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## 1. General Remarks

All the reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used as received unless otherwise noted. Aqueous solutions were prepared from distilled water. The organic solutions from all liquid extractions were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ for a minimum of 15 minutes before filtration. Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on silica gel precoated glass plates ( 0.25 mm thickness, 60F-254, E. Merck). Flash column chromatography was performed using pre-coated 0.2 mm silica plates from Selecto Scientific. Chemical yields refer to pure isolated substances. Mass spectra were obtained using the Instrumentation includes Finnigan MAT95XL-T and Micromass VG7035. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker ACF-300 (300 MHz) or AVF500 spectrometers ( 500 MHz ). The solvent signal of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was referenced at $\delta=7.26$. Coupling constants ( $J$ values) are reported in Hertz $(\mathrm{Hz}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data are recorded in the order: chemical shift value, multiplicity ( s , singlet; d , doublet; t , triplet; q , quartet; m , multiplet; br, broad), number of protons that gave rise to the signal and coupling constant, where applicable. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra are proton-decoupled and recorded on Bruker ACF300 $(300 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ACF500 spectrometers $(500 \mathrm{MHz})$. The solvent, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, was referenced at $\delta=$ 77 ppm and $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ was referenced at $\delta=39.5 . \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ( $99.8 \%$-Deuterated) and DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ( $99.8 \%$-Deuterated) was purchased from Aldrich and used without further purification. UV-vis absorption and fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-1700 spectrometer and a RF-5301 fluorometer respectively.
2. Scheme S1. Synthetic Route That Affords Trimers 4 and 5.




Synthesis of hexamer 2, intermediates $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{4 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 a}$ have been reported in the following journal publications:
(1) B. Qin, C. L. Ren, R. J. Ye, C. Sun, K. Chiad, X. Y. Chen, Z. Li, F. Xue, H. B. Su, G. A. Chass and H. Q. Zeng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 9564.
(2) Sun, C. L. Ren, Y. C. Wei, B. Qin and H. Q. Zeng, Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 5307.

## 3. Synthetic Procedures and Characterizations

## Compound 4 e


in $\mathrm{EtOH}(20.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added acetate acid $(1.30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was refluxed for 2 hours. After cooling, the solvent was evaporated and the residue was dissolved with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with water and Brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Evaporation of the solvent gave the amine product 4b used for the next step reaction without purification. A solution of $\mathbf{4 c}(0.38 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ $(3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated under reflux for 2 hours. Removal of the $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ generated acid $\mathbf{4 d}$, which was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ to which the amine product $\mathbf{4 b}$ and DIEA ( $0.34 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 10.0 mL ) were added dropwise. The reaction was allowed to proceed for overnight. After washing with HCl solution, aqueous sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and Brine, the organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The residue was purified by column chromatography to give $\mathbf{4 e}$ as a white solid. Yield: $0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76$ (dd, $J=7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23$ - 7.03 (m, 7H), 6.96 (d, J = $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.01 (s, 2H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.69 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 165.9,163.7,163.4,157.2,149.2,146.1,134.7,133.5,133.1,133.0,132.6$, $129.4,128.9,128.4,127.9,126.0,125.5,125.4,125.2,124.7,124.2,123.5,121.5,121.5,111.3,78.4,62.2$, 55.6, 52.2. MS-ESI: calculated for [M] ${ }^{+}\left(\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ : m/z 540.6, found: m/z 540.5.

## Compound 4



Compound $4 \mathbf{e}(0.54 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reduced by catalytic hydrogenation in THF/MeOH ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}=3 / 1,16 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \%)$ as catalyst for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was then filtered and the residue was washed with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(2 \times 10.00 \mathrm{ml})$ which
was removed under vacuo to give the solid. 5 ml of MeOH was added to the solid and stirred for 1 hour then filtered to give product $\mathbf{4}$ as white solid. Yield: $0.36,79 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 12.87$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 168.6$, $166.2,163.4,157.8,153.4,150.4,134.2,131.8,130.6,128.9,128.5,125.6,124.7,124.3,123.2,121.6$, $121.5,119.5,116.1,113.2,62.4,56.9,52.8$. HRMS-ESI: calculated for $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Na}\right): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 473.1319, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 473.1321$.

## Compound 5



To a solution of $\mathbf{5 a}(0.29 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene/trifluoroacetic acid ( $1: 1,5.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added DMSO ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 1 hr , the solvent was evaporated and the residue was dissolved with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with 1 M HCl , and water ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The residue was recrystallized from methanol to give the pure product 5 as a white solid. Yield: $0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 12.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 168.04,165.55,161.20,151.64,151.48,149.54$, $144.32,136.38,131.40,128.99,128.62,128.40,127.00,124.74,124.64,124.27,123.47,119.88,119.21$, 113.97, 64.37, 62.64, 52.36. MS-ESI: calculated for [M] ${ }^{+}\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}\right)$ : m/z 495.4, found: m/z 495.5 .

## 5. X-Ray Crystallography Data Sheet for Trimers 4 and 5

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for Trimer 4.

## CCDC No:

Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume

## Z

Density (calculated)
Absorption coefficient
F(000)
Crystal size
Theta range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Completeness to theta $=27.48^{\circ}$
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Refinement method
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})]$
R indices (all data)
Largest diff. peak and hole

1017708
$\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$
450.44

100(2) K
$0.71073 \AA$
Monoclinic
P2(1)/c
$a=14.0883(19) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$.
$\mathrm{b}=9.8136(14) \AA$ $\beta=112.581(4)^{\circ}$.
$\mathrm{c}=16.084(2) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$.
2053.3(5) $\AA^{3}$

4
$1.457 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$0.108 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
944
$0.60 \times 0.24 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
1.57 to $27.48^{\circ}$.
$-17<=\mathrm{h}<=18,-12<=\mathrm{k}<=12,-16<=1<=20$
14175
$4705[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0321]$
99.7 \%

Semi-empirical from equivalents
0.9786 and 0.9378

Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
4705 / 0 / 310
1.093
$\mathrm{R} 1=0.0492, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1270$
$R 1=0.0596, w R 2=0.1412$
0.450 and -0.289 e. $\AA^{-3}$

| CCDC No: | 1017709 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{25.13} \mathrm{H}_{25.88} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{11}$ |  |
| Formula weight | 568.85 |  |
| Temperature | 223(2) K |  |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |  |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |  |
| Space group | P2(1)2(1)2(1) |  |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=15.2734(12) \AA$ | $\alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=15.273 \AA$ | $\beta=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=23.031(2) \AA$ | $\gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | $5372.6(6) \AA^{3}$ |  |
| Z | 8 |  |
| Density (calculated) | $1.407 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.125 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |  |
| F(000) | 2373 |  |
| Crystal size | $0.28 \times 0.04 \times 0.04 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |  |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.60 to $25.00^{\circ}$. |  |
| Index ranges | $-18<=\mathrm{h}<=17,-18<=\mathrm{k}<=16,-25<=1<=27$ |  |
| Reflections collected | 31686 |  |
| Independent reflections | $9464[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0722]$ |  |
| Completeness to theta $=25.00^{\circ}$ | 99.9\% |  |
| Absorption correction | Sadabs, (Sheldrick 2001) |  |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9950 and 0.9659 |  |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |  |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 9464 / 11 / 762 |  |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.122 |  |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I}$ ] $]$ | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0818, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1553$ |  |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1212, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1703$ |  |
| Absolute structure parameter | 0.1(7) |  |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.220 and -0.202 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |  |

## 5. Fluorescence quenching of $\mathbf{3}$ by $\mathbf{C u C l}_{\mathbf{2}}$ in various concentrations

a)

b)


Figure S1. (a) Fluorescence spectra of oligophenol $3(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in the presence of $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ at various concentrations from 2.5-40 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ in aqueous solution containing $1 \%$ of DMSO. (b) The corresponding fluorescence emission intensity at 505 nm with an excitation wavelength of 351 nm .

## 6. First Principle Molecular Modeling

All the calculations were carried out by utilizing the Gaussian $09^{1}$ program package. The geometry optimizations were performed at the density functional theory (DFT) level, and the Becke's three parameter hybrid functional with the Lee-Yang-Parr correlation functional (B3LYP) ${ }^{2}$ method was employed to do the calculations. The $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})^{3}$ basic from the Gaussian basis set library has been used in all the calculations. The harmonic vibrational frequencies and zero-point energy corrections were calculated at the same level of theory. Single point energy were obtained at the B3LYP level in conjunction with the $6-311+\mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set with the use of the above optimized geometries, i.e., B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,p)//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p).
(a)

(b)


Figure S2. Computationally determined complexes between di-anionic oligomers $\mathbf{3}_{\mathrm{ab}}$ or $\mathbf{3}_{\mathrm{bc}}$ and Cu ions at the level of B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) using THF as the explicit solvent. Complex $\mathbf{3}_{\mathrm{ab}} \bullet \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ (a) turns out to be significantly more stable than $\mathbf{3}_{\mathrm{bc}} \bullet \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ (b) by $6.71 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, suggesting $\mathbf{3}_{\mathrm{ab}} \bullet \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ as the predominant quenching species responsible for the observed quenching of $\mathbf{3}$ by $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ ions. The distance between Cu ion and the N -atom is $2.48 \AA$.

[^0]
## 7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra





| 70 | 160 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 120 | 110 | 100 | 90 | 80 <br> $\mathrm{fi}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



| sc0507육ำ | 8 \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13C AMEEDESN | NSGMHMA |  |
| 111 | , 1 |  |









[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Frisch, M. J.; et al. Gaussian 09; Gaussian , Inc.: Wallingford CT, 2009.
    ${ }^{2}$ Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648.
    ${ }^{3}$ Petersson, G. A.; Al-Laham, M. A. J. Chem. Phys. 1991, 94, 6081; Petersson, G. A.; Bennett, A.;
    Tensfeldt, T. G.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Shirley, W. A.; Mantzaris, J. J. Chem. Phys. 1988, 89, 2193.

