Electronic Supplementary Information

Oxidovanadium(V) complexes of aroylhydrazones incorporating heterocycles: Synthesis, characterization and study of DNA binding, photo-induced DNA cleavage and cytotoxic activities

Subhashree P. Dash,^a Alok K. Panda,^b Sagarika Pasayat,^a Rupam Dinda,^{*a} Ashis Biswas,^b Edward R. T. Tiekink,^c Subhadip Mukhopadhyay,^d Sujit K. Bhutia,^d Werner Kaminsky^e and Ekkehard Sinn^f

^aDepartment of Chemistry, National Institute of Technology, Rourkela 769008, Odisha, India. ^bSchool of Basic Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar 751 013, Odisha, India. ^cDepartment of Chemistry, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. ^dDepartment of Life Science, National Institute of Technology, Rourkela 769008, Odisha, India. ^eDepartment of Chemistry, University of Washington, Box 351700, Seattle, WA 98195, USA. ^fDepartment of Chemistry, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo, MI 49008, USA.

Table of Contents

Table S1 Binding constant (Kb) values for the "CT-DNA-ligand" interactions.

Fig. S1 (A) Absorption spectral traces of the complexes 1 (a), 2 (b), 3 (c) and 4 (d) (25 μ M each) in 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 1% DMF. The spectra were recorded up to 72 h at room temperature; and (B) ¹H NMR spectra of complex 1 in DMSO-d₆ in 0 h (a), after 72 h (b) and after heating (120°C) the solution (c).

Fig. S2 (a) The molecular structure of the second of the two independent molecules comprising the asymmetric unit of 2 showing the atom-labelling scheme and 70% displacement ellipsoids. Atoms are further designated with a suffix "a"; and (b) overlay diagram of the two independent molecules with the molecule containing the V1 atom shown in red. The molecules have been overlapped so that the $V(=O)_2$ units are superimposed.

Fig. S3 (a) The molecular structure of the second of the two independent molecules comprising the asymmetric unit of **4** showing the atom-labelling scheme and 70% displacement ellipsoids. Atoms are further designated with a suffix "a". The coordinated ethanol molecule is statistically disordered over two positions. The atoms comprising the second orientation of the disordered ethanol molecule are additionally labelled with a suffix "b" and are highlighted with dashed bonds; and (b) overlay diagram of the two independent molecules with the molecule containing the V1 atom shown in red; the inverted V2-containing molecule is shown for a better match. The molecules have been overlapped so that the V, O4 and N2 atoms are superimposed.

Fig. S4 A view of the unit cell contents for **1** in projection down the *b*-axis. The C–H…O [C2–H2…O3ⁱ = 2.57 Å, C2…O3ⁱ = 3.413(2) Å, angle at H2 = 147° for symmetry operation i: x, $\frac{1}{2}$ -y, $\frac{-1}{2}$ +z; and C4–H4…O3ⁱⁱ = 2.53 Å, C4…O3ⁱⁱ = 3.183(2) Å, angle at H4 = 126° for ii: 2-x, 1-y, -z] and π … π interactions [Cg(S1,C8-C11)…Cg(N1,C1-C5)ⁱⁱⁱ = 3.625(2) Å, angle of inclination = 4.96(19)° for iii: 1-x, -y, -z] are shown as orange and purple dashed lines, respectively. The 2-thienyl ring is disordered over two co-planar conformations and the complementary Cg(S1b,C8b-C11b)…Cg(N1,C1-C5)ⁱⁱⁱ separation is 3.666(12) Å and the angle of inclination is 4.3(12)°.

Fig. S5 Crystal packing in **2**: (a) a view of the supramolecular chain comprising alternating V1- and V2-containing molecules sustained by N–H…O hydrogen bonding [N4–H1n…O2aⁱ = 2.406(19) Å, N4…O2aⁱ = 3.063(2) Å, with angle at H1n = 132.1(18)° for symmetry operation i: 1-x, 1-y, -z; N4a–H3n…O3ⁱⁱ = 2.259(14) Å, N4a…O3ⁱⁱ = 3.033(2) Å, with angle at H3n = 148.6(19) for symmetry operation ii: -x, 1-y, 1-z], shown as orange dashed lines; and (b) a view of the unit cell contents in projection down the *a*-axis. The C–H…O [C4a–H4a3…O3aⁱⁱⁱ = 2.50 Å, C4a…O3aⁱⁱⁱ = 3.149(2) Å, angle at H4a3 = 126° for symmetry operation iii: 1-x, -y, -z; C5a–H5a…O3aⁱ = 2.55 Å, C5a…O3aⁱ = 3.178(2) Å, angle at H5a = 124°; C10a–H10a…O2ⁱⁱ = 2.47 Å, C10a…O2ⁱⁱ = 3.283(2) Å, angle at H10a = 143°; and C15a–H15a…O3 = 2.57 Å, C15a…O3 = 3.235(2) Å, angle at H15a = 127°] interactions are shown as blue dashed lines.

Fig. S6 Crystal packing in **3**: (a) a view of the supramolecular layer in the *ac*-plane whereby the supramolecular chains are linked C-H... π (chelate) ring interactions [C4–H4... π (V,O1,N2,N3,C8)ⁱ = 2.68 Å, C4... π (V,O1,N2,N3,C8)ⁱ = 3.582(4) Å, with angle at

H4 = 164° for symmetry operation i: 1-x, 2-y, $\frac{1}{2}+z$] shown as purple dashed lines, and (b) a view of the unit cell contents in projection down the *a*-axis showing the ...ABA... pattern of supramolecular layers stacked along the *b*-axis.

Fig. S7 Crystal packing in **4**: (a) a view of the two-molecule aggregate whereby centrosymmetrically related V1-containing molecules are linked by ethanol-O–H...N hydrogen bonds, (b) equivalent dimer formed by the V2-containing molecule; second orientation of the disordered ethanol molecule and all non-participating hydrogen atoms removed for clarity [O6–H60...N1ⁱ = 2.12 Å, O6...N1ⁱ = 2.873(3) Å, with angle at H60 = 149° for symmetry operation i: -x, 1-y, 1-z; O6a–H60a...N1aⁱⁱ = 2.31 Å, O6a...N1aⁱⁱ = 2.95(2) Å, with angle at H60a = 136° for symmetry operation ii: $\frac{1}{2}$ -x, $\frac{1}{2}$ -y, -z; for the second disordered conformation: O6b–H6ob...N1aⁱⁱ = 2.20 Å, O6b...N1aⁱⁱ = 2.99(2) Å, with angle at H60b = 164°] shown as orange dashed lines, and (c) a view of the unit cell contents in projection down the *b*-axis showing the stacking of alternating layers of V1- and V2- containing molecules along the *a*-axis. The C–H...O [C8a–H8a...O2aⁱⁱⁱ = 2.57 Å, C8a...O2aⁱⁱⁱ = 3.398(4) Å, with angle at H8a = 149° for symmetry operation iii: $\frac{1}{2}$ -x, $\frac{1}{2}$ -y, $\frac{1}{2}$ and π ... π [Cg(O3,C13-C16)...Cg(C1,C2,C7-C10)ⁱ = 3.702(2) Å, angle of inclination = 13.45(19)°; Cg(O3a,C13a-C16a)...Cg(C1a,C2a,C7a-C10a)ⁱⁱ = 3.641(2) Å, angle of inclination = 8.64(18)°] interactions are shown as blue and purple dashed lines, respectively.

Fig. S8 Electronic absorption spectra for HL^1 (a), HL^2 (b), H_2L^3 (c) and H_2L^4 (d) (25 μ M each) upon the titration of CT-DNA (0 – 350 μ M) in 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 1% DMF. The inset shows the linear fit of [DNA]/($\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_f$) vs [DNA] from which the binding constant (K_b) was calculated using Eq. 1 (see main text).

Fig. S9. Fluorescence emission spectra of methyl green (MG) (2 μ M) bound to CT-DNA (50 μ M) in the presence of complex 1 (a), 2 (b), 3 (c) and 4 (d) (0–90 μ M) in 10 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 1% DMF. The arrow indicates the effect of increasing the concentration of the complex on the fluorescence emission of MG bound CT-DNA.

Fig. S10. Fluorescence emission spectra of ethidium bromide (EB) (2 μ M) bound to CT-DNA (50 μ M) in the presence of complex 1 (a), 2 (b), 3 (c) and 4 (d) (0–90 μ M) in 10 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 1% DMF. The arrow indicates the effect of increasing the concentration of the complex on the fluorescence emission of EB bound CT-DNA.

Fig. S11 Effect of DMF (1%) and ligands on the photo-induced cleavage of SC pUC19 DNA. 300 ng SC pUC19 DNA was photo-irradiated in absence and presence of 1% DMF and various ligands (100 μ M) with UVA at 350 nm for 3 h. Lane 1, DNA only; Lane 2, DNA in presence of 1% DMF; Lane 3, DNA + HL¹; Lane 4, DNA + HL²; Lane 5, DNA + H₂L³; Lane 6, DNA + H₂L⁴.

Fig. S12 Gel diagram depicting cleavage of SC pUC19 DNA by **1–4** in presence of various additives in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 1% DMF. SC pUC19 DNA (300 ng) in the presence of various additives was photo-irradiated at 350 nm for 3 h with **1–4** (100 μ M). The additive concentrations were: sodium azide (0.5 mM), L-histidine (0.5 mM), KI (0.5 mM) and D-mannitol (0.5 mM). Lane 1, DNA + complex; Lane 2, DNA + complex + sodium azide; Lane 3, DNA + complex + L-histidine; Lane 4, DNA + complex + KI; Lane 5, DNA + complex + D -mannitol.

Table S1 Binding constant (K_b) values for the "CT-DNA-ligand" interactions.^a

| Ligand | Binding constant (K _b) (M ⁻¹) |
|-------------------------------|---|
| HL1 | 7.42×10^{3} |
| HL ² | 3.88×10^{3} |
| H ₂ L ³ | 2.91 × 10 ⁴ |
| H_2L^4 | 2.48×10^{3} |

^a The DNA binding constant was determined by the UV-vis spectral method.



(A)



(B)

Fig. S1





Fig. S2







Fig. S4





Fig. S5









(b)



(c)

(a)

Fig. S7



Fig. S8



Fig. S9



Fig. S10



Fig. S11



