# Supporting Information for

# A Fluorescent Probe for Hydrazine and Its in Vivo Applications

Liangliang Xiao,<sup>a</sup> Jia Tu,<sup>a</sup> Shiguo Sun,<sup>\*a</sup> Zhichao Pei,<sup>a</sup> Yuxin Pei,<sup>a</sup> Yi Pang<sup>b</sup> and Yongqian Xu,<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Science, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi, P. R. China, 712100, xuyq@nwsuaf.edu.cn

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry & Maurice Morton Institute of Polymer Science, The University of Akron, Akron, OH, 44325

## Synthesis material and instruments

All chemicals and reagents were used directly as obtained commercially unless otherwise stated. All solvents were of reagent grade and water used was ultra filter deionized. Probe 4 was synthesized according to our previous work. <sup>S1</sup> Absorption and emission spectra were collected by using a Shimadzu 1750 UV-visible spectrometer and a RF-5301 fluorescence spectrometer (Japan), respectively. NMR spectra were collected on a Bruker 500 avance III spectrometer. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) were reported as ppm with TMS as the internal standard. Mass spectrometric (MS) data were obtained with HP1100LC/MSD MS and an LC/Q-TOF-MS instruments. The quantum yield of the sample was measured using quinine sulfate as the standard ( $\Phi = 0.53, 0.1$  M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>).<sup>S2</sup>

# **Sample Preparation and Titration**

Stock solutions of metal ions, anions, amino acids and amine complexes were prepared in deionized water. The concentration are fixed to  $1.0 \times 10^{-2}$  M. Stock solution of fluorescent probes ( $5.0 \times 10^{-4}$  M) were prepared in CH<sub>3</sub>CN and then further diluted to  $2.0 \times 10^{-5}$  M for titration experiments. Every time an appropriate volume of each analyte was added to the test solution. Excitation was provided at 390 nm.

# Cell culture and fluorescence image

Hela cells were seeded on 35 mm glass-bottomed dishes (NEST) and incubated in RPMI-1640 in an incubator (37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 20% O<sub>2</sub>) for 24 h. The cells were rinsed slightly 3 times with fresh RPMI-1640 and incubated in RPMI-1640 medium spiked with or without sensor (5  $\mu$ M) for 30 min, respectively. After washing with fresh RPMI-1640, the cells treated with sensor were further incubated in fresh RPMI-

1640 containing of 50  $\mu$ M hydrazine for 0.5 h. Cells were then analyzed by Laser Scanning Confocal Microscope (A1R).

# Synthesis routine



### 1,4-Bis(ethyoxyl)benzene (5)

A mixture of 1,4-hydroquinone (4.40 g, 40 mmol), iodoethane (10.00 mL, 120 mmol), and  $K_2CO_3$  (22.00 g, 160 mmol) in acetonitrile (100 mL) was heated to reflux for two days. After cooling to room temperature, the precipitates were filtered, then evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure. The solid residues were collected and purified on a silica gel column by using an eluent (petroleum ether), the product **5** was obtained as a white solid (4.90 g, 75.00% yield).

# 2,5-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,4-bis(ethyoxyl)benzene (6)

HBr (10 mL, 30 wt % in acetic acid) was added to a suspension of 5 (4.00 g, 23 mmol) and paraformaldehyde (1.40 g, 46 mmol) in acetic acid (80 mL). The mixture

was heated to 60 °C, and then stirred for 3 h. As the reaction proceeded, the suspension changed to clear solution first and then became a thick suspension again. After cooling to room temperature, the suspension was poured into water (400 mL). The precipitates were filtered and washed with water ( $3 \times 10$  mL). Then dried under vacuum and the crude product **6** was obtained as an off-white solid (6.70 g, 78.0% yield).

#### 2,5-Bis(ethyoxyl)benzene-1,4-dialdehyde (7)

A solution of 2 (2.50 g, 7.70 mmol), potassium acetate (2.50 g, 23.10 mmol), and tetra(n-butyl)ammonium bromide (0.30 g) in a mixture of acetonitrile (50 mL) and chloroform (25 mL) was heated to reflux for 8 h. The mixture was washed with 100 mL water, and organic solution was removed on a rotary evaporator. The solid residues were dissolved in a 1:1 mixture of THF and MeOH, then a solution of NaOH (1.00 g, 25 mmol) and  $H_2O(2 \text{ mL})$  was added dropwise to this solution. This mixture was heated to reflux for 8 h. After cooling to room temperature, the solvent was removed, the solid residues were washed with water to remove the salt, then dried under vacuum. The dried crude product was dissolved in 20 mL CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The solution was added dropwise to a stirred solution of freshly prepared pyridium chlorochromate (6.30 g, 29.30 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (200 mL) at room temperature. After addition was completed, stirring was continued for an additional 3 h. The reaction mixture was then directly transferred onto the top of a short silica gel column. The yellow and highly fluorescent compound was then washed off the column with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/petroleum ether (1:2), affording product 7 (1.10 g, 61.50% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta =$ 10.56 (d, J=1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (s, 2H), 4.21 (q, J=7.0 Hz, 4H), 1.51 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 189.49, 155.08, 129.27, 111.69, 64.85, 14.65.

#### 2,5-bis(ethyoxyl)-4-(benzoxazolyl) benzaldehyde (3)

A solution of o-aminophenol (0.24 g, 2.25 mmol) in methyl alcohol (20 mL) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of compound 7 in methyl alcohol (10 mL) at 70 °C. After addition was completed, stirring was continued for an additional 5 h. Then the solvent was removed using rotary evaporator. After that the solution of DDQ (0.50 g, 2.25 mmol) in 150 mL CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was added dropwise to the above residue. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was treated with 100 mL saturated Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, then washed with water, and dried over

Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. After evaporation of the solvent, the solid residues were collected and purified on a silica gel column by using an eluant (petroleum ether: CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> =1:1), the product **3** was obtained as a yellow solid (0.15 g, 21.42% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 10.56 (d, *J*=1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.93-7.79 (m, 2H), 7.73-7.60 (m, 1H), 7.55 (s, 1H), 7.49-7.38 (m, 2H), 4.35-4.19 (m, 4H), 1.55 (t, *J*=7.0 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 189.28, 161.03, 155.16, 151.79, 150.86, 141.67, 127.08, 125.62, 124.70, 122.97, 120.37, 115.65, 112.54, 110.78, 65.69, 65.07, 14.77, 14.75.

#### Synthesis of probe 1

A solution of compound **3** (0.20 g, 1.60 mmol) in 10 mL of dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was cooled to -30°C with ice/ethyl alcohol. BBr<sub>3</sub> (0.30 mL) in 10 mL CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was added dropwise under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at -30°C for 1 h and then at room temperature for 24 h. Following the addition of 4.00 mL distilled H<sub>2</sub>O, the reaction mixture was stirred for additional 2 h and poured into a mixture of water (20 mL) and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (30 ml). The combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>. After removal of the solvent on a rotary evaporator, the solid residues were collected and purified on a silica gel column by using an eluent (petroleum ether/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 1:1), the product probe **1** was obtained as a yellow solid (0.10 g, 62.5% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  = 10.59 (s, 1H), 10.47 (s, 1H), 10.31 (s, 1H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.46-7.53 (m, 2H), 7.26 (s, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  = 190.41, 161.46, 153.35, 150.27, 149.61, 140.10, 126.91, 126.35, 125.94, 120.14, 117.42, 115.95, 115.31, 111.70. *m/z* (TOF-LD): Calcd. [M+H]<sup>+</sup> For C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>9</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>: 256.0610, found: 256.0600.

# Synthesis of probe 2

A solution of probe **1** (0.20 g) in anhydrous DMF (5 mL) was treated with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.12 g) at 25 °C, and the mixture was stirred for 30 min. Ethyl iodide (0.10 g) was added, and the reaction mixture was further stirred for 20 h before being quenched by the addition of H<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2×100 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under vacuum. The product was isolated by flash chromatography on silica gel by using an eluent (petroleum ether/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 1:1), the product probe **2** was obtained as a yellow solid (0.15 g, 65.0% yields). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm 10.48 (s, 1H), 7.71

(dd, *J*=7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (t, *J*=7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.53-7.46 (m, 2H), 7.46-7.35 (m, 2H), 4.23-4.14 (m, 2H), 1.53-1.49 (m, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm 189.11, 161.58, 153.79, 152.29, 149.23, 139.90, 128.56, 126.25, 125.43, 119.73, 116.30, 115.35, 110.79, 110.35, 65.00, 14.70. m/z (TOF-LD): Calcd. [M+H]+ For C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>: 284.0923, found: 284.0919.



**Fig. S1** The fluorescence spectra of probe **2** (20  $\mu$ M) in CH<sub>3</sub>OH and THF,  $\lambda_{ex}$ =390 nm. The fluorescent bands at 470 and 580 nm are attributed to *enol* and *keto* emission, which is consistent with ESIPT characteristic.



Fig. S2 UV-Vis absorption (a) and fluorescence spectra (b) of probe 1 (20  $\mu$ M) upon addition of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN ( $\lambda_{ex}$ =390 nm). Inset: The fluorescence color change before and after addition of hydrazine excited by hand-held UV lamp. Each spectrum was recorded after 50 min of reaction.



Fig. S3 Time-dependent fluorescence intensity of probe 1 (20.0  $\mu$ M) upon addition of NH<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> (0.2 mM) and NH<sub>2</sub>OH (0.2 mM) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN/HEPES Buffer (1:2, v/v, pH 7.4),  $\lambda_{ex}$ =390 nm.



Fig. S4 The fluorescence intensity change of probe 1 (20  $\mu$ M) at 560 nm response to the different value of pH.



**Fig. S5** The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra titration of probe **1** in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>/D<sub>2</sub>O (10:1) upon addition of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>. Inset: the enlarged spectra in the region of 11-10.



Fig. S6 HRMS spectrum of the reaction product of probe 1 with hydrazine.



**Fig. S7** HOMO–LUMO energy levels and interfacial plots of the orbitals for probe **1** and the corresponding product.



Fig. S8 The optimizational molecular structures for probe 1 (a) and the corresponding product (b).

Compoud	Electronic Transition	TDDFT //B3LYP/6-31G*	
		Excitation Energy	f
	$S_0 \rightarrow S_1$	3.3433 eV 370.84 nm	0.0000
	$S_0 \rightarrow S_2$	3.3862 eV 366.15 nm	0.3446
Probe 1	$S_0 \rightarrow S_3$	3.8191 eV 324.64 nm	0.3958
	$S_0 \rightarrow S_4$	4.0772 eV 304.09 nm	0.0311
	$S_0 \rightarrow S_5$	4.8505 eV 255.61 nm	0.0858
	$S_0 \rightarrow S_6$	4.9063 eV 252.71 nm	0.0010

Table S1 The calculated data for probe 1  $% \left( {{{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{T}}}_{\mathbf{T}}} \right)$ 

Compoud	Electronic Transition	TDDFT //B3LYP/6-31G*	
		Excitation	f
		Energy	
	$S_0 \rightarrow S_1$	3.4341 eV 361.04 nm	0.7085
	$S_0 \rightarrow S_2$	3.9832 eV 311.27 nm	0.5142
	$S_0 \rightarrow S_3$	4.3298 eV 286.35 nm	0.0185
product	$S_0 \rightarrow S_4$	4.4015 eV 281.69 nm	0.0044
	$S_0 \rightarrow S_5$	4.8002 eV 258.29 nm	0.0027
	$S_0 \rightarrow S_6$	4.8426 eV 256.03 nm	0.0184
	50 . 56	256.03 nm	0.01

 Table S2 The calculated data for the corresponding product



**Fig. S9** Time-dependent fluorescence intensity of probe (20.0  $\mu$ M) was treated with hydrazine (0.2 mM) (a) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN/HEPES Buffer (1:2, v/v, pH 7.4) and (b) in SDS solution (10 mM),  $\lambda_{ex}$ =390 nm. c) Schematic diagram of micelle-induced enrichment of hydrazine on micelle surface, conductive to reaction with probe.



**Fig. S10** Fluorescence emission spectra of (a) probe **2** (20  $\mu$ M), (b) probe **3** (20  $\mu$ M) and (c) probe **4** (20  $\mu$ M) upon addition of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN/HEPES Buffer (1:2, v/v, pH 7.4),  $\lambda_{ex}$ =390 nm. Each spectrum was recorded after 15 min of reaction.



**Fig. S11** The fluorescence spectra response of probe 1 ( $20\mu$ M) (a) and probe 2 ( $20\mu$ M) (b) with time duration in the presence of 100  $\mu$ M hydrazine in cationic CTAB (5 mM) micelles solution,  $\lambda_{ex}$ =390 nm.

Probe 1 and 2 showed no obvious fluorescence spectra change with increasing time upon to 10 equivalents of hydrazine in cationic cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) micelles (5 mM) solution. These phenomena are unlike that in anionic SDS micelles solution (Fig. S8b). It is presumed that cationic CTAB micelles-encapsulated probe cannot access to positively charged hydrazine ( $pk_a$ =14.9) because of electrostatic repulsion.



Fig. S12 Fluorescent color changes of probe 1 (20 μM)-coated filter paper after exposure to an excess quantity of various vapors, including hydrazine, ammonia, methylamine, n-butylamine, formaldehyde, dimethylamine, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, HCl, and CO<sub>2</sub> for 10 min, respectively. The fluorescent color changes were observed using a hand-held UV lamp with an excitation at 365 nm.

#### The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of compound 7



# The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of compound 7



### The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of probe **3**



# The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of probe 1



The HRMS spectrum of probe 1



The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of probe  $\bf 2$ 



The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of probe 2



The HRMS spectrum of probe 2



S1 Y. Xu, L. Xiao, Y. Zhang, S. Sun and Y. Pang, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 4827.

S2 J. N. Demasa and G. A. Crosby, J. Phys. Chem., 1971, 75, 991.

23 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 09, Revision A.02*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.