# Supporting information for the paper titled: Binding, Release, and Functionalization of CO<sub>2</sub> at a Nucleophilic Oxo Anion Complex of Titanium Jared S. Silvia and Christopher C. Cummins

Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, 02139

E-mail: ccummins@mit.edu

# **Contents**

S1. Experimental Details	2
A. General Considerations.	2
B. Crystallographic Structure Determination.	2
C. Computational Studies.	2
D. Synthesis of ([Li][O <sub>2</sub> COTi(N[ <sup>t</sup> Bu]Ar) <sub>3</sub> ]) <sub>6</sub> ([Li][2])	2
E. Synthesis of Me <sub>3</sub> SiOC(0)OTi(N[ $^{t}$ Bu]Ar) <sub>3</sub> (3)	
F. Thermal stability study of 3.	
G. Reaction of $^{t}BuC(O)Cl$ with [Li][2] to form $^{t}BuC(O)OTi(N[^{t}Bu]Ar)_{3}$ (4).	
H. Synthesis of [(12-crown-4)Li][1]	
S2. Crystallographic Details	4
A. Complex $[Li][2] \cdot (C_6H_6)_{1.33}$	4
<b>Figure S 1.</b> Hexameric structure of [Li][ <b>2</b> ] with thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability	4
B. Complex 3	5
Table S 2. Crystal data and structure refinement statistics for [Li][2] and 3.	5
S2 1D NMR Spectra	6
<b>Figure S 2</b> Proton NMR spectrum of [Li][1] in C.D. 400 MHz 20 °C	0 6
<b>Figure S 2.</b> Proton NMR spectrum of [Li][ <b>1</b> ] in $C_6D_6$ 400 MHz, 20 °C, residual <i>n</i> -boyang present	
<b>Figure 5 5.</b> Froton NMR spectrum of [Li][2] in THE $d_0$ 125 MHz = 20 °C. Excess 13CO <sub>0</sub> is present	7
<b>Figure S 5.</b> Proton NMP spectrum of $[(12 \text{ grown } A) \text{Li}][1]$ in $C_{\text{D}}$ (00 MHz 20 °C	/Q
<b>Figure S 5.</b> Floton NMR spectrum of <b>2</b> in C. D. 400 MHz 20 °C	0 Q
<b>Figure S 0.</b> Floton NMR spectrum of product from thermolysis of <b>2</b> in C.D. 400 MHz 20 °C	0
<b>Figure S 7.</b> Proton NMR spectrum of product from the reaction of Mo.SiCl and [Li][1] in C.D. 50	9 0 MUz
<b>Figure 5 6.</b> Proton NMK spectrum of product from the reaction of Me3sici and [Li][1] in C6D6 50	0 MITZ,
20 С	9
S3. DOSY Spectra	10
<b>Figure S 9.</b> 2D-DOSY of [(12-crown-4)Li][ <b>1</b> ] in C <sub>6</sub> D <sub>6</sub> , 25 °C, 400 MHz.	10
<b>Figure S 10.</b> 2D-DOSY of [Li][ <b>2</b> ] in C <sub>6</sub> D <sub>6</sub> , 25 °C, 400 MHz	11
C4. Computational Dataila	11
54. Computational Details	11
Table S 3. Optimized atomic coordinates for [Li][2]	
<b>Table S 4.</b> Calculated Chemical Shielding Constant and Corrected Chemical Shift for $CO_2$ and $ Li  2$	14

## **S1.** Experimental Details

#### A. General Considerations.

All manipulations were carried out either in a Vacuum Atmospheres model MO-40M glovebox under an atmosphere of  $N_2$  or using standard Schlenk techniques. All solvents were degassed and dried using a solvent-purfication system provided by Glass Contour. After purification, all solvents were stored under an atmosphere of  $N_2$  over 4 Å molecular sieves. Deuterated benzene (Cambridge Isotope Labs) was dried by stirring over CaH<sub>2</sub> for 24 h and was subsequently vacuum-transferred onto 4 Å molecular sieves. Deuterated tetrahydrofuran (Cambridge Isotopes Labs) was dried by stirring over sodium metal with a catalytic amount of benzophenone and subsequently vacuum-transferred onto 4 Å molecular sieves. The formate complex  $HC(O)OTi(N['Bu]Ar)_3$  and complex [(Et<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>Li][OTi(N['Bu]Ar)<sub>3</sub>] ([Li][1]) were prepared according to literature procedures.<sup>1</sup> All other reagents were used as supplied by the vendor without further purification. Celite 435 (EMD Chemicals), alumina (Aldrich) and 4 Å molecular sieves (Aldrich) were dried prior to use by heating at 200 °C for 48 h under dynamic vacuum. All glassware was oven dried at 220 °C prior to use. NMR spectra were obtained on either a Varian 500 Inova spectrometer equipped with a Oxford Instruments Ltd. superconducting magnet, a Bruker 400-AVANCE spectrometer equipped with a Magnex Scientific superconducting magnet, or a Varian Mercury 300 spectrometer equipped with an Oxford Instruments Ltd. superconducting magnet. Proton NMR spectra were referenced to residual  $C_6D_5H$  (7.16 ppm) or residual  $C_4H_1D_7O$  (3.58 ppm).<sup>2<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were referenced internally to naturally abundant <sup>13</sup>C benzene (128.06 ppm), pyridine (150.35 ppm),</sup> or THF (67.21 ppm).<sup>2</sup> IR spectra were collected on a Perkin-Elmer 2000 FT-IR spectrophotometer. Elemental analysis was performed by Midwest Microlab, Indianapolis, IN.

#### B. Crystallographic Structure Determination.

Low-temperature data were collected on a Siemens Platform three-circle diffractometer coupled to a Bruker-AXS Smart Apex CCD detector with graphite-monochromated Mo/K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å), performing  $\omega$ - and  $\phi$ -scans, or on a Bruker X8 four circle diffractometer coupled to a Bruker Apex II CCD detector with graphite monochromated Cu/K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.54178$  Å). All structures were solved either by direct or Patterson methods using SHELXS and refined against  $F^2$  on all data by full-matrix least squares with SHELXL-97.<sup>3</sup> A semi-emperical absorption correction (SADABS) was applied to the diffraction data for all structures.<sup>4</sup> All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. All hydrogen atoms were included in the model at geometrically calculated positions and refined using a riding model. The isotropic displacement parameters of all hydrogen atoms were fixed to 1.2 times the U value of the atoms they are linked to (1.5 times for methyl groups).

## C. Computational Studies.

All calculations were carried out using ADF 2008.01 from Scientific Computing and Modeling on a 32-processor Quantum Cube workstation from Parallel Quantum Solutions.<sup>5, 6</sup> The calculations were performed using the OLYP functionals, which are a combination of the OPTX exchange functional of Handy and Cohen used with Lee, Yang, and Parr's nonlocal correlation function (LYP).<sup>79</sup> In addition, all calculations were carried out using the zero-order regular approximation (ZORA) for relativistic effects.<sup>10-12</sup> The basis sets used were triple- $\zeta$  with a polarization function (nDZP) for all other calculations, as supplied with ADF. The starting geometry for the calculations was derived from the solid-state structure of the complex Me<sub>3</sub>SiOC(O)OTi(N['Bu]Ar)<sub>3</sub>; the Me<sub>3</sub>Si group was removed and the lithium counter cation was placed with the carbonate bond in a  $\kappa^2$ - fashion. The geometry was then optimized. Chemical shielding tensors were calculated for the <sup>13</sup>C nuclei in the optimized structures by the GIAO method using the ADF package.<sup>13-16</sup> Frequency calculations were computed using optimized structures as described above.

## D. Synthesis of $([Li][O_2COTi(N['Bu]Ar)_3])_6 ([Li][2])$ .

A solution of [Li][1] (3.12 g, 4.17 mmol) in diethyl ether (100 mL) was prepared in a 250 mL Schlenk flask fitted with a rubber septum. The flask was removed from the glove box and attached to the Schlenk manifold. The reaction vessel was cooled to 0 °C using an ice bath. The flask was placed under a slight static vacuum by evacuating the hose connected to the Schlenk flask, closing the valve to the vacuum manifold, opening the sidearm valve of the flask, and then closing the sidearm valve of the flask.  $CO_2$  (107 mL, 4.39 mmol, 1.05 equiv) was then introduced to the flask via a syringe and the reaction mixture was vigorously stirred using a magnetic stir bar. The color of the solution changed from yellow to orange as the reaction proceeded. After stirring for 1 h, the reaction mixture was dried under reduced pressure while being kept at 0 °C. The flask was returned to the glove box, and the resulting orange residue was triturated with *n*-hexane (40 mL) and then dried under reduced pressure. The resulting yellow powder was suspended in *n*-pentane (20 mL) and collected on a sintered glass frit via vacuum filtration. The powder was dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 1.85 g (2.88 mmol, 69%) Crystals of [Li][2] suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies were grown by slow evaporation of solutions of [Li][2] in benzene at

room temperature. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 20 °C, 400 MHz,  $\delta$ ): 6.75 (s, 3 H, *p*-H), 6.30 (br, 6 H, *o*-H), 2.24 (s, 18 H, Ar-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.75 (s, 27 H, NC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 20 °C, 100 MHz,  $\delta$ ): 162.9 (s, O<sub>2</sub>CO), 153.3 (s, *ipso*-Ar), 136.6 (s, *o*-Ar), 126.6 (s, *p*-Ar), 62.2 (s, NC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 31.4 (s, NC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 21.8 ppm (s, Ar-CH<sub>3</sub>). IR (KBr, thin film): 2963, 2915, 2863, 1598 (vs), 1588 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Elemental analysis: Calculated for C<sub>37</sub>H<sub>54</sub>LiN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Ti: C, 69.04; H, 8.46; N, 6.53%. Found: C, 68.84; H, 8.39; N, 6.28%.

## E. Synthesis of $Me_3SiOC(O)OTi(N['Bu]Ar)_3(3)$ .

A suspension of [Li][2] (0.679 g, 1.05 mmol) in diethyl ether (15 mL) was prepared and cooled in the liquid nitrogen bathed cold well of the glove box. A solution of  $Me_3SiOS(O)_2CF_3$  (0.235 g, 1.05 mmol) in diethyl ether (3 mL) was prepared and cooled in the cold well. Both mixtures were frozen, subsequently allowed to partially thaw, and then combined. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature while stirring. As the reaction progressed, the originally yellow suspension became a homogeneous orange mixture. After stirring for 2 h, the reaction mixture was taken to dryness under reduced pressure, triturated with *n*-hexane, and the dried again. The resulting orange residue was extracted into diethyl ether (~10 mL) and filtered through a pad of Celite on a sintered glass frit. The filtrate solution was concentrated under reduced pressure and then stored at -35 °C. After standing for 24 h, yellow crystals had formed. The crystals were collected on a sintered glass frit via vacuum filtration and then dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 0.469 g (0.66 mmol, 63%) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 20 °C, 400 MHz,  $\delta$ ): 6.73 (s, 3 H, *p*-H), 6.13 (br, 6 H, *o*-H), 2.19 (s, 18 H, Ar-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.39 (s, 27 H, NC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 20 °C, 100 MHz,  $\delta$ ): 153.2 (s, Me<sub>3</sub>SiOC(O)O), 152.9 (s, *ipso*-Ar), 137.0 (s, *o*-Ar), 127.8 (s, *m*-Ar), 127.07 (s, *p*-Ar), 62.8 (s, NC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 30.9 (s, NC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 22.0 (s, Ar-CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.12 ppm (s, (H<sub>3</sub>C)<sub>3</sub>Si). IR (KBr, thin film): 1691 (vs), 1598 (s), 1588 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>. Elemental analysis: Calculated for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>63</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>SiTi: C, 67.67; H, 8.94; N, 5.92%. Found: C, 67.53; H, 8.84; N, 5.82%.

#### F. Thermal stability study of **3**.

A solution of **3** in  $C_6D_6$  was prepared. The solution was transferred into an NMR tube, which was degassed and then flamesealed. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum was collected. The NMR tube was then heated at 80 °C for 12 h. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum was then recollected. There was complete consumption of the starting material after the heating period. <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $C_6D_6$ , 20 °C, 400 MHz,  $\delta$ ): 6.75 (s, 3 H, *p*-H), 6.09 (v br, 6 H, *o*-H), 2.23 (s, 18 H, Ar-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.32 (s, 27 H, NC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>) (Figure S 7). For comparison, a treatment of [Li][1] with Me<sub>3</sub>SiCl was performed. A solution of the two reagents in THF (2 mL each) were prepared separately and then cooled in the freezer to -35 °C. After cooling, the solution of Me<sub>3</sub>SiCl was added dropwise to the stirring solution of [Li][1]. The reaction mixture was stirred and allowed to warm to room temperature. After stirring for 1 h, the reaction mixture was taken to dryness under reduced pressure to give a bright yellow powder. A sample of the powder was dissolved in  $C_6D_6$  and the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum was collected. The product of the reaction had resonances that were identical to the product obtained from heating complex **3** (Figure S 8).

## G. Reaction of 'BuC(O)Cl with [Li][2] to form 'BuC(O)OTi(N['Bu]Ar)<sub>3</sub> (4).

A suspension of [Li][2] (0.187 g, 0.29 mmol) in diethyl ether (10 mL) was prepared and cooled to -173 °C. A solution of pivaloyl chloride (0.035 g, 0.29 mmol) in diethyl ether (2 mL) was prepared and cooled to -173 °C. The two mixtures were allowed to thaw and were subsequently combined. The yellow mixture was stirred and allowed to warm to room temperature. The mixture changed from yellow to orange over the course of 1 h and gas evolution was observed when the cap of the vessel was removed. The reaction mixture was then dried under reduced pressure. The orange residue was extracted into toluene (5 mL). The solution was filtered through a pad of Celite on a glass fiber filter. The filtrate solution was dried under reduced pressure. The orange residue was dissolved in a minimum volume of diethyl ether ( $\sim 3 \text{ mL}$ ) and the solution was stored at -35°C. After standing for 6 h, orange crystals had deposited on the bottom of the vial. The mother liquor was decanted away from the crystals, and these were washed with *n*-pentane (1 mL). The crystals of 4 were dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 0.106 g. A second crop of the material was obtained by concentrating the mother liquor under reduced pressure and storing the solution at -35 °C. 2nd crop: 0.047 g. Total yield: 0.153 g (0.23 mmol, 78%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 20 °C, 400 MHz, \delta): 6.76 (s, 3 H, *p*-H), 6.24 (br, 6 H, *o*-H), 2.25 (s, 18 H, Ar-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.22 (s, 9 H, (O)CC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.13 (s, 27 H, NC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 20 °C, 100 MHz, δ): 180.2 (s, OC(O)), 151.2 (s, *ipso*-Ar), 136.3 (s, *o*-Ar), 127.3 (s, *m*-Ar), 126.4 (s, *p*-Ar), 62.4 (s, NC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 39.9 (s, (O)CC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 30.2 (s, NC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 27.8 (s, (O)CC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 21.6 ppm (s, Ar-CH<sub>3</sub>). IR (KBr, thin film): 1680 (vs), 1598, 1588 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Elemental analysis: Calculated for C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>63</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Ti: C, 72.65; H, 9.37; N, 6.20%. Found: C, 72.57; H, 9.39; N, 6.26%.

#### H. Synthesis of [(12-crown-4)Li][1]

A solution of [Li][1] (0.256 g, 0.351 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was prepared. A solution of 12-crown-4 (0.062 g, 0.351) mmol in THF (7 mL) was added to the stirring solution of oxo anion complex at 23 °C. The mixture was stirred for 45 min and then

dried under reduced pressure. The yellow residue was dried further by adding *n*-hexane (5 mL) and then taking the mixture to dryness under reduced pressure. The bright yellow solids were suspended in *n*-hexane, collected on a sintered glass frit via vacuum filtration, and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 0.220 g (81%). This material displays spectroscopic signals consistent with the closely related parent oxo complex [(Et<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>Li][OTi(N['Bu]Ar)<sub>3</sub>].<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 20 °C, 400 MHz,  $\delta$ ): 6.81 (s, 3 H, *p*-H), 6.35 (br, 6 H, *o*-H), 2.55 (m, 8H, 12-crown-4), 2.25 (m, 8H, 12-crown-4), 2.39 (s, 18 H, Ar-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.78 (s, 27 H, NC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 20 °C, 125 MHz,  $\delta$ ): 156.0 (s, *ipso*-Ar), 135.6 (s, *o*-Ar), 130.9 (s, *m*-Ar), 124.2 (s, *p*-Ar), 66.3 (s, 12-crown-4), 56.8 (s, NC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 33.5 (s, NC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 22.1 (s, Ar-CH<sub>3</sub>). Elemental analysis: Calculated for C<sub>44</sub>H<sub>70</sub>LiN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Ti: C, 68.11; H, 9.09; N, 5.41%. Found: C, 66.71; H, 9.15; N, 5.13%.

## S2. Crystallographic Details

#### A. Complex $[Li][2] \bullet (C_6H_6)_{1,33}$

Complex [Li][2] • 1.33 ( $C_6H_6$ ) crystallizes in the space group  $R\overline{3}$  and the structure was solved using direct methods. Refinement proceeded by assigning atom positions according to the electron-density difference map. Four molecules of interstitial benzene are each disordered over three positions that are related by a crystallographic three-fold axis. Each benzene molecule was constrained to a third occupancy in each possible position. Similarity restraints on the 1,2 and 1,3 distances and rigid bond restraints were applied to the disordered benzene molecules.



Figure S 1. Hexameric structure of [Li][2] with thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability.

# B. Complex 3

Complex **3** crystallizes in the space group *Pbca* and the structure was solved using direct methods. Refinement proceeded by assigning atom positions according to the electron-density difference map. No restraints were used in the refinement.

	[Li][ <b>2</b> ]	3
Reciprocal Net # /CCDC #	X8_10044/819846	10068/819847
Empirical formula	C <sub>45</sub> H <sub>62</sub> LiN <sub>3</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Ti	$C_{40}H_{63}N_3O_3SiTi$
Formula weight	560.86	709.92
Temperature	100(2) K	100(2) K
Wavelength	1.54178 Å	0.71073 Å
Crystal system	Trigonal	Orthorhombic
Space group	R3	Pbca
Unit cell dimensions	$a = 28.8490(6)$ Å, $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$	$a = 19.9166(18)$ Å, $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$
	$b = 28.8490(6)$ Å, $\beta = 90^{\circ}$	$b = 19.1716(18)$ Å, $\beta = 90^{\circ}$
	$c = 23.5478(6)$ Å, $\gamma = 120^{\circ}$	$c = 21.779(2)$ Å, $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$
Volume	19307.2(7) Å <sup>3</sup>	8316.1(13) Å <sup>3</sup>
Ζ	18	8
Density (calculated)	1.152 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	1.134 g/cm <sup>3</sup>
Absorption coefficient	1.987 mm <sup>-1</sup>	0.272 mm <sup>-1</sup>
<i>F</i> (000)	7236	3072
Crystal size	$0.10\times0.10\times0.03\ mm^3$	$0.50\times0.50\times0.25\ mm^3$
Theta range for collection	3.80 to 68.22°.	1.75 to 25.35°.
Index ranges	$-36 \le h \le 35, -30 \le k \le 35, -28 \le l \le 26$	$-23 \le h \le 23, -23 \le k \le 23, -26 \le l$ $\le 26$
Reflections collected	46114	105056
Independent reflections	7754 [ <i>R</i> (int) = 0.0556]	7611 [ <i>R</i> (int) = 0.0814]
Completeness to $\theta_{max}$	97.9 %	100%
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS)	Multi-scan (SADABS)
Max. and min. transmission	0.9428 and 0.8260	0.9352 and 0.8761
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$	Full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$
Data / restraints / parameters	7754 / 470 / 603	7611 / 0 / 451
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	1.061	1.023
Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0560, wR_2 = 0.1485$	$R_1 = 0.0427, wR_2 = 0.0987$
<i>R</i> indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0749, wR_2 = 0.1605$	$R_1 = 0.0620, wR_2 = 0.1113$
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.443 and -0.412 <i>e</i> Å <sup>-3</sup>	0.328 and -0.323 $e \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Table S 1. Crystal data and structure refinement statistics for [Li][2] and 3.









Figure S 3. Proton NMR spectrum of [Li][2] in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> 400 MHz, 20 °C, residual *n*-hexane present.



Figure S 4. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of [Li][2] in THF-d<sub>8</sub>, 125 MHz, -20 °C. Excess <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> is present







Figure S 8. Proton NMR spectrum of product from the reaction of Me<sub>3</sub>SiCl and [Li][1] in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> 500 MHz, 20 °C.

## **S3. DOSY Spectra**

DOSY Spectra were collected using a Bruker 400-AVANCE spectrometer equipped with a Magnex Scientific superconducting magnet. Data collection was performed using a stimulated echo experiment (stegp1s1d). A gradient length of 3200  $\mu$ s and a Stejskal-Tanner diffusion delay of 0.1 s were used in the data collection. The gradient ratio was varied from 2% to 95% in 16 steps. The diffusion coefficient was calculated using the Bayesian DOSY Transform as implemented by Mnova NMR.



Figure S 9. 2D-DOSY of [(12-crown-4)Li][1] in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 25 °C, 400 MHz.



Figure S 10. 2D-DOSY of [Li][2] in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 25 °C, 400 MHz.

# **S4.** Computational Details

Atom	x	У	Z.
С	1.98852	16.356423	5.175173
С	1.363884	15.309387	5.873802
С	0.695128	15.528118	7.082931
С	0.628824	16.831631	7.589083
С	1.2138	17.904828	6.909346
С	1.893154	17.65011	5.713248
С	0.058342	14.38591	7.840536
С	1.092097	19.31446	7.441057
Ν	2.734242	16.07597	3.975864
С	4.234186	15.904733	4.213299
С	4.515612	15.163551	5.539294
Ti	1.833267	16.179386	2.231722
Ν	1.237109	14.41718	1.613064
С	1.056659	13.352391	2.565991
С	2.091073	12.459883	2.889654

 Table S 2. Optimized atomic coordinates for [Li][2]

С	1.904329	11.407995	3.792483
С	0.64434	11.242519	4.376527
С	-0.414243	12.108811	4.078354
С	-0.190281	13.162261	3.185383
С	3.031113	10.448432	4.101195
С	-1.772132	11.89253	4.705505
0	3.103337	16.789886	1.02332
С	3.918111	17.21525	0.067033
0	3.518039	18.131744	-0.725853
Ν	0.31833	17.434365	2.296976
С	-1.015535	16.920863	2.488284
С	-1.502752	16.692247	3.785759
С	-2.814767	16.259143	4.015904
С	-3.651565	16.037747	2.917692
С	-3.199081	16.234823	1.608502
С	-1.885747	16.67171	1.412161
С	-3.320961	16.027866	5.421127
С	-4.123542	16.025607	0.43094
0	5.08006	16.698636	-0.031164
С	0.365468	18.938917	2.066231
С	-0.487934	19.684636	3.116129
С	1.809469	19.459906	2.183755
С	-0.144787	19.308546	0.653852
С	1.067025	13.980203	0.163782
С	0.939225	15.199507	-0.767101
С	2.282842	13.148431	-0.306008
С	-0.215708	13.137562	-0.014719
С	4.934644	17.282069	4.258319
С	4.874658	15.068277	3.091762
Н	5.939442	14.932858	3.316445
Н	6.018482	17.147919	4.374313
Н	4.812184	15.541345	2.114134
Н	4.764039	17.842161	3.334458
Н	4.587906	17.883035	5.104273
Н	5.600452	15.037609	5.647622
Н	4.154167	15.710724	6.414802
Н	3.063648	12.590445	2.428392
Н	4.009438	10.892344	3.887788
Н	3.023349	10.141557	5.153894

Н	0.483536	10.420742	5.076549
Н	0.336018	14.409698	8.901743
Н	0.360523	13.416831	7.434419
Н	1.429413	14.30519	5.467281
Н	1.077539	19.332535	8.536877
Н	1.921115	19.946878	7.104553
Н	0.162019	19.788355	7.096431
Н	-0.843373	16.878096	4.626989
Н	-0.190368	12.214232	0.571057
Н	-0.996749	13.848957	2.949062
Н	0.114296	17.014057	8.533971
Н	-1.72962	11.984577	5.798801
Н	-1.037944	14.438262	7.7948
Н	-2.152979	10.886861	4.484566
Н	-2.504914	12.615115	4.336859
Н	-3.051783	15.02624	5.7813
Н	-2.893577	16.748051	6.12636
Н	-4.412472	16.110712	5.469669
Н	-4.677655	15.707549	3.084896
Н	-4.915824	15.304884	0.662843
Н	-4.614187	16.966025	0.142167
Н	-3.58261	15.659546	-0.449177
Н	-1.543924	19.402974	3.068279
Н	-1.535472	16.829366	0.398129
Н	-0.421519	20.764608	2.931492
Н	-0.126887	19.490081	4.130483
Н	-1.105335	13.705169	0.276491
Н	-1.210293	19.093485	0.535157
Н	-0.00831	20.384842	0.482634
Н	0.418777	18.774448	-0.117985
Н	0.725725	14.84806	-1.782792
Н	1.792218	20.555739	2.190734
Н	2.422759	19.149222	1.33966
Н	1.849641	15.794228	-0.814854
Н	2.282879	19.132847	3.110902
Н	3.21713	13.703202	-0.170354
Н	2.356434	12.199688	0.232978
Н	2.357534	18.477929	5.188822
Н	2.181052	12.910604	-1.373532

Н	2.948741	9.533598	3.49739
Н	0.119094	15.849726	-0.464398
Н	-0.319094	12.859878	-1.071691
Н	4.420935	14.077936	3.042266
Н	4.060012	14.167561	5.543527
Li	5.185835	17.886275	-1.415847

Table S 3. Calculated Chemical Shielding Constant and Corrected Chemical Shift for CO<sub>2</sub> and [Li][2].

	Calculated Isotropic Chemical Shielding Constant (σ)	Calculated $\delta_{iso} (^{13}\text{C})^a$	Observed $\delta$
$CO_2$	-56.23 ppm	125.69 ppm	126 ppm
[Li][ <b>2</b> ]	–19.771 ppm	162.15 ppm	160 ppm
a) Referenced vs. $CO_2$ in THF- $d_8$ at 125.69 ppm			

- 1. A. Mendiratta, J. S. Figueroa and C. C. Cummins, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 3403-3405.
- 2. G. R. Fulmer, A. J. M. Miller, N. H. Sherden, H. E. Gottlieb, A. Nudelman, B. M. Stoltz, J. E. Bercaw and K. I. Goldberg, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 2176-2179.
- 3. G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 2008, 64, 112-122.
- 4. G. M. Sheldrick, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, 2005.
- 5. G. T. Velde, F. M. Bickelhaupt, E. J. Baerends, C. F. Guerra, S. J. A. Van Gisbergen, J. G. Snijders and T. Ziegler, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2001, **22**, 931-967.
- 6. C. F. Guerra, J. G. Snijders, G. te Velde and E. J. Baerends, *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, 1998, **99**, 391-403.
- 7. C. T. Lee, W. T. Yang and R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1988, **37**, 785-789.
- 8. N. C. Handy and A. J. Cohen, *Mol. Phys.*, 2001, **99**, 403-412.
- 9. J. Baker and P. Pulay, J. Comput. Chem., 2003, 24, 1184-1191.
- 10. E. van Lenthe, E. J. Baerends and J. G. Snijders, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 99, 4597-4610.
- 11. E. van Lenthe, E. J. Baerends and J. G. Snijders, J. Chem. Phys., 1994, 101, 9783-9792.
- 12. E. van Lenthe, A. Ehlers and E. J. Baerends, J. Chem. Phys., 1999, 110, 8943-8953.
- 13. G. Schreckenbach and T. Ziegler, J. Phys. Chem., 1995, 99, 606-611.
- 14. G. Schreckenbach and T. Ziegler, Int. J. Quantum Chem, 1997, 61, 899-918.
- 15. S. K. Wolff and T. Ziegler, J. Chem. Phys., 1998, 109, 895-905.
- 16. S. K. Wolff, T. Ziegler, E. van Lenthe and E. J. Baerends, J. Chem. Phys., 1999, 110, 7689-7698.