Supporting Information

A poly(ethylene glycol)-brush decorated magnetic polymer for highly specific enrichment of phosphopeptides

Liang Zhao, Hongqiang Qin, Zhengyan Hu, Yi Zhang, Ren'an Wu* and Hanfa Zou*

National Chromatographic R&A Center, CAS Key Laboratory of Separation Sciences for Analytical Chemistry, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Dalian 116023, China

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Prof. Dr. Ren'an Wu Tel: +86-411-84379576, Fax: +86-411-84379620, Email: <u>wurenan@dicp.ac.cn</u> & Prof. Dr. Hanfa Zou

Tel: +86-411-84379610, Fax: +86-411-84379620, E-mail: hanfazou@dicp.ac.cn

Table S1. Zeta-potential results of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@PEG-Br⁻¹, amine functionalizedFe₃O₄@SiO₂@PEG nanoparticles (Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@PEG-NH₂), phosphonate-modifiedFe₃O₄@SiO₂@PEGnanoparticles(Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@PEG-PO₃²⁻), andFe₃O₄@SiO₂@PEG-Ti⁴⁺ nanoparticles for the subsequent modification reactions.

Functional nanoparticles	Zeta potential(mV)			
Fe ₃ O ₄ @SiO ₂ @PEG-Br ⁻¹	-3.73			
Fe ₃ O ₄ @SiO ₂ @PEG-NH ₂	+32.7			
Fe ₃ O ₄ @SiO ₂ @PEG-PO ₃ ²⁻	-18.4			
Fe ₃ O ₄ @SiO ₂ @PEG-Ti ⁴⁺	+17.2			

Table S2. The surface compositions of functionalized PEG-decorated magnetic nanoparticle were determined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis. The C/O ratios for the poly(ethylene glycol) methacrylate brushes of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@PEG nanoparticles (great than the sampling depth of XPS) was 2.3, which is reasonably consistent with the stoichiometry value 2.1 of poly(ethylene glycol) methacrylate. The change of chemical compositions of functionalized PEG-decorated magnetic nanoparticles and the appearance of P and Ti element indicated the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@PEG-Ti⁴⁺ nanoparticles were successfully synthesized through multiply reactions.

Functional nanoparticles	XPS chemical compositions (%)						
	С	0	N	Si	Fe	Р	Ti
Fe ₃ O ₄ @SiO ₂ @PEG	67.71	29.28	1.94	0.90	0.17		
Fe ₃ O ₄ @SiO ₂ @PEG-NH ₂	71.27	25.40	2.16	1.04	0.13		
Fe ₃ O ₄ @SiO ₂ @PEG-PO ₃ ²⁻	61.12	32.26	2.68	0.81	0.11	2.02	
Fe ₃ O ₄ @SiO ₂ @PEG-Ti ⁴⁺	44.04	43.43	1.66	0.88	0.11	0.92	8.95

Protein	No.	Amino acid sequence	No. of	$[M+H]^+$
			phosphosite	
α-Casein	α_1	TVDME[pS]TEVF	1	1237.08
	α_2	TVD[Mo]ME[pS]TEVF ^a	1	1253.11
	α_3	EQL[pS]T[pS]EENSK	2	1411.90
	α_4	VPQLEIVPN[pS]AEER	1	1660.15
	α_5	YLGEYLIVPN [pS]AEER	1	1832.70
	α_6	DIGSE[pS]TEDQAMEDIK	1	1847.95
	α_7	DIG[pS]E[pS]TEDQAMEDIK	2	1927.89
	α_8	DIG[pS]E[pS]TEDQA[Mo]EDIK ^a	2	1943.89
	α,	YKVPQLEIVPN[pS]AEER	1	1951.09
	α_{10}	KKYKVPQLEIVPN[pS]AEERL	1	2080.00
	α_{11}	NTMEHV[pS][pS][pS]EESII[pS]QETYK	4	2618.93
	α_{12}	VNEL[pS]KDIG[pS]E[pS]TEDQAMEDIK	3	2678.02
	α_{13}	Q*MEAE[pS]I[pS] [pS] [pS]EEIVPN[pS]VEAQK ^b	5	2703.75
	α_{14}	QMEAE[pS]I[pS][pS][pS]EEIVPNPN[pS]VEQK	5	2720.05
	α_{15}	Q[Mo]EAE[pS]I[pS][pS]EEIVPNPN[pS]VEQK	5	2736.05
	α_{16}	KEKVNEL[pS]KDIG[pS]E[pS]TEDQAMEDIKQ	3	2935.87
	α_{17}	NANEEEYSIG[pS][pS][pS]EE[pS]AEVATEEVK	4	3008.22
	α_{18}	NANEEEY[pS]IG[pS][pS][pS]EE[pS]AEVATEEVK	5	3087.93
β-Casein	β_1	FQ[pS]EEQQQTEDELQDK	1	2061.94
	β_2	RELEELNVPGEIVE[pS]L[pS][pS][pS]EESITR	4	3122.56
Ovalbumin	O_1	EVVG[pS]AEAGVDAASVSEEFR	1	2090.62
	O_2	FDKLPGFGD[pS]IEAQCGTSVNVHSSLR	1	2903.46
	\mathbf{S}_1	NVPL[pY]K	1	813.39
	S_2	HLADL[pS]K	1	863.40
	S_3	VNQIGTL[pS]E[pS]IK	2	1448.64
	S_4	VNQIG[pT]LSESIK	1	1368.68

Table S3. Sequences of four standard phosphopeptides and identified phosphopeptides

from α -casein,	β-casein	and ovalbum	n tryptic	digestMA	LDI-TOF	MS	analysis
------------------------	----------	-------------	-----------	----------	---------	----	----------

Notes: Superscripts: a) Oxidation on methionine; b) Pyroglutamylation on the N-terminal Q*.

Subscript: [pS] phosphorylated site.

Fig. S1 TGA curves of (a) Fe₃O₄@SiO₂; (b) Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-Br; (c) Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@PEG, respectively. TGA was carried out in nitrogen at a heating rate of 35°C/10 min. For the relative mass increase of Fe₃O₄(*a*)SiO₂(*a*)PEG nanoparticles and Fe₃O₄(*a*)SiO₂-Br nanoparticles were calculated from formula: $[(1 - Wpp)/Wpp - (1 - Wip)/Wip] \times 100\%$, where Wpp and Wip represent the percent weight retention at 600 °C of Fe₃O₄(a)SiO₂(a)PEG nanoparticles Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-Br and nanoparticles or Fe₃O₄(*a*)SiO₂-Br nanoparticles and Fe₃O₄(*a*)SiO₂ nanoparticles, respectively. Assuming the magnetic nanoparticles were monodisperse with a spherical shape and considering the density of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ was 5.18 g/cm³¹, the grafting density of PEG brushes and initiator bromide were calculated according to a literature².



Fig. S2 FT-IR spectra of (a) $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2-Br$ (b) $Fe_3O_4@@SiO_2PEG$, respectively. Fig.S3a showed that the magnetite particles exhibit strong bands in the low-frequency region (440 and 578 cm⁻¹) due to the iron oxide skeleton, and the peaks of Si-O-Si stretching vibrations center at 1081cm⁻¹; the stretching vibrations of -C=O from amide occur at 1650 cm⁻¹, which confirms the attachment of initiator Br on the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ nanoparticles. After large quantities of PEG brushes are grown on the surface of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-Br nanoparticles, the C-H stretching bands emerge at 2950, 2870 cm⁻¹ and the C=O stretching bands show a strong bands at 1720 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum. The presence of C=O and CH₂ adsorption bands provide strong evidence that the PEG brushes were successfully coated on the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-Br nanoparticles.



Figure S3. Wide-scan XPS spectra of the functionalized magnetic nanoparticles: (a) $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2@PEG$; (b) $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2@PEG-NH_2$; (c) $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2@PEG-PO_3^{2^-}$; (d) $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2@PEG-Ti^{4^+}$ magnetic nanoparticles.



Fig. S4. The EDX spectrum data of $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2@PEG-Ti^{4+}$ magnetic nanoparticles.



Fig. S5 The hysteresis loops of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@PEG-Ti⁴⁺ magnetic nanoparticles.



Fig. S6 MALDI-TOF MS analysis of tryptic digests of β -casein (200 µL) enriched by Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@PEG-Ti⁴⁺ (a,b,c) and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-Ti⁴⁺ (d,e,f) IMAC nanoparticles at different concentrations of (i) 1×10⁻¹⁰ M, (ii) 5×10⁻¹¹ M and (iii) 2.5×10⁻¹¹ M, respectively.



Fig. S7 The distribution of isoelectric points of nonphosphopeptides obtained by using $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2@PEG-Ti^{4+}$, $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2-Ti^{4+}$ IMAC nanoparticles and TiO_2 microspheres.



Literature :

- 1. R. Matsuno, K. Yamamoto, H. Otsuka and A. Takahara, *Macromolecules*, 2004, **37**, 2203-2209.
- 2. A. P. Majewski, A. Schallon, V. Jerome, R. Freitag, A. H. Muller and H. Schmalz, *Biomacromolecules*, 2012, **13**, 857-866.