Supporting Information for:

## Slow Magnetization Dynamics in a Series of Two-Coordinate Iron(II) Complexes

Joseph M. Zadrozny,<sup>a</sup> Mihail Atanasov,<sup>\*b,c,d</sup> Aimee M. Bryan,<sup>e</sup> Chun-Yi Lin,<sup>e</sup> Brian D. Rekken,<sup>e</sup> Philip P. Power,<sup>\*e</sup> Frank Neese,<sup>\*d</sup> and Jeffrey R. Long<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA. Email: jrlong@berkeley.edub <sup>b</sup>Max-Planck Institute for Bioinorganic Chemistry, Stifstr. 32-34, D-45470 Milheim an der Ruhr, Germany <sup>c</sup>Institute of General and Inorganic Chemistry, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Acad. Giorgi Bontchev Str. 11, 113 Sofia, Bulgaria <sup>d</sup>Departement de Chimie, Universite de Fribourg, Ch. du Musee, 9, CH-1700 Fribourg, Switzerland, 5 <sup>c</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of California, Davis, California, 95616, USA

Chem. Sci.

## **Table of Contents**

Details regarding determination of Superimposability Index, $R_{\sigma}$ .	S4
Table S1. Full Crystallographic Table for 1.	S5
Table S2. Full Crystallographic Table for 3.	S6
Figure S1: Thermal ellipsoids of L-Fe-L moieties for 1-6.	S7
Figure S2: Variable-temperature, variable-field magnetization data of 1.	<b>S</b> 8
Figure S3: Variable-temperature, variable-field magnetization data of 2.	S9
Figure S4: Variable-temperature, variable-field magnetization data of 3.	S10
Figure S5: Variable-temperature, variable-field magnetization data of 4.	S11
Figure S6: Variable-temperature, variable-field magnetization data of 5.	S12
Figure S7: Variable-temperature, variable-field magnetization data of 6.	S13
Figure S8: Cole-cole plots used for the determination of the field dependence	
of $\tau$ for <b>1</b> .	S14
Figure S9: Cole-cole plots used for the determination of the field dependence	
of $\tau$ for <b>2</b> .	S15
Figure S10: Cole-cole plots used for the determination of the field dependence	
of $\tau$ for <b>3</b> .	S16
Figure S11: Cole-cole plots used for the determination of the field dependence	
of $ au$ for 4.	S17
Figure S12: Cole-cole plots used for the determination of the field dependence	

of $\tau$ for 5.	S18
<b>Figure S13</b> : Frequency dependence of $\chi_{M}$ " for <b>6</b> under various applied dc fields.	S19
Figure S14: Field dependences of $\tau$ for 1-5 with fits.	S20
<b>Figure S15</b> : Frequency dependence of $\chi_M$ ' for <b>2</b> at temperatures from 2 to 17 K	S21
<b>Figure S16:</b> Frequency dependence of $\chi_M$ ' for <b>3</b> at temperatures from 2 to 12 K	S22
<b>Figure S17:</b> Frequency dependence of $\chi_M$ ' for 4 at temperatures from 2 to 14 K	S23
<b>Figure S18:</b> Frequency dependence of $\chi_M$ ' for <b>5</b> at temperatures from 2 to 8 K	S24
<b>Figure S19:</b> Frequency dependence of $\chi_M$ '' and $\chi_M$ '' for <b>6</b> at temperatures from	
1.8 to 3.7 K	S25
Figure S20: Cole-cole plots used for the determination of the <i>T</i> -dependence	
of $\tau$ for 1.	S26
Figure S21: Cole-cole plots used for the determination of the <i>T</i> -dependence	
of $\tau$ for <b>2</b> .	S27
Figure S22: Cole-cole plots used for the determination of the <i>T</i> -dependence	
of $\tau$ for <b>3</b> .	S28
Figure S23: Cole-cole plots used for the determination of the <i>T</i> -dependence	
of $\tau$ for 4.	S29
Figure S24: Cole-cole plots used for the determination of the <i>T</i> -dependence	
of $\tau$ for 5.	S30

## Derivation of the superimposability index, $R_{\sigma}$ .

Originally, we had planned to evaluate this index based on deviations from the Brillouin function. We tried, using  $S = \frac{1}{2}$  and variable g for each data set, but in all cases the Brillouin function did not adequately represent a master function with which we could compare superimposability. Instead we used eq (S1) as a fitting equation, which would be weighted to fit the three highest-*T* data of the 1 T data set and the three lowest-*T* data of the 7 T set. The values of  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$ ,  $c_4$ , and the exponent *n*, determined from these fits, are given in Table 2.

$$M = \left(\frac{c_1 \left(\frac{H}{T}\right)^n}{c_2 \left(\frac{H}{T}\right)^n + c_3 \left(\frac{H}{T}\right)}\right) + c_4 \qquad (S1)$$

The experimental magnetizations were compared with these ideal, master functions, and the superimposability index,  $R_{\sigma}$ , was then defined according to eq (S2). The master functions for each complex are plotted as black lines in Figs. S1 – S6.

$$R_{\sigma} = \sum_{i} \frac{\left(M_{\exp,i} - M_{calc,i}\right)^{2}}{M_{\exp,i}} \quad (S2)$$

Fitted coefficients and exponents for derivation of $N_{\sigma}$ , the superimposability index.						
Complex	$c_1$	$c_2$	C <sub>3</sub>	$\mathcal{C}_4$	п	$R_{\sigma}$
1	1.492	0.748	0.081	1.08	3.34	0.029
2	1.487	0.658	0.075	1.01	3.13	0.027
3	2.048	1.018	0.112	0.75	3.08	0.013
4	4.969	3.428	0.494	0.73	3.16	0.062
5	6.094	3.734	0.395	0.44	2.93	0.005
6	5.788	4.354	0.865	0.62	3.38	0.135

Fitted coefficients and exponents for derivation of  $R_{\sigma}$ , the superimposability index

Tuble SI. Crystanographic Data Tor I.	
Empirical formula	$C_{50}H_{52}FeN_2Si_2$
Formula weight	552.77
Т, К	95(1)
$\lambda$ , Å	0.7107
Crystal system	Triclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> –1
Habit	Block
Color	Brown
a, Å	8.8101(5)
b, Å	9.1759(5)
<i>c</i> , Å	11.1624(6)
<i>α</i> , °	102.4670(10)
β, °	92.5030(10)
γ, °	113.9320(10)
V, Å <sup>3</sup>	796.59(8)
Ζ	1
$\rho_{calc}, g/cm^3$	1.152
$\mu$ , mm <sup>-1</sup>	0.568
$F_{000}$	300
Crystal dimensions	$0.368 \times 0.367 \times 0.358 \text{ mm}^3$
$2\theta$ range, °	3.78 - 55.02
Index ranges	-10 <= h <= 11
-	-11 <= k <= 11
	-14 <= 1 <= 14
Reflections collected	8827
Independent reflections	$3654 [R_{int} = 0.0140]$
Completeness to $2\theta = 55.02$	99.6 %
Data / restraints / parameters	3654 / 167 / 0
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	1.002
Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]^b$	$R_1 = 0.0345, wR_2 = 0.1035$
<i>R</i> indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0376, wR_2 = 0.1065$
Largest diff. peak and hole	$0.484 \text{ and } -0.535 \text{ e}\text{\AA}^3$

Table S1.	Crystallographic Da	ata <sup>a</sup> for <b>1</b> .
-----------	---------------------	---------------------------------

<sup>a</sup>Obtained with graphite-monochromated Mo K $\alpha$  ( $\lambda = 0.7107$  Å) radiation. <sup>b</sup> $R_1 = \Sigma ||F_o||F_c||/|F_o|$ ,  $wR_2 = \{\Sigma [w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2]/\Sigma [w(F_o^2)^2]\}^{1/2}$ .

Tubie 52. Crystanographie Data 1016.	
Empirical formula	$C_{60}H_{76}Fe_{0.93}N_2^{\ c}$
Formula weight	877.17
Т, К	90(2)
λ, Å	0.7107
Crystal system	Monoclinic
Space group	$P2_1/n$
Habit	Block
Color	Red-orange
a, Å	10.8937(18)
b, Å	20.234(3)
<i>c</i> , Å	11.2231(19)
$\alpha$ , °	90
<i>β</i> , °	90.829(3)
γ, °	90
V, Å <sup>3</sup>	2473.5(7)
Z	2
$\rho_{\text{calc}}, \text{g/cm}^3$	1.178
$\mu$ , mm <sup>-1</sup>	0.325
$F_{000}$	948
Crystal dimensions	$0.25 \times 0.17 \times 0.14 \text{ mm}^3$
$2\theta$ range, °	4.02 - 50.70
Index ranges	-13 <= h <= 13
	-24 <= k <= 24
	-13 < =l < =13
Reflections collected	27437
Independent reflections	$4534 [R_{int} = 0.0416]$
Completeness to $2\theta = 50.70$	100.0 %
Data / restraints / parameters	4534 / 0 / 298
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	1.031
Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]^b$	$R_1 = 0.0338, wR_2 = 0.0800$
<i>R</i> indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0449, wR_2 = 0.0857$
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.359 and -0.212 eÅ <sup>3</sup>

Table S2.	Crystallographic	Data <sup><i>a</i></sup>	for <b>3</b> .
-----------	------------------	--------------------------	----------------

<sup>a</sup>Obtained with graphite-monochromated Mo K $\alpha$  ( $\lambda = 0.7107$  Å) radiation. <sup>b</sup> $R_1 = \Sigma ||F_0||F_c||/|F_0|$ ,  $wR_2 = \{\Sigma [w(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2]/\Sigma [w(F_0^2)^2]\}^{1/2}$ . <sup>c</sup>Substitutional disorder between the complex and free ligand is observed, leading to a model with incomplete Feoccupancy.



**Figure S1.** Probability ellipsoids of the L-Fe-L moieties for complexes 1-6, depicted at the 80% level. Only the thermal parameters of the Fe ions and their immediate neighbors are depicted. Orange, blue, red, and gray ellipsoids correspond to Fe, N, O, and C atoms, respectively. Cyan, gray, and white spheres correspond to Si, C, and H atoms, respectively. Next-nearest structure around the donor atoms is given to assist in comparison of the principal axes of the ellipsoids with the overall molecular geometry.



*Figure S2.* Variable field, variable temperature magnetization data collected on 1 from 1 to 7 T at temperatures from 1.8 to 5 K. The black line represents the master equation used to determine the superimposability index, as discussed in the main text and above.



*Figure S3.* Variable field, variable temperature magnetization data collected on 2 from 1 to 7 T at temperatures from 1.8 to 5 K. The black line represents the master equation used to determine the superimposability index, as discussed in the main text and above.



*Figure S4.* Variable field, variable temperature magnetization data collected on 3 from 1 to 7 T at temperatures from 1.8 to 5 K. The black line represents the master equation used to determine the superimposability index, as discussed in the main text and above.



*Figure S5.* Variable field, variable temperature magnetization data collected on 4 from 1 to 7 T at temperatures from 1.8 to 5 K. The black line represents the master equation used to determine the superimposability index, as discussed in the main text and above.



*Figure S6.* Variable field, variable temperature magnetization data collected on 5 from 1 to 7 T at temperatures from 1.8 to 5 K. The black line represents the master equation used to determine the superimposability index, as discussed in the main text and above.



*Figure S7.* Variable field, variable temperature magnetization data collected on 6 from 1 to 7 T at temperatures from 1.8 to 5 K. The black line represents the master equation used to determine the superimposability index, as discussed in the main text and above.



**Figure S8.** Cole-cole plots fit for the determination of the field dependence of  $\tau$  for 1 at 2 K. Black lines are the result of fits to a generalized Debye model as described in the main text.



**Figure S9.** Cole-cole plots fit for the determination of the field dependence of  $\tau$  for **2** at 2 K. Black lines are the result of fits to a generalized Debye model as described in the main text.



**Figure S10.** Cole-cole plots fit for the determination of the field dependence of  $\tau$  for **3** at 2 K. Black lines are the result of fits to a generalized Debye model as described in the main text.



**Figure S11.** Cole-cole plots fit for the determination of the field dependence of  $\tau$  for 4 at 2 K. Black lines are the result of fits to a generalized Debye model as described in the main text.



**Figure S12.** Cole-cole plots fit for the determination of the field dependence of  $\tau$  for 5 at 2 K. Black lines are the result of fits to a generalized Debye model as described in the main text.



**Figure S13.** Frequency dependence of the out-of-phase susceptibility of **6** as a function of applied field at 2K. Solid lines are a guide for the eye.



**Figure S14.** Field dependence of  $\tau$  for 1-5 at 2 K. Black lines represent fits as discussed in the main body of the report.



**Figure S15.** Frequency dependence of the out-of-phase susceptibility of **2** as a function of temperature. Data were collected under an applied dc field of 500 Oe.



*Figure S16.* Frequency dependence of the out-of-phase susceptibility of **3** as a function of temperature. Data were collected under an applied dc field of 875 Oe.



*Figure S17.* Frequency dependence of the out-of-phase susceptibility of 4 as a function of temperature. Data were collected under an applied dc field of 875 Oe.



*Figure S18.* Frequency dependence of the out-of-phase susceptibility of **5** as a function of temperature. Data were collected under an applied dc field of 2500 Oe.



**Figure S19.** Frequency dependence of the in-phase (top) and out-of-phase (bottom) susceptibilities of 6 as a function of temperature. Data were collected under an applied dc field of 1000 Oe.



 $\chi_{M}'$  (cm<sup>3</sup>/mol) **Figure S20.** Cole-cole plots of the frequency dependence of  $\chi_{M}'$  and  $\chi_{M}''$  used to evaluate the temperature dependence of  $\tau$  for 1. Top: data collected from 2 to 9.5 K. Bottom: data collected from 10 to 17 K. Black lines are fits to the general Debye model as described in the main text.



 $\chi_{M}'$  (cm<sup>3</sup>/mol) **Figure S21.** Cole-cole plots of the frequency dependence of  $\chi_{M}'$  and  $\chi_{M}''$  used to evaluate the temperature dependence of  $\tau$  for 2. Black lines are fits to the general Debye model as described in the main text.



**Figure S22.** Cole-cole plots of the frequency dependence of  $\chi_M$ ' and  $\chi_M$ '' used to evaluate the temperature dependence of  $\tau$  for **3**. Black lines are fits to the general debye model as described in the main text.



**Figure S23.** Cole-cole plots of the frequency dependence of  $\chi_M$ ' and  $\chi_M$ '' used to evaluate the temperature dependence of  $\tau$  for 4. Black lines are fits to the general debye model as described in the main text.



**Figure S24.** Cole-cole plots of the frequency dependence of  $\chi_M$ ' and  $\chi_M$ '' used to evaluate the temperature dependence of  $\tau$  for 5. Black lines are fits to the general debye model as described in the main text.