# A highly efficient Nafion-H catalyst for vapour phase

# carbonylation of dimethoxymethane

Shiping Liu, <sup>*a,b*</sup> Wenliang Zhu, <sup>*a*</sup> Lei Shi, <sup>*a*</sup> Hongchao Liu, <sup>*a*</sup> Yong Liu, <sup>*a*</sup> Youming Ni, <sup>*a*</sup> Lina Li, <sup>*a,b*</sup> Hui Zhou, <sup>*a,b*</sup> Shutao Xu<sup>*a*</sup> and Zhongmin Liu<sup>*a*\*</sup>

### **Experimental Section**

#### **Preparation of catalysts**

**Nafion-H catalyst:** Nafion-H resin powder (<200 mesh, commercially available from Aldrich) was mixed with silica powder by mechanical mixture. The Nafion-H resins loading in the catalyst were 60 wt.%. The obtained mixture was pressed to pellets, then, crushed, sieved to 40–60 mesh particles.

**Polystyrenesulfonic acid resins catalysts:** Polystyrenesulfonic acid resins, which were purchased from Dandong Mingzhu Special Type Resin Co., Ltd., were used without further treatment.

**H-faujasite catalysts:** Zeolite samples were obtained commercially with different Si/Al ratios in H<sup>+</sup> form (Si/Al=4.3, Nankai University catalyst Co., Ltd.; Si/Al=15, Si/Al =40, Zeolyst). The zeolite samples were calcined in static air at 723 K for 4 h, and then, pelletized, crushed, sieved to 40–60 mesh size for activity test.

# Sample Preparation for <sup>1</sup>H MAS NMR

Prior to the pyridine- $d_5$  adsorption and NMR experiments, all the samples were dehydrated at 120 °C for 12 h under vacuum condition( $<1\times10^{-2}$  Pa). When the samples were cooled to ambient temperature, the pyridine- $d_5$  was introduced from a vacuum line at room temperature. Then, the overloaded pyridine- $d_5$  was removed at 313 K for 10 min in vacuum. After adsorption of pyridine- $d_5$ , the sealed samples were transferred into a NMR rotor under a dry nitrogen atmosphere in a glovebox.

# Solid-State NMR Experiments

<sup>1</sup>H MAS NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AvanceIII 600 spectrometer equipped with a 14.1 T wide-bore magnet using a 4 mm MAS probe with resonance frequencies of 600.13 MHz. The pulse width was 2.2  $\mu$ s for a  $\pi/4$  pulse, and 32 scans were accumulated with a 10 s recycle delay. Samples were spun at 12 kHz, and chemical shifts were referenced to adamantane at 1.74 ppm.

#### **Catalytic test**

DMM (commercially available from Aldrich) carbonylation reactions were performed in a continuous-flow fixed-bed stainless steel reactor. Typically, 0.1 g of catalyst was loaded into a reactor tube (8 mm internal diameter). The sample was then heated to 120 °C for an hour under nitrogen atmosphere (30 ml min<sup>-1</sup>) to remove residual water and then cooled to the reaction temperature. DMM was carried into reactor by carbon monoxide, which was bubbled through a stainless steel saturator containing liquid DMM isothermally held at 20 °C. The chemical inert nitrogen was introduced to the reaction system to adjust the partial pressure of CO. The reaction effluent was analyzed by gas chromatography (Agilent 7890) equipped with a flame ionization detector. The DMM conversion and MMAc selectivity were calculated with the followed equations.

DMM Conversion = 
$$[1-3C_{DMM}/(3C_{DMM}+2C_{DME}+2C_{MF}+C_{methanol}+3C_{MMAc})] \times 100\%$$
(1)

MMAc selectivity = 
$$[3C_{MMAc}/(2C_{DME}+2C_{MF}+C_{methanol}+3C_{MMAc})] \times 100\%$$
 (2)

where Ci was the molar concentration of compound *i* in the reaction effluent and n was the number of carbon derived from DMM.



Figure SI 1 the effect of Si/Al ratio on the DMM conversion( $\triangle$  Si/Al=40;  $\circ$ Si/Al=15;

□Si/Al=4.3) and selectivity to MMAc (▲Si/Al=40; ●Si/Al=15; ■Si/Al=4.3) at the same reaction condition (catalyst weight = 0.1 g, reaction pressure = 30.0 atm, reaction temperature =  $110^{\circ}$ C, DMM partial pressure = 0.42 atm, CO stream = 85 ml min<sup>-1</sup>).

Table SI 1 the catalytic performance over various sulfonic acids with different acid strength

Sulfonic Acids	H <sub>0</sub> a	Selectivity	Conversion	Rate of MMAc
		/ %	/%	Synthesis/
				mol (mol H <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>
CF <sub>3</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> H	-14.1 <sup>b1</sup>	92.8	27.0	12.6
$C_4F_9SO_3H$	-13.2 <sup>b1</sup>	91.7	20.2	10.6
$H_2SO_4$	-12.0 <sup>b1</sup>	90.0	10.5	4.4
CH <sub>3</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> H	-7.8 <sup>b2</sup>	88.9	<5.0	<2.0
CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> H	>-7.8	72.9	<5.0	<2.0
p-CH <sub>3</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> H	$+0.55^{b3}$	79.2	<5.0	<2.0
p-CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> H	>0.55	76.1	<5.0	<2.0

a: H<sub>0</sub>, value of Hammett acidity function

b1: G. A, Olach, G. K. S, Prakash, J. Sommer, *superacids* Wiley, 1985 and G.A. Olah, G.K.S. Prakash, A. Molnar, J. Sommer, *Superacid Chemistry*. Wiley, 2009; b2: D. Fărcaşiu and A. Ghenciu, *Progress in Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy*, 1996, **29**, 129-168. b3: L. K. Doraiswamy, *Organic Synthesis Engineering*, Oxford University Press, 2001.