaction time of about 10 minutes. Longer reaction times or heating the sample lead to the formation of an insoluble precipitate and the vanishing of all NMR signals.

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta$  [ppm] = 7.22 (s, 8H), 7.62 (ddd, *J* = 8.1, 5.9, 0.7 Hz, 8H), 7.71 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 8H), 7.88 (dd, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1.8, 8H), 8.20 (dt, *J* = 8.1, 1.6 Hz, 8H), 8.42 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 8H), 8.70 (dd, *J* = 5.6, 1.3 Hz, 8H), 8.95 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 8H).

# d) $[BF_4@Pd_4L^{3b}_8](BF_4)_7$

The double cage compound was obtained by heating a mixture of the ligand  $L^{3b}$  (0.88 mg, 1.53 µmol) and a solution of  $[Pd(CH_3CN)_4(BF_4)_2]$  (0.75 µmol, 50.0 µL of a 15 mM stock solution in CD<sub>3</sub>CN) in CD<sub>3</sub>CN (0.500 mL) at 70 °C for 24 h in a closed vial.

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR**(500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN): $\delta$  [ppm] = 2.93 – 4.30 (-OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>, 56H), 6.67 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.8 Hz, 4H), 6.94 (dd, *J* = 2.7, 1.3 Hz, 4H), 7.02 (s, 4H), 6.97 (s, 4H), 7.17 (dd, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 4H), 7.60 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 4H), 7.65 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 4H), 7.77 (dd, *J* = 2.5, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, *J* = 8.0, 1.3 Hz, 4H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 4H), 8.73 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 4H), 9.20 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 4H), 9.65 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 4H), 10.01 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 4H).

## e) $[Pd_2L_4^4](BF_4)_4$

Cage compound  $[Pd_2L_4^4](BF_4)_4$  was obtained in quantitative yield by heating a mixture of ligand  $L^4$  (0.61 mg, 1.55 µmol) and  $[Pd(CH_3CN)_4(BF_4)_2$  (0.831 µmol, 55.4 µL of a 15 mM stock solution in CD<sub>3</sub>CN) in CD<sub>3</sub>CN (0.554 mL) at 70 °C for 24 h in a closed vial.

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta$  [ppm] = 3.79 (s, 8H), 7.12 (s, 8H), 7.39 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 8H), 7.49 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.7 Hz, 8H), 7.55 - 7.58 (m, 8H), 7.64 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 8H), 8.20 (dt, J = 8.2, 1.6 Hz, 8H), 8.44 - 8.50 (m, 8H), 8.75 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 8H).

#### 3. Calculation details

Input structures are based on manual modifications of the X-ray structural data of the previously reported double-cage  $[BF_4@Pd_4L_8^1](BF_4)_7$ .<sup>1</sup> For structure manipulation, the Spartan '08 software package was used.<sup>4</sup> PM6 and DFT calculations were performed using the program Gaussian '09.<sup>5</sup> Semiempiric gas phase calculations were performed on the PM6 level of theory.<sup>6</sup> DFT calculations used the def2 basis sets<sup>7</sup> and dispersion corrected M06<sup>8</sup> or  $\omega$ B97XD functionals.<sup>9</sup>

#### 4. Literature

1. S. Freye, J. Hey, A. Torras-Galán, D. Stalke, R. Herbst-Irmer, M. John and G. H. Clever, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 2191.

2. S.-J. Su, D. Tanaka, Y.-J. Li, H. Sasabe, T. Takeda and J. Kido, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 941.

3. Y. Wei and C.-T. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 7478.

4. Spartan '08, Wavefunction, Irvine, USA.

5. Gaussian 09, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, **2009**.

6. J. J. P. Stewart, J. Mol. Model., 2007, 13, 1173.

7. F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2005, 7, 3297.

8. Y. Zhao, D. G. Truhlar, Theor. Chem. Acc., 2008, 120, 215.

9. J.-D. Chai, M. Head-Gordon, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2008, 10, 6615.