

Table 1: Comparison of the figure of merit FOM for $\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_4\text{O}_9$ with published data for other p-type TCOs and some typical n-type TCOs.

Films	FOM ($\text{M}\Omega$) ⁻¹	Reference	Growth technique
$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Sn}$ / n-type	227894	<i>J. Appl. Phys.</i> 86, 6451 (1999)	PLD
$\text{In}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Zr}$ /n-type	686496	<i>Appl. Phys. Lett.</i> 78,1050(2001)	PLD
CdO:F /n-type	861957	<i>Thin Solid Films</i> 347, 295(1999)	Spray pyrolysis
ZnO:Al /n-type	24537	<i>J. Appl. Phys.</i> 99,124505 (2006)	Sputtering
$\text{SnO}_2:\text{F}$ /n-type	3076565	<i>J. Appl. Phys.</i> 83.1049(1998)	Spray pyrolysis
$\text{SnO}_2:\text{Zn}$ /p-type	106.2	<i>J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym.</i> 22, 21(2012)	Sputtering
$\text{SnO}_2:\text{Sb}$ /p-type	64.5	<i>Appl. Surf. Sci.</i> 286, 417 (2013)	PLD
$\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3(\text{Mg, N})$ /p-type	7.2	<i>Appl. Phys. Lett.</i> 99, 111910 (2011)	Spray pyrolysis
$\alpha\text{-Cr}_2\text{O}_3:\text{N}$ /p-type	0.2	<i>Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells</i> 95, 1005(2011)	sputtering
CuAlO_2 /p-type	50	<i>Nature</i> 389, 939 (1997)	PLD
CuAlO_2 /p-type	46.9	<i>J. Cryst. Growth</i> 328 25 (2011)	Sputtering
$\text{CuCr}_{0.97}\text{Mg}_{0.03}\text{O}_2$ /p-type	1637	<i>Thin Solid Films</i> 517, 3211 (2009)	PLD
$\text{CuCr}_{1-x}\text{Mg}_x\text{O}$ /p-type	5000	<i>J. Appl. Phys.</i> 89, 8022 (2001)	Sputtering
CuScO_{2+x} /p-type	270	<i>Appl. Phys. Lett.</i> 77, 1325 (2000)	Sputtering
CuGaO_2 /p-type	14	<i>J. Appl. Phys.</i> 89, 1790 (2001)	PLD
CuYO_2 /p-type	1	<i>Thin Solid Films</i> 397, 244 (2001)	Co-evaporation
AgCoO_2 /p-type	4.4	<i>Thin Solid Films</i> 411, 119 (2002)	Sputtering
$\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{Co}_2\text{O}_y$ /p-type	800	<i>Chem. Commun.</i> 50, 1854 (2014)	Solution processing
$\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_4\text{O}_9$ /p-type	151	<i>Appl. Phys. Lett.</i> 104, 161901 (2014)	Solution processing
$\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_4\text{O}_9$ /p-type	988	This paper	PLD