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## Experimental

All reactions were performed in oven dried $\left(150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ glassware and were magnetically stirred. A positive pressure of nitrogen (ca. 0.2 bar ) was used as protective atmosphere. For all reactions liquids and solutions were added via syringe and septa. Solvents were removed by rotary evaporation at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ bath temperature and $600-10 \mathrm{mbar}$ pressure or by a constant stream of nitrogen. Non-volatile compounds were dried in vacuo at 0.1 mbar.
Diethyl ether, tetrahydrofuran (THF) and dichloromethane were distilled and further purified by a solvent purification system. Diglyme and acetonitrile (anhydrous, >99.5\%) were stored over $4 \AA$ molecular sieves in crown capped bottles under nitrogen atmosphere. Methanol (super gradient grade), absolute ethanol, iso-propanol, chloroform ( $>99 \%$ ), $n$-hexane (HPLC grade) and $n$-pentane (UV quality) were used as purchased. For work-up procedures and column chromatography distilled technical grade solvents (diethyl ether, petrol ether and ethyl acetate) were used. 2-Cyclohexen-1-one (2a) was distilled in vacuum prior to use and stored at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under inert atmosphere. [FBIP-Cl$]_{2},{ }^{1}[\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{C l}]_{\mathbf{2}},{ }^{2} \alpha$-aryl- $\alpha$-cyanoacetates $\mathbf{1 a - j},{ }^{3}{ }^{3} 5,5$-dimethyl-cyclohexen-1-one (2d), ${ }^{4} 1 \mathrm{H}$-inden-1-one (2e) ${ }^{5}$ and silver salts ${ }^{6}$ were prepared according to literature procedures. All other chemicals were purchased and used without further purification.
Reactions were either monitored by HPLC (reverse phase, acetonitrile/water as eluent) or by thin layer chromatography (TLC) with silica-plates (silica gel $60 F_{254}$ ). Visualization was achieved by fluorescence quenching under UV light ( $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and/or by staining with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4} / \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ in 100 mL 0.1 M NaOH ). Preparative column chromatography for compound purification was performed on silica ( $0.040-0.063 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), using a positive pressure of nitrogen (ca. 0.2 bar ). Yields refer to pure isolated products and are calculated in $\mathrm{mol} \%$ of the used starting material. Conversions refer to unconsumed cyanoacetate 2 and were either determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ using an internal standard or by RP-HPLC with a corresponding calibration curve.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on spectrometers operating at 250,300 or 500 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 63,75 or 125 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra were recorded at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a spectrometer operating at 235 MHz . Deuterated solvents were used as purchased and are stated after the corresponding frequency. Chemical shifts in ppm refer to tetramethylsilane $(\delta=0)$ as internal standard. Coupling constants $J$ are given in Hz and the following abbreviations are used for multiplicities: $s$ (singulet), $d$ (doublet), $t$ (triplet), $q$ (quartet), $p$ (pentet), $m$ (multiplet), $b$ (broad signal). IR spectra were recorded by the analytical service of the Universität Stuttgart on a spectrometer with an ATR-unit. The aggregation state of the sample is stated in parentheses,
signals are given by wavenumbers $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. The $d r$ - and $e e$-values of the Michael-Addition products 3 were determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC if not other mentioned. Optical rotation was measured at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a polarimeter operating at the sodium-D line ( $\lambda=589 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Path length of the quartz cell was 100 mm , solvent and concentration in $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ are stated in parentheses. Melting points were measured in open glass capillaries and are uncorrected. Mass spectra were performed by the analytical service of the Universität Stuttgart. The ionization method is stated in parentheses. Microanalyses were performed by the analytical service of the Universität Stuttgart. Single crystal X-ray analysis was performed by Dr. Wolfgang Frey.

## General Procedures (GP)

## General Procedure for the Formation of $\alpha$-Aryl- $\alpha$-cyanoacetates 1 (GP1)



1
$n$-Butyllithium ( $39.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.3$ equiv) was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $N, N$-di-iso-propylamine ( $40.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.35$ equiv) in THF ( 40 mL ) under protective atmosphere. The solution was warmed to room temperature and cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after 5 min . A solution of the corresponding 2arylacetonitrile ( 17.1 mmol , 1 equiv) in THF ( 15 mL ) was added slowly. The reaction mixture was then warmed to room temperature and stirred for additional 10 min before it was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then di-tert-butyldicarbonate ( $17.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv) in THF ( 10 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h at room temperature. The reaction was quenched by adding saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( 10 mL ). Water and diethyl ether were added for a clear phase separation. The separated organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Pure $\alpha$-aryl- $\alpha$-cyanoacetate 1 was obtained after vacuum distillation or column chromatography. ${ }^{3}$

## General Procedure for the Formation of Racemic Michael-Addition Products 3 (GP2)



The $\alpha$-aryl- $\alpha$-cyanoacetate 1 (1 equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\sim 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ per mmol $\mathbf{1})$ and the enone 2 ( 1 equiv) and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-di-iso-propyl- N -ethylamine ( 0.05 equiv) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was
subjected to column chromatography to give the pure, racemic product rac-3. ${ }^{6}$ The reaction is not optimized.

## General Procedure for the Catalytic Asymmetric Michael-Addition (GP3)



To the corresponding $\alpha$-aryl- $\alpha$-cyanoacetate $\mathbf{1}(0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in diglyme (in total $170 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ diglyme per $0.09 \mathrm{mmol} \alpha$-aryl- $\alpha$-cyanoacetate) were added acetic acid as a stock solution in diglyme ( $c=0.87 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, 0.2 equiv), the activated catalyst $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ or $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ (stock solution in diglyme, see "Activation of the Precatalyst [FBIP-Cl] $]_{2}$ and $[\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{C l}]_{2}$ with AgO 2 CC 3 F 7 / Acetonitrile", S-17) and finally the corresponding enone $2(0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred for the indicated time at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Afterwards $n$ pentane was added to precipitate the catalyst and the resulting suspension was filtrated through silica. The filter cake was further washed with petrol ether: ethyl acetate (4:1). Removal of the solvent and an excess of enone 2 resulted in the pure Michael-Addition products $\mathbf{3}$. ${ }^{6}$

## General Procedure for the Reduction of the Keto Group in the Michael-Addition Product 3 (GP4)



The Michael-addition product 3 ( 1 equiv) was dissolved in $i-\operatorname{PrOH}$ ( 1 mL per 60.0 mg ) and a solution of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( 2.2 equiv) in water ( 1 mL per 50 mg ) was added dropwise to the ketone at room temperature. The reaction was stirred for an additional 40 min and was quenched and acidified with conc. HCl . The aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethylacetate. The
combined organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated and the solvent removed in vacuo. The residue was subjected to column chromatography ( $\mathrm{PE}: \mathrm{EtOAc}=4: 1$ ) to give the pure secondary alcohol 6.

## General Procedure for the Reductive Dehalogenation of MichaelAddition Product 3 (GP5)


$(R, R)$ - or $(S, R)-3$

$(R, R) /(S, R)$-3aa or 3ad

The Michael-addition product 3 ( 1 equiv) was dissolved in MeOH ( 1 mL per 10.0 mg ) and ammonium formate ( 5 equiv) was added. $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \%, 25 \mathrm{w} \%$ of the starting material 3) was added under oxygen free atmosphere and the reaction was stirred for 5 h at room temperature. Afterwards the solvent was removed in vacuo and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added to the residue. The suspension was filtered over a short pad of celite/silica (1:1) and the solvent removed in vacuo to gain the crude product. The residue was subjected to column chromatography ( $\mathrm{PE}: \mathrm{EtOAc}=9: 1$ ) to give the pure dehalogenated product 3aa or 3ad. ${ }^{7}$ The reaction is not optimized.

## Synthesis of Silver Salts

## Silver(I)(bistrifluoromethane)sulfonimide



Lithium(bistrifluoromethane)sulfonimide ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 7.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in demin. water and treated with conc. $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{M})$. The resulting imide polyhydrate was obtained by extraction with diethyl ether and solvent removal. The oily residue was dissolved in diethyl ether, stirred for a few min and solvent removed. This was repeated three times to give the monohydrate of the imide. Afterwards it was dissolved in acetonitrile to form a 1 M solution and silver carbonate ( $528.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.55$ equiv) was added. The suspension was stirred for 2 h at room temperature, then it was filtrated and the solvent removed in vacuo. Recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ resulted in solid, colorless $\mathbf{A g N}(\mathbf{T f})_{2}(1.11 \mathrm{~g}, 2.87 \mathrm{mmol}, 41 \%)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{A g F}_{6} \mathbf{N O}_{4} \mathbf{S}_{2}$, MW: $388.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. $\mathbf{M p}$ : decomposition $>250^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathbf{C D}_{3} \mathbf{C N}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=119.5\left(q, J=321, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{F}$ NMR ( $235 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D}_{3} \mathbf{C N}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=-80.17$. Microanalysis: Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{AgF}_{6} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{C}: 6.19$; $\mathrm{N}: 3.61$; $\mathrm{S}: 16.53$. Found: $\mathrm{C}: 6.50 ; \mathrm{N}$ : 3.67; S: 16.17.

## Synthesis of Cyclic Enones

## 3-Ethoxy-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one ${ }^{4}$



Dimedone ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 35.7 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), absolute ethanol ( $6.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.2$ equiv) and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid ( $142 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in toluene ( 40 mL ) were heated with azeotropic removal of water until dimedone had completely reacted (usually after 5 h ). Removal of the solvent and vacuum distillation ( $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 1.6 mbar ) of the residual resulted in pure liquid, colorless 3-ethoxy-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one ( $3.88 \mathrm{~g}, 23.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 65 \%$ ).
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 6}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$, MW: $168.23 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right): \delta=5.35(s, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{C H})$, $3.91\left(q, J=7.0,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.28\left(s, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.21\left(s, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.37(t, J=7.0,3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.07\left(s, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=199.7,176.3,101.5$, $64.3,50.7,42.9,32.5,28.3,14.1$. The other analytical data are in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{4}$

## 5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one (2d) ${ }^{4}$



3-Ethoxy-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) in dry diethyl ether $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was dropwise added to a suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(144.4 \mathrm{mg}, 3.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.32$ equiv) in dry diethyl ether $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ so that the ether was slightly boiling. Subsequently the reaction mixture was heated to reflux and stirred for an additional hour. Afterwards the flask was cooled with ice and ice water was added very carefully until the formation of hydrogen ended. The formed precipitate was dissolved with aq. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(9 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \%)$. The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted two times with diethyl ether. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtrated and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Vacuum distillation of the residue gave 5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one as pale yellow liquid ( $0.94 \mathrm{~g}, 7.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 63 \%$ ).
$\mathbf{C}_{8} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 2}} \mathbf{O}$, MW: $\left.124.18 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 3 0 0 ~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right): \delta=6.87(d t, J=10.1,4.1$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.03(d t, J=10.1,2.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H), 2.28\left(s, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.25\left(d d, J=2.0,2.0,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $1.06\left(s, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right): \delta=200.0,148.4,128.9,51.8,39.9,33.9$, 28.3. The other analytical data are in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{4}$

## 3-Bromo-2,3-dihydro-1 H-inden-1-one ${ }^{8}$



To $1 H$-indan-1-one $\left(2.64 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, powder) in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added N bromosuccinimide ( $3.91 \mathrm{~g}, 22.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) and dibenzoylperoxide ( $48.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.01 equiv). The mixture was stirred under reflux for 1.5 h . Afterwards the reaction mixture was cooled, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The oily crude product was recrystallized from EtOAc/heptanes (1:4, 10 mL ) to give 3-bromo-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one ( $2.08 \mathrm{~g}, 9.88 \mathrm{mmol}$, $49 \%$ ) as orange solid.
$\mathbf{C}_{9} \mathbf{H}_{7} \mathbf{B r O}$, MW: $211.06 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathrm{Mp}: 54.0-54.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathbf{}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=$ 7.77-7.70 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.53-7.45 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $5.61(d d, J=7.1,2.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.39$ $\left(d d, J=20.0,7.2,1 H, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.06\left(d d, J=19.8,2.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right){ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(75 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21\right.$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right): \delta=201.5,154.3,136.0,135.6,129.7,127.5,123.4,48.1,40.6$. The other analytical data are in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{8}$

## 1H-Inden-1-one (2e) ${ }^{5}$



To a solution of 3-bromo-2,3-dihydro- 1 H -inden-1-one ( $501.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 5 mL ) was added triethylamine ( $990 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 7.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) dropwise over 10 min at room temperature. The reaction was stirred for 1 h . Then water was added and the organic phase was several times well washed with water, brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After filtration and
concentration in vacuo, the crude product was purified by vacuum distillation ( $0.54 \mathrm{mbar}, 47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to give $1 H$-inden-1-one $\mathbf{2 e}(275.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 89 \%)$ as pale yellow, viskous oil.
$\mathbf{C}_{9} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{O}$, MW: $130.14 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.56(d, J=5.8,1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C} H), 7.42(d, J=6.6,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H), 7.34(t, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H), 7.22(t, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $7.05\left(d, J=7.0,1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, arom. $H$ ), $5.88(d, J=5.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{C} H) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 7 5 ~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta$ $=198.5,149.8,144.6,133.7,130.4,129.1,127.2,122.6,122.3$. The other analytical data are in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{5}$

## Synthesis of $\alpha$-Aryl- $\alpha$-Cyanoacetates

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-(o-fluorophenyl)acetate (1k)



1k
According to GP1 2-(o-fluorophenyl)acetonitrile ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 14.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with di-tert-butyldicarbonate ( $3.39 \mathrm{~g}, 15.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether: $\mathrm{EtOAc}=18: 1)$ of the crude product resulted in liquid, pale yellow tert-butyl-2-cyano-(ofluorophenyl)acetate ( $\mathbf{1 k}, 2.33 \mathrm{~g}, 9.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 67 \%$ ).
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 4}} \mathbf{F N O}_{2}$, MW: $235.25 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) : $\delta=7.50(t d, J=7.5$, $1.7,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.43-7.36 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $7.22(t d, J=7.6,1.1,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.16-7.10 ( $m$, 1 H , arom. $H$ ), $4.92(s, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H), 1.47\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right): \delta=$ $162.9,160.0$ ( $d, J=250.2, C \mathrm{~F}), 131.2$ ( $d, J=8.3, C$ CCF), 129.6 ( $d, J=2.4, C$ CCCF), 124.9 ( $d$, $J=3.8, C$ CCF $), 118.4(d, J=14.8, C$ CF $), 115.9(d, J=20.9, C$ CF $), 115.2,84.9,38.3,27.6 .{ }^{19} \mathbf{F}$ NMR ( $235 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=-116.73$ ( $m, 1 \mathrm{~F}$ ). IR (film): $v=2984,2938,1747,1619$, 1592, 1496, 1396, 1372, 1280, 1243, 1151, 1106, 1094, 1035, 950. HRMS (EI) m/z: Calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]^{+}:$220.0769. Found: 220.0770. Microanalysis: Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~F}: \mathrm{C}: 66.37$; H : 6.00; N: 5.95. Found: C: 66.45; H: 6.17; N: 6.16.

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-(m-fluorophenyl)acetate (1I)



11
According to GP1 2-( $m$-fluorophenyl)acetonitrile ( $2.45 \mathrm{~g}, 18.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with di-tert-butyldicarbonate ( $4.15 \mathrm{~g}, 19.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether: $\mathrm{EtOAc}=9: 1)$ of the crude product resulted in liquid, pale yellow tert-butyl-2-cyano-( $m$ fluorophenyl)acetate (11, $2.68 \mathrm{~g}, 11.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 63 \%$ ).
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 4}} \mathbf{F N O}_{\mathbf{2}}$, MW: $235.25 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right): \delta=7.44-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.26-7.16 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.13-7.07 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $4.62(s, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 1.46(s, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=163.3,162.9(d, J=248.8, C \mathrm{~F}), 132.5(d, J=7.9$, $C$ CCF), 130.9 ( $d, J=8.4, C C C F), 123.6$ ( $d, J=3.3, C C C C F), 116.2$ ( $d, J=21.1, C C F), 115.5$, 115.2 ( $d, J=23.4, C C F), 85.0,44.5,44.4,27.6 .{ }^{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{F}$ NMR ( $235 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=$ -111.07 ( $m$, 1F). IR (film): $v=2984,2939,2252,1744,1606,1510,1480,1459,1420,1396$, 1372, 1282, 1259, 1238, 1150, 1101, 949. HRMS (EI) m/z: Calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]^{+}: 220.0769$. Found: 220.0767. Microanalysis: Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ : C: 66.37; H: 6.00; $\mathrm{N}: 5.95$. Found: C: 66.26; H: 5.88; N: 5.98.

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-(4-methoxyphenyl)acetate (1m)



1m
According to GP1 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)acetonitrile ( $2.25 \mathrm{~g}, 15.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with di-tert-butyldicarbonate $(3.51 \mathrm{~g}, 16.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether: $\mathrm{EtOAc}=9: 1$ ) of the crude product resulted in liquid, pale yellow tert-butyl-2-cyano-(4methoxyphenyl)acetate ( $\mathbf{1 1}, 3.14 \mathrm{~g}, 12.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%$ ).
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 4}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 7}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $247.29 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{2 1}{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.35(d, J=8.8$, $2 \mathrm{H}, o-\mathrm{CH}), 6.92(d, J=8.8,2 \mathrm{H}, m-\mathrm{CH}), 4.55(s, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.82\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.44(s, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=164.2,160.0,129.0,122.4,116.2,114.6,84.4$, 55.4, 44.0, 27.7. IR (film): $v=2981,2938,2840,1742,1612,1587,1514,1461,1396,1371$, 1306, 1255, 1181, 1150, 1034, 948. HRMS (EI) $m / z$ : Calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]^{+}: 247.1203$. Found: 247.1203. Microanalysis: Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : C: 68.00; H: 6.93; $\mathrm{N}: 5.66$. Found: $\mathrm{C}: 68.08 ; \mathrm{H}$ : 6.90; N: 5.92.

## Activation of the Precatalyst $[\mathrm{FBIP}-\mathrm{CI}]_{2}$ and $[\mathrm{FIP}-\mathrm{Cl}]_{2}$ with $\mathrm{AgO}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7} /$ Acetonitrile

## Bis(acetonitrile)[ $\mu$-[(1S $S_{p}, 1$ ' $\left.S_{p}\right)$-2,2'-bis[(4R,5R)-4,5-dihydro-1-[(4-methyl-phenyl)sulfonyl]-4,5-diphenyl-1 H -imidazol-2-yl-кN3]-1,1'-ferrocendiyl$\kappa C 1: \kappa C 1$ ']]bis(heptafluorobutyrato-к $O$ )di-palladium(II) (FBIP-O $\mathbf{O C}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}$ )


[FBIP-CI] ${ }_{2}$


FBIP- $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}$
$\mathrm{AgO}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}$ ( $77.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$, 4 equiv) was dissolved in acetonitrile $(\sim 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the solution was stirred for a few min. The solvent was then removed by a constant stream of nitrogen. A solution of the precatalyst $\left[\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{C l}_{2}\left(150.0 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1\right.\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 1 mL per 5 mg silver salt) was added and the suspension was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. Afterwards the suspension was filtrated over celite, followed by filtration over silica, to completely remove silver traces, and the solvent was removed in vacuo at room temperature to give pure $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ as an orange-red solid ( $205.9 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, quant.).
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6 6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{5 0}} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{1 4}} \mathbf{F e N}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{8}} \mathbf{P d}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$, MW: $1653.93 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. $\mathbf{M p}$ : decomposition $>200^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+15.2$ $\left(\mathrm{c}=0.09, \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 2 \mathbf{2 1}^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.60-7.42(m, 16 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.23$7.07(m, 8 H$, arom. $H$ ), $6.66(d, J=7.8,4 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $5.33(d, J=2.1,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}-H), 5.15(t$, $J=2.5,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}-H), 5.11(d, J=3.3,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Ph}), 4.45(d, J=3.3,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Ph}), 4.35(d, J=1.9$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}-H), 2.46\left(s, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pd} \leftarrow \mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21\right.$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right): \delta=170.9,146.0,139.7,138.9,133.6,130.3,129.4,128.9,128.1,125.4,125.3,97.0,85.7$, 75.4, 73.9, 73.1, 70.6, 70.3, 29.7, 21.7. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{F}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 3 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=-80.83(t$, $\left.J=8.9,3 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{C} F_{3}\right),-115.74\left(q, J=8.7,1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{C} F_{2}\right),-116.19\left(q, J=9.1,1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{C} F_{2}\right),-126.44(s, 1 \mathrm{~F}$, $\mathrm{C} F_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ ), -126.50 ( $s, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{C} F_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ ). IR (solid): $v=3003,2944,2925,2253,1679,1645,1596$, 1555, 1467, 1362, 1334, 1210, 1168, 117, 1083, 965. MS (ESI) m/z: $1441.05\left(\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{7}\right]^{+}\right.$,
$3 \%) ; 1400.02\left(\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{7}-\mathrm{MeCN}\right]^{+}, 1 \%\right) ; 1359.00\left(\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{7}-2 \mathrm{MeCN}\right]^{+}, 10 \%\right), 573.01$ ( $\left[\mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{7}-2 \mathrm{MeCN}\right]^{2+}, 100 \%$ ). HRMS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}$ : Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{FeN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : 573.0119. Found: 573.0114. Microanalysis: Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{66} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{~F}_{14} \mathrm{FeN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C: 47.93; H: 3.05; N: 5.08. Found: C: 47.90; H: 3.00; N: 5.33.
(Acetonitrile-кN)-(heptafluorobutyrate- $\kappa O)\left[\left(1 S_{p}\right)-2-[(4 R, 5 R)\right.$-4,5-dihydro -1-[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]-4,5-diphenyl-1 H-imidazol-2-yl-кN3]$1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$-pentaphenylferrocenyl- $\left.\kappa C\right]$-palladium(II) ( $\mathrm{FIP}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}$ )



FIP-CI
 $\xrightarrow{\substack{\mathrm{AgO}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7} / \mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}}}$



FIP- $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}$
$\mathrm{AgO}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}$ ( $22.5 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 2.0 equiv) was dissolved in acetonitrile ( $\sim 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the solution was stirred for a few min. The solvent was then removed by a constant stream of nitrogen. A solution of the precatalyst $[\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{C l}]_{2}\left(75.8 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL}$ per 5 mg silver salt) was added and the suspension was stirred for 20 h at room temperature. Afterwards the suspension was filtrated over celite, followed by filtration over silica, to completely remove silver traces, and the solvent was removed in vacuo at room temperature to give pure FIP$\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ as an orange-red solid ( $91.0 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, quant.).
$\mathbf{C}_{68} \mathbf{H}_{50} \mathbf{F}_{7} \mathrm{FeN}_{3} \mathbf{O}_{4} \mathbf{P d S}$, MW: $1300.46 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. Mp: decompostition $>200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+24.9$ ( $\mathrm{c}=0.10, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right.$ ) mixture of monomeric and dimeric form (ratio ~ 3.8:1): $\delta=7.52(d, J=7.8,2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.36-7.18 ( $m, 12 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.12-7.03 $(m, 18 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $6.98(t, J=7.8,3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 6.52-6.42 ( $m, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $6.27(d, J=7.8$, 1 H , arom. $H$ ), 5.78-5.69 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}-H$ ), 4.74-4.43 ( $m, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}-H$ and CHPh ), $2.48(s, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.17\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pd} \leftarrow \mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right), 1.91\left(s\right.$, free $\left.\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right)$. For pure ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the monomeric catalyst in presence of 100 equiv MeCN see "Spectroscopic Investigation of the Nature of FIP-O2CC3F7", S-123. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=169.2,144.0$, $138.4,137.2,133.0,132.7,130.7,130.4,128.7,128.5,127.2,126.5,126.2,126.1,126.0,125.8$,
$125.3,124.8,123.8,118.4,114.6,97.3,87.3,78.6,77.5,74.1,73.8,72.5,70.8,27.8,19.8,1.5$, $-1.9{ }^{19} \mathbf{F}$ NMR ( $235 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) : $\delta=-80.87\left(t, J=8.3,3 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right.$ ), -116.45 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{~F}$, $\mathrm{C} F_{2}$ ), -117.59 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{C} F_{2}$ ), -126.34 ( $s, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{C} F_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ ), -127.56 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{C} F_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ ). IR (film): $v$ $=3056,2323$, 1667, 1599, 1545, 1503, 1445, 1332, 1225, 1209, 1169, 1117, 1078, 964. MS (EI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}: 1045.17$ ( $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}-\mathrm{MeCN}\right]^{+}, 100 \%$ ), 819.16 ( $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}-\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{Ts}\right]^{+}, 25 \%$ ).

Microanalysis: Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{68} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{~F}_{7} \mathrm{FeN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{PdS}$ : C: 62.80; H: 3.88; N: 3.23. Found: C: 62.75; H: 3.81; N: 3.54.

## Synthesis of Enantioenriched Michael-Addition Products by Asymmetric Catalysis (3) ${ }^{\text {i }}$

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate (3aa)


( $R, R$ )-3aa
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-phenylacetate (1a, $400.2 \mathrm{mg}, 1.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 354.1 \mathrm{mg}, 3.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in the presence of FBIP$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}(30.4 \mathrm{mg}, 18.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield ( $\left.\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}\right)$-3aa ( $577.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%$, $\left.e e_{(R, R)}=94 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=65 \%, d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=89: 11\right)$ as a colorless oil. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ (99/1), 0.9 mL $\mathrm{min}^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(R, R)}=13.3 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(S, S)}=41.3 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 3}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $313.39 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+44.5\left(\mathrm{c}=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.54-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.43-7.36 ( m , 3 H , arom. $H$ ), 2.86-2.75 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.45-2.39 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.37-2.26 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.22$2.07\left(m, 3 H, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.92-1.64\left(m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right){ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{~ N R}\left(\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21\right.$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=208.6,165.2,133.0,129.2,129.0,126.3,116.9,85.0$, $60.6,45.2,44.6,40.8,27.9,25.8,24.0$. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=3001$, 2987, 2957, 2906, 2857, 2246, 1730, 1716, 1491, 1451, 1425, 1395, 1365, 1251, 1227, 1146, 1060, 1035.

[^0]
$(S, R)$-3aa
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-phenylacetate $1 \mathbf{1 a}(470.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.16 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one 2a ( $415.9 \mathrm{mg}, 4.33 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv) in the presence of FIP$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}(28.1 \mathrm{mg}, 22.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield (S,R$)$-3aa ( $609.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=$ $89 \%$, $\left.e e_{(R, R)}=33 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=71: 29\right)$ as a colorless oil. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}(99 / 1), 0.9 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(S, R)}=17.8 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(R, S)}=12.1 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 3}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $313.39 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+9.4\left(\mathrm{c}=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right.$, $21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.60-7.57(m, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.46-7.36 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 2.86-2.75 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.65-2.49 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.45-2.25 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.07-1.98 ( m , $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.63-1.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(75 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=208.9,165.6,132.3,129.3,129.1,126.2,116.8,84.9,60.5,45.2$, 42.5, 41.0, 28.2, 27.6, 24.3. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=2982,2964,2942$, 2876, 2247, 1729, 1708, 1494, 1449, 1392, 1369, 1251, 1233, 1145, 119, 1077, 1034.

rac-3aa
According to GP2 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-phenylacetate $1 \mathrm{a}(47.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with 2 -cyclohexen-1-one 2a ( $21.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether:EtOAc $=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded rac-3aa $(58.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$, $86 \%)$ as a colorless oil with a $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ of 43:57.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 3}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $313.39 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. MS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}: 336.16\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 100 \%\right), 259.12([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]^{+}$, 44\%). HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 336.1570$. Found: 336.1581.

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-2-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)acetate (3ba)


$(R, R)-3 \mathrm{ba}$
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 b}$ ( 40.1 mg , 0.14 mmol , 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( $27.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in the presence of $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}(1.16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) \mathbf{- 3 b a}(52.9 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%$, $\left.e e_{(R, R)}=85 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=52 \%, d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=76: 24\right)$ as a colorless solid. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel AS-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ (97:3), $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(R, R)}=8.4 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(S, S)}=30.4 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2} 2} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $381.39 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 106.3-107.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}} \boldsymbol{:}+21.6\left(\mathrm{c}=0.005, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.81(s, 1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $7.76(d, J=8.1,1 H$, arom. $H) 7.67(d, J=8.6,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H), 7.56(t, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H), 2.86-$ 2.75 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.47-2.29 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.27-2.09 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.94-1.66 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.45\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right.$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, S)$-diastereomer: $\delta=$ 208.3, 165.1, 133.5, 132.1, 131.7, 130.0, 129.6, 126.2 ( $m, C C C F_{3}$ ), 123.0 ( $m, C_{C C F}^{3}$ ), 116.2, 85.7, 60.4, 45.2, 42.5, 40.9, 28.1, 27.6, 24.1. ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $235 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=-62.70(s, 3 \mathrm{~F})$. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=$ 2958, 2244, 1732, 1716, 1444, 1367, 1326, 1253, 1228, 1163, 1149, 1126, 1078.

(S,R)-3ba
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 b} \quad(19.7 \mathrm{mg}$, $69 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with 2 -cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( $13.3 \mathrm{mg}, 13.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in the presence of $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}(0.90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) \mathbf{- 3 b a}(24.6 \mathrm{mg}$, $\left.0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=74 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=12 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=63: 37\right)$ as a colorless oil. The $d r$ and ee values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel AS-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ (97:3), $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(S, R)}=9.7 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(R, S)}=13.5 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $381.39 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+5.7\left(\mathrm{c}=0.26, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}$, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.86(s, 1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H), 7.83(d, J=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ) $7.69(d, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $7.58(t, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H)$, 2.85-2.75 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.65-2.50 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.46-2.26 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.11-2.00 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.62-1.49 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.38-1.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=208.0,164.6,134.2,132.0,131.6,129.9,126.0\left(m, \mathrm{CCCF}_{3}\right)$, $123.2\left(m, C C C F_{3}\right), 116.3,85.8,60.6,45.5,44.4,40.7,27.6,25.8,23.9 .{ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 235 MHz , $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=-62.69(s, 3 \mathrm{~F})$. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=2958,1727,1713,1446,1437,1371,1326,1259,1231,1166$, 1150, 1077.

rac-3ba
According to GP2 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)acetate 1b (146.4 mg, $0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with 2 -cyclohexen- 1 -one $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( $49.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether:EtOAc $=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded rac-3ba ( $170.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 87 \%$ ) as a colorless solid with a $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ of 45:55.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2} 2} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{M W}: 381.39 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. Mp: 69.1-69.3 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : Calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]^{-}$: 284.0898. Found: 284.0895. Microanalysis: Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ : C: 62.98; H: 5.81; N: 3.67. Found: C: 63.06; H: 5.85; N: 3.71.

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-bromophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)acetate (3ca)



According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-bromophenyl)acetate 1c ( $11.8 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( $7.7 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 2 equiv) in the presence of FBIP$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}(1.32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3ca $\left(14.8 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 94 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=\right.$ $\left.78 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=54 \%, d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=77: 23\right)$ as a colorless solid. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel AS-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\operatorname{PrOH}$ (97:3), $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(R, R)}=12.7 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(S, S)}=50.0 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2} 2} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{B r}$, MW: $392.29 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 134.7-135.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}} \boldsymbol{:}+20.9\left(\mathrm{c}=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.70(t, J=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.54-7.46 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.28-7.26 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. H), 2.81-2.70 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.46$2.26\left(m, 2 H, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.22-2.06\left(m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.91-1.64\left(m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$ ), $1.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=208.6,165.1,134.5$, 132.4, 130.8, 129.2, 124.8, 123.5, 116.3, 85.5, 60.1, 45.1, 42.5, 40.9, 28.1, 27.6, 24.2. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=3080,3057,2979,2941,2873,2245,1736,1712,1568$, 1476, 1419, 1392, 1369, 1253, 1229, 1149, 1079, 1060.

rac-3ca
According to GP2 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-bromophenyl)acetate 1c $(246.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one 2a ( $80.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether:EtOAc $=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded rac-3ca ( $276.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ ) as a colorless solid with a $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ of 45:55. The diastereomers were separated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 2}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{B r}$, MW: $392.29 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. Mp: 120.9-121.1 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.76(t, J=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $7.54(d d, J=7.9,1.9,2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.33-7.28 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. H), 2.81-2.70 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.64-2.56 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.51-2.41 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.36-2.25 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.10-2.01 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.56-1.47 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.44(s$, $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(S, R) /(R, S)$-diastereomer: $\delta=208.2$, 164.7, 135.2, 132.3, 130.7, 129.4, 125.0, 123.3, 116.4, 85.6, 60.2, 45.4, 44.4, 40.7, 27.6, 25.8, 23.9. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=3065,2978,2946,2873,2248,1726$, 1709, 1595, 1567, 1475, 1419, 1370, 1279, 1255, 1226, 1144, 1069. MS (EI) m/z: Found: 393.1 $\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 1 \%\right), 376.1\left(\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]^{+}, 17 \%\right), 290.0\left(\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} t-\mathrm{Bu}\right]^{+}, 8 \%\right), 97.1$ ([oxo-Cyclohexyl] ${ }^{+}$,
 3.57; Br: 20.37. Found: C: 58.10; H: 5.68; N: 3.52; Br: 20.15.

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)acetate (3da)


( $R, R$ )-3da
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-chlorophenyl)acetate 1d $(41.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( $30.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in the presence of $\mathbf{F B I P}_{\mathbf{-}}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7} \quad(1.35 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.32 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, \quad 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3da $(54.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $\left.0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 97 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=87 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=78 \%, d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=83: 17\right)$ as a colorless solid. The
$d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel AS-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ (97:3), 1 mL min , detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(R, R)}=11.5 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(S, S)}=45.3 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 2}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C l}$, MW: $347.84 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 124.5-125.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}} \boldsymbol{:}+32.4\left(\mathrm{c}=0.26, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.54(b, 1 \mathrm{H}, o-H), 7.44$ ( $d t, J=6.8,2.1,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.39-7.34 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 2.82-2.71 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.47-2.40 $\left.\left(m, 1 H, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.37-2.26(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})_{2}\right), 2.23-2.06\left(m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.91-1.64\left(m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.45(s$, 9H, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=208.6$, $165.1,135.4,134.3,130.5,129.5,126.4,124.3,116.3,85.4,60.2,45.1,42.5,40.9,28.1,27.6$, 24.2. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=2982,2958,2934,2869,2245,1740$, 1714, 1596, 1574, 1476, 1423, 1372, 1251, 1229, 1150, 1085, 1061, 1038.

( $S, R$ )-3da
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-chlorophenyl)acetate 1d ( $10.2 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( $7.8 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 2 equiv) in the presence of FIP$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}(0.26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) \mathbf{- 3 d a}\left(14.1 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 99 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=\right.$ $\left.77 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=7 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=50: 50\right)$ as a colorless solid. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel AS-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}(97: 3), 1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(S, R)}=14.0 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(R, S)}=18.9 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 2}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C l}$, MW: $347.84 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 103.1-103.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+7.3\left(\mathrm{c}=0.22, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.61(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, o-H), 7.53-$ $7.48(m, 1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.40-7.34 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 2.82-2.71 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.64-2.56 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.48-2.41 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.37-2.26 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.10-2.00 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.65-1.47 ( m , $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$ diastereomer: $\delta=208.2,164.7,135.3,135.0,130.4,129.4,126.6,124.5,116.4,85.6,60.3,45.4$,
44.4, 40.7, 27.6, 25.8, 23.9. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=3070,2980,2934$, 2874, 2250, 1725, 1710, 1594, 1574, 1478, 1422, 1371, 1279, 1256, 1226, 1145, 1069.

rac-3da
According to GP2 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-chlorophenyl)acetate 1d ( $141.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( $53.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether:EtOAc $=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded rac-3da $(157.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%)$ as a colorless solid with a $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ of $42: 58$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2} 2} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C l}$, MW: $347.84 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 109.7-110.1{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS (ESI) m/z: Found: 370.12 $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, \quad 100 \%\right), \quad 314.05 \quad\left(\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CH})_{3}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}, \quad 53 \%\right) . \quad$ Microanalysis: Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}: \mathrm{C}: 65.61 ; \mathrm{H}: 6.38 ; \mathrm{N}: 4.03$; Cl: 10.19. Found: C: 65.32; H: 6.34; $\mathrm{N}: 3.97 ; \mathrm{Cl}$ : 10.49 .

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate (3ea)


$(R, R)$-3ea
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-methoxyphenyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 e}(40.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( $31.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in the presence of $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}(2.72 \mathrm{mg}, 1.65 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield ( $\left.\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}\right)$-3ea ( $54.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%$, $\left.e e_{(R, R)}=93 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=72 \%, d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=89: 11\right)$ as a colorless solid. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel AS-H, $n$-hexane $/ i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH}\left(97: 3\right.$ ), $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(R, R)}=16.8 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(S, S)}=48.3 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{4}}$, MW: $343.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 118.3-118.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+25.8\left(\mathrm{c}=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.30(t, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}, o-$ $H), 7.09(d d d, J=7.9,1.8,0.6,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $7.06(t, J=2.3,2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $6.89(d d d, J=8.3$, 2.3, $0.6,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $3.81\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.82-2.71(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.45-2.39\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 2.36-2.25 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.21-2.07 ( $m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.98-1.64 ( $\left.m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\right)_{2}$ ), $1.44\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=209.1,165.5,160.2$, 133.7, 130.3, 118.2, 116.8, 114.3, 112.1, 84.9, 60.4, 55.3, 45.0, 42.5, 41.0, 28.2, 27.6, 24.3. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=2981,2951,2244,1733,1712,1600,1497,1448$, 1369, 1296, 1250, 1230, 1149, 1036.

(S,R)-3ea
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-methoxyphenyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 e}$ ( $19.8 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}(15.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in the presence of $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}(0.52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) to yield ( $\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{R}$ )-3ea ( $20.3 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 74 \%$, $\left.e e_{(S, R)}=90 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=46 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=68: 32\right)$ as a colorless oil. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel AS-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}(97: 3), 1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(S, R)}=24.6 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(R, S)}=19.6 \mathrm{~min}$. The minor diastereomer was partially removed by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{4}}$, MW: $343.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:++5.8\left(\mathrm{c}=0.21, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right.$, $21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer $[(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer]: $\delta=7.32(q, J=7.8,1 \mathrm{H}, o-$ $H)$, 7.18-7.05 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $6.90\left(t d d, J=7.9,2.3,0.6,1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, arom. $H$ ), $3.83\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, [3.82 ( $\left.s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ ], 2.82-2.72 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H$ ), 2.64-2.52 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.48-2.39 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.36-2.25 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.21-1.98 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.96-1.64 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.54-1.46 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), [1.44 ( $\left.\left.s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right], 1.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(63 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right.$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer $[(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer]: $\delta=[209.1], 208.7,[165.1], 160.3,134.5$, [130.3], 130.2, 118.5, [118.3], 116.9, [114.3], 114.2, 112.3, [112.1], 85.0, 60.6, 55.4, 45.3, [45.1], 44.6, [42.6], 40.8, [28.2], 27.6, 25.8, [24.3], 24.1. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$ -
diastereomer: $v=3003,2981,2957,2868,2242,1735,1711,1608,1585,1489,1444,1369$, 1297, 1248, 1230, 1201, 1147, 1041.

rac-3ea
According to GP2 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-methoxyphenyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 e}(249.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2 -cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( $96.9 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether:EtOAc $=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded rac-3ea ( $321.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%$ ) as a colorless oil with a $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ of $38: 62$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{4}}$, MW: $343.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}$ : Calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}: 366.1681$. Found: 366.1677. Microanalysis: Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : C: 69.95; H: 7.34; N: 4.08. Found: C: 69.71; H: 7.31; N: 4.06.

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-2-(m-tolyl)-acetate (3fa)


$(R, R)$-3fa
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-( $m$-tolyl)acetate $1 f(41.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}(34.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in the presence of FBIP$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}(2.93 \mathrm{mg}, 1.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield ( $\left.\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}\right) \mathbf{- 3 f a}\left(49.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=\right.$ $\left.95 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=71 \%, d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=87: 13\right)$ as a colorless solid. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\operatorname{PrOH}\left(99.5 / 0.5\right.$ ), $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(R, R)}=14.4 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(S, S)}=35.5 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $327.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 103.9-104.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+31.1\left(\mathrm{c}=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.31-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom.
$H), 7.16\left(d, J=6.6,1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, arom. $H$ ), 2.84-2.73 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.45-2.39 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.34-2.25 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.22-2.06 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.93-1.64 ( $m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.43 ( $s, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=209.2,165.7$, 139.2, 132.1, 129.9, 129.1, 126.6, 123.0, 116.9, 84.8, 60.4, 44.9, 42.6, 41.0, 28.2, 27.6, 24.3, 21.5. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=2969,2956,2930,2864,2245,1732$, 1712, 1605, 1450, 1419, 1390, 1368, 1251, 1227, 1150, 1058, 1041.

( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{R}$ )-3fa
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-( $m$-tolyl)acetate $1 \mathbf{f}(20.3 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one 2a ( $16.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv) in the presence of FIP$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}(0.57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) \mathbf{- 3 f a}\left(19.6 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 68 \%\right.$, $e e_{(S, R)}=$ $\left.91 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=60 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=63: 37\right)$ as a colorless oil. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}\left(99.5 / 0.5\right.$ ), $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(S, R)}=16.0 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(R, S)}=12.8 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $327.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .\left[\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{:}\right.$ : $+14.7\left(\mathrm{c}=0.27, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}$, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.38-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H), 7.30(t, J=$ 7.7, 1 H , arom. $H$ ), $7.19(d, J=7.1,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H), 2.84-2.73(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})$, 2.65-2.59 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.57-2.48 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), 2.36-2.25 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.09-1.98 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.63-1.45 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right.$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=208.8,165.2,139.1,132.9,129.7,129.0,126.9,123.2,117.0$, 84.9, 60.5, 45.2, 44.6, 40.8, 27.6, 25.8, 24.1, 21.6. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v$ = 2979, 2935, 2876, 2242, 1718, 1606, 1446, 1367, 1284, 1257, 1232, 1148, 1072.

rac-3fa
According to GP2 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-( $m$-tolyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 f}(260.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with 2 -cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}(108.1 \mathrm{mg}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether:EtOAc $=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded $\boldsymbol{r a c} \mathbf{- 3 f a}(189.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mg}$, $52 \%$ ) as a colorless solid with a $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ of 38:62.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $327.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{-1}$. Mp: 87.4-87.7 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}:$ Calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}: 350.1727$. Found: 350.1727. Microanalysis: Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : C:73.37; H: 7.70; N: 4.28. Found: C: 73.31; H: 7.69; N: 4.22.

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate (3ga)



According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-( $m$-tolyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 f}$ ( $39.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one 2a ( $32.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv) in the presence of FBIP$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}(1.38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.84 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield ( $\left.\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}\right) \mathbf{- 3 g a}(54.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 97 \%$, $\left.e e_{(R, R)}=92 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=65 \%, d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=90: 10\right)$ as a colorless solid. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel AD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ (99:1), 1.2 mL $\mathrm{min}^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(R, R)}=27.4 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(S, S)}=34.4 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 2}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}$, MW: $331.38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 143.9-144.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+27.8\left(\mathrm{c}=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.53(d, J=9.0,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ) $, \delta=7.52(d, J=9.0,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H), 7.11(d, J=8.8,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H), 7.09(d, J=8.8,1 \mathrm{H}$,
arom. $H$ ), 2.82-2.71 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.46-2.40 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.37-2.26 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.23-2.14 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.12-2.05 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.91-1.82 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.78-1.64 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.44 ( $s$, 9H, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=208.7$, 165.5, 163.0 ( $d, J=251.9, C \mathrm{~F}), 128.11$ ( $m, C$ CCCF $), 128.10(d, J=8.3, C C C F), 116.7,116.3(d$, $J=22.2, C C F), 85.2,59.8,45.1,42.5,40.9,28.2,27.6,24.3 .{ }^{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{N M R}\left(235 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21\right.$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=-112.23(m, 1 \mathrm{~F})$. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$ diastereomer: $v=2959,2940,2873,2248,1727,1714,1604,1506,1412,1369,1256,1228$, 1149, 1014.

( $S, R$ )-3ga
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-( $m$-tolyl)acetate 1 f ( $20.4 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}(16.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in the presence of FIP$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}(1.13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.87 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) \mathbf{- 3 g a}\left(26.5 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 92 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=\right.$ $\left.90 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=7 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=77: 23\right)$ as a colorless solid. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel AD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\operatorname{PrOH}$ ( $99: 1$ ), $1.2 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(S, R)}=14.4 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(R, S)}=13.2 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 2}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}$, MW: $331.38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 115.3-115.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+11.0\left(\mathrm{c}=0.23, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.59(d, J=9.0,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H), \delta=7.57(d, J=9.0,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H), 7.13(d, J=8.8,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H), 7.11(d, J=8.8,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 2.83-2.71 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.64-2.47 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.46-2.25 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.16-1.99 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.64-1.46 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.43\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=208.4,165.1,162.9(d, J=251.9, C \mathrm{~F}), 128.8(d, J=3.3$, $C C C C F), 128.2$ ( $d, J=8.6, C C C F), 116.7,116.2$ ( $d, J=21.8, C$ CF), 85.3, 59.9, 45.4, 44.5, 40.8, 30.9, 27.6, 25.7, 24.0. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{F}$ NMR ( $235 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta$ $=-112.35(m, 1 F)$. IR (solid) of the $(\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=2974,2932,2880,2245$, 1728, 1715, 1606, 1508, 1413, 1369, 1256, 1239, 1228, 1149, 1014.

rac-3ga
According to GP2 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-fluorophenyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 g}(252.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one 2a ( $103.0 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 1.07 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether:EtOAc $=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded rac-3ga ( $222.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 63 \%$ ) as a colorless solid with a $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ of 37:63.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 2}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}$, MW: $331.38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. Mp: 125.8-126.4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~F}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}: 354.1476$. Found: 354.1476. Microanalysis: Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~F}$ : C : 68.86; H: 6.69; N: 4.23. Found: C: 68.61; H: 6.62; N: 4.16.

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate (3ha)


( $R, R$ )-3ha
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 h}(40.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one 2a ( $31.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in the presence of $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7} \quad(1.33 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.81 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) \mathbf{- 3 h a}(55.1 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%$, $\left.e e_{(R, R)}=99 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=64 \%, d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=88: 12\right)$ as a colorless solid. The $d r$ value was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel AD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ (99.5:0.5), $2 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(R, R)}=21.7 \mathrm{~min}$, $t_{(S, S)}=26.2 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \%\right.$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ).

Constitution and relative configuration of 3ha was confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis. The $(S, S) /(R, R)$-configured diastereomer 3ha crystallized preferentially in racemic form (from a sample with $\left.e e_{(R, R)}=99 \%\right)$ in $n$-hexane $/ i \operatorname{PrOH}$ at room temperature. CCDC 856194 contains the
supplementary crystallographic data for this compound. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.


X-ray crystal structure of 3ha [color code: C (grey); Cl (green); N (blue); O (red); H (white)].
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 2}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C l}$, MW: $347.84 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 148.1-148.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+36.6\left(\mathrm{c}=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.48(d, J=8.4,2 \mathrm{H}, m$ $H), 7.38(d, J=8.9,2 \mathrm{H}, o-H), 2.78-2.72(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.44-2.41\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.34-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.23-2.17 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.14-2.06 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.87-1.80 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.72(q t, J=$ $\left.13.2,3.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.44\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 2 \mathbf{2 1}^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$ diastereomer: $\delta=208.6,165.3,135.4,130.9,129.5,127.5,116.5,85.3,60.0,45.1,42.5,40.9$, 28.2, 27.6, 24.3. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=2937,2869,2242,1735,1708$, 1493, 1454, 1403, 1370, 1252, 1230, 1150, 1095, 1013.

$(S, R)$-3ha
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 h}$ ( $10.2 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one 2a ( $7.79 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 2 equiv) in the presence of FIP$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}(0.53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3ha $\left(14.1 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=\right.$ $\left.83 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=55 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=84: 16\right)$ as a colorless oil. The $d r$ value was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel AD-H, $n$-hexane/i$\operatorname{PrOH}(99.5: 0.5), 2 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(S, R)}=15.3 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(R, S)}=12.6 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2} 2} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C l}$, MW: $347.84 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+8.8\left(\mathrm{c}=0.06, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.54(d, J=8.7,2 \mathrm{H}, m-H), 7.41(d, J=8.3$, $2 \mathrm{H}, o-H), 2.78-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.61-2.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.54-2.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.44-2.41(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})_{2}$, 2.34-2.27 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.04-2.01 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.52-1.46 ( $m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.43(s, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=208.3,164.9$, $135.3,129.4,127.7,85.5,60.1,45.3,44.5,40.7,27.6,25.8,24.0$. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$ diastereomer: $v=2965,2223,1731,1715,1494,1410,1370,1257,1232,1148,1095,1016$.

rac-3ha
According to GP2 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 h}$ ( $258.5 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one 2a ( $98.7 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether:EtOAc $=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded rac-3ha ( $320.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ ) as a colorless solid with a $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ of 42:58.
$\mathbf{C}_{19} \mathbf{H}_{22} \mathbf{N O}_{3} \mathbf{C l}$, MW: $347.84 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. Mp: $140.5-141.1{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}: 370.1180$. Found: 370.1175. Microanalysis: Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}: \mathrm{C}$ : 65.61; H: 6.38; N: 4.03. Found: C: 65.27; H: 6.33; N: 3.97.

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-bromophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate

 (3ia)
$(R, R)$-3ia
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-bromophenyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 i}$ ( $40.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( $26.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in the presence
of $\mathbf{F B I P}_{\mathbf{2}}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7} \quad(1.14 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.69 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, \quad 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) \mathbf{- 3 i a} \quad(53.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%$, $\left.e e_{(R, R)}=97 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=62 \%, d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=86: 14\right)$ as a colorless solid. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel AD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ (99.5/0.5), $1.2 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(R, R)}=51.3 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(S, S)}=56.9 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

Constitution and relative configuration of 3ia was confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis. The $(S, S) /(R, R)$-configured diastereomer 3ia crystallized preferentially in racemic form (from a sample with $e e_{(R, R)}=90 \%$ ) in diethylether at room temperature. CCDC 856190 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this compound. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.


X-ray crystal structure of 3ia [color code: C (grey); Br (brown); N (blue); O (red); H (white)]. One included diethylether molecule per unit cell is omitted for clarity.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 2}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{B r}$, MW: $392.29 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 155.6-156.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}} \boldsymbol{:}+29.5\left(\mathrm{c}=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.54(d, J=7.9,2 \mathrm{H}, m-$ $H), 7.41(d, J=8.5,2 \mathrm{H}, o-H), 2.78-2.72(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.44-2.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.35-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.20-2.17 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.14-2.06 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.87-1.80 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.72(q t, J=$ $\left.13.4,3.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.44\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right){ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 2 \mathbf{2 1}^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$ diastereomer: $\delta=208.6,165.3,132.5,131.4,127.8,123.5,116.4,85.4,60.0,42.0,42.5,40.9$, 28.1, 27.6, 24.3. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=2937,2868,2244,1733,1710$, 1489, 1451, 1397, 1370, 1252, 1229, 1149, 1078, 1009.

$(S, R)-3 \mathrm{ia}$
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-bromophenyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 i}$ ( $9.86 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with 2 -cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}(6.40 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 2 equiv) in the presence of FIP$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}(0.22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield $(\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) \mathbf{- 3 i a}\left(12.8 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=\right.$ $\left.87 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=23 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=63: 37\right)$ as a colorless oil. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel AD-H, $n$-hexane/i-PrOH (99.5/0.5), $1.2 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(S, R)}=36.8 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(R, S)}=27.8 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2} 2} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{B r}$, MW: $392.29 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:-2.4\left(\mathrm{c}=0.21, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.56(d, J=8.7,2 \mathrm{H}, m-H), 7.47(d, J=8.7$, $2 \mathrm{H}, o-H), 2.78-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.61-2.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.55-2.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.44-2.41(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.35-2.27 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.21-2.08 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.05-2.01 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.90-1.68 $\left.\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.52-1.46\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right){ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 1 2 5 ~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=208.3,164.8,132.5,132.4,129.3,128.0,116.5,85.5,60.2$, $45.3,44.5,40.7,27.6,25.8,24.0$. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=3074,3004$, 2968, 2928, 2867, 2244, 1728, 1715, 1491, 1450, 1405, 1370, 1255, 1232, 1149, 1076, 1012.

rac-3ia
According to GP2 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-bromophenyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 i}$ ( $255.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one 2a ( $82.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether:EtOAc $=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded rac-3ia ( $283.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ ) as a colorless oil with a $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ of 40:60.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{B r}$, MW: $392.29 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. Mp: 143.0-143.4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2}\right]^{-}$: 264.0130. Found: 294.0129. Microanalysis: Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Br}$ : C: 58.17; H: 5.65; N: 3.57. Found: C: 58.44; H: 5.65; N: 3.57.

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-2-(p-tolyl)-acetate (3ja)


$(R, R)-3 \mathrm{ja}$
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(p-tolyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 j}(41.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one 2a ( $34.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv) in the presence of FBIP$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}(2.93 \mathrm{mg}, 1.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield ( $\left.\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}\right) \mathbf{- 3 j a}\left(44.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=\right.$ $\left.94 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=76 \%, d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=92: 08\right)$ as a colorless solid. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel AS-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}(99: 1), 1.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(R, R)}=10.8 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(S, S)}=43.2 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $327.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. Mp: 114.4-115.4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+35.3\left(\mathrm{c}=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.39(d, J=8.3,2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $7.19\left(d, J=8.0,2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, arom. $H$ ), 2.82-2.72 $(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.44-2.38\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.35$ ( $s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.32-2.25 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.21-2.15 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.10-2.06 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.93$1.64\left(m, 3 H, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right){ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$ diastereomer: $\delta=209.2,165.8,139.1,130.0,129.3,125.9,117.0,84.7,60.1,44.9,42.6,41.0$, 28.2, 27.6, 24.3, 21.0. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=2958,2924,2863,2248$, 1718, 1511, 1447, 1369, 1316, 1258, 1227, 1148, 1059, 1043.

$(S, R)-3 \mathrm{ja}$
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-( $p$-tolyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 j}$ ( $20.3 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one 2a ( $16.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the presence of $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ $(0.57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield (S,R)-3ja ( $26.5 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=99 \%$, $\left.e e_{(R, R)}=32 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=76: 24\right)$ as a colorless solid. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel AS-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}(99: 1), 1.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(S, R)}=20.0 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(R, S)}=17.2 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $327.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 131.9-132.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+9.7\left(\mathrm{c}=0.20, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.46(d, J=8.3,2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $7.22\left(d, J=8.2,2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, arom. $H$ ), 2.82-2.72 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.64-2.48 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.44$2.39\left(m, 1 H, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.37\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.33-2.24\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.05-1.97\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.55-$ $1.45\left(m, 3 H, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$ diastereomer: $\delta=208.8,165.3,139.0,130.0,129.9,126.1,117.0,84.9,60.3,45.2,44.6,40.8$, 27.6, 25.8, 24.0, 21.0. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=2970,2928,2870,2243$, 1729, 1714, 1514, 1451,1371, 1253, 1231, 1149, 1017.

rac-3ja
According to GP2 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(p-tolyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 j}$ ( $260.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}(108.1 \mathrm{mg}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether:EtOAc $=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded $\boldsymbol{r a c} \mathbf{- 3 j a}(162.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$, $44 \%)$ as a colorless solid with a $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ of 38:62.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $327.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. Mp: 102.0-102.6 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}: 350.1727$. Found: 350.1727. Microanalysis: Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}: 73.37$; H: 7.70; N: 4.28. Found: C: 73.21; H: 7.61; N: 4.24.

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(2-fluorophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate (3ka)


(S,R)-3ka
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(2-fluorophenyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 k}$ ( $9.93 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one 2a ( $8.12 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 2 equiv) in the presence of FIP$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}(0.55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) \mathbf{- 3 k a}\left(6.12 \mathrm{mg}, 18.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 44 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=\right.$ $\left.81 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=50 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=57: 43\right)$ as a colorless solid. The $d r$ value was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \%\right.$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). The $e e$ value of the major diastereomer was determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel AS-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}(97: 3), 1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, \quad t_{(S, R)}=20.8 \mathrm{~min}$, $t_{(R, S)}=28.2 \mathrm{~min}$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2} 2} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}$, MW: $331.38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 72.9-73.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+8.3\left(\mathrm{c}=0.10, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.56(t d, J=7.9,1.6$, 1 H , arom. $H$ ), 7.44-7.37 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $7.22(t d, J=7.6,1.1,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.16-7.07 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 3.09-2.96 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.75-2.68 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.56-2.51 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.47-2.40 ( m , $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})_{2}$, 2.34-2.21 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.10-2.04 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.78-1.49 ( $m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $63 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=208.7,164.0$, 159.0 ( $d, J=251.7, C F), 131.0(d, J=8.7, C C C F), 129.6(d, J=2.5, C C C C F), 124.8(d, J=3.3$, $C$ CCF $), 120.7$ ( $d, J=11.9, C$ CF), 116.9 ( $d, J=22.8, C$ CF), 116.5, 85.1, 57.3, 44.3, 42.2, 40.8, $31.0,27.5,26.8,24.1 .{ }^{19} \mathbf{F}$ NMR ( $235 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(S, R) /(\boldsymbol{R}, S)$-diastereomer $[(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer]: $\delta=-110.41$ ( $m, 1 \mathrm{~F}$ ), [-110.91 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{~F}$ )]. IR (solid) of the $(S, R) /(\boldsymbol{R}, S)$-diastereomer: $v=2971,2942,2872,2246,1730,1706,1613,1492,1456,1371$, 1327, 1258, 1233, 1202, 1153, 1076.


According to GP2 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(2-fluorophenyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 k}$ ( $151.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2 -cyclohexen- 1 -one $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( $67.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether:EtOAc $=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded colorless, oily rac-3ka ( $106.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 46 \%$ ) as a colorless oil with a $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ of $36: 64$. The diastereomers were separated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 2}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}$, MW: $331.38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(R, R) /(S, S)$ diastereomer: $\delta=7.57(t d, J=7.8,1.6,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.43-7.35 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.25-7.19 $\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, arom. $H$ ), 7.14-7.07 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 3.07-2.96 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H$ ), 2.46-2.41 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.35-2.27 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.21-2.15 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.10-2.04 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.87-1.56 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=208.9,164.1,159.6(d, J=251.7, C \mathrm{~F}), 131.6(d, J=8.7, C C C F), 129.5(d, J=2.5, C C C C F)$ 124.9 ( $d, J=3.3, C$ CCF), 120.6 ( $d, J=11.9, C$ CF), 116.9 ( $d, J=22.8, C \mathrm{CF}$ ), 116.5, 85.0, 57.3, 57.2, 43.4, 41.8, 41.7, 41.0, 28.2, 27.5, 24.2. ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $235 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=-110.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~F})$. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=$ 2968, 2953, 2883, 2239, 1744, 1708, 1490, 1450, 1370, 1244, 1228, 1148, 1103, 1066, 1000. MS (ESI) m/z: 354.15 ([M+Na] ${ }^{+}$, 100\%). HRMS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}:$ Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 354.1476$. Found: 354.1489.

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-fluorophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate (3la)


(S,R)-3la
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-fluorophenyl)acetate 11 ( $10.1 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one 2a ( $8.23 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 2 equiv) in the presence of FIP-
$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}(0.56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R})$-31a $\left(14.1 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \mathrm{mmol}, 99, e e_{(S, R)}=85 \%\right.$, $\left.e e_{(R, R)}=18 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=69: 31\right)$ as a colorless solid. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel AS-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ ( $97: 3$ ), $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(S, R)}=13.7 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(R, S)}=15.6 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 2}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}$, MW: $331.38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 109.8-110.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+10.1\left(\mathrm{c}=0.10, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.43-7.38(m, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.35-7.31 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.14-7.07 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 2.82-2.71 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.64-2.52 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.48-2.41 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.37-2.25 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.08-1.99 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.65$1.47\left(m, 3 H, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$ diastereomer: $\delta=208.3,164.7,163.0(d, J=247.7, C F), 135.5$ ( $d, J=7.3, C C C F), 130.8(d$, $J=8.4, C$ CCF $), 122.1(d, J=2.8, C C C C F), 116.5,116.2(d, J=20.7, C$ CF $), 113.7(d, J=24.1$, CCF), 85.5, 60.3, 45.4, 44.5, 40.7, 27.6, 25.8, 24.0. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{F}$ NMR ( $235 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=-110.54$. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=2975$, 2941, 2876, 2856, 1730, 1716, 1614, 1589, 1489, 1444, 1392, 1369, 1283, 1249, 1231, 1200, $1145,1069$.

rac-3la
According to GP2 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-fluorophenyl)acetate 11 ( $249.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( $101.7 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether:EtOAc $=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded rac-3la ( $199.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 57 \%$ ) as a colorless oil with a $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ of $43: 57$. The diastereomers were separated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{19} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N O}_{3} \mathbf{F}$, MW: $331.38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(R, R) /(S, S)$ diastereomer: $\delta=7.43-7.25(m, 3 H$, arom. $H$ ), $7.09(t d d, J=8.1,2.3,1.0,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 2.82$2.71(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.46-2.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.37-2.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.22-2.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 1.90-1.82 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.79-1.58 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 7 5 ~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$,
$21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=208.6,165.2,163.0(d, J=247.7, C \mathrm{~F}), 134.7$ ( $d$, $J=7.3, C$ CCF $), 130.9(d, J=8.4, C C C F), 121.9(d, J=2.8, C C C C F), 116.4,116.3(d, J=20.7$, $C$ CF), 113.6 ( $d, J=24.1, C$ CF) , 85.4, 60.20, 60.18, 45.1, 42.5, 40.9, 28.1, 27.6, 24.2. ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $235 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, S)$-diastereomer: $\delta=-110.39$. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=3077,2979,2931,2869,2245,1737,1715,1614,1591,1492$, 1444, 1392, 1369, 1250, 1230, 1150, 1115, 1060. MS (ESI) m/z: 354.15 ([M+Na] ${ }^{+}$, 100\%). HRMS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}:$ Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 354.1476$. Found: 354.1481.

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate (3ma)


(S,R)-3ma
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)acetate 1m ( $40.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( $32.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in the presence of $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7} \quad(1.07 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.82 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, \quad 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3ma $(49.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $\left.0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=89 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=98 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=80: 20\right)$ as a colorless oil. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC after reduction of the keto-group with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (see following procedure, 6ma, S-57).
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{4}}$, MW: $343.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+19.2\left(\mathrm{c}=0.11, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.

rac-3ma
According to GP2 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)acetate 1 m ( $246.6 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2 -cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( $95.9 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether:EtOAc $=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded rac-3ma
( $105.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 31 \%$ ) as a colorless oil with a $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ of $37: 63$. The mixture of diastereomers is inseparable by column chromatography.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{4}}$, MW: $343.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{-1} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right.$ ) mixture of diastereomers $\left((R, R) /(S, S)\right.$ is marked with *, $(S, R) /(R, S)$ with $\left.{ }^{\#}\right): \delta=7.49^{\#}(d, J=8.9,2 \mathrm{H}, o-H)$, $7.43^{*}(d, J=8.9,2 \mathrm{H}, o-H), 6.94-6.89(m, 2 \mathrm{H}, m-H), 3.83^{\#}\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.82^{*}\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 2.81-2.70 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.63-2.47 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.46-2.25 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.21-2.13 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.11-1.99 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.95-1.68 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.43^{*}\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.42^{\#}\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) mixture of diastereomers $((R, R) /(S, S)$ is marked with *, $(S, R) /(R, S)$ with $\left.{ }^{\#}\right): \delta=209.2^{*}, 208.7^{\#}, 165.9^{*}, 165.4^{\#}, 160.0^{*}, 159.9^{\#}, 127.5^{\#}, 127.3^{*}, 124.9^{\#}$, $124.1^{*}, 117.1^{\#}, 117.0^{*}, 114.6^{*}, 114.5^{\#}, 84.9^{\#}, 84.7^{*}, 59.9^{\#}, 59.8^{*}, 55.4,45.2^{\#}, 44.9^{*}, 42.6,41.0^{*}$, $40.8^{\#}, 28.2^{*}, 27.6,25.8^{\#}, 24.4^{*}, 24.1^{\#}$. IR (film): $v=2939,1732,1713,1608,1510,1459,1370$, $1249,1148,1031$. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:$ Calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}: 366.1681$. Found: 366.1677. Microanalysis: Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : C: 69.95; H: 7.34; N: 4.08. Found: C: 69.71; H: 7.31; N: 4.06.

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclopentyl)-2-phenylacetate (3ab)


( $R, R$ )-3ab
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-phenylacetate $\mathbf{1 a}(20.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with 2-cyclopenten-1-one 2b ( $15.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv) in the presence of $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ $(1.64 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield ( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3ab $\left(29.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=90 \%\right.$, $\left.e e_{(S, R)}=52 \%, d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=82: 18\right)$ as a colorless oil. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel OD-H, $n$-hexane $i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH}\left(99 / 1\right.$ ), $2 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, detection at 210 $\mathrm{nm}, t_{(R, R)}=9.8 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(S, S)}=38.0 \mathrm{~min}$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 8}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 1}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{M W}: 299.36 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+40.1\left(\mathrm{c}=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.

$(S, R)-3 a b$
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-phenylacetate $1 \mathbf{1 a}$ ( $20.8 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclopenten-1-one $\mathbf{2 b}(15.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in the presence of FIP$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}(0.62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3ab $\left(28.5 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=\right.$ $\left.90 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=42 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=80: 20\right)$ as a colorless oil. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}(99 / 1), 2 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(S, R)}=15.5 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(R, S)}=11.6 \mathrm{~min}$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 8}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 1}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $299.36 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:++66.3\left(\mathrm{c}=0.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.

rac-3ab
According to GP2 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-phenylacetate $1 \mathbf{1 a}(251.8 \mathrm{mg}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2 -cyclopenten-1-one $\mathbf{2 b}(95.2 \mathrm{mg}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether:EtOAc $=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded $\boldsymbol{r a c}$ - $\mathbf{3 a b}$ ( $254.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol}$, $73 \%)$ as a colorless oil with a $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ of 42:58. The mixture of diastereomers is inseparable by column chromatography.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 8}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 1}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $299.36 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{-1} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) mixture of diastereomers $\left((R, R) /(S, S)\right.$ is marked with *, $(S, R) /(R, S)$ with $\left.{ }^{\#}\right)$ : $\delta=7.61-7.52(m, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.47-7.37 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 3.32-3.13 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.66-2.48 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.45-2.26 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.23-1.90 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.79-1.64 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.45^{\#}\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.43^{*}\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) mixture of diastereomers $((R, R) /(S, S)$ is marked with *, $(S, R) /(R, S)$ with $\left.{ }^{\#}\right): \delta=215.5^{*}, 215.0^{\#}, 165.6,133.7^{\#}, 133.2^{*}, 129.2^{*}, 129.1^{\#}, 126.0^{*}, 125.8^{\#}$, 116.9, 85.0, $59.5^{*}, 59.4^{\#}, 44.0^{\#}, 43.8^{*}, 41.9^{*}, 40.6^{\#}, 38.4^{\#}, 38.0^{*}, 27.6^{*}, 27.5,26.2^{\#}, 24.9$. IR (film): $v=2979,1736,1450,1370,1254,1148,1034$. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:$ Calc. for
$\left[\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}: 322.1414$. Found: 322.1423. Microanalysis: Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : $\mathrm{C}: 72.22$; H: 7.07; N: 4.68. Found: C: 71.92; H: 7.12; N: 4.51.

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocycloheptyl)-2-phenylacetate (3ac)


( $R, R$ )-3ac
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-phenylacetate $1 \mathbf{1 a}(9.40 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohepten-1-one 2c $(9.53 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 2 equiv) in the presence of FBIP$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}(1.43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.87 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield ( $\left.\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}\right)$-3ac ( $10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 31 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 71 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=$ $\left.85 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=52 \%, d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=86: 14\right)$ as a colorless oil. The $d r$ value was determined by ${ }^{1}$ H NMR. The $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel OD-H, $n$-hexane/i$\operatorname{PrOH}(99 / 1), 2 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(R, R)}=5.6 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(S, S)}=7.0 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $\left.327.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+42.9\left(\mathrm{c}=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right).\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: 7.58-7.54 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.45-7.37 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 2.88-2.79 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.53-2.40 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.12-1.96 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.74-1.48 ( m , $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$ diastereomer: $\delta=211.6,166.0,133.0,129.3,129.1,126.3,116.8,84.8,61.1,44.7,43.6,42.6$, 34.2, 28.7, 27.6, 24.4.

(S,R)-3ac
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-phenylacetate 1a ( $10.4 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohepten-1-one 2c ( $10.6 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2$ equiv) in the presence of FIP$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}(2.50 \mathrm{mg}, 1.92 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) \mathbf{- 3 a c}\left(7.54 \mathrm{mg}, 23 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 48 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=\right.$
$\left.72 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=23 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=58: 42\right)$ as a colorless oil. The $d r$ value was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel OD-H, $n$-hexane/i$\mathrm{PrOH}(99 / 1), 2 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(S, R)}=6.3 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(R, S)}=32.2 \mathrm{~min}$. The minor diastereomer could not be removed by column chromatography.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $327.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}} \boldsymbol{:}+13.0\left(\mathrm{c}=0.05, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.

rac-3ac
According to GP2 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-phenylacetate $1 \mathbf{1 a}(257.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohepten-1-one 2c ( $130.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether:EtOAc $=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded rac-3ac $(305.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}$, $79 \%)$ as a colorless oil with a $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ of 48:52.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $327.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{-1} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right.$ ) mixture of diastereomers $\left((R, R) /(S, S)\right.$ is marked with ${ }^{*},(S, R) /(R, S)$ with $\left.{ }^{\#}\right)$ : $\delta=7.61-7.55(m, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.45-7.38 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 2.92-2.73 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.61-2.39 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.06-1.85 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.70-1.49 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) , 1.42* $\left(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.41^{\#}\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.36-1.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) mixture of diastereomers $((R, R) /(S, S)$ is marked with *, $(S, R) /(R, S)$ with $\left.^{\#}\right): \delta=211.1,165.8,133.5,129.3^{\#}, 129.2,129.0^{*}, 126.4^{*}, 126.3^{\#}, 116.8,85.0^{*}$, $84.8^{\#}, 61.1,46.9^{*}, 44.7^{\#}, 43.7,42.7,34.2^{\#}, 31.4^{*}, 28.7^{\#}, 28.5^{*}, 27.6,24.4^{\#}, 24.1^{*}$. IR (film): $v=$ 2934, 1734, 1703, 1449, 1370, 1250, 1147, 1034. HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}: 350.1727$. Found: 350.1724. Microanalysis: Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}: 73.37$; H: 7.70; N: 4.28. Found: C: 73.11; H: 7.73; N: 4.14.

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3,3-dimethyl-5-oxocyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate (3ad)


( $R, R$ )-3ad
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-phenylacetate $\mathbf{1 a}(11.8 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 d}(13.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in the presence of $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}(1.79 \mathrm{mg}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield ( $\left.\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}\right)$-3ad $(7.56 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 41 \%$, $\left.e e_{(R, R)}=89 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=41 \%, d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=84: 16\right)$ as a colorless oil. The $d r$ value was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel OD$\mathrm{H}, n$-hexane $/ i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH}(99 / 1), 0.7 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(R, R)}=14.2 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(S, S)}=10.7 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 7}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $341.44 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .\left[\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+8.1\left(\mathrm{c}=0.09, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right.\right.$, $21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.55-7.51(m, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.44-7.36 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 3.02-2.91 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 2.28-2.24 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.17-2.11 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.08$1.99\left(m, 1 H, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.90-1.75\left(m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.43\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.15\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.99(s, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=208.4,165.0$, $132.4,132.1,127.9,123.4,116.6,85.5,60.2,54.0,43.5,41.5,38.9,34.0,31.7,27.6,25.5$.

$(S, R)$-3ad
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-phenylacetate $1 \mathbf{1 a}$ ( $100.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with 5,5 -dimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 d}$ ( $114.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in the presence of $\boldsymbol{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}(6.0 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.46 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3ad ( 69.1 mg , $\left.0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 44 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=96 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=36 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=91: 09\right)$ as a colorless oil. The $d r$ value was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC:

Chiracel OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\operatorname{PrOH}(99 / 1), 0.7 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(S, R)}=11.7 \mathrm{~min}$, $t_{(R, S)}=18.4 \mathrm{~min}$. The minor diastereomer could not be removed by column chromatography.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 7}} \mathbf{N O}_{3}, \mathbf{M W}: 341.44 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+11.0\left(\mathrm{c}=0.18, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.

rac-3ad
According to GP2 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-phenylacetate 1a ( $150.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 d}$ ( $86.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether:EtOAc $=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded rac-3ad $(89.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 38 \%)$ as a colorless oil with a $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ of 37:63.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 7}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $341.44 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) mixture of diastereomers $\left((R, R) /(S, S)\right.$ is marked with *, $(S, R) /(R, S)$ with $\left.{ }^{\text {\# }}\right)$ : $\delta=7.61-7.51(m, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.46-7.39 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 3.05-2.94 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 2.27-2.23 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.77-2.72 ( m , $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.59-2.41 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.19-2.11 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.45 ${ }^{\#}\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.43^{*}(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.29^{\#}\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.15^{*}\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.99^{*}\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.83^{\#}\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{6 3} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) mixture of diastereomers: $\delta=208.8,205.1,165.5,165.3,132.9$, $131.4,129.6,129.4,129.2,129.0,126.2,126.0,117.0,116.8,85.1,84.7,60.6,55.1,54.0,52.5$, 52.2, 44.4, 43.6, 41.3, 39.0, 38.8, 34.0, 31.7, 27.57, 27.50, 26.1, 25.5, 25.2. IR (in $\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C l}_{\mathbf{2}}$ ): $v=$ 2958, 2358, 1737, 1716, 1450, 1371, 1254, 1151, 1034. MS (ESI) m/z: 364.19 ([M+Na] ${ }^{+}$, $100 \%$ ), $287.14\left(\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]^{+}\right.$, 35\%). HRMS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}$ : Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 364.1883$. Found: 364.1886.

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-(3,3-dimethyl-5-oxocyclohexyl)acetate (3hd)


( $S, R$ ) $\mathbf{- 3 h d}$
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 h}(60.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 d}$ ( $51.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in the presence of $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}(2.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3hd $(68.7 \mathrm{mg}$, $\left.0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 87 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=92 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=42 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=86: 14\right)$ as a colorless solid. The $d r$ value was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i$-PrOH (99/1), $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(S, R)}=15.5 \mathrm{~min}$, $t_{(R, S)}=10.1 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \%\right.$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ).
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 6}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C l}$, MW: $375.89 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 122.8-123.4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+7.7\left(\mathrm{c}=0.10, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.53(d, J=9.0,2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $7.42\left(d, J=9.0,2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, arom. $H$ ), 2.99-2.88 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.58-2.51 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), 2.472.39 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.27-2.22 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.17-2.11 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.51-1.47 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.42\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.10-1.03\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.99\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.82\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 75 $\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=208.5,165.0,135.3,131.5,129.5$, 127.7, 116.6, 85.5, 60.1, 54.0, 43.5, 41.5, 38.9, 34.0, 31.7, 27.6, 25.5. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=2964,2875,2248,1729,1709,1593,1494,1468,1371,1279$, 1253, 1194, 1150, 1097, 1087, 1013.

rac-3hd
According to GP2 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 h}(40.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one 2 d ( $20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv)
for 69 h . Column chromatography (petrol ether: $\mathrm{EtOAc}=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded rac-3hd ( $36.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ ) as a colorless solid with a $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ of $40: 60$. The diastereomers were separated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 6}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C l}$, MW: $375.89 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 140.3-141.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.49(d, J=8.9,2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H), 7.39(d, J=8.9,2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 2.96-2.85 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 2.28-2.24 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.18-2.12 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.07-1.98 ( m , $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} H_{2}\right), 1.88-1.72\left(m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.44\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.15(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})_{3}, 0.98\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=208.7$, 165.1, 135.4, $131.0,129.5,127.5,116.5,85.3,60.1,54.1,41.6,41.3,34.5,31.9,27.6,25.7$. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=2965,2872,2249,1728,1713,1595,1494,1461,1405,1372$, 1272, 1256, 1146, 1100, 1016. MS (ESI) m/z: 398.15 ([M+Na] ${ }^{+}$, 100\%). HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 398.1493$. Found: 398.1499.

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-bromophenyl)-2-(3,3-dimethyl-5-oxocyclohexyl)acetate (3id)


(S,R)-3id
According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-bromophenyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 i}$ ( $129.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one $2 \mathbf{2 d}$ ( $108.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in the presence of $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}(5.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3id $(125.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $\left.0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 68 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=91 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=91 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=82: 18\right)$ as a colorless oil. The $d r$ and ee values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ $(99 / 1), 0.7 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(S, R)}=17.2 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(R, S)}=11.1 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

Constitution of 3id was confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis. 3id crystallized preferentially in racemic form (from a sample with both diastereomers, $e e_{(S, R)}=91 \%$ and $\left.e e_{(R, R)}=91 \%\right)$ in $n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}$ at room temperature. The unit cell contains both diastereomers. CCDC 856195 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this compound. These data
can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/cif.


X-ray crystal structure of 3id [color code: C (grey); N (blue); Br (brown); O (red); H (white)].
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 6}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{B r}$, MW: $420.34 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .\left[\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{:}\right.$ : $+27.4\left(\mathrm{c}=0.10, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.55(d, J=7.9,2 \mathrm{H}, m-H), 7.43(d, J=8.5$, $2 \mathrm{H}, o-H), 2.99-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.55-2.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.44-2.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.26-2.21(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})_{2}$, 2.19-2.13 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.51-1.47 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.10-1.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=208.5,165.0,135.3,131.5,129.5,127.7,116.6,85.5,60.1,54.0$, $43.5,41.5,38.9,34.0,31.7,27.6,25.5$. IR (film) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=2958$, 2247, 1736, 1714, 1494, 1450, 1370, 1250, 1148, 1035, 1006.


According to GP2 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-bromophenyl)acetate $\mathbf{1 i}(51.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with 5,5 -dimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 d}(23.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether:EtOAc $=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded rac-3id ( $53.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$ ) as a colorless oil with a $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ of $41: 59$. The diastereomers were separated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 6}} \mathbf{N O}_{3} \mathbf{B r}$, MW: $420.34 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$ diastereomer: $\delta=7.56(d, J=8.7,2 \mathrm{H}, m-H), 7.47(d, J=8.7,2 \mathrm{H}, o-H), 2.96-2.85(m, 1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 2.28-2.24 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.18-2.12 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.07-1.98 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.88-1.72 $\left(m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.98\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the ( $\left.\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}\right) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=208.7,165.1,135.4,131.0,129.5,127.5$, $116.5,85.3,60.1,54.1,41.6,41.3,34.5,31.9,27.6,25.7$. IR (film) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$ diastereomer: $v=2957,2925,2854,2249,1736,1717,1450,1370,1252,1148,1080,1036$, 1003. MS (ESI) m/z: $444.10\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 100 \%\right), 387.03\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-t \mathrm{Bu}]^{+}, 14 \%\right), 364.05$ ([M - $t \mathrm{Bu}]^{+}, 24 \%$ ). HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 444.0970$. Found: 444.0976.

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1 H-inden-1-yl)-2-phenylacetate (3ae)



According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-phenylacetate (1a, $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with $1 H$-inden-1-one ( $\mathbf{2 e}, 24.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in the presence of FBIP$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}(3.04 \mathrm{mg}, 1.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield $\mathbf{3 a e}\left(30.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%, e e_{\text {majorisomer }}=\right.$ $\left.62 \%, e e_{\text {minorisomer }}=22 \%, d r_{\text {a:b }}=53: 47\right)$ as a colorless oil.

According to GP3 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-phenylacetate (1a, $20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with $1 H$-inden-1-one ( $\mathbf{2 e}, 24.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv) in the presence of $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ $(0.60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield 3ae $\left(23.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%, e e_{\text {majorisomer }}=50 \%\right.$, $\left.e e_{\text {minorisomer }}=28 \%, d r_{\text {a:b }}=60: 40\right)$ as a colorless oil.

Both catalysts, monopalladacycle FIP and bispalladacycle FBIP, furnish the same diastereomere (a) in excess, while the racemic reaction gave diastereomer $b$ in excess. Therefore the reaction possibly procceds in both cases via a monometallic mechanism due to the different reactivity of the enone 2e. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel AD-H, $n$ hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}(99 / 1), 0.9 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{a} 1}=21.9 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{a} 2}=28.3 \mathrm{~min}$, $t_{\mathrm{b} 1}=32.7 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{b} 2}=90.5 \mathrm{~min}$. The minor diastereomer (b) was only partially removed by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{22} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 1}} \mathbf{N O}_{3}$, MW: $347.41 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) mixture of diastereomers (diastereomer a is marked with *, diastereomer b with $\left.{ }^{\#}\right): \delta=7.83^{\#}(d, J=7.44$, 1 H , arom. $H$ ), $7.80^{*}\left(d, J=8.19,1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, arom. $H$ ), 7.69-7.37 ( $\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 4.70 ${ }^{\#}(d d, J=7.9$, $3.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H), 4.50^{*}(d d, J=7.9,2.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H), 3.09^{*}\left(d d, J=19.2,7.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.71^{*}(d d, J=$ 19.2, 3.1, 1H, CH2 $), 2.58^{\#}\left(d d, J=19.4,7.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.27^{\#}\left(d d, J=19.4,3.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.47^{\#}$ $\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.43^{*}\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{6 3} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) mixture of diastereomers (diastereomer a is marked with ${ }^{*}$, diastereomer b with ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ ): $\delta=202.9,165.6,150.8,138.2,135.1^{\text {\# }}$, $134.2^{*}, 133.5^{*}, 129.6^{*}, 129.4,129.1^{*}, 127.0^{*}, 126.5^{*}, 126.3^{\#}, 126.1^{\#}, 124.3^{\#}, 124.0^{*}, 116.2,85.4^{*}$, $85.3^{\#}, 60.5^{*}, 60.3^{\#}, 45.6^{*}, 44.4^{\#}, 41.7^{*}, 40.0^{\#}$. IR (oil) mixture of diastereomers: $v=2980$, 2252, 1715, 1602, 1463, 1450, 1396, 1371, 1253, 1147, 1094, 1050, 1018.

rac-3ae
According to GP2 tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-phenylacetate $\mathbf{1 a}$ ( $105.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with 1 H -inden-1-one $\mathbf{2 e}(70.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether:EtOAc $=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded $\boldsymbol{r a c}$ - $\mathbf{3 a e}(30.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 18 \%)$ as a colorless oil with a $d r_{\text {a:b }}$ of 37:63. The major diastereomer (b) was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{22} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 1}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $347.41 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the major diastereomer: $\delta=7.83(d, J=7.44,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $7.69-7.39(m, 8 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $4.70(d d, J=7.9$, $3.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H), 2.58\left(d d, J=19.4,7.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.27\left(d d, J=19.4,3.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.47(s, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{6 3} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the major diastereomer: $\delta=202.7,166.3,152.0$, $138.0,135.1,133.8,129.3,126.3,126.1,124.3,116.2,85.3,60.2,44.3,40.0,27.6$. IR (oil) of the major diastereomer: $v=2980,2249,1715,1602,1463,1450,1396,1370,1253,1146$, 1094, 1047, 1019. MS (ESI) m/z: 370.14 ([M+Na] ${ }^{+}, 100 \%$ ), 348.16 ( $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 4 \%$ ). HRMS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / z:$ Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 370.1414$. Found: 370.1429.

## Ethyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate (3a'a)


( $R, R$ )-3a'a
According to GP3 ethyl-2-cyano-2-phenylacetate ( $\mathbf{1 a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}, 20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( $20.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv) in the presence of $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ ( $1.82 \mathrm{mg}, 1.10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) to yield ( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}$ ) -3a'a ( $31.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=77 \%$, $\left.e e_{(S, R)}=15 \%, d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=62: 38\right)$ as a colorless solid. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}(99 / 1), 2 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(R, R)}=10.7 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(S, S)}=12.8 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 7}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $285.34 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 74.8-75.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+58.2\left(\mathrm{c}=0.10, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(S, S)$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.56-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.44-7.38 ( $m, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 4.35-4.15 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.92-2.81 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.45-2.39 $\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.37-2.26\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.22-2.05\left(m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.93-1.65\left(m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.26(t$, $\left.J=7.2,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 1}{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\mathbf{S}, S)$-diastereomer: $\delta=$ 208.8, 166.9, 131.9, 129.4, 129.3, 126.2, 116.5, 63.5, 59.7, 45.1, 42.4, 40.9, 28.3, 24.2, 13.8. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=3063,2953,2873,2244,1731,1708,1486,1449$, 1426, 1368, 1322, 1243, 1172, 1122, 1110, 1071, 1024, 1003.

(S,R)-3a'a
According to GP3 ethyl-2-cyano-2-phenylacetate ( $\mathbf{1 a}, 19.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with 2-cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( $20.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv) in the presence of $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ $(0.68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to yield (S,R)-3a'a (26.3 mg, $92 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=83 \%$, $\left.e e_{(R, R)}=13 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=67: 33\right)$ as a colorless solid. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}(99 / 1), 2 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$,
detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(S, R)}=9.0 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(R, S)}=7.6 \mathrm{~min}$. The major diastereomer was isolated by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 7}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $285.34 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 71.5-71.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+14.8\left(\mathrm{c}=0.18, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, S)$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.62-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. H), 7.47-7.37 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 4.33-4.14 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.92-2.81 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.64-2.53 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.46-2.41 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.34-2.26 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.07-1.99 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.56$1.43\left(m, 3 H, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.25\left(t, J=7.2,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $\delta=208.4,166.5,132.6,129.3,129.2,126.4,126.1,116.6,63.5,59.9$, $45.4,44.6,40.8,25.7,24.0,13.8$. IR (solid) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-diastereomer: $v=2963,2877$, $2246,1741,1709,1491,1448,1366,1321,1276,1226,1161,1068,1029,1001$.

rac-3a'a
According to GP2 ethyl-2-cyano-2-phenylacetate (1a', $261.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with 2 -cyclohexen-1-one $\mathbf{2 a}(132.8 \mathrm{mg}, 1.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether:EtOAc $=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded rac-3a'a $(364.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}$, $92 \%)$ as a colorless oil with a $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ of 36:64.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 7}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $285.34 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. HRMS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}$ : Calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}: 308.1257$. Found: 308.1250.

## tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-hydroxycyclohexyl)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)acetate (6ma)


(S,R,S)-6ma
According to GP4 (S,R)-3ma ( $49.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(11.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv) to yield ( $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) \mathbf{- 6 m a}\left(48.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, quant., $e e_{(S, R, S)}=89 \%$, $\left.e e_{(R, R, S)}=98 \%, d r_{(S, R, S+R, S, R):(R, R, S+S, S, R)}=80: 20\right)$ as a colorless oil. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the crude oily residue could be directly used for $d r$ - and eedetermination by chiral stationary phase HPLC: Chiracel OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}(97 / 3), 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ $\min ^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{(S, R, S)}=27.7 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{(R, S, R)}=18.8 \mathrm{~min}$. The minor diastereomer was only partially removed by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{PE}: \mathrm{EtOAc}=4: 1$ ).
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 7}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{4}}$, MW: $345.43 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .\left[\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}\right.$ : $-59.7\left(\mathrm{c}=0.26, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R})$-diastereomer $[(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R})$-diastereomer]: $\delta=7.48$ $\left(d, J=8.7,2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, arom. $H$ ), $6.91\left(d, J=9.2,2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, arom. $H$ ), $3.82\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.72-3.63(m, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C} H),[3.54-3.45(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H)], 2.43-2.34(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H), 2.17-1.72\left(m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.35-1.00\left(m, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) /(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R})$ diastereomer $[(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R})$-diastereomer]: $\delta=$ [166.5], 166.4, [159.8], 159.7, 127.5, [125.5], 125.2, 117.5, 114.3, [114.2], [84.4], 84.3, 70.3, [70.2], 59.8, 55.3, 43.4, [38.8], 36.5, [35.2], 35.1, 28.5, 27.6, [26.1], [23.4], 23.2.

rac-6ma
According to GP5 rac-3ma ( $22.9 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1 equiv) was treated with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(5.51 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.15 mmol , 2.2 equiv). Column chromatography (petrol ether:EtOAc $=4: 1 \rightarrow 2: 1$ ) of the crude product yielded rac- $\mathbf{m a}(22.6 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 65 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, ~ 98 \%)$ as a colorless solid with a
$d r_{(R, R, S+S, S, R):(S, R, S+R, S, R)}$ of 37:63. The $(R, R, S) /(S, S, R)$-diastereomers were isolated by column chromatography (PE : EtOAc = 4:1).

Constitution and relative configuration of the $(S, R, S) /(R, S, R)$-diastereomer of rac-6ma was confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis. The $(S, R, S) /(R, S, R)$-diastereomer of rac-6ma crystallized (from a racemic sample with both diastereomers) in $n$-hexane $/ i \mathrm{PrOH}$ at room temperature. CCDC 856192 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this compound. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.


X-ray crystal structure of rac-6ma [color code: C (grey); N (blue); O (red); H (white)].
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 7}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{4}}$, MW: $345.43 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 133.5-134.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) /(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R})$-diastereomer: $\delta=7.48(d, J=9.2,2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $6.92(d, J=9.2,2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H), 3.82\left(s, 3 H, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.54-3.44(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.44-2.32(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.01-1.78\left(m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 1.51-1.35 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.42\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.27-1.14\left(m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.12-1.00\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 2 \mathbf{~}^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) of the $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, S) /(S, S, R)$-diastereomer: $\delta=166.5,159.8,127.6$, $125.2,117.4,114.3,114.2,84.3,70.3,59.8,55.3,43.5,36.5,35.1,28.5,27.6,23.4$. IR (in $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): v=3368,2936,2859,2251,1733,1608,1582,1510,1456,1394,1297,1251,1185$, 1153, 1033. MS (ESI) m/z: $368.18\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 100 \%\right), 346.20\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 1 \%\right), 312.12$ $\left([\mathrm{M}-t \mathrm{Bu}+\mathrm{Na}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 46 \%\right)$. HRMS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}$ : Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 368.1832$. Found: 368.1837.

## Recycling of the Catalyst

## Experimental

The activated catalyst ( $3.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and silica ( $40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, 1 g silica per 25 mg catalyst, silica was washed with diglyme and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ prior to use) was added. The solvent was removed by a constant stream of nitrogen to give the absorbed catalyst. This red solid was used in the catalysis as follows:
tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-phenylacetate ( $\mathbf{1 a}, 20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was dissolved in diglyme $(150 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and HOAc in diglyme ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.20$ equiv, $\mathrm{c}=47.7 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ), cyclohex-2-en-1-one ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 18 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and the activated catalyst on silica were added. The red-wine-colored reaction mixture was stirred for 24 hours at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was quenched with $n$-pentane ( 1 mL ) and the catalyst precipitated on silica. The colorless solution was separated by decantation and the solid residue was additionally washed with $n$-pentane. Solvent evaporation of the combined supernatant resulted in the product ( $23.4-28.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07-0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$, $81-99 \%$ ). The absorbed catalyst was dried in vacuum and used for catalysis as described above.

| Run |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [\%] | $(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)$ | $(R, R)$ [\%] |
| 1 | 99 | 89:11 | 94 |
| 2 | 95 | 89:11 | 95 |
| 3 | 96 | 89:11 | 95 |
| 4 | 94 | 89:11 | 93 |
| 5 | 88 | 87:13 | 93 |
| $6^{c}$ | 81 | 82:12 | 84 |
| control experiment ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5 | 63:37 | <1 |

${ }^{\bar{a}}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR. ${ }^{b}$ Determined by HPLC. ${ }^{c}$ Reaction time 48 h . ${ }^{d}$ Reaction was run with silica, without catalyst.

## Derivatization of Enantioenriched Michael-Addition Products ( $R, R$ )-3 from FBIP Catalysis

## (R)-tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-((R)-2-oxooxepan-4-yl)-2-phenylacetate (4aa)


( $R, R$ )-3aa

( $R, R$ )-4aa
$(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3aa $\left(208.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}, e e_{(R, R)}=94 \%\right)$ was dissolved in chloroform $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and m chloroperbenzoic acid (MCPBA, $212.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) was added. The reaction was stirred for 24 h in the darkness. Afterwards the reaction mixture was diluted with chloroform $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layer was washed with aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\sim 10 \%, 1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( $1 \times$ 10 mL ). The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated and the solvent removed. Column chromatography of the crude product ( $\mathrm{PE}: E t O A c=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) resulted in $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})-\mathbf{4 a a}(200 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%$ ) as a colorless solid.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 3}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{4}}$, MW: $329.39 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 59.9-62.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+18.3\left(\mathrm{c}=0.07, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.57-7.54(m, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.46-7.39 ( $m, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 4.35-4.18 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 2.99-2.91 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.81-2.75 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.71-2.57 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.29-2.13 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.99-1.90 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.79-1.64 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.44-1.38 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=172.6,165.2,132.8$, $129.5,129.4,129.2,126.1,116.4,85.5,68.7,61.4,41.0,38.2,30.0,28.2,27.5$. IR (solid): $v=$ 2979, 2937, 2324, 1729, 1477, 1449, 1394, 1369, 1284, 1242, 1206, 1148, 1076, 1031. MS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}: 352.15\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 100 \%\right), 295.09\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-t \mathrm{Bu}]^{+}, 23 \%\right), 273.11\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}-t \mathrm{Bu}]^{+}\right.$, $59 \%$ ). HRMS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}:$ Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 352.1519$. Found: 352.1525.

## (R)-tert-Butyl-2-(4-bromophenyl)-2-cyano-2-((R)-2-oxooxepan-4-yl)acetate (4ia)


$(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3ia $\left(49.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, e e_{(R, R)}=90 \%\right)$ was dissolved in chloroform $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and m chloroperbenzoic acid (MCPBA, $28.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) was added. The reaction was stirred for 48 h in the darkness. Afterwards the reaction mixture was diluted with chloroform $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layer was washed with aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\sim 10 \%, 1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( $1 \times$ 10 mL ). The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated and the solvent removed. Column chromatography of the crude product $(\mathrm{PE}: E t O A c=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1)$ resulted in $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})-\mathbf{4 i a}(94.3 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%$ ) as a colorless solid.

Constitution and the absolute configuration of $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-4ia was confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis. ( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}$ )-4ia crystallized in enantiomerically pure form in $n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{iPrOH}$ at room temperature. CCDC 856191 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this compound. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.


X-ray crystal structure of ( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}$ )-4ia [color code: C (grey); Br (brown); N (blue); O (red); H (white)].
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 2}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{B r}, \mathbf{M W}: 408.29 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 128.5-129.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+37.9\left(\mathrm{c}=0.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \cdot{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 2 \mathbf{2 1}^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.57(d, J=8.8,2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $7.45(d, J=8.8,2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 4.35-4.18 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 2.98-2.90 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.77-2.74 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.68-2.64 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.00-1.92 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.79-1.64 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.47\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.30-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,
$\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=172.4,164.8,132.6,131.9,127.9,123.6,116.0$, 86.0, 68.6, 61.0, 41.1, 38.1, 30.0, 28.2, 27.5, 26.9. IR (solid): $v=2980,2935,1727,1486,1455$, 1396, 1369, 1285, 1260, 1240, 1154, 1077, 1009. MS (ESI) m/z: 430.06 ( $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 100 \%$ ), $425.11\left(\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}, 8 \%\right)$. HRMS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}$ : Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 430.0624$. Found: 430.0631; Calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}: 425.1070$. Found: 425.1076 .

## (2R,3R)-tert-Butyl-2-cyano-3-(3-hydroxypropyl)-5-oxo-2phenylheptanoate (5)


( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}$ )-4aa ( $120.7 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\quad N, O$-dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride $(42.9 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), and sodium ethoxide ( $6.2 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.25$ equiv) were dissolved in dry THF ( 5 mL ) and the solution was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of the Grignard reagent (freshly prepared from magnesium ( $71.3 \mathrm{mg}, 2.93 \mathrm{mmol}, 8$ equiv), ethylbromide ( $218.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.93 \mathrm{mmol}$, 8 equiv) and THF ( 2.5 mL )) was added and the reaction was stirred for 2 h . Afterwards it was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction was carefully quenched by adding water and acified with 1 N HCl and stirred for additional 2 h . Water was added and the solution was extracted three times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtrated and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product. Column chromatography (PE:EtOAc $=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1)$ resulted in $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})-\mathbf{5}(95.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%)$ as a colorless oil.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 9}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{M W}: 359.46 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:-19.4\left(\mathrm{c}=0.34, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.60-7.56(m, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.43-7.36 ( $m, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 3.50-3.36 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ ), 3.34-3.24 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.75-2.60 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.48\left(q, J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.38(s$, $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.32-1.16 ( $m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.09\left(t, J=7.4,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right.$, $\left.21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right): \delta=208.7,166.1,133.6,129.1,129.0,126.4,117.7,84.6,62.3,61.0,45.8,38.9,36.3$, 29.8, 27.8, 27.5, 7.9. IR (in $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $v=3443,2977,2938,1733,1637,1493,1450,1415,1395$, 1370, 1251, 1150, 1036. MS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}: 382.20\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 100 \%\right), 360.22\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 5 \%\right), 326.14$
$\left([\mathrm{M}-t \mathrm{Bu}+\mathrm{Na}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 74 \%\right), 304.15\left([\mathrm{M}-t \mathrm{Bu}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{+}, 34 \%\right), 286.14\left([\mathrm{M}-t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 17 \%\right)$.
HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 382.1989$. Found: 382.1987.

## (R)-tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-((1R,3S)-3-hydroxylcyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate (( $R, R, S)$-6aa)



According to GP5 ( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}$ )-3aa ( $486.5 \mathrm{mg}, 1.55 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv, $e e_{(R, R)}=94 \%$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(129.2 \mathrm{mg}, 3.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv) to yield $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$ - $\mathbf{6 a a}(446.1 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%)$ as a colorless solid.

Constitution and relative configuration of 6aa were confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis. The $(R, R, S) /(S, S, R)$-configured diastereomer 6aa crystallized in racemic form in $n$ hexane $/ i \operatorname{PrOH}$ at room temperature. CCDC 856196 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this compound. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.


X-ray crystal structure of $\mathbf{6 a a}$ [color code: C (grey); N (blue); O (red); H (white)].
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $315.41 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p : ~} 125.1-125.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}} \boldsymbol{:}$ : -3.7 (c $=0.47, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.59-7.55$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.43-7.35 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. H ), 3.75-3.65 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOH}$ ), $2.44(t t, J=12.0,3.3,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.18-2.14\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.01-1.99$ ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.76-1.71 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.42\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.29-0.86\left(m, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 2 \mathbf{2 1}^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right): \delta=166.1,133.6,129.3,129.0,128.98,128.7,126.3,117.3,84.5$,
$70.1,60.5,60.4,43.4,38.8,36.5,35.2,27.6,26.1,23.4,23.2,21.1$. IR (solid): $v=3346,2937$, 2860, 2246, 1734, 1449, 1370, 1250, 1149, 1047, 1032. MS (ESI) m/z: 338.17 ([M+Na] ${ }^{+}$, $100 \%), 316.19\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 8 \%\right), 282.11\left([\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{H}-t \mathrm{Bu}]^{+}, 16 \%\right), 259.17\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}-t \mathrm{Bu}]^{+}, 22 \%\right)$.

HRMS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}$ : Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 338.1727$. Found: 338.1729.

## (R)-tert-Butyl-3-amino-2-((1R,3S)-3-hydroxycyclohexyl)-3-oxo-2phenylpropanoate ((R,R,S)-7)


( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )-6aa ( $209.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DMSO ( 13 mL ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(45.9 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv) was added at RT. The mixture was heated to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under very fast stirring (fast stirring of the reaction using a large magnetic stirring bar is essential to avoid precipitation of the starting material). Aq. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(33.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 332.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 500$ equiv, $35 \%, 25$ equiv/h) and aq. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution ( $458.8 \mathrm{mg}, 3.32 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5 equiv, 0.25 equiv $/ \mathrm{h}$ ) were added via a syringe pump overnight ( 20 h ). Afterwards the reaction mixture was cooled to RT, acidified with aq. HCl (1M), saturated with NaCl and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(4 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent of the combined organic layer was removed and the residue dissolved in diethyl ether and extracted with brine (3 times) to remove dimethylsulfone. The combined organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtrated and concentrated in vacuo. Column chromatography of the crude product $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+2.5 \%\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{MeOH} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ resulted in ( $\left.\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}\right)-7(150.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 68 \%)$ as a colorless solid.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 7}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{4}}$, MW: $333.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 108.0-108.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:-1.8\left(\mathrm{c}=0.11, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.35-7.28\left(m, 5 \mathrm{H}\right.$, arom. $H$ ), $6.98\left(b, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.62(b$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 3.74-3.63 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOH}$ ), $2.76(t t, J=12.1,2.4,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.06-1.94\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 1.82-1.56 ( $m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.47\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.42-1.26\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.11-0.86\left(m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=172.4,171.6,137.3,128.7,128.0,127.3,83.0,71.1,67.5$, 40.6, 38.4, 35.6, 27.8, 24.1. IR (solid): $v=3344,2934,2858,1670,1581,1447,1367,1245$, 1152, 1045, 840. MS (ESI) m/z: 356.16 ( $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 100 \%$ ), 334.20 ( $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 15 \%$ ), 279.14 ( $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]^{+}, 29 \%$ ) . HRMS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}:$ Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 356.1832$. Found: 356.1834.

## (S)-tert-Butyl-2-((tert-butoxylcarbonyl)amino)-2-((1R,3S)-3-hydroxycyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate ((S,R,S)-8)


( $R, R, S$ )-7

1) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$,
$-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$
2) $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MeOH}$,


(S,R,S)-8
$(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) \mathbf{- 7}(92.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and sodium hypobromite solution (freshly prepared from bromine ( $17.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathrm{NaOH}(66.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.66 \mathrm{mmol}, 6$ equiv) and water $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ ) was added slowly. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. Sodium sulfite ( $\sim 45 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added and the mixture was acified to $\mathrm{pH}=2$ with aq. $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M})$ and stirred for 15 min . Afterwards the mixture was neutralized with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, brine was added and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated and concentrated in vacuo to give the unprotected aminoester. $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 8}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 7}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{M W}: 305.41 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{-1} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 2 \mathbf{~}^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.57-7.52$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.38-7.29 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. H ), 3.73$3.62(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOH}), 2.39(t t, J=11.8,3.1,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 1.96-1.92\left(m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.72-1.66(m, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.58-1.52 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.45\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.38-1.24\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.22-1.13(m, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.09-1.04 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 0.98-0.84 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=$ $170.0,138.0,128.4,128.0,127.9,125.8,84.2,70.5,44.6,37.6,35.5,27.7,25.4,23.3$.

The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(115 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 3\right.$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $301 \mathrm{mg}, 1.38 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5 equiv) was added and the reaction was stirred overnight. ${ }^{9}$ Solvent was removed in vacuo, the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and the solution washed once with aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \%)$ and twice with brine. Then it was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give the crude product. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{PE}: \mathrm{EtOAc}=18: 1 \rightarrow$ 9:1) resulted in ( $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-8 ( $96.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%$ ) as a colorless oil.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 3}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3 5}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{5}}, \mathbf{M W}: 405.53 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:-12.3\left(\mathrm{c}=0.17, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.56-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.39-7.29 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 4.56-4.45 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CHOH ), 2.60-2.41 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.10-2.06 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.94-1.88 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.65-1.59 ( m , $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.55(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 1.43\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.42\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.35-1.11\left(m, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$

NMR ( $75 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=169.9,152.6,137.2,128.5,128.1,125.7,84.3,81.9,44.5$, 32.1, 31.6, 30.7, 27.7, 26.9, 23.1. IR (in $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $: v=2970,2938,2853,2239,1732,1448,1355$, 1310, 1277, 1250, 1148, 1098. MS (ESI) m/z: $406.22\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 100 \%\right), 349.16\left([\mathrm{M}-t \mathrm{Bu}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right.$, $20 \%$ ), $272.11\left([\mathrm{M}-t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{Ph}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 15 \%\right)$. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:$ Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 406.2588$. Found: 406.2590 .

## (R)-tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-((1R,3S)-3-methoxycyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate



( $R, R, S$ )-6aa
A solution of ( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) \mathbf{- 6 a a}(367.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added to a NaH ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 1.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv, $55-60 \%$ in oil) suspension in THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for additional 30 min . Afterwards dimethylsulfate ( $137 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv) was added in one portion at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 30 min for complete conversion. ${ }^{10}$ Saturated aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added carefully, the mixture was acidified with HCl (1M) and extracted three times with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated and the solvent removed in vacuo. Column chromatography of the crude product ( $\mathrm{PE}: E t O A c=18: 1$ ) resulted in (R)-tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(( $1 R, 3 S)$-3-methoxycyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate ( 349.2 mg , $1.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%)$ as a colorless oil.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 7}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{M W}: 329.43 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:-11.8\left(\mathrm{c}=0.11, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 2 \mathbf{~}^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.59-7.55$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. H ), 7.43-7.35 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CHOCH}_{3}$ ), 3.09-2.99 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOCH}_{3}$ ), 2.45-2.35 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.12-2.07 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.93$1.77\left(m, 3 H, C_{2}\right), 1.55-0.97\left(m, 4 H, C_{2}\right), 1.42\left(s, 9 H, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right.$, $\left.21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right): \delta=153.0,139.7,128.9127 .8,125.8,122.1,82.1,75.5,46.8,45.9,33.6,31.3,27.8,27.6$, 27.4, 26.9, 24.8, 23.1. IR (oil): $v=2940,2863,2239,1733,1601,1494,1453,1393,1368,1316$, 1276, 1251, 1154, 1089, 1036, 982. MS (ESI) m/z: 352.19 ([M+Na] ${ }^{+}, 100 \%$ ), 338.17 ([M -
$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{Na}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}, 20 \%$ ), $282.11\left([\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{COO} t \mathrm{Bu}]^{+}, 9 \%\right)$. HRMS (ESI) m $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}$ : Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 352.1883. Found: 352.1873 .

## (R)-2-cyano-2-((1R,3S)-3-methoxycyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetamide ((R,R,S)-9)



1) Hydrolysis of the ester: ${ }^{11}$ To a solution of ( $R$ )-tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(( $\left.1 R, 3 S\right)$-3-methoxycyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate ( $105.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added trifluoroacetic acid ( $476 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 13$ equiv) and triethylsilane ( $130 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.5 equiv) at room temperature and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. The solvent was removed in vacuo and $n$-pentane ( $\sim 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to precipitate the acid, which was isolated by decantation of the residual liquid and dried in high vacuum.
2) Formation of the acid chloride: ${ }^{12}$ To the acid was added dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}(72 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv). The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature.
3) Formation of the amide: ${ }^{12}$ The acid chloride solution was slowly added to a $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ solution in $\mathrm{MeOH}(7 \mathrm{M}, 4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h the reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. The solvent was removed, water was added and the mixture was washed three times with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give the crude product. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{PE}: \mathrm{EtOAc}=2: 1$ ) resulted in ( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) \mathbf{- 9}(67.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%)$ as a colorless solid.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$, MW: $272.34 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 111.9-112.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:-19.2\left(\mathrm{c}=0.05, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.64-7.60$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.43-7.36 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $6.32\left(b, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.72\left(b, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.21\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOCH}_{3}\right), 3.09-2.99\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOCH}_{3}\right)$, 2.58-2.49 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.13-2.08 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.92-1.88 ( $\left.m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\right)_{2}$ ), 1.55-1.50 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.44-1.26 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.17-0.94 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right): \delta=$
167.9, 133.4, 129.1, 128.9, 126.3, 119.0, 78.7, 59.6, 55.6, 43.0, 33.3, 31.1, 28.9, 23.2. IR (in $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $v=3336,3193,2938,2826,2242,1693,1607,1494,1449,1352,1277,11981147$, 1089, 1036, 992. MS (ESI) m/z: $295.14\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 100 \%\right), 273.16\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 2 \%\right), 241.13([\mathrm{M}-$ $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right]^{+}, 13 \%$ ), 160.07 ([M - 3-methoxycyclohexyl+H $]^{+}$, 12\%). HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 295.1417$. Found: 295.1415.

## (R)-5-((1R,3S)-3-methoxycyclohexyl)-5-phenylimidazolidine-2,4-dione ((R,R,S)-10)


$(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) \mathbf{- 9}(33.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and sodium hypobromite solution (freshly prepared from bromine ( $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.57$ equiv), NaOH ( $26.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.4$ equiv) and water $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h . Afterwards the reaction was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{12}$ Then the mixture was cooled to room temperature, water was added and the mixture was acidified with $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M})$. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Crystallization of the crude hydantoin from EtOAc resulted in ( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ ) $\mathbf{- 1 0}(30.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%)$ as a colourless solid.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$, MW: $288.34 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. Mp: decomposition $>200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+14.3 \quad(\mathrm{c}=0.30$, $\mathbf{M e O H}$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{M e O D}-\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.59-7.55$ ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathbf{2 H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.43-7.33 ( m , 3 H , arom. $H$ ), $3.36\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOCH}_{3}\right), 3.29-3.22\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOCH}_{3}\right), 2.33(t t, J=12.3,3.0,1 \mathrm{H}$, CH ), 2.11-1.98 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.81-1.71 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.23-1.13 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.09-0.83 ( m , $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathrm{MeOD}^{2} \mathbf{D}_{4}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=178.1,159.4,139.1,129.7,129.2,126.6$, 80.4, 73.0, 56.1, 45.1, 34.0, 32.7, 26.6, 24.4. IR (in MeOD-D 4 ): $v=3220,3058,2938,2851$, 2358, 1767, 1716, 1495, 1448, 1260, 1187, 1152, 1083. MS (ESI) m/z: 311.14 ([M+Na] ${ }^{+}, 22 \%$ ), $289.15\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 100 \%\right), 257.13\left(\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right]^{+}, 19 \%\right), 175.05$ ( $[\mathrm{M} \text { - 3-methoxycyclohexyl }]^{+}$, 14\%). HRMS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}$ : Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 289.1547$. Found: 189.1550 .

## Derivatization of Enantioenriched Michael-Addition Products (S,R)-3 from FIP Catalysis

(S)-tert-Butyl-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-cyano-2-((R)-6,6-diemthyl-2-oxooxepan-4-yl)acetate (4hd)

$(S, R)$-3hd $\left(28.5 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \mu \mathrm{~mol}\right.$, $\left.e e_{(S, R)}=94 \%\right)$ was dissolved in chloroform $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and m chloroperbenzoic acid (MCPBA, $17.1 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.3$ equiv) was added. The reaction was stirred for 48 h at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the darkness. Afterwards the reaction mixture was diluted with chloroform ( 10 mL ) and the organic layer was washed with aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\sim 10 \%, 1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( $1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated and the solvent removed. Column chromatography of the crude product ( $\mathrm{PE}: E t O A c=9: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1$ ) resulted in $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R})$-4hd ( $12.6 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 42 \%$ ) as a colorless solid.
Constitution and relative configuration of 4hd were confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis. The $(S, R) /(R, S)$-configured diastereomer 4hd crystallized in racemic form in $n$ hexane $/ i \mathrm{PrOH}$ at room temperature. CCDC 856193 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this compound. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.


X-ray crystal structure of 4hd [color code: C (grey); Cl (green); N (blue); O (red); H (white)].
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 6}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{C l}$, MW: $391.89 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 132.0-132.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+34.9\left(\mathrm{c}=0.27, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$
NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 2 \mathbf{2 1}^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.55(d, J=8.7,2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $7.44(d, J=8.8,2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H), 4.13\left(d, J=13.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.83\left(d, J=13.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.90-2.82(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H), 2.56-$ $2.48\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.23-2.28\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.80-1.58\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.41\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.28-$ $1.16\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.13\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.03\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta$ $=172.5,165.0,135.8,131.1,129.8,127.9,116.2,85.5,60.4,45.6,37.6,35.2,34.4,28.2,27.5$, 22.1. IR (solid): $v=2947,2322,1476,1447,1394,1369,1280,1248,1201,1148,1076,1031$. MS (ESI) m/z: $414.15\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 100 \%\right), 357.07\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-t \mathrm{Bu}]^{+}, 15 \%\right), 335.08\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}-t \mathrm{Bu}]^{+}\right.$, 485). HRMS (ESI) $m / z:$ Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 414.1448$. Found: 414.1450.

## (S)-tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-((1R,3S)-3-hydroxylcyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate ((S,R,S)-6aa)


(S,R)-3aa


(S,R,S)-6aa

According to GP5 (S,R)-3aa ( $554.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.77 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv, $e e_{(S, R)}=89 \%$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(147.2 \mathrm{mg}, 3.89 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv) to yield ( $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )-6aa ( $557.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.77 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%$ ) as a colorless solid.

Constitution and the absolute configuration of ( $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )-6aa was confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis. ( $\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )-6aa crystallized in enantiomerically pure form in $n$-hexane $/ i \mathrm{PrOH}$ at room temperature. CCDC 856197 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this compound. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.


X-ray crystal structure of ( $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )-6aa [color code: C (grey); N (blue); O (red); H (white)].
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $315.41 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 123.5-124.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:-104.2\left(\mathrm{c}=0.38, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.62-7.55$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.43-7.34 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 3.54-3.44 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOH}$ ), 2.47-2.37 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.02-1.97 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.94-1.87 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.85-1.82 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.48-1.36 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.27-1.01 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 2 \mathbf{2 1}^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=166.2,133.3,129.0,128.7,126.3,117.2,84.4$, $70.3,60.5,43.5,36.5,35.1,29.7,28.5,27.6,23.4$. IR (solid): $v=3346,2937,2860,2246,1734$, 1449, 1370, 1250, 1149, 1047, 1032. MS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}: 338.17$ ( $\left.[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 100 \%\right), 316.19\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right.$, $8 \%), 282.11\left([\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{H}-t \mathrm{Bu}]^{+}, 16 \%\right), 259.17\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}-t \mathrm{Bu}]^{+}, 22 \%\right)$. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:$ Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 338.1727$. Found: 338.1729.

## (S)-tert-Butyl-3-amino-2-((1R,3S)-3-hydroxycyclohexyl)-3-0xo-2phenylpropanoate ((S,R,S)-7)


$\left(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}\right.$ )-6aa ( $436.1 \mathrm{mg}, 1.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DMSO ( 28 mL ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(95.4 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv) was added at RT. The mixture was heated to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under very fast stirring (fast stirring with a large magnetic stirring bar is essential to avoid precipitation of the starting material). Aq. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(70 \mathrm{~mL}, 691 \mathrm{mmol}, 500\right.$ equiv, $35 \%$, 25 equiv/h) and aq. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(955.5 \mathrm{mg}$, 5 equiv, 0.25 equiv/h) were added via a syringe pump overnight ( 20 h ). Afterwards the reaction mixture was cooled to RT, acidified with aq. $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M})$, saturated with NaCl and extracted with EtOAc $(4 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent of the combined organic layer was removed, the residue dissolved in diethyl ether and washed with brine ( 3 times) to remove dimethylsulfone. The combined organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtrated and concentrated in vacuo. Column chromatography of the crude product $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+2.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ resulted in ( $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )-7 ( $315.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 69 \%$ ) as a colorless solid.

Constitution and the absolute configuration of ( $S, R, S$ ) - 7 was confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis. ( $\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ ) $\mathbf{- 7}$ crystallized in enantiomerically pure form in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-28{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. CCDC 916148 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this compound. These data
can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/cif.


X-ray crystal structure of ( $\boldsymbol{S , R , S}$ )-7 [color code: C (grey); N (blue); O (red); H (white)].
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 7}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{4}}$, MW: $333.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 69.6-69.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:-5.7\left(\mathrm{c}=0.12, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.35-7.26\left(m, 5 \mathrm{H}\right.$, arom. $H$ ), $7.00\left(b, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.66(b, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 3.74-3.64 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOH}$ ), $2.74(t t, J=12.0,2.3,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.07-1.95\left(m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.79-$ $1.54\left(m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.47\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.43-1.36\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.11-0.98\left(m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.97-$ $0.83\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{2 1}{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=172.4,171.5,137.4,128.7,128.0$, 127.3, 83.0, 71.0, 67.5, 40.7, 38.4, 35.6, 27.8, 24.1. IR (solid): $v=3344,2934,2858,1670$, 1581, 1447, 1367, 1245, 1152, 1045, 840. MS (ESI) m/z: 356.16 ([M+Na] ${ }^{+}$, 100\%), 334.20 $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 15 \%\right), 279.14\left(\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]^{+}, 29 \%\right)$. HRMS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}:$ Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 356.1832$. Found: 356.1834.

## (R)-tert-Butyl-2-((tert-butoxylcarbonyl)amino)-2-((1R,3S)-3-hydroxycyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate (( $R, R, S$ )-8)


$(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) \mathbf{- 7}(88.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and sodium hypobromite solution (freshly prepared from bromine ( $16.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathrm{NaOH}(63.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.59 \mathrm{mmol}, 6$ equiv)
and water ( 2 mL )) was added slowly. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. Sodium sulfite ( $\sim 40 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added and the mixture was acidified to $\mathrm{pH}=2$ with $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M})$ and stirred for 15 min . Afterwards it was neutralized with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, brine was added and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 3 x 25 mL ). The combined organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated and concentrated in vacuo to give the unprotected aminoester. $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 8}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N O}_{3}$, MW: $305.41 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$, $21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.57-7.53$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.39-7.30 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 3.53-3.43 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOH}$ ), $2.37(t t, J=11.7,2.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H), 1.99-1.85\left(m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.65-1.57\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.39-1.33\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 1.31-1.08 $\left(m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.04-0.92\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 2{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right): \delta=170.1,137.7,128.4,128.1,128.0,125.9,84.1,70.5,44.7,35.9$, 35.2, 27.7, 27.0, 26.9, 23.4.

The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(110 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 3\right.$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $289 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv) were added and the reaction was stirred overnight. ${ }^{9}$ The solvent was removed in vacuo, the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and washed once with aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \%)$ and twice with brine. Then it was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Column chromatography of the crude product ( $\mathrm{PE}: \mathrm{EtOAc}=18: 1 \rightarrow 9: 1$ ) resulted in $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) \mathbf{- 8}(97.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a colorless oil.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 3}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3 5}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{5}}, \mathbf{M W}: 405.53 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:-19.6\left(\mathrm{c}=0.23, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.56-7.52$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.39-7.29 ( $m, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 4.44-4.34 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CHOH}), 2.51-2.38(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.10-2.06\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.94-1.88\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.65-1.59(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H_{2}\right), 1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 1.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.35-1.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=170.0,152.8,137.4,128.5,128.1,125.8,84.2,81.8,44.5$, $32.0,31.5,30.9,27.73,27.68,26.9,23.1$. IR (in $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $v=2979,2938,2865,2238,1732$, 1449, 1394, 1369, 1317, 1277, 1251, 1148, 1098, 984. MS (ESI) m/z: 406.20 ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 100 \%$ ), $349.15\left([\mathrm{M}-t \mathrm{Bu}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 25 \%\right), 272.11\left([\mathrm{M}-t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{Ph}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 19 \%\right)$. HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 406.2588$. Found: 406.2585.

## (S)-tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-((1R,3S)-3-methoxycyclohexyl)-2-phenyl-

 acetate
(S,R,S)-6aa
( $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )-6aa ( $628.6 \mathrm{mg}, 1.99 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry THF ( 15 mL ) and slowly added to a $\mathrm{NaH}\left(130 \mathrm{mg}, 2.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5\right.$ equiv, $55-60 \%$ in oil) suspension in THF ( 5 mL ) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for additional 30 min . Afterwards dimethylsulfate ( $234 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.19 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv) was added in one portion at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for additional 30 min for complete conversion. ${ }^{10}$ Saturated aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added carefully, the mixture was acidified with HCl (1M) and extracted three times with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated and the solvent removed in vacuo to give the crude product. Column chromatography (PE:EtOAc $=20: 1$ ) resulted in ( $S$ )-tert-butyl-2-cyano-2-(( $1 R, 3 S$ )-3-methoxycyclohexyl)-2phenylacetate ( $593.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ ) as a colorless solid.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 7}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $329.43 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} . \mathbf{M p}: 86.1-87.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}} \boldsymbol{:}-3.8\left(\mathrm{c}=0.40, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.59-7.55$ ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.43-7.34 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 3.20 ( $s$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOCH}_{3}$ ), 3.09-2.96 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOCH}_{3}$ ), 2.45-2.35 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.11-2.07 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.94-1.73 ( $m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.38-0.98\left(m, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 7 5 ~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$, $\left.2{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right): \delta=166.3,133.3,129.0,128.9,126.3,117.2,84.4,78.7,60.6,55.5,43.5,33.0,31.2$, 28.9, 27.6, 26.9, 23.3. IR (in $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $v=2978,2938,2863,2823,2237,1736,1493,1450$, 1394, 1370, 1252, 1153, 1093, 1037, 996. MS (ESI) m/z: 352.19 ([M+Na] ${ }^{+}$, 14\%), 338.17 ([M $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{Na}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}, 100 \%\right), 282.11\left([\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{COO} t \mathrm{Bu}]^{+}, 57 \%\right), 260.13\left(\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}, 7 \%\right)$.

HRMS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}:$ Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 352.1883$. Found: 352.1872.

## (S)-2-Cyano-2-((1R,3S)-3-methoxycyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetamide ((S,R,S)-9)



1) Hydrolysis of the ester: ${ }^{11}$ To a solution of (S)-tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(( $\left.1 R, 3 S\right)$-3-methoxycyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate ( $159.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added trifluoroacetic acid ( $467 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 6.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 13$ equiv) and triethylsilane ( $195 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.21 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.5 equiv) at room temperature and the reaction was stirred overnight. The solvent was removed in vacuo and $n$-pentane ( $\sim 7 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to precipitate the acid, which was isolated by decantation of the residual liquid and dried in high vacuum.
2) Formation of the acid chloride: ${ }^{12}$ To the acid was added dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}(108 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv). The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature.
3) Formation of the amide: ${ }^{12}$ The acid chloride solution was added slowly to a $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ solution in $\mathrm{MeOH}(7 \mathrm{M}, 4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h the reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. The solvent was removed, water was added and the mixture was washed three times with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated and solvent removed in vacuo to give the crude product. Column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+2.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ resulted in $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) \mathbf{- 9}(110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%)$ as a colorless oil.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 6}}^{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$, MW: $272.34 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+1.3\left(\mathrm{c}=0.30, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.64-7.59$ ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.44-7.34 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $6.34\left(b, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$ ), $5.88\left(b, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.20\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOCH}_{3}\right), 3.09-2.99\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOCH}_{3}\right), 2.58-2.49(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})$, 2.13-2.08 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.92-1.89 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.55-1.51 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.43-1.22 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.17-0.94 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=168.0,133.4,129.1$, $128.9,126.3,125.9,119.0,78.7,59.6,55.6,43.0,33.3,31.1,28.9,23.2$. IR (in $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $v=$ 3331, 3192, 2937, 2861, 2826, 2241, 1692, 1607, 1493, 1449, 1350, 1278, 1198, 1147, 1088. MS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}: 295.14\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 100 \%\right), 273.16\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 2 \%\right), 241.13\left(\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right]^{+}, 13 \%\right)$,
160.07 ([M - 3-methoxycyclohexyl+H $]^{+}$, 12\%). HRMS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}$ : Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 295.1417. Found: 295.1418.

## (S)-5-((1R,3S)-3-Methoxycyclohexyl)-5-phenylimidazolidine-2,4-dione ((S,R,S)-10)


$(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) \mathbf{- 9}(70.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and sodium hypobromite solution (freshly prepared from bromine ( $7.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.57$ equiv), NaOH ( $56.2 \mathrm{mg}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.4$ equiv) and water $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ ) were added and the reaction was stirred for 1 h . Afterwards the reaction was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{12}$ Then the mixture was cooled to room temperature, water was added and the mixture was acidified with $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M})$. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated and the solvent removed in vacuo. Column chromatography of the crude hydantoin (PE:EtOAc $=2: 1 \rightarrow$ $1: 1)$ resulted in $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) \mathbf{- 1 0}(59.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \%)$ as a colorless solid.

Constitution and absolute configuration of ( $\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )-10 was confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis. ( $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )-10 crystallized in enantiomerically pure form in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{MeCN}$ at room temperature. CCDC 884921 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this compound. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.


X-ray crystal structure of ( $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )-10 [color code: C (grey); N (blue); O (red); H (white)]. Two included water molecules per unit cell are omitted for clarity.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 0}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $288.34 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. $\mathbf{M p}$ : decomposition $>200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}:+49.3 \quad(\mathrm{c}=0.28$, MeOH ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{M e O D}-\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=7.59-7.55$ ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathbf{2 H}$, arom. H ), 7.45-7.31 ( m , 3 H , arom. H ), 3.36 ( $s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOCH}_{3}$ ), 3.30-3.21 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOCH}_{3}$ ), 2.33 ( $t t, J=12.3,3.0,1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C} H)$, 2.11-1.98 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.78-1.72 ( $m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.36-0.82 ( $m, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, MeOD-D $4,2{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ): $\delta=178.1,159.4,139.1,129.7,129.2,126.6,80.4,73.0,56.1$, 45.1, 34.0, 32.7, 26.6, 24.4. IR (in MeOD-D 4 ): $v=3227,3065,2938,2860,2357,1769,1716$, 1495, 1448, 1398, 1359, 1262, 1187, 1152, 1084. MS (ESI) m/z: 311.14 ([M+Na] ${ }^{+}$, 22\%), $289.15\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 100 \%\right), 257.13\left(\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right]^{+}, 19 \%\right), 175.05$ ( $[\mathrm{M} \text { - 3-methoxycyclohexyl }]^{+}$, 14\%). HRMS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}$ : Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 289.1547$. Found: 189.1542.

## Determination of the Absolute Configuration by X-Ray Analysis and Chemical Correlation

Various crystal structures could be solved by X-ray diffraction to determine the configuration of the products. The following color code is used: C (gray); N (blue); O (red); H (white), Br (brown), Cl (green). For details about the syntheses and product characterizations, see the previous chapters.

- Determination of the absolute configuration of $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$ - $\mathbf{3 i a}$ was possible from the crystal structure of $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-4ia, which was synthesized from $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3ia (single diastereomer, $e e_{(R, R)}=$ $90 \%$ ) and crystallized in enantiomerically pure form from $n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{PrOH}$ at room temperature.

$(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) \mathbf{- 6 a a}$ was synthesized from $(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) \mathbf{- 3 a a}$ (single diastereomer, $e e_{(R, R)}=89 \%$ ) and crystallized in enantiomerically pure form in $n$-hexane $/ i \mathrm{PrOH}$ at room temperature. ( $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )-6aa was further converted into ( $\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )-7 and crystallized in enantiomerically pure form from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-28{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For both products the absolute configuration was determined by X-ray analysis.

$(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) \mathbf{- 1 0}$ was synthesized in a six step sequence from ( $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}) \mathbf{- 3 a a}$ (single diastereomer, $e e_{(R, R)}=89 \%$ ) and crystallized in enantiomerically pure form from $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{MeCN}$ at room temperature. Its absolute configuration was determined by X-ray analysis.

- Determination of the relative configuration was possible for the following crystal structures: The $(R, R) /(S, S)$-configured diastereomer 3ha crystallized preferentially in racemic form (from a sample with $e e_{(R, R)}=99 \%$ ) from $n$-hexane $/ i \operatorname{PrOH}$ at room temperature.


The $(R, R) /(S, S)$-configured diastereomer 3ia crystallized preferentially in racemic form (from a sample with $\left.e e_{(R, R)}=90 \%\right)$ from diethylether at room temperature.

$\boldsymbol{r a c}-\mathbf{6 m a}$ was synthesized from $\boldsymbol{r a c - 3 m a}$ and crystallized preferentially as the $(S, R, S) /(R, S, R)$ configured diastereomer (from a racemic sample with both diastereomers) from $n$-hexane $/ i \mathrm{PrOH}$ at room temperature.

$(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) \mathbf{- 6 a a}$ was synthesized from ( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) \mathbf{- 3 a a}$ (single diastereomer, $e e_{(R, R)}=94 \%$ ) and crystallized preferentially in racemic form from $n$-hexane $/ i \mathrm{PrOH}$ at room temperature.

$(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R})$-4hd was synthesized from ( $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}$ ) -3hd (single diastereomer, $e e_{(S, R)}=94 \%$ ) and crystallized preferentially in racemic form from $n$-hexane $/ i \mathrm{PrOH}$ at room temperature.


- Determination of the constitution of 3id was possible from the following crystal structure:

3id crystallized preferentially in racemic form (from a sample with both diastereomers, $e e_{(S, R)}=$ $91 \%$ and $\left.e e_{(R, R)}=91 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=82: 18\right)$ in $n$-hexane $/ i \mathrm{PrOH}$ at room temperature. The unit cell contains both diastereomers.


## Transformation of ( $R, R$ )-3ab into ( $R, R$ )-3aa and further into ( $R, R$ )-3ac by Gradual Ring Expansion



To a solution of trimethylaluminum in toluene ( $573.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv, 2 M in toluene) was added the enantioenriched ketone $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3ab $\left(286.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv, $e e_{(R, R)}=90 \%$, $\left.e e_{(S, R)}=52 \%, d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=82: 18\right)$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen atmosphere. Trimethylsilyldiazomethane in hexane ( $525.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv, 2 M in hexane) was added in one portion at this temperature. ${ }^{13}$ The mixture was allowed to warm to $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirring was continued for one hour. The reaction mixture was then poured into $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{aq} . \mathrm{HCl}$ solution and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Column chromatography of the crude product (PE:EtOAc $=9: 1$ ) resulted in $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3aa $\left(204.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 68 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=90 \%\right.$, $\left.e e_{(S, R)}=56 \%, d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=81: 19\right)$ as a colorless oil. As mentioned before the $e e$ values of 3aa were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel OD-H, $n$-hexane/i-PrOH (99/1), 0.9 mL $\mathrm{min}^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(R, R)}=13.3 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(S, S)}=41.3 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(S, R)}=17.8 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(R, S)}=12.1 \mathrm{~min}$. In a second fraction the regioisomer 3a** ( $49.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 17 \%$ ) was obtained as colourless oil.

For characterization of 3aa, see above.
Side product 3aa*: $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2 3}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}$, MW: $313.39 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{2 1}{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right): \delta=$ 7.63-7.55 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), 7.47-7.37 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $2.83(t t, J=11.6,3.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H$ ), 2.65$2.46\left(m, 3 H, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.41-2.32\left(m, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.30-2.17\left(m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.03-1.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 1.75-1.50 ( $m, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.43\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right.$ ): $\delta=209.5$, 166.0, 133.4, 129.2, 128.9, 126.1, 116.8, 84.9, 59.7, 47.8, 42.1, 40.1, 28.9, 27.6, 26.9. IR (film): $v=2978,2939,2247,1733,1716,1449,1370,1250,1148,1073,1035,914$. MS (ESI) m/z: $336.16\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 100 \%\right)$. HRMS (ESI) $\boldsymbol{m} / \boldsymbol{z}$ : Calc. for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 336.1570$. Found: 336.1571.


The next ring expansion to the 7 -membered ring was achieved in analogy to the above described procedure. The enantioenriched ketone ( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}$ )-3aa ( $50.2 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad e e_{(R, R)}=90 \%$, $\left.e e_{(S, R)}=56 \%, d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=81: 19\right)$ was treated with trimethlyaluminum $(96 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.2 equiv, 2 M in toluene) and trimethylsilyldiazomethane ( $88 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv, 2 M in hexane) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred again for one hour at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Column chromatography of the crude product ( $\mathrm{PE}: E t O A c=9: 1$ ) resulted in $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) \mathbf{- 3 a c}(37.6 \mathrm{mg}$, $\left.0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=88 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=56 \%, d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=81: 19\right)$ as a colorless oil. As mentioned before the $e e$ values of 3ac were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}(99 / 1), 2 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$, detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(R, R)}=5.6 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(S, S)}=7.0 \mathrm{~min}$, $t_{(S, R)}=6.3 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(R, S)}=32.2 \mathrm{~min}$.

For characterization of 3ac, see above.

## Reductive Dehalogenation of Michael-Addition Products


( $R, R$ )-3ca
$e e_{(R, R)}=78 \%$
$e e_{(S, R)}=54 \%$
$d r=77: 23$

25 w\% Pd/C (10\%), 5 equiv $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OOCH}$, $\xrightarrow[19 \%]{\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 5 \mathrm{~h}}$

19\%

( $R, R$ )-3aa
$e e_{(R, R)}=77 \%$
$e e_{(S, R)}=54 \%$
$d r=76: 24$

According to GP5 enantioenriched ( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}$ )-3ca $\left(15.9 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, e e_{(R, R)}=78 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=54 \%\right.$, $\left.d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=77: 23\right)$ in MeOH was treated with ammonium formate $(12.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5 equiv) and palladium on charcoal ( $10 \%, 4.0 \mathrm{mg}$ ) to yield ( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}$ ) - 3aa ( $2.4 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $\left.19 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=77 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=54 \%, d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=76: 24\right)$ as colorless oil. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ (99/1), 0.9 mL $\mathrm{min}^{-1}$, detection at 210 nm . For characterization of 3aa, see above.


According to GP5 enantioenriched ( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}$ )-3da ( $20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, e e_{(R, R)}=87 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=78 \%$, $\left.d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=83: 17\right)$ in MeOH was treated with ammonium formate $(18.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5 equiv) and palladium on charcoal ( $10 \%, 5.0 \mathrm{mg}$ ) to yield ( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}$ ) - 3aa ( $8.9 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $\left.49 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=85 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=75 \%, d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}=83: 17\right)$ as colorless oil. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ (99/1), 0.9 mL $\min ^{-1}$, detection at 210 nm . For characterization of 3aa, see above.

( $S, R$ )-3ha

$$
\begin{gathered}
e e_{(S, R)}=85 \% \\
e e_{(R, R)}=15 \% \\
d r=84: 16
\end{gathered}
$$



(S,R)-3aa

$$
\begin{gathered}
e e_{(S, R)}=85 \% \\
e e_{(R, R)}=11 \% \\
d r=84: 16
\end{gathered}
$$

According to GP5 enantioenriched (S,R)-3ha ( $42.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, e e_{(S, R)}=85 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=15 \%$, $\left.d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=84: 16\right)$ in MeOH was treated with ammonium formate ( $38.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5 equiv) and palladium on charcoal ( $10 \%, 10.6 \mathrm{mg}$ ) to yield in ( $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}$ ) - $\mathbf{3 a a}(8.8 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $\left.23 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=85 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=11 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=84: 16\right)$ as colorless oil. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ (99/1), 0.9 mL $\mathrm{min}^{-1}$, detection at 210 nm . For characterization of 3aa, see above.


According to GP5 enantioenriched (S,R)-3id (15.5 mg, $37 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, e e_{(S, R)}=91 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=91 \%$, $\left.d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=82: 18\right)$ in MeOH was treated with ammonium formate $(11.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5 equiv) and palladium on charcoal ( $10 \%, 3.9 \mathrm{mg}$ ) to yield in ( $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}$ )-3aa ( $10.3 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $\left.82 \%, e e_{(S, R)}=91 \%, e e_{(R, R)}=90 \%, d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}=80: 20\right)$ as colorless oil. The $d r$ and $e e$ values were determined by chiral column HPLC: Chiracel OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ (99/1), 0.7 mL $\min ^{-1}$, detection at 210 nm . For characterization of 3ad, see above.

## Kinetic Investigations of the FBIP Catalyzed Asymmetric Michael-Addition

## Experimental

The catalysis reactions were performed according to GP 3 with the mentioned addition order (to the cyanoacetate 1a is added acetic acid as stock solution, the catalyst as stock solution and finally the enone 2a at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, except in the case of reverse substrate addition, see below) using $0.09 \mathrm{mmol} \mathbf{1 a}$ in $170 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solvent. For the determination of the reaction order of $\mathbf{1 a}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ enone 2a was used in $170 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of solvent. In all cases the time measurement was started with the addition of the enone $\mathbf{2 a}$ (or $\mathbf{1 a}$ in the case of reverse addition order of substrates, see below) at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For monitoring, aliquots of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the reaction mixture were taken and added to $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of acetonitrile to stop the reaction (confirmed by HPLC analysis) and to release product and starting material from the catalyst. The samples were analyzed by RP-HPLC (RP-18 column, gradient of acetonitrile/water as eluent, detection at $\left.210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{(\mathbf{1 a})}=2.0 \mathrm{~min}, t_{(3 a \mathrm{a})}=2.3 \mathrm{~min}\right)$ and the conversion and product concentration/yield of each sample was calculated by the corresponding calibration curve (Figure 1).


Figure 1: Left: Calibration curve of 1a at 210 nm . Right: Calibration curve of 3aa at 210 nm .
The initial reaction rates $r$ were calculated from the [product]-time data of each reaction and the error for each value was calculated from the test series standard deviation (probability of 95\%).

The partial reaction order of one compound (in this example for the catalyst, eq. 1 to 3 ) was determined by variation of its concentration while the other reagents were present in excess (simplification of eq. 1 to eq. 2) ${ }^{14}$.
$r=k \cdot c_{\text {catalyst }}^{m_{1}} \cdot c_{\text {enone }}^{m_{2}} \cdot c_{C A}^{m_{3}} \cdot c_{H O A c}^{m_{4}} \cdot c_{\text {diglyme }}^{m_{5}}$
$r=k^{*} \cdot c_{\text {catalyst }}^{m_{1}} \quad$ with $\quad k^{*}=k \cdot c_{\text {enone }, 0}^{m_{2}} \cdot c_{C A, 0}^{m_{3}} \cdot c_{H O A c, 0}^{m_{4}} \cdot c_{\text {diglyme }}^{m_{5}}=$ const.

Logarithmic transformation of the obtained initial conversion rate/concentration data results in the partial reaction order $m_{\mathrm{i}}$ of the corresponding compound (eq. 3).
$\ln r=\ln k^{*}+m_{1} \cdot \ln c_{\text {catalyst }}$

## Reaction Order of the Catalyst FBIP- $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}$

The determination of the reaction order of the catalyst $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ was achieved in two slightly different series:
a) normal catalysis procedure as mentioned above with 0.25 to $2.00 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst
b) reverse substrate addition (cyanoacetate $1 \mathbf{1 a}$ is added as final component) using 0.25 to $1.25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst

The corresponding results are discussed in detail in the following section:
a) Normal Procedure with 0.25 to $2.00 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ Catalyst


2a

$1 a$
0.25-2.00 $\mathbf{~ m o l} \%$ FBIP- $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}$, $170 \mu \mathrm{l}$ diglyme, 0.2 equiv HOAc , $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$




In the first series for the determination of the order of the catalyst $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ the catalysis was performed with catalyst amounts from 0.25 to $2.00 \mathrm{~mol} \%$, while all other concentrations were kept constant.

The yield-time graph (Figure 2, left) shows a dependency of the reaction rate from the catalyst concentration. With higher loadings higher initial reaction rates are achieved (Figure 2, right). The initial reaction rates (Table 1) were calculated from the slope of the yield-time data for each test series.


Figure 2: Left: Yield-time data for varying amounts of catalyst $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$. Right: Dependency of the initial reaction rate from the catalyst concentration.

Table 1: Initial reaction rates for varying amounts of catalyst $\mathrm{FBIP}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}$.

| $\#$ | $\mathbf{F B I P}_{\mathbf{-}}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ <br> $(\mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | $[$ catalyst] <br> $(\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L})$ | $r$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.25 | 1.19 | $1.26 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 3.78 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 2 | 0.50 | 2.51 | $2.05 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 4.64 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 3 | 0.75 | 3.59 | $3.13 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 4.96 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 4 | 1.00 | 4.81 | $4.09 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.97 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 5 | 1.25 | 6.30 | $5.25 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 3.13 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 6 | 2.00 | 9.88 | $6.82 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 5.19 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |

Logarithmic transformation of the obtained reaction rate data results in a straight line with a slope of 0.84 (Figure 3, left) revealing a reaction order of $\mathbf{0 . 8 4}$ for the catalyst $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ according to equation 3 .

Extrapolation of the yield-time data to $t_{0}=0 \mathrm{~min}$ (y-axis intercepts in Figure 2, left) reveals a relationship between the extrapolated product yield at $t_{0}=0 \mathrm{~min}$ and the corresponding catalyst loading (Figure 3, right). The slope of the regression line (0.615) shows that 100 catalyst molecules have statistically already generated about 60 product molecules initially after addition of all reagents and reactants. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation thus occurs very rapidly with the bispalladium catalyst.


Figure 3: Left: Determination of the reaction order for the catalyst $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$. Right: Dependency of the extrapolated product-yield data at $t_{0}=0 \mathrm{~min}$ on the catalyst loading.
b) 0.25 to $1.25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ Catalyst and Reverse Substrate Addition


In the second series for the determination of the reaction order of the catalyst $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ the catalysis was performed with catalyst amounts from 0.25 to $1.25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$, while all other concentrations were kept constant. A reverse addition order of the substrates was used. In these experiments the catalyst was first mixed with the enone 2a, acetic acid and diglyme. The time measurement was started with the addition of the cyanoacetate $\mathbf{1 a}$ in diglyme at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The yield-time graph (Figure 4, left) shows a dependency of the reaction rate from the catalyst concentration. With higher catalyst loadings higher initial reaction rates are achieved (Figure 4, right). The initial reaction rates (Table 2) were calculated from the slope of the yield-time data for each test series.


Figure 4: Left: Yield-time data for varying amounts of catalyst $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ with reverse substrate addition. Right: Dependency of the initial reaction rate from the catalyst concentration with reverse substrate addition.

Table 2: Initial reaction rates for varying amounts of catalyst $\mathrm{FBIP}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}$.

| $\#$ | FBIP-O $\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ <br> $(\mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l}{[\text { catalyst] }} \\ (\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}\end{array}\right)$ | $r$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.25 | 1.23 | $3.41 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 4.54 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 2 | 0.50 | 2.45 | $5.30 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 8.32 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 3 | 0.75 | 3.68 | $6.75 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 2.14 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 4 | 1.00 | 4.91 | $7.55 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.66 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 5 | 1.25 | 6.14 | $8.17 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 2.84 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |

Logarithmic transformation of the obtained reaction rate data results in a straight line with a slope of 0.55 (Figure 5, left) revealing under the reverse order of substrate addition a reaction order of $\mathbf{0 . 5 5}$ for the catalyst $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}$, corresponding to equation 3 .

The graph of the extrapolated product-yield at $t_{0}=0 \mathrm{~min}$ ( y -axis intercepts in Figure 4, left) as function of the corresponding catalyst loadings results in a straight line with a slope of 0.91 .


Figure 5: Left: Determination of the reaction order for the catalyst $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ with reverse substrate addition Right: Dependency of the extrapolated product-yield data at $t_{0}=0 \mathrm{~min}$ on the catalyst loading of the experiments with reverse substrate addition.

## Reaction Order of Acetic Acid



For the determination of the reaction order of acetic acid the catalysis was performed with a wide range of different acetic acid amounts. In one series acid amounts ( 0.10 to $1.00 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) smaller
and equal to the concentration of palladium were used, in another series larger amounts ( 0.05 to 0.50 equiv) were used to analyze a possible saturation effect, while all other concentrations were constant.

In case of $[\mathrm{HOAc}] \leq[\mathrm{Pd}]$ the yield-time graph shows a very small dependency of the reaction rate from the acetic acid amount (Figure 6, top). Higher acid amounts resulted in slightly higher reaction rates. Nearly identical reaction rates were obtained for [HOAc] >> [Pd] (Figure 6, bottom).

The initial reaction rates (Table 3) were calculated from the slope of the yield-time data for each test series.


Figure 6: Top: Yield-time data and dependency of the initial reaction rate for varying amounts of HOAc, where $[\mathrm{HOAc}] \leq[\mathrm{Pd}]$. Bottom: Yield-time data and dependency of the initial reaction rate for varying amounts of HOAc, where [HOAc] >> [Pd].

Table 3: Initial reaction rates for varying amounts of HOAc.

| $\#$ | HOAc <br> $(\mathrm{mol} \%)$ | $[\mathrm{HOAc}]$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ | $r$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.10 | $4.94 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $5.46 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 3.13 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| $2^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.25 | $1.24 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $5.46 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.18 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| $3^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.50 | $2.47 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $6.48 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 6.78 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| $4^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.75 | $3.71 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $7.78 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 4.41 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| $5^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1.00 | $4.95 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $8.50 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 4.74 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| $6^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 5.0 | $2.49 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $3.08 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 2.19 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| $7^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 10 | $4.84 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $3.06 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 2.21 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| $8^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 20 | $9.74 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $3.10 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.24 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| $9^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 50 | $2.83 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $3.23 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.64 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }} 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst was used. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst was used.
The double reciprocal plot $\mathrm{r}^{-1} v s[\mathrm{HOAc}]^{-1}$ of both test series is shown in Figure 7.


Figure 7: Double reciprocal plot $\mathrm{r}^{-1} v s[\mathrm{HOAc}]^{-1}$ of the obtained data. Left: [HOAc] >> [Pd]. Right: $[\mathrm{HOAc}] \leq[\mathrm{Pd}]$.

Logarithmic transformation of the obtained reaction rate data results in straight lines with a slope of 0.20 for $[\mathrm{HOAc}] \leq[\mathrm{Pd}]$ (Figure 8, left) and 0.02 for [HOAc] >> [Pd] (Figure 8, right). The zero order dependence only in case of [HOAc] >> [Pd] implicates saturation kinetics for these high concentrations. According to equation 3 the reaction order of acetic acid is determined to be 0.20 .


Figure 8: Determination of the reaction order for acetic acid. Left: $[\mathrm{HOAc}] \leq[\mathrm{Pd}]$. Right: $[\mathrm{HOAc}] \gg$ [Pd].

## Influence of Substituted Benzoic Acids



Different substituted benzoic acids were used as co-catalysts to test the influence of the acids' pKa values on the reaction outcome. Stock solutions of the corresponding acid in diglyme ( $c=0.435 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ) were prepared and used instead of HOAc.

The yield-time data using $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ in diglyme at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ show a dependency of the initial reaction rate on the substituent R of the benzoic acid derivative (Figure 9, left). The Hammett plot $\log \mathrm{k} v s \sigma$-constant shows a correlation with a negative value for $\rho(-0.41$, Figure 9 , right). The initial reaction rates (Table 4) were calculated from the slope of the yield-time data for each test series and further converted into the rate constants k .


Figure 9: Left: Yield-time data for different substituted benzoic acid derivatives. Right: Hammett plot of the obtained data.

Table 4: Initial reaction rates and rate constants for different substituted benzoic acid derivatives.

| $\#$ | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\sigma$-constant | $r$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ | k <br> $\left(\mathrm{L}^{1.56} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1.56} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $m-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 0.37 | $3.54 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.32 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $7.18 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 2 | $p-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 0.23 | $3.99 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 8.33 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | $8.10 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| 3 | H | 0 | $5.69 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.06 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $1.15 \cdot 10^{-1}$ |
| 4 | $m-\mathrm{Me}$ | -0.07 | $5.57 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.37 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $1.13 \cdot 10^{-1}$ |
| 5 | $p-\mathrm{MeO}$ | -0.27 | $6.27 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.04 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $1.27 \cdot 10^{-1}$ |
| 6 | $p-\mathrm{OH}$ | -0.37 | $7.22 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.94 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $1.47 \cdot 10^{-1}$ |

The selectivity outcome after 24 h reaction time is shown in Table 5 and Figure 10.
Table 5: Selectivity data of the catalysis reaction with various benzoic acid derivatives.

| $\#$ | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\sigma$-constant | Yield <br> $(\%)$ | $e e_{(R, R)}$ <br> $(\%)$ | $e e_{(S, R)}$ <br> $(\%)$ | $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $m-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 0.37 | 61 | 92 | 66 | $87: 13$ |
| 2 | $p-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 0.23 | 71 | 93 | 72 | $88: 12$ |
| 3 | H | 0 | 77 | 94 | 76 | $90: 10$ |
| 4 | $m-\mathrm{Me}$ | -0.07 | 75 | 81 | 74 | $90: 10$ |
| 5 | $p-\mathrm{MeO}$ | -0.27 | 75 | 94 | 79 | $90: 10$ |
| 6 | $p-\mathrm{OH}$ | -0.37 | 87 | 95 | 78 | $90: 10$ |



Figure 10: Effect of the electronic properties of the benzoic acid derivative on the selectivity (left axis: $d r$, right axis: $e e$ ).

## Reaction Order of Enone 2a



For the determination of the reaction order of the enone 2a the catalysis was performed with amounts from 0.05 to 1.00 equiv of enone 2a, while all other concentrations were kept constant. The yield-time data show a dependency of the initial reaction rate on the enone concentration (Figure 11, left). With higher concentrations the initial reaction rate increases (Figure 11, right). The following initial reaction rates (Table 6) were calculated from the slope of the yield-time data.


Figure 11: Left: Yield-time data for varying amounts of enone. Right: Plot of the initial reaction rates as a function of the enone concentration.

Table 6: Initial reaction rates for varying amounts of enone 2a.

| $\#$ | Enone 2a <br> (equiv) | $[\mathbf{2 a}]$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ | $r$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.05 | 0.028 | $6.33 \cdot 10^{-6} \pm 6.95 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| 2 | 0.20 | 0.110 | $2.50 \cdot 10^{-5} \pm 1.75 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 3 | 0.40 | 0.219 | $6.53 \cdot 10^{-5} \pm 2.28 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 4 | 0.80 | 0.432 | $1.05 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 8.04 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 5 | 1.00 | 0.554 | $1.44 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 6.24 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |

Logarithmic transformation of the initial reaction rate data provides a straight line with a slope of 1.05 (Figure 12) revealing a reaction order of $\mathbf{1 . 0 5}$ for the enone, according to equation 3 .


Figure 12: Determination of the reaction order for the enone.

## Reaction Order of $\alpha$-Phenyl- $\alpha$-cyanoacetate (1a)



For the determination of the reaction order of $\alpha$-phenyl- $\alpha$-cyanoacetate (1a) the catalysis was performed with $\alpha$-phenyl- $\alpha$-cyanoacetate amounts from 0.050 to 0.507 equiv, while all other concentrations were kept constant. The yield-time data shows a dependency of the reaction rate from the cyanoacetate concentration (Figure 13, left). With higher cyanoacetate concentrations the reaction proceeds faster. The plot of the initial reaction rate against the cyanoacetate concentration shows a clear departure from a straight line implying a broken reaction order (Figure 13, right). The following initial reaction rates (Table 7) were calculated from the slope of the yield-time data.


Figure 13: Left: Yield-time data for varying amounts of $\alpha$-phenyl- $\alpha$-cyanoacetate. Right: Initial reaction rates as a function of the cyanoacetate concentration.

Table 7: Initial reaction rates for varying amounts of $\alpha$-phenyl- $\alpha$-cyanoacetate.

| $\#$ | CA 1a <br> (equiv) | $[\mathbf{1 a}]$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ | $r$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.050 | 0.054 | $1.37 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 5.97 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 2 | 0.106 | 0.116 | $2.17 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.63 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 3 | 0.156 | 0.170 | $2.62 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.81 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 4 | 0.201 | 0.219 | $2.88 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.29 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 5 | 0.297 | 0.324 | $3.50 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 8.41 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 6 | 0.406 | 0.443 | $3.75 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.93 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 7 | 0.507 | 0.554 | $4.22 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.03 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |

Logarithmic transformation of the initial reaction rate data results in a straight line with a slope of 0.47 (Figure 14) revealing a reaction order of $\mathbf{0 . 4 7}$ for the $\alpha$-phenyl- $\alpha$-cyanoacetate, corresponding to equation 3 .


Figure 14: Determination of the reaction order for the $\alpha$-phenyl- $\alpha$-cyanoacetate.

## Influence of Diglyme on the Reaction Rate and Stereoselectivity



To investigate the influence of diglyme to the reaction rate of the catalysis reaction the experiments were performed in 1,2-dichloroethane with varying amounts of diglyme ( 0.25 to
$0.75 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ and 0.25 to 10 equiv of diglyme). The total reaction volume of each experiment was $170 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solvent mixture. For high diglyme amounts ( 2 to 10 equiv), the yield-time data show an accelerating effect of diglyme, while the lower concentrations have a lower influence on the reaction rate (Figure 15). The following initial reaction rates were calculated from the slope of the yield-time data (Table 8).


Figure 15: Yield-time data for varying amounts of diglyme.

Table 8: Initial reaction rates for varying amounts of diglyme.

| $\#$ | Diglyme <br> (equiv) | Diglyme <br> $($ vol\%) | $[$ Diglyme] <br> $\left(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ | $r$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $2.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 0.02 | $1.24 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $1.36 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 6.61 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 2 | $5.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 0.04 | $2.47 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $1.37 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 7.14 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 3 | $7.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 0.06 | $3.71 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $1.36 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 6.30 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 4 | 0.25 | 2.0 | $1.24 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $1.56 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 6.63 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 5 | 0.5 | 3.9 | $2.47 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $1.64 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 7.65 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 6 | 1.0 | 7.8 | $4.95 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $1.79 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 6.98 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 7 | 2.0 | 15.6 | $9.89 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $2.14 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 7.94 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 8 | 4.0 | 31.4 | 1.98 | $4.37 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.36 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 9 | 6.0 | 47.0 | 2.97 | $5.12 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.55 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 10 | 10 | 78.2 | 4.95 | $8.44 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.56 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |

The initial reaction rate as function of the diglyme concentration shows that there is a significant change of the initial reaction rate when higher amounts of diglyme are present (Figure 16). It is
most likely that diglyme facilitates the decomplexation of the product from the bis-palladium complex, also see "Derivation of the Theoretical Rate Law from the Proposed Mechanism". Determination of the reaction order for diglyme under these reaction conditions results in a broken reaction order of $\mathbf{0 . 4 6}$.


Figure 16: Initial reaction rates as a function of the diglyme concentration and the logarithmic data.

Besides the activity enhancing effect of diglyme as solvent it also has a crucial effect on the selectivity of the reaction. While the reaction in 1,2-dichloroethane proceeds only with low enantio- and diastereoselectivity, already 2 equiv of diglyme cause a drastic increase of the selectivity (Table 9).

Table 9: Influence of diglyme on the selectivity.

| $\#$ | Diglyme <br> (equiv) | Diglyme <br> $($ vol\%) | $e e_{(R, R)}$ <br> $(\%)$ | $e e_{(S, R)}$ <br> $(\%)$ | $d r_{(R, R+S, S):(S, R+R, S)}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | - | 0 | 36 | 48 | $62: 38$ |
| 2 | 2.0 | 15.6 | 93 | 51 | $85: 15$ |
| 3 | 4.0 | 31.4 | 97 | 76 | $90: 10$ |
| 4 | 6.0 | 47.0 | 95 | 65 | $88: 12$ |
| 5 | 10 | 78.2 | 96 | 76 | $89: 11$ |
| 6 | - | 100 | 94 | 65 | $89: 11$ |

## Influence of MeCN on the Reaction Rate



To investigate the influence of MeCN to the reaction rate of the catalysis reaction the experiments were performed in diglyme with varying amounts of MeCN ( 0.05 to 2.00 equiv of MeCN ). The total reaction volume of each experiment was $170 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the solvent mixture. The yield-time data shows a decrease of the initial reaction rate with increased MeCN amounts (Figure 17). The following initial reaction rates were calculated from the slope of the yield-time data (Table 10).


Figure 17: Yield-time data for varying amounts of MeCN and initial reaction rates as a function of the MeCN concentration.

Table 10: Initial reaction rates for varying amounts of MeCN.

| $\#$ | MeCN <br> (equiv) | $[\mathrm{MeCN}]$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ | $r$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.05 | $2.44 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $2.94 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 3.12 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 2 | 0.125 | $6.16 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $2.54 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.98 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 3 | 0.25 | $1.23 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $2.29 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 2.79 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 4 | 1 | $4.95 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $1.06 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 6.37 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 5 | 2 | $9.87 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $1.05 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 2.80 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |

Logarithmic transformation of the initial reaction rate data results in a straight line with a slope of -0.32 (Figure 18) revealing a broken, negative reaction order of $\mathbf{- 0 . 3 2}$ for MeCN under these reaction conditions.


Figure 18: Determination of the reaction order of MeCN .

## Course of the Reaction

The investigation of the course of the reaction was performed to gain a detailed insight into the evolution of the enantio- and diastereoselectivity of the product formation. For this purpose the reaction was carried out according to GP3, yet on a larger scale with $0.46 \mathrm{mmol} \mathbf{1 a}$ in $850 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of diglyme. For the analysis $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the reaction mixture were added to $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ acetonitrile to stop the reaction and release product and starting material from the catalyst. The sample was filtered over a short pad of silica to completely remove the catalyst. The filter cake was further washed with petrol ether: ethyl acetate (4:1). After removal of the solvent and an excess of enone 2a the samples were analyzed by RP-HPLC and chiral stationary phase HPLC to determine yield, enantiomeric excess and diasteromeric ratio (Table 11).

Table 11: Collected data during the reaction.


| $\#$ | Time <br> $(\mathrm{min})$ | Conv. <br> $(\%)$ | $e e_{(R, R)}$ <br> $(\%)$ | $e e_{(S, R)}$ <br> $(\%)$ | $d r_{(R, R+S, S):}$ <br> $(S, R+R, S)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 9.77 | 2.02 | 98 | 54 | $44.87: 1$ |
| 2 | 54.62 | 8.94 | 99 | 61 | $21.37: 1$ |
| 3 | 120.03 | 16.44 | 98 | 78 | $16.30: 1$ |
| 4 | 174.32 | 21.83 | 99 | 73 | $13.34: 1$ |
| 5 | 234.17 | 28.68 | 97 | 86 | $13.01: 1$ |
| 6 | 298.17 | 33.68 | 95 | 83 | $14.90: 1$ |
| 7 | 371.43 | 38.12 | 96 | 90 | $9.11: 1$ |
| 8 | 420.88 | 43.42 | 95 | 79 | $8.31: 1$ |
| 9 | 478.23 | 48.39 | 93 | 77 | $8.17: 1$ |
| 10 | 538.8 | 50.37 | 95 | 75 | $8.35: 1$ |
| 11 | 598.33 | 51.49 | 95 | 75 | $8.28: 1$ |
| 12 | 20 h | $>99$ | 94 | 71 | $8.10: 1$ |

The yield-time data shows a curve with slowly decreasing slope (Figure 19). The reaction was followed for nearly 10 h to a yield of $51 \%$.


Figure 19: Time depending yields of the $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ catalyzed reaction.

The development of the enantiomeric excess of the two diastereomers is shown in Figure 20. The major $(R, R)$-enantiomer is formed right from the beginning on with high enantioselectivity (Table 11, \#1). The ee stays at a high value during the reaction. In contrast, the enantiomeric excess of the $(S, R)$-enantiomer is increasing in the first hours until it reaches its final value after around 5 h .


Figure 20: Development of the enantioselectivity of the $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ catalyzed reaction.

The development of the diastereomeric excess is presented in Figure 21. The reaction starts with remarkably high diastereoselectivity for the desired diastereomer (Table 11, \#1 \& \#2). With increasing reaction time the $d r$ decreases until the final value is reached after approximately 7 h .


Figure 21: Development of the diastereomeric ratio of the $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ catalyzed reaction.

## Derivation of the Theoretical Rate Law from the Proposed Mechanism



A theoretical rate law was derived from the proposed mechanism by application of steady state kinetics simplifications with nearly steady state concentrations of catalyst species 11-13 in the catalytic cycle, a rate limiting product decomplexation from $\mathbf{1 3}$ and an off-cycle catalyst reservoir $\mathbf{1 4}$ - that means two resting states $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$. The rate constants of each elementary
step are defined in Scheme 2. [cat ${ }_{0}$ ] is the initial concentration of the activated catalyst, i.e. if two resting states are present, the following simplification is possible: $[\mathbf{1 3}]+[\mathbf{1 4}] \approx\left[\mathrm{cat}_{0}\right]$; and $[\mathbf{1 3}] \approx$ [cat ${ }_{0}$-14] \}.

Assumption of a rate limiting product decomplexation from $\mathbf{1 3}$ via an associative ligand exchange with 1, 2, HOAc or diglyme:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}[3]}{\mathrm{dt}}=[13]\left(\mathrm{k}_{4-1}[1]+\mathrm{k}_{4-2}[2]+\mathrm{k}_{4-\text { diglyme }}[\text { digly me }]+\mathrm{k}_{4-\mathrm{HOAc}}[\mathrm{HOAc}]\right)
$$

Steady state concentration of compound 13:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}[13]}{\mathrm{dt}} \approx 0=-[13]\left(\mathrm{k}_{4-1}[1]+\mathrm{k}_{4-2}[2]+\mathrm{k}_{4-\mathrm{diglyme}}[\text { diglyme }]+\mathrm{k}_{4-\mathrm{HOAc}}[\mathrm{HOAc}]\right)+\mathrm{k}_{3}[12][\mathrm{HOAc}] \\
& {[13]=\frac{\mathrm{k}_{3}[12][\mathrm{HOAc}]}{\left.\mathrm{k}_{4-1}[1]+\mathrm{k}_{4-2}[2]+\mathrm{k}_{4-\text { diglyme }}[\text { diglyme }]+\mathrm{k}_{4-\mathrm{HOAc}}[\mathrm{HOAc}]\right)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Steady state concentration of compound 12:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{\mathrm{d}[12]}{\mathrm{dt}} \approx 0=-\mathrm{k}_{3}[12][\mathrm{HOAc}]+\mathrm{k}_{2}[11] \mathrm{c}\right] \\
& {[12]=\frac{\mathrm{k}_{2}[11]}{\mathrm{k}_{3}[\mathrm{HOAc}]}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Steady state concentration of compound 11:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{\mathrm{d}[11]}{\mathrm{dt}} \approx & 0= \\
& =\mathrm{k}_{1}\left[\mathrm{FBIP}^{2}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}\right][1][2]-\mathrm{k}_{-1}[11]+\mathrm{k}_{0}[2][14]-\mathrm{k}_{-0}[11][1]-\mathrm{k}_{2}[11]+\mathrm{k}_{4-1}[13][1] \\
& +\mathrm{k}_{4-2}[13][2]+\mathrm{k}_{4-\text { diglyme }}[13][\text { diglyme }]+\mathrm{k}_{4-\mathrm{HOAc}}[13][\mathrm{HOAc}]
\end{array}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{k}_{1}\left[\mathrm{FBIP}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}\right][1][2]+\mathrm{k}_{0}[2][14]+\mathrm{k}_{4-1}[13][1]+\mathrm{k}_{4-2}[13][2]}{\mathrm{k}_{-1}+k_{-0}[1]+\mathrm{k}_{2}} .
$$

Application of the steady state concentration of $\mathbf{1 3}$ results in the rate law:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}[3]}{\mathrm{dt}} & =\frac{\mathrm{k}_{3}[12]\left[\mathrm{HOAc}\left(\mathrm{k}_{4-1}[1]+\mathrm{k}_{4-2}[2]+\mathrm{k}_{4-\text { diglyme }}[\text { digly me }]+\mathrm{k}_{4-\mathrm{HOAc}}[\mathrm{HOAc}]\right)\right.}{\left.\mathrm{k}_{4-1}[1]+\mathrm{k}_{4-2}[2]+\mathrm{k}_{4-\text { diglyme }}[\text { digly me }]+\mathrm{k}_{4-\mathrm{HOAc}}[\mathrm{HOAc}]\right)} \\
& =\mathrm{k}_{3}[12][\mathrm{HOAc}]
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitution of [12] by the steady state concentration results in:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}[3]}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}_{3} \mathrm{k}_{2}[11][\mathrm{HOAc}]}{\mathrm{k}_{3}[\mathrm{HOAc}]}=\mathrm{k}_{2}[11]
$$

Application of the steady state concentration of $\mathbf{1 1}$ results in:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}[3]}{\mathrm{dt}}= & k_{2} \frac{\mathrm{k}_{1}\left[\mathrm{FBIP}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}\right][1][2]+\mathrm{k}_{0}[2][14]+\mathrm{k}_{4-1}[13][1]+\mathrm{k}_{4-2}[13][2]}{\mathrm{k}_{-1}+k_{-0}[1]+k_{2}} \\
& +k_{2} \frac{\mathrm{k}_{4 \text {-diglyme }}[13][\text { digly me }]+\mathrm{k}_{4-\mathrm{HOAc}}[13][\mathrm{HOAc}]}{\mathrm{k}_{-1}+k_{-0}[1]+k_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The assumption of two resting states $\mathbf{1 3}$ (rate limiting product-decomplexation) and $\mathbf{1 4}$ (off-cycle catalyst reservoir), and thus a very small concentration of $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ results in following empirical rate law:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}[3]}{\mathrm{dt}}=k_{2} \frac{\mathrm{k}_{0}[2][14]+\mathrm{k}_{4-1}[13][1]+\mathrm{k}_{4-2}[13][2]+\mathrm{k}_{4 \text {-diglyme }}[13][\text { digly me }]+\mathrm{k}_{4-\mathrm{HOAc}}[13][\mathrm{HOAc}]}{\mathrm{k}_{-1}+k_{-0}[1]+k_{2}} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{~d}[3]}{\mathrm{dt}}=k_{2} \frac{\mathrm{k}_{0}[2][14]+\left[\operatorname{cat}_{0}-14\right]\left(\mathrm{k}_{4-1}[1]+\mathrm{k}_{4-2}[2]+\mathrm{k}_{4-\text { diglyme }}[\text { digly me }]+\mathrm{k}_{4-\mathrm{HOAc}}[\mathrm{HOAc}]\right)}{\mathrm{k}_{-1}+k_{-0}[1]+k_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The cyanoacetate 1 thus shows a broken reaction order and the reaction rate also depends on diglyme and acetic acid which might facilitate product decomplexation.
The derived reaction orders in the theoretical rate law are thus in good agreement to the empirical orders:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}[3]}{\mathrm{dt}}=\mathrm{k} \cdot\left[\mathrm{FBIP}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}\right]^{0.84} \cdot[1]^{1.05} \cdot[2]^{0.47} \cdot[\mathrm{HOAc}]^{0.20} \cdot[\text { diglyme }]^{0.46}
$$

## Kinetic Investigations of the FIP Catalyzed Asymmetric Michael-Addition

## Reaction Order of the Catalyst FIP- $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}$

The determination of the reaction order of the catalyst $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ was done in four slightly different series:
a) normal procedure as mentioned above with 0.10 to $1.25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst,
b) use of 0.10 to $1.25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst with additional 5 equiv MeCN per catalyst molecule,
c) 0.50 to $1.50 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst with reverse substrate addition (cyanoacetate $\mathbf{1 a}$ is added as final component),
d) use of 0.10 to $1.00 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of the dimeric catalyst under normal conditions.

All four series gave similar results, which are presented in detail in the following section.
a) Normal Procedure with 0.10 to 1.25 mol\% Catalyst


In the first series the reaction order of the catalyst $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ was determined with catalyst amounts from 0.10 to $1.25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$, while the concentrations of all other components were kept constant.

The yield-time graph (Figure 22, left) shows that almost identical reaction rates are observed for the tested catalyst concentrations. With higher loadings only slightly increased initial reaction rates have been noticed (Figure 22, right). The initial reaction rates (Table 12) were calculated from the slope of the yield-time data for each series.


Figure 22: Left: Yield-time data for varying amounts of catalyst FIP- $\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$. Right: Dependency of the initial reaction rate from the catalyst concentration.

Table 12: Initial reaction rates for varying amounts of catalyst FIP- $\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}$.

| $\#$ | $\mathbf{F I P - \mathbf { O } _ { 2 } \mathbf { C C } _ { 3 } \mathbf { F } _ { 7 }}$ <br> $(\mathrm{mol} \%)$ | $[$ catalyst $]$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ | $r$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.10 | 0.50 | $3.02 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.51 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 2 | 0.25 | 1.22 | $3.62 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 6.66 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 3 | 0.50 | 2.47 | $3.68 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.96 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 4 | 0.75 | 3.77 | $3.89 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 2.69 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 5 | 1.00 | 5.07 | $4.51 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 3.11 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 6 | 1.25 | 6.25 | $4.39 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 2.65 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |

Logarithmic transformation of the obtained reaction rate data results in a straight line with a slope of 0.15 (Figure 23, left) revealing a reaction order of $\mathbf{0 . 1 5}$ for the catalyst $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$, according to equation 3 .

Extrapolation of the yield-time data to $t_{0}=0 \mathrm{~min}$ ( y -axis intercepts in Figure 22, left) results in the following plot of the product yield at $t_{0}=0 \mathrm{~min}$ and the corresponding catalyst loading (Figure 23, right). The negative values indicate an induction period.


Figure 23: Left: Determination of the reaction order for the catalyst FIP- $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}$. Right: Dependency of the extrapolated product-yield data at $t_{0}=0 \mathrm{~min}$ on the catalyst loading.
b) Use of 0.10 to $1.25 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{\%}$ Catalyst and Additional 5 equiv MeCN per Catalyst


The second series was performed with catalyst amounts from 0.10 to $1.25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ in the presence of additional 5 equiv MeCN per catalyst molecule, while all other concentrations were kept constant. MeCN was investigated as an additive to avoid dimerization of the catalyst.

The yield-time graph (Figure 24, left) again shows that almost identical reaction rates are observed for the tested catalyst concentrations. With higher loadings only slightly increased initial reaction rates are achieved (Figure 24, right). The initial reaction rates (Table 13) were calculated from the slope of the yield-time data for each test series.


Figure 24: Left: Yield-time data for varying amounts of catalyst $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ with additional MeCN. Right: Dependency of the initial reaction rate from the catalyst concentration.

Table 13: Initial reaction rates for varying amounts of catalyst FIP- $\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}$ -

| $\#$ | $\mathbf{F I P - \mathbf { O } _ { 2 } \mathbf { C C } _ { 3 } \mathbf { F } _ { 7 }}$ <br> $(\mathrm{mol} \%)$ | $[$ catalyst] <br> $(\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L})$ | $r$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.10 | 0.48 | $3.29 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 3.56 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 2 | 0.75 | 3.62 | $3.62 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.33 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 3 | 1.00 | 5.01 | $4.88 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.86 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 4 | 1.25 | 6.29 | $4.70 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.32 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |

Logarithmic transformation of the obtained reaction rate data results in a straight line with a slope of 0.15 (Figure 25, left) revealing again a reaction order of $\mathbf{0 . 1 5}$ for the catalyst FIP$\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$, according to equation 3 .

Extrapolation of the yield-time data to $t_{0}=0 \mathrm{~min}$ (y-axis intercepts in Figure 24, left) results in the following plot of the product yield at $t_{0}=0 \mathrm{~min}$ and the corresponding catalyst loading (Figure 25, right). The negative values indicate an induction period.


Figure 25: Left: Determination of the reaction order for the catalyst $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ in the presence of add. MeCN. Right: Dependency of the extrapolated product-yield data at $t_{0}=0 \mathrm{~min}$ on the catalyst loading.
c) Reverse Substrate Addition


The third series was performed with catalyst amounts from 0.50 to $1.50 \mathrm{~mol} \%$, while all other concentrations were kept constant. The substrates were added in a reverse order. In these experiments the catalyst was first mixed with the enone 2a, acetic acid and diglyme. The reaction time measurement was started with the addition of the cyanoacetate $\mathbf{1 a}$ in diglyme at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The yield-time graph (Figure 26, left) shows that almost identical reaction rates are observed for the tested catalyst concentrations also with reverse order of substrate addition. With higher loadings only slightly increased initial reaction rates are achieved (Figure 26, right). The initial reaction rates (Table 14) were calculated from the slope of the yield-time data for each test series.


Figure 26: Left: Yield-time data for varying amounts of catalyst $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ with reverse addition order of the substrates. Right: Dependency of the initial reaction rate from the catalyst concentration.

Table 14: Initial reaction rates for varying amounts of catalyst $\mathrm{FIP}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}$.

| $\#$ | FIP-O $\mathbf{C C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ <br> $(\mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | $[$ catalyst $]$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ | $r$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.50 | 2.45 | $5.36 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 2.07 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 2 | 0.75 | 3.68 | $5.90 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 3.12 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 3 | 1.25 | 6.14 | $6.42 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 2.61 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 4 | 1.50 | 7.36 | $6.44 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 3.45 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |

Logarithmic transformation of the obtained reaction rate data results in a straight line with a slope of 0.17 (Figure 27, left) revealing a reaction order of $\mathbf{0 . 1 7}$ for the catalyst $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$, in agreement to equation 3 .
Extrapolation of the yield-time data to $t_{0}=0 \mathrm{~min}$ ( y -axis intercepts in Figure 26, left) results in the following plot of the product yield at $t_{0}=0 \mathrm{~min}$ and the corresponding catalyst loading (Figure 27, right). The negative values indicate an induction period.


Figure 27: Left: Determination of the reaction order for the catalyst $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ with reverse addition order of the substrates. Right: Dependency of the extrapolated product-yield data at $t_{0}=0 \mathrm{~min}$ on the catalyst loading.
d) Use of 0.10 to $1.00 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Dimeric Catalyst


The fourth series was performed with catalyst amounts from 0.10 to $1.00 \mathrm{~mol} \%$, while all other concentrations were kept constant. Activation of the catalyst was done in the absence of acetonitrile to form a dimeric catalyst species $\left[\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}\right]_{2}$. The catalysis was performed following the general procedure.
The yield-time graph (Figure 28, left) shows that similar reaction rates are observed for the tested catalyst concentrations. With higher loadings only slightly increased initial reaction rates have been noticed (Figure 28, right). The initial reaction rates (Table 15) were calculated from the slope of the yield-time data for each test series.


Figure 28: Left: Yield-time data for varying amounts of dimeric catalyst $\left[\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}\right]_{2}$. Right: Dependency of the initial reaction rate from the catalyst concentration.

Table 15: Initial reaction rates for varying amounts of catalyst [FIP-O $\left.\mathbf{O C C}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}\right]_{2}$.

| $\#$ | $\mathbf{F I P - \mathbf { O } _ { 2 } \mathbf { C C } _ { 3 } \mathbf { F } _ { 7 }}$ <br> $(\mathrm{mol} \%)$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l}{[\text { catalyst }]} \\ (\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}\end{array}\right)$ | $r$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.10 | 0.49 | $2.78 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 2.12 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 2 | 0.25 | 1.22 | $3.04 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 2.69 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 3 | 0.50 | 2.45 | $3.37 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.36 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 4 | 0.75 | 3.68 | $4.00 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 2.19 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 5 | 1.00 | 4.50 | $4.50 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.63 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |

Logarithmic transformation of the obtained reaction rate data results in a straight line with a slope of 0.20 (Figure 29, left) revealing a reaction order of $\mathbf{0 . 2 0}$ for the dimeric catalyst [FIP$\left.\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}\right]_{2}$, according to equation 3.

Extrapolation of the yield-time data to $t_{0}=0 \mathrm{~min}$ (y-axis intercepts in Figure 28, left) results in the following plot of the product yield at $t_{0}=0 \mathrm{~min}$ and the corresponding catalyst loading (Figure 29, right). The negative values indicate an induction period.


Figure 29: Left: Determination of the reaction order for the dimeric catalyst $\left[\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}\right]_{2}$. Right: Dependency of the extrapolated product-yield data at $t_{0}=0 \mathrm{~min}$ on the catalyst loading.

## Summary of the Determination of the Reaction Order for the Catalyst $\mathrm{FIP}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}$

All four series have provided the same result. Under all tested reaction conditions the reaction order of the catalyst $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ is broken ranging from $\mathbf{0 . 1 5}$ to $\mathbf{0 . 2 0}$. The very similar initial reaction rates of all experiments (Left) and the corresponding logarithmic data (Right) for the determination of the reaction order are shown together in Figure 30.


Figure 30: Left: Initial reaction rates of the four kinetic series with varying amounts of catalyst Right: Logarithmic initial reaction rates for the determination of the reaction rate of the catalyst $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$.

In all four series an induction period is observed, which could not be suppressed by changing the reaction conditions (additional MeCN , reverse addition order of the substrates or a MeCN free, dimeric catalyst). The induction period might be caused by slow generation of a reactive catalyst-substrate complex. The slow generation of the active catalyst-substrate complex might be caused by an equilibrium of a monomeric and a dimeric catalyst reservoir, where only the monomeric form is active or by slow ligand exchange (e.g. enone coordination might be necessary for a productive reaction pathway), also see chapter "Investigation of the Non-Linear Effect of FIP- $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}$ ".

## Reaction Order of Acetic Acid



For the determination of the reaction order of acetic acid the catalysis was performed with a wide range of acetic acid amounts. Either acid amounts smaller or almost equal to the concentration of palladium were used ( 0.10 to $0.75 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), or larger amounts ( 0.05 to 0.50 equiv) were used.

Nearly identical reaction rates were obtained for $[\mathrm{HOAc}] \leq[\mathrm{Pd}]$ (Figure 31, top) pointing to a zero order kinetic. In case of $[\mathrm{HOAc}] \gg[\mathrm{Pd}]$ the yield-time graph shows a slight dependency of
the reaction rate from the acetic acid amount (Figure 31, bottom). Higher acid amounts result in slightly higher reaction rates.

The initial reaction rates (Table 16) were calculated from the slope of the yield-time data for each test series.


Figure 31: Top: Yield-time data and dependency of the initial reaction rate for varying amounts of HOAc, where $[\mathrm{HOAc}] \leq[\mathrm{Pd}]$. Bottom: Yield-time data and dependency of the initial reaction rate for varying amounts of HOAc , where $[\mathrm{HOAc}] \gg[\mathrm{Pd}]$.

Table 16: Initial reaction rates for varying amounts of HOAc.

| $\#$ | HOAc <br> $(\mathrm{mol} \%)$ | $[\mathrm{HOAc}]$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ | $r$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.10 | 0.49 | $2.06 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 5.14 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 2 | 0.25 | 1.24 | $2.07 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 4.73 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 3 | 0.50 | 2.47 | $2.09 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 6.23 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 4 | 0.75 | 3.71 | $2.07 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.46 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 5 | 5 | 24.7 | $2.68 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 8.02 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 6 | 10 | 49.7 | $2.85 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 7.61 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 7 | 20 | 101.8 | $3.02 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.87 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| 8 | 50 | 249.6 | $3.31 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 9.77 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |

Logarithmic transformation of the obtained reaction rate data and the corresponding plots result in straight lines with a slope of 0.005 for [HOAc] $\leq[\mathrm{Pd}]$ (Figure 32, left) and 0.09 for [HOAc] $\gg$ [Pd] (Figure 32, right). Corresponding to equation 3 the reaction order of acetic acid is determined to be zero. Both experiments lead to the same result.


Figure 32: Determination of the reaction order for acetic acid. Left: $[\mathrm{HOAc}] \leq[\mathrm{Pd}]$. Right: $[\mathrm{HOAc}] \gg$ [Pd].

## Reaction Order of Enone 2a



For the determination of the reaction order of the enone 2 a the catalysis was performed with enone 2 a amounts from 0.10 to $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ equiv, while all other concentrations were kept constant. The yieldtime data shows a dependency of the initial reaction rate on the enone concentration (Figure 33, left). With higher concentrations the reaction occurs faster (Figure 33, right). The following initial reaction rates (
Table 17) were calculated from the slope of the yield-time data.


Figure 33: Left: Yield-time data for varying amounts of enone. Right: Plot of the initial reaction rates as a function of the enone concentration.

Table 17: Initial reaction rates for varying amounts of enone.

| $\#$ | Amount enone <br> (equiv) | [enone] <br> $\left(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ | $r$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.10 | 0.056 | $4.35 \cdot 10^{-5} \pm 4.44 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 2 | 0.20 | 0.113 | $8.10 \cdot 10^{-5} \pm 6.72 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 3 | 0.40 | 0.214 | $1.36 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 9.88 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 4 | 0.60 | 0.324 | $1.99 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.31 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 5 | 1.00 | 0.555 | $2.71 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.80 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |

Logarithmic transformation of the initial reaction rate data results in a straight line with a slope of 0.81 (Figure 34) revealing a reaction order of $\mathbf{0 . 8 1}$ for the enone, according to equation 3 .


Figure 34: Determination of the reaction order for the enone.

## Reaction Order of $\alpha$-Phenyl- $\alpha$-cyanoacetate (1a)



For the determination of the reaction order of the $\alpha$-phenyl- $\alpha$-cyanoacetate $\mathbf{1 a}$ the catalysis was performed with $\alpha$-phenyl- $\alpha$-cyanoacetate amounts from 0.099 to 0.496 equiv, while all other concentrations were kept constant. The [product]-time data shows a dependency of the reaction rate from the cyanoacetate concentration (Figure 35, left). With higher cyanoacetate concentrations the reaction proceeds faster (Figure 35, right). The following initial reaction rates (Table 18) were calculated from the slope of the [product]-time data.


Figure 35: Left: [Product]-time data for varying amounts of $\alpha$-phenyl- $\alpha$-cyanoacetate. Right: Initial reaction rates as a function of the cyanoacetate concentration.

Table 18: Initial reaction rates for varying amounts of $\alpha$-phenyl- $\alpha$-cyanoacetate.

| $\#$ | Amount CA <br> (equiv) | $[\mathrm{CA}]$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ | $r$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.099 | 0.108 | $9.03 \cdot 10^{-5} \pm 5.30 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 2 | 0.158 | 0.173 | $15.0 \cdot 10^{-5} \pm 9.03 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 3 | 0.293 | 0.217 | $23.1 \cdot 10^{-5} \pm 9.26 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 4 | 0.400 | 0.437 | $31.4 \cdot 10^{-5} \pm 1.32 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 5 | 0.496 | 0.542 | $43.3 \cdot 10^{-5} \pm 1.91 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |

Logarithmic transformation of the initial reaction rate data results in a straight line with a slope of 0.91 (Figure 36) revealing a reaction order of $\mathbf{0 . 9 1}$ for the $\alpha$-phenyl- $\alpha$-cyanoacetate, according to equation 3 .


Figure 36: Determination of the reaction order for the $\alpha$-phenyl- $\alpha$-cyanoacetate.

## Influence of Diglyme on the Reaction Rate



To investigate the influence of diglyme on the reaction rate of the catalysis reaction the experiments were performed in 1,2-dichloroethane with varying amounts of diglyme ( 0.25 to 10 equiv of diglyme). The total reaction volume of each experiment was $170 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solvent mixture. The yield-time data shows a dependency for the diglyme amounts on the reaction rate (Figure 37). The following initial reaction rates were calculated from the slope of the yield-time data (Table 19).


Figure 37: Yield-time data for varying amounts of diglyme.

Table 19: Initial reaction rates for varying amounts of diglyme.

| $\#$ | Diglyme <br> (equiv) | Diglyme <br> $($ vol\% $)$ | $[$ Diglyme $]$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ | $r$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.25 | 2.0 | $1.24 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $1.27 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 4.04 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 2 | 0.5 | 3.9 | $2.47 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $1.35 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 6.75 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 3 | 4.0 | 31.4 | 1.98 | $1.99 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 2.46 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 4 | 6.0 | 47.0 | 2.97 | $2.25 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 2.61 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| 5 | 10 | 78.2 | 4.95 | $2.76 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 3.28 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |

The initial reaction rate as function of the diglyme concentration shows slightly higher initial reaction rates when higher amounts of diglyme are present (Figure 38). Determination of the reaction order for diglyme under these reaction conditions results in a broken reaction order of 0.20. The influence of diglyme is thus lower than with the bimetallic catalyst $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ (reaction order 0.46, for comparison see "Kinetic Investigations to the FBIP Catalyzed Asymmetric Michael-Addition").


Figure 38: Initial reaction rates as a function of the diglyme concentration and the logarithmic data.

In contrast to the reaction with the bimetallic catalyst $\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ the experiments with the monometallic catalyst $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ in 1,2-dichloroethane have resulted in poor selectivity data, almost independent on the used amount of diglyme (Table 20). Concerning the enantioselectivity the reactions using a solvent mixture proceeded even worse than with pure 1,2-dichloroethane. However, an improved diastereoselectivity is achieved compared to experiments in pure DCE.

Table 20: Influence of diglyme on the stereoselectivity.

| $\#$ | Diglyme <br> (equiv) | Diglyme <br> $(\mathrm{vol} \%)$ | $e e_{(S, R)}$ <br> $(\%)$ | $e e_{(R, R)}$ <br> $(\%)$ | $d r_{(S, R+R, S):(R, R+S, S)}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | - | 0 | 64 | 35 | $49: 51$ |
| 3 | 0.25 | 2.0 | -15 | 2 | $67: 33$ |
| 4 | 0.50 | 3.9 | -5 | 6 | $66: 34$ |
| 5 | 4.0 | 31.4 | 17 | 30 | $70: 30$ |
| 6 | 6.0 | 47.0 | 22 | 18 | $72: 28$ |
| 7 | 10 | 78.2 | 26 | 15 | $74: 26$ |
| 8 | - | 100 | 89 | 33 | $71: 29$ |

## Influence of MeCN on the Reaction Rate



To investigate the influence of MeCN on the reaction rate of the catalysis reaction the experiments were performed in diglyme with varying amounts of MeCN ( 0.125 to 2.00 equiv of MeCN ). The total reaction volume of each experiment was $170 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solvent mixture. The yieldtime data shows a decrease of the initial reaction rate with increased MeCN amounts (Figure 39). The following initial reaction rates were calculated from the slope of the yield-time data (Table 21).


Figure 39: Yield-time data for varying amounts of MeCN and initial reaction rates as a function of the MeCN concentration.

Table 21: Initial reaction rates for varying amounts of MeCN.

| $\#$ | MeCN <br> (equiv) | $[\mathrm{MeCN}]$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ | $r$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.125 | $6.14 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $7.23 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 5.31 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 2 | 0.25 | $1.22 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $6.41 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 4.64 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 3 | 0.375 | $1.85 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $5.59 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 4.35 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 4 | 1.00 | $4.83 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $1.55 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 1.27 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| 5 | 2.00 | $9.83 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $1.02 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 7.39 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |

Logarithmic transformation of the initial reaction rate data results in a straight line with a slope of -0.79 (Figure 40) revealing a broken, negative reaction order of $\mathbf{- 0 . 7 9}$ for MeCN under these
reaction conditions. The negative reaction order can be explained by reversible coordination of MeCN to the catalyst and thereby inhibition of the active catalyst.


Figure 40: Determination of the reaction order of MeCN .

## Investigation of the Non-Linear Effect of FIP- $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}$

For the investigation of the presence of a non-linear effect in the $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ catalysis, a series of catalyst mixtures with defined enantiomeric excesses were used in the Michael-addition of 1a to 2a following GP3 (Table 22). Both the monomeric and the dimeric systems show a positive non-linear effect (Figure 41).

Table 22: Investigation on the non-linear effect of monomeric and dimeric FIP- $\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}$.

|  | monomeric catalyst |  | dimeric catalyst |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | $e e$ of monomeric catalyst (\%) | $e e_{\text {product }}$ <br> (\%) | $e e$ of dimeric catalyst (\%) | $e e_{\text {product }}$ <br> (\%) |
| 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 18 |
| 3 | 30 | 34 | 30 | 34 |
| 4 | 45 | 50 | 45 | 53 |
| 5 | 60 | 53 | 60 | 65 |
| 6 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 83 |
| 7 | >99 | 85 | >99 | 89 |



Figure 41: Investigation of the non-linear effect of monomeric and dimeric $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$.

## Spectroscopic Investigation of the Nature of FIP- $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}$

The presence of the above mentioned equilibrium between a monomeric and dimeric catalyst species is confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR measurements. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}(6.17 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(600 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) shows a monomeric (e.g.: $\delta=5.80 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{Cp}-H$ ) and a dimeric (e.g.: $\delta=5.75$ ppm, $\mathrm{Cp}-H$ ) form with a ratio of 3.8:1 (Figure 42, spectrum a). Addition of 50 equiv of MeCN slightly pushes the equilibrium to the side of the monomeric species (ratio 6.4:1, spectrum b), while with 100 equiv MeCN only the monomeric catalyst $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ is visible (spectrum c). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ in MeCN-D ${ }_{3}$ shows that the $\mathrm{Cp}-H$ and CHPh signals between $\delta=$ 4.74 and 4.43 ppm are shifted (spectrum d), pointing to a new species with probably two MeCN molecules coordinating to the Pd center.


Figure 42: Detail of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ in a) $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, b) $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+50$ equiv MeCN , c) $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ +100 equiv MeCN and d) $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{D}_{3}$.

## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 21{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right.$ ) of the monomeric form in the presence of 100 equiv

 MeCN: $\delta=7.55(d, J=7.8,2 H$, arom. $H), 7.29(d, J=7.8,2 H$, arom. $H$ ), 7.24-7.19 ( $m, 12 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ) , 7.12-6.99 $(m, 19 H$, arom. $H), 6.89(b, 2 H$, arom. $H), 6.50(d, J=6.7,2 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H)$, $6.27(d, J=7.8,1 \mathrm{H}$, arom. $H$ ), $5.81(b, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}-H), 4.74-4.42(m, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}-H$ and CHPh$), 2.50(s$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.21\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pd} \leftarrow \mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right), 2.00\left(s\right.$, free $\left.\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right)$.
## Course of the Reaction

The investigation of the course of the reaction was performed to gain a detailed insight into the evolution of the enantio- and diastereoselectivity of the reaction. For this purpose the reaction was carried out according to GP3, but on a larger scale using 0.46 mmol of $\mathbf{1 a}$ in diglyme ( $850 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). For the analysis $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the reaction mixture were added to $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of acetonitrile to stop the reaction and release product and starting material from the catalyst. The sample was filtered over a short pad of silica to completely remove the catalyst. The filter cake was washed with petrol ether: ethyl acetate (4:1). After removal of the solvent and an excess of enone 2a the
samples were analyzed by RP-HPLC and chiral stationary phase HPLC to determine yield, enantiomeric excess and diasteromeric ratio.

Two different experiments were performed:

- normal substrate addition order (enone 2a is added as last component),
- and reverse substrate addition (cyanoacetate 1a is added as last component).


The collected yield, enantioselectivity data and diastereoselectivity data of both experiments are shown in Table 23.

Table 23: Collected data during the reaction.

| normal addition order |  |  |  |  |  | reverse addition order |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \text { (min) } \end{aligned}$ | Conv. (\%) | $e e_{(S, R)}$ <br> (\%) | $e e_{(R, R)}$ <br> (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline d r_{(S, R+R, S):} \\ & (R, R+S, S) \end{aligned}$ | Time (min) | Conv. <br> (\%) | $e e_{(S, R)}$ <br> (\%) | $e e_{(R, R)}$ <br> (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline d r_{(S, R+R, S):}: \\ & { }_{(R, R+S, S)} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 9.88 | 1.90 | 15 | 28 | 49:51 | 5.17 | 0.10 | -5 | 58 | 48:52 |
| 2 | 14.83 | 2.66 | 16 | 11 | 47:53 | 10.27 | 0.29 | 2 | 48 | 46:54 |
| 3 | 30.25 | 2.01 | 50 | 6 | 48:52 | 15.5 | 0.40 | 15 | 59 | 44:56 |
| 4 | 44.50 | 3.30 | 52 | 3 | 51:49 | 20.12 | 0.55 | 50 | 26 | 46:54 |
| 5 | 112.85 | 10.37 | 73 | -5 | 59:41 | 25.33 | 0.82 | 73 | 23 | 46:54 |
| 6 | 171.92 | 15.87 | 76 | -2 | 62:38 | 50.17 | 1.95 | 75 | -10 | 52:48 |
| 7 | 236.63 | 25.07 | 81 | 1 | 65:35 | 60.43 | 2.39 | 76 | -15 | 53:47 |
| 8 | 310.25 | 33.89 | 82 | 8 | 67:33 | 97.92 | 4.82 | 74 | -5 | 57:43 |
| 9 | 359.58 | 43.90 | 83 | 11 | 68:32 | 125.92 | 7.04 | 74 | -12 | 56:44 |
| 10 | 476.55 | 49.13 | 85 | 13 | 70:30 | 180.58 | 11.89 | 75 | -5 | 62:38 |
| 11 | 536.23 | 55.15 | 85 | 14 | 70:30 | 240.17 | 17.57 | 84 | -6 | 64:36 |
| 12 | 591.95 | 59.61 | 85 | 14 | 70:30 | 300.67 | 23.95 | 81 | -3 | 65:34 |
| 13 | - |  |  |  |  | 362.30 | 30.18 | 85 | -2 | 64:36 |
| 14 | 20h | 90 | 89 | 33 | 71:29 | 20h | - | 86 | 17 | 69:31 |

The yield-time curves of both reactions are similar (Figure 43). The reaction with normal addition order was followed for nearly 10 h . Using the reverse addition order the reaction was monitored for 6 h .


Figure 43: Time depending yields for the experiments with normal and reverse addition order of the substrates.

A slight difference for both experiments is the development of the enantiomeric excess (Figure 44). When the reaction is performed with the normal addition order of the substrates the $e e$ of both diastereomers is moderate in the first minutes (Table 23, \#1 to 5 normal addition order) until it reaches its final value after approximately six hours. When the addition order is changed and the cyanoacetate is added as last component, the ee of both diastereomers is in the first minutes again only moderate (Table $23, \# 1$ to 4 reverse addition order) but increases faster than in the other experiment. The final value of the enantiomeric excesses is already reached after around three hours, but is in general slightly lower than the final $e e$ value of the product, if the substrates are added in the normal order (Table 23, \#14).


Figure 44: Development of the enantioselectivity in the experiments with normal and reverse addition order of the substrates.

A nearly identical course is observed for the development of the diastereomeric ratio for both experiments (Figure 45). Independent from the addition order of the substrates the reaction starts with poor diastereoselectivity (Table 23). With increasing reaction time the $d r$ increases slowly
until the final value is reached after several hours. The final diastereomeric ratio is only little lower when the substrates are added in reverse order (Table 23, \#14).


Figure 45: Development of the diastereomeric ratio in the experiments with normal and reverse addition order of the substrates.

In general the substrate addition order has only a low impact on the course of the $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ catalyzed asymmetric Michael-Addition of 1a to 2a. Under the tested reaction conditions the catalysis with reverse addition order of the substrates results in a faster formation of the desired $(S, R)$-enantiomer, but proceeds with little lower enantio- and diastereoselectivity in the end.
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## NMR Spectra

Silver(I)(bistrifluoromethane)sulfonimide

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ :

## ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ :

tert-Butyl-2-cyano-(o-fluorophenyl)acetate (1k)

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ :


[^1]
tert-Butyl-2-cyano-(m-fluorophenyl)acetate (11)

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ :
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| ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ : |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |

tert-Butyl-2-cyano-(4-methoxyphenyl)acetate (1m)


$\operatorname{Bis}\left(\right.$ acetonitrile) $\left[\mu-\left[\left(1 S_{p}, 1 ' S_{p}\right)\right.\right.$-2,2'-bis[(4R,5R)-4,5-dihydro-1-[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]-4,5-diphenyl-1 $H$-imidazol-2-yl- $\kappa N 3$ ]-1,1'-ferrocendiyl- $\kappa C 1: \kappa C 1$ ']]bis(heptafluorobutyrato- $\kappa O$ )dipalladium(II) $\left(\mathbf{F B I P}-\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ :


${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ :

(Acetonitrile-к $N$ )-(heptafluorobutyrate-к $O$ ) $\left[\left(1 S_{\mathrm{p}}\right)-2-[(4 R, 5 R)-4,5-\right.$ dihydro -1-[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazol-2-yl-кN3]-1', $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}-$
pentaphenylferrocenyl- $\kappa C]$-palladium(II) ( $\mathbf{F I P}-\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{C C}_{3} \mathbf{F}_{7}$ )

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ : monomeric form (FIP- $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{F}_{7}+100$ equiv $\mathbf{M e C N}$ )



tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate (( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3aa)



tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate ((S,R)-3aa)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}:$


tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-2-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)acetate (( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3ba)

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ :

| ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}:$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-2-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)acetate ((S,R)-3ba)



$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}
210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & \mathrm{ppm}
\end{array}
$$


tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-bromophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)acetate ((R,R)-3ca)

( $R, R$ )-3ca
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}:$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ :
tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-bromophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)acetate ((S,R)-3ca)

$(S, R)-3 c a$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ :


[^2]tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)acetate (( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3da)


tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)acetate ((S,R)-3da)



[^3]tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate (( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3ea)


tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate ((S,R)-3ea)


tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-2-( $m$-tolyl)-acetate (( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}$ )-3fa)



[^4]tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-2-( $m$-tolyl)-acetate ((S,R)-3fa)


tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate ((R,R)-3ga)
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${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ :
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}-98 & -100 & -102 & -104 & -106 & -108 & -110 & -112 & -114 & -116 & -118 & -120 & -122 & -124 & \text { ppm }\end{array}$
tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate ((S,R)-3ga)


${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ :

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -95 | -100 | -105 | -110 | -115 | -120 | -125 | -130 | ppm |

tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate (( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3ha)


tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate ((S,R)-3ha)

( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{R}$ )-3ha
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ :


tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-bromophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate (( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3ia)



tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-bromophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate ((S,R)-3ia)


tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-2-(p-tolyl)-acetate ((R,R)-3ja)


tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-2-(p-tolyl)-acetate ((S,R)-3ja)



[^5]tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(2-fluorophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate (( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}) \mathbf{- 3 k a})$
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tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(2-fluorophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate ((S,R)-3ka)


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ :

tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-fluorophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate ((R,R)-3la)



[^6]Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Chemical Science This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2013
${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}: 1$
tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-fluorophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate ((S,R)-3la)

(S,R)-3la
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ :
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${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ :

| -102 | -104 | -106 | -108 | -110 | -112 | -114 | -116 | -118 | ppm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate (3ma)


tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclopentyl)-2-phenylacetate (3ab)



$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}
210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & \mathrm{ppm}
\end{array}
$$

tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocycloheptyl)-2-phenylacetate (( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3ac)


$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllll}210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & p p m\end{array}$
tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocycloheptyl)-2-phenylacetate (3ac)


tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3,3-dimethyl-5-oxocyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate (( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3ad)


tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3,3-dimethyl-5-oxocyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate (3ad)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ :


tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-(3,3-dimethyl-5-oxocyclohexyl)acetate (( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3hd)

( $R, R$ ) -3hd
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ :



[^7]tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-(3,3-dimethyl-5-oxocyclohexyl)acetate ((S,R)-3hd)

(S,R)-3hd
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ :


tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-bromophenyl)-2-(3,3-dimethyl-5-oxocyclohexyl)acetate ((R,R)-3id)


tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-bromophenyl)-2-(3,3-dimethyl-5-oxocyclohexyl)acetate ((S,R)-3id)

(S,R)-3id
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ :



[^8]tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)-2-phenylacetate (3ae)


3ea (diastereomer a + some b)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ :


tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)-2-phenylacetate (3ae)


3ea (diastereomer b)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ :



Ethyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate (( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-3a'a)

$(R, R)$-3a'a
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ :



[^9]Ethyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate ((S,R)-3a'a)

(S,R)-3a'a
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}:$



[^10]tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-hydroxycyclohexyl)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-acetate (( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$ - $\mathbf{6 m a}$ )


tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-hydroxycyclohexyl)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-acetate ((S,R,S)-6ma)


(R)-tert -Butyl-2-cyano-2-((R)-2-oxooxepan-4-yl)-2-phenylacetate (( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-4aa)



[^11](R)-tert -Butyl-2-(4-bromophenyl)-2-cyano-2-((R)-2-oxooxepan-4-yl)acetate (( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-4ia)



[^12](2R,3R)-tert -butyl-2-cyano-3-(3-hydroxypropyl)-5-oxo-2-phenylheptanoate (( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})-\mathbf{5})$

$(R, R)-5$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}:$

\[

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}
210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & \mathrm{ppm}
\end{array}
$$
\]

( $R$ )-tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-((lR,3S)-3-hydroxylcyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate ( $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}) \mathbf{- 6 a a})$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}:$


(R)-tert-Butyl-3-amino-2-((lR,3S)-3-hydroxycyclohexyl)-3-oxo-2-phenylpropanoate (( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-7)


(S)-tert-Butyl-2-((tert-butoxylcarbonyl)amino)-2-((1R,3S)-3-hydroxycyclohexyl)-2phenylacetate $((S, R, S)-8)$

(S,R,S)-8
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ :

(S)-tert-Butyl-2-amino-2-((lR,3S)-3-hydroxycyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ :

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ :

[^13](R)-tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-((lR,3S)-3-methoxycyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ :
(R)-2-cyano-2-((lR,3S)-3-methoxycyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetamide (( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})-\mathbf{9})$



[^14](R)-5-((lR,3S)-3-methoxycyclohexyl)-5-phenylimidazolidine-2,4-dione (( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})-\mathbf{1 0})$



[^15](S)-tert-Butyl-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-cyano-2-((R)-6,6-diemthyl-2-oxooxepan-4-yl)acetate ((S,R)-4hd)

(S,R)-4hd
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}:$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ :

(S)-tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-((lR,3S)-3-hydroxylcyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate ((S,R,S)-6aa)


(S)-tert-Butyl-3-amino-2-(( $1 R, 3 S)$-3-hydroxycyclohexyl)-3-oxo-2-phenylpropanoate ((S,R,S)-7)


(R)-tert-Butyl-2-((tert-butoxylcarbonyl)amino)-2-(( $1 R, 3 S)$-3-hydroxycyclohexyl)-2phenylacetate $((\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})-\mathbf{8})$

(R)-tert-Butyl-2-amino-2-(( $1 R, 3 S)$-3-hydroxycyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ :
(S)-tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-((lR,3S)-3-methoxycyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}:$



[^16](S)-2-cyano-2-((lR,3S)-3-methoxycyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetamide ((S,R,S)-9)


(S)-5-((lR,3S)-3-methoxycyclohexyl)-5-phenylimidazolidine-2,4-dione ((S,R,S)-10)


$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & p p m\end{array}$
tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-oxocyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate (3aa*)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}:$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ :

## HPLC Data

tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate (3aa)
Area \% Report : C:IEZChrom ElitelEnterprise\Projects\Simon EitelDatalMA newl10-MA340
Aa_ODH_SE-99-1-210nm-60min-0.9flow.met.dat


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 3 . 2 0 7}$ | 726061 | 1.57 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 . 2 6 0}$ | 40185821 | 87.02 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 . 4 4 3}$ | 4128717 | 8.94 |
| $\mathbf{4 6 . 7 5 0}$ | 1139298 | 2.47 |

Area \% Report : C:\EZChrom ElitelEnterprise\Projects\Simon EitelDatal10-MA250G


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 1 . 2 9 7}$ | 4157533 | 3.82 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 . 0 9 3}$ | 23379420 | 21.46 |
| $\mathbf{1 6 . 4 5 0}$ | 64754864 | 59.43 |
| $\mathbf{4 0 . 6 3 7}$ | 16676353 | 15.30 |

Area \% Report : C:LEZChrom ElitelEnterpriselProjects\Simon EitelDatalMA newlSJM206


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 2 . 0 8 7}$ | 17283912 | 20.78 |
| $\mathbf{1 3 . 2 5 7}$ | 25240655 | 30.35 |
| $\mathbf{1 7 . 8 3 7}$ | 17347341 | 20.86 |
| $\mathbf{4 1 . 2 7 3}$ | 23302480 | 28.02 |

tert -Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-2-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)acetate (3ba)
Area \% Report : C:LEZChrom ElitelEnterprise\Projects\Simon EitelDDatalOld datalSEM-104 A


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8.507 | 36847344 | 66.91 |
| 9.893 | 11150871 | 20.25 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 . 2 3 0}$ | 4070129 | 7.39 |
| $\mathbf{3 2 . 7 3 0}$ | 2999234 | 5.45 |

Area \% Report : C: $\mathbf{E}$ ZChrom Elite $\backslash$ Enterprise $\backslash$ Projects\Simon EitelDatal10-MA089 Da


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{8 . 3 4 7}$ | 8750398 | 19.77 |
| 9.637 | 24618114 | 55.62 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 . 4 5 3}$ | 3613252 | 8.16 |
| 31.810 | 7277465 | 16.44 |

Area \% Report : C:IEZChrom ElitelEnterpriselProjects\Simon EitelDatalMA newlSEM-025rac


| UV Results |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| $\mathbf{8 . 4 7 3}$ | 13016406 | 33.56 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{9 . 6 9 0}$ | 26002480 | 33.69 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 3 . 5 2 7}$ | 26471342 | 16.66 |

tert -Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-bromophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)acetate (3ca)
Area \% Report : C:|EZChrom ElitelEnterpriselProjects\Simon EitelDatalOld datalSEM-105


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 2 . 5 6 0}$ | 69655224 | 67.39 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 . 8 0 3}$ | 19295171 | 18.67 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 . 8 7 3}$ | 5790051 | 5.60 |
| $\mathbf{4 9 . 5 0 3}$ | 8619143 | 8.34 |

Area \% Report : C::EZChrom ElitelEnterprise\Projects\Simon EitelDatalOld data\SEM-023 RAC+SM_ASH_se-97-3-210nm-60min-lflow.met.dat


## UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 2 . 7 9 0}$ | 23507608 | 19.95 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 . 0 5 3}$ | 35612271 | 30.22 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 . 7 4 0}$ | 34764548 | 29.50 |
| $\mathbf{5 0 . 0 4 7}$ | 23954359 | 20.33 |

tert -Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-chlorophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)acetate (3da)
Area \% Report : C:|EZChrom ElitelEnterpriselProjects\Simon EitelDatal10-MA032 Ib


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10.907 | 140617502 | 78.84 |
| 13.517 | 26303583 | 14.75 |
| 17.440 | 1686685 | 0.95 |
| 42.690 | 9751001 | 5.47 |

Area \% Report : C: $\backslash$ EZChrom Elite $\backslash$ Enterprise $\backslash$ Projects $\backslash$ Simon EitelIDatal10-MA101 Aa_ASH_SE-97-3-210nm-60min-lflow.met.dat


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0 . 3 6 3}$ | 18819896 | 26.34 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 . 3 1 7}$ | 32137313 | 44.99 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 . 8 3 3}$ | 4138518 | 5.79 |
| $\mathbf{3 7 . 3 9 3}$ | 16341069 | 22.87 |

Area \% Report : C: $:$ EZChrom ElitelEnterpriselProjects $\backslash$ Simon EitelData OId datalSEM-024 RAC+SM_ASH_se-97-3-210nm-60min-lflow.met.dat


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 1 . 4 9 3}$ | 21521607 | 18.91 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 . 0 2 7}$ | 34919720 | 30.68 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 . 9 0 3}$ | 34930219 | 30.69 |
| $\mathbf{4 5 . 2 6 3}$ | 22444671 | 19.72 |

tert -Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate (3ea)
Area \% Report : C: $\mathbf{E}$ EZChrom ElitelEnterprise $\operatorname{Projects} \backslash$ Simon EitelDatal10-MA032 Ea


| UV Results |  | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Retention Time | 130828820 | 85.57 |
|  | 15.930 | 15628366 | 10.22 |
|  | 19.260 | 1743396 | 1.14 |
|  | 24.313 | 4685413 | 3.06 |

Area \% Report : C:LEZChrom ElitelEnterprise\Projects\Simon EitelDatalMA newl10-MA089Cb_ASH_SE-97-3-210nm-60min-lflow.met.dat


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 7 . 4 7 3}$ | 19643030 | 24.03 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 . 8 0 7}$ | 51860715 | 63.45 |
| $\mathbf{2 6 . 0 4 3}$ | 2860361 | 3.50 |
| $\mathbf{5 1 . 9 4 7}$ | 7365790 | 9.01 |

Area \% Report : C:IEZChrom ElitelEnterpriselProjects\Simon EitelDatalMA newlSEM-026rac


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 6 . 7 8 7}$ | 19765639 | 14.54 |
| 19.597 | 48133867 | 35.41 |
| 24.617 | 48264749 | 35.51 |
| $\mathbf{4 8 . 3 4 7}$ | 19767238 | 14.54 |

tert -Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-2-( $m$-tolyl)-acetate (3fa)
Area \% Report : C:|EZChrom Elite IEnterprise ${ }^{\text {Projects }}$ \Simon EitelDatal10-MA032 Ga


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 2 . 1 2 7}$ | 1908330 | 1.84 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 . 7 8 3}$ | 87620443 | 84.70 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 1 7}$ | 11825657 | 11.43 |
| 39.033 | 2090639 | 2.02 |

Area \% Report : C: $\$ EZChrom ElitelEnterprise Projects SSimon EitelDatal10-MA089 Bb


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 2 . 6 0 3}$ | 1643982 | 2.77 |
| 13.497 | 17972474 | 30.27 |
| 15.800 | 35241420 | 59.36 |
| 39.340 | 4506984 | 7.59 |

Area \% Report : C:LEZChrom ElitelEnterpriselProjects\Simon EitelDatalMA newlSEM-031rac-4


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 2 . 7 8 3}$ | 34928714 | 32.32 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 . 4 1 7}$ | 19500245 | 18.04 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 . 9 6 0}$ | 35290663 | 32.65 |
| $\mathbf{3 5 . 5 1 0}$ | 18355607 | 16.98 |

tert -Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate (3ga)
Area \% Report : C:|EZChrom ElitelEnterpriselProjects\Simon EitelDatal10-MA032 Fb-2

UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 1 . 7 3 3}$ | 941654 | 1.76 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 . 8 2 3}$ | 4496001 | 8.40 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 . 3 4 7}$ | 46127983 | 86.18 |
| 31.110 | 1957638 | 3.66 |

Area \% Report : C: $\mathbf{E Z C h r o m}$ ElitelEnterprise ${ }^{\text {Projects } \text { SSimon EitelDatal10-MA089 Fa }}$


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 3 . 2 9 0}$ | 1233889 | 3.67 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 . 2 0 7}$ | 24133944 | 71.79 |
| $\mathbf{2 7 . 0 3 7}$ | 4408955 | 13.11 |
| 33.663 | 3841118 | 11.43 |

Area \% Report : C:|EZChrom Elite\EnterpriselProjects\Simon EitelDatalOld data\SEM-020 RAC_ADH_sj-99-1-210nm-60min-1.2flow.met.dat


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 13.150 | 12452127 | 31.92 |
| 14.410 | 12995122 | 33.32 |
| $\mathbf{2 7 . 3 9 0}$ | 6845602 | 17.55 |
| 34.390 | 6713697 | 17.21 |

tert -Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate (3ha)
Area \% Report : C:IEZChrom ElitelEnterpriselProjects\Simon EitelDatalMA newl10-MA340 Da2


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 4 . 9 3 3}$ | 3947108 | 10.41 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 . 0 1 7}$ | 4499584 | 11.87 |
| 20.990 | 27063467 | 71.38 |
| 25.970 | 2401914 | 6.34 |

Area \% Report : C:\EZChrom ElitelEnterprise\Projects\Simon EitelDatalMA newl10-MA094 Aa2


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 2 . 4 0 7}$ | 696004 | 5.46 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 . 8 1 0}$ | 9378253 | 73.55 |
| $\mathbf{2 1 . 5 4 0}$ | 1580066 | 12.39 |
| 2.183 | 1096945 | 8.60 |

Area \% Report : C:LEZChrom ElitelEnterprise\Projects\Simon EitelDDatalMA newlSEM-016rac5


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 2 . 5 5 7}$ | 4167989 | 30.78 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 . 2 8 7}$ | 4054514 | 29.95 |
| $\mathbf{2 1 . 6 6 0}$ | 2675411 | 19.76 |
| $\mathbf{2 6 . 1 5 7}$ | 2641308 | 19.51 |

tert -Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-bromophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate (3ia)
Area \% Report : C: $:$ EZChrom ElitelEnterprise $\operatorname{Projects}$ SSimon EitelDatal10-MA032 Ca


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 4 . 2 3 0}$ | 1538677 | 4.24 |
| 31.630 | 3977853 | 10.97 |
| $\mathbf{4 9 . 1 7 3}$ | 30334686 | 83.69 |
| $\mathbf{5 7 . 8 7 3}$ | 397105 | 1.10 |

Area \% Report : C: $:$ EZChrom ElitelEnterpriselProjects Simon EitelDatal10-MA101 Ba_ADH_SE-99.5-0.5-210nm-70min-1.2flow.met.dat


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 7 . 5 3 0}$ | 1400488 | 4.00 |
| $\mathbf{3 5 . 6 0 0}$ | 20869465 | 59.63 |
| $\mathbf{4 5 . 8 6 3}$ | 4918347 | 14.05 |
| $\mathbf{5 4 . 1 5 3}$ | 7811650 | 22.32 |

Area \% Report : C:IEZChrom Elite\Enterprise\Projects\Simon EitelDatalOld data|SEM-041 RAC + SM_ADH_SE-99.5-0.5-210nm-70min-1.2flow.met.dat


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 7 . 8 1 7}$ | 15104786 | 42.96 |
| 36.770 | 15172451 | 43.15 |
| $\mathbf{5 1 . 2 6 3}$ | 2628153 | 7.47 |
| $\mathbf{5 6 . 9 4 7}$ | 2256252 | 6.42 |

tert -Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-2-(p-tolyl)-acetate (3ja)
Area \% Report : C:IEZChrom ElitelEnterprise\ProjectslSimon EitelDatalMA newl10-MA340 Ca


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 11.343 | 30143064 | 86.22 |
| 18.630 | 528608 | 1.51 |
| 21.647 | 3288304 | 9.41 |
| $\mathbf{4 7 . 2 8 7}$ | 999844 | 2.86 |

## Area \% Report : C:LEZChrom ElitelEnterprise\Projects\Simon EitelDatalMA newl10-MA089 Ab-2



UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 11.247 | 6647742 | 16.46 |
| 18.213 | 165485 | 0.41 |
| 20.877 | 29117252 | 72.11 |
| 46.100 | 4044795 | 10.02 |

Area \% Report : C: $\mathbf{I E Z C h r o m}$ ElitelEnterprise $\backslash$ Projects\Simon EitelDDatalMA newlSEM-02lrac-3


## UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0 . 8 3 0}$ | 8254140 | 22.21 |
| $\mathbf{1 7 . 2 4 3}$ | 10369011 | 27.91 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 . 0 6 7}$ | 10601900 | 28.53 |
| $\mathbf{4 3 . 1 6 0}$ | 7932894 | 21.35 |

tert -Butyl-2-cyano-2-(2-fluorophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate (3ka)
Area \% Report : C:IEZChrom Elite\Enterprise\Projects\Simon EitelDatalMA newl10-MA101Cb 14+15


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 20.967 | 17060841 | 91.05 |
| 28.733 | 1676204 | 8.95 |

## Area \% Report : C: EZZhrom ElitelEnterprise $\backslash$ Projects\Simon EitelDatalMA newl10-MA037rac Dial



UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 . 8 4 0}$ | 33877370 | 50.17 |
| $\mathbf{2 8 . 1 7 7}$ | 33650867 | 49.83 |

tert -Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-fluorophenyl)-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-acetate (31a)
Area \% Report : C: $\backslash$ EZChrom ElitelEnterprise $\operatorname{Projects} \backslash$ Simon EitelDatal10-MAll4
A_ASH_SE-97-3-210nm-45min-lflow.met.dat


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0 . 1 4 7}$ | 23756126 | 18.41 |
| $\mathbf{1 3 . 8 6 0}$ | 82061104 | 63.60 |
| $\mathbf{1 6 . 2 9 3}$ | 6729763 | 5.22 |
| 39.830 | 16482979 | 12.77 |

Area \% Report : C: EZChrom ElitelEnterpriselProjects $\backslash$ Simon EitelDatalracemic products $\backslash 10-M A 115$ rac dirty_ASH_SE-97-3-210nm-45min-lflow.met.dat


| UV Results |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
|  | 10.087 | 8205686 | 30.66 |
|  | 13.733 | 11472096 | 26.58 |
|  | 15.570 | 10071839 | 21.49 |

tert -Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclopentyl)-2-phenylacetate (3ab)
Area \% Report : C:|EZChrom ElitelEnterprise $\operatorname{Projects} \backslash$ Simon EitelDatalOId data ${ }^{\text {SEM-094 A }}$


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9.723 | 21749926 | 74.95 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 . 6 2 7}$ | 1581847 | 5.45 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 7 0}$ | 4530841 | 15.61 |
| $\mathbf{3 8 . 5 1 3}$ | 1158345 | 3.99 |

Area \% Report : C:|EZChrom Elite EnterpriselProjects\Simon EitelDatal10-MA090 Cb


Area \% Report : C:\EZChrom ElitelEnterpriselProjects\Simon EitelData OId datalSEM-060 RAC_ODH_sj-99-1-210nm-60min-2ml.met.dat


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9.880 | 5763796 | 17.27 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 . 5 8 3}$ | 11358772 | 34.04 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 . 4 6 7}$ | 11214808 | 33.61 |
| 38.027 | 5032845 | 15.08 |

tert -Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocycloheptyl)-2-phenylacetate (3ac)
Area \% Report : C:IEZChrom ElitelEnterpriselProjects\Simon EitelDatal10-MA096 A


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4.600 | 7603170 | 89.22 |
| $\mathbf{5 . 7 6 0}$ | 284012 | 3.33 |
| 6.587 | 604049 | 7.09 |
| 31.230 | 30146 | 0.35 |

Area \% Report : C: $\mathbf{E Z Z h}$ Chom ElitelEnterprise $\operatorname{Projects} \backslash$ Simon Eitel Datal10-MA102 Bb_ODH_SE-99-1-210nm-35min-2ml.met.dat


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{3 . 7 9 3}$ | 680814 | 8.24 |
| 4.430 | 6247257 | 75.59 |
| $\mathbf{5 . 4 9 7}$ | 318779 | 3.86 |
| $\mathbf{2 8 . 7 0 7}$ | 1017661 | 12.31 |

 RAC_ODH_sj-99-1-210nm-35min-2ml.met.dat


| UV Results |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
|  | $\mathbf{5 . 5 8 3}$ | 11319143 | 18.48 |
|  | 6.313 | 6395375 | 32.23 |
|  | 7.040 | 11229829 | 16.94 |

tert -Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3,3-dimethyl-5-oxocyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate (3ad)
Area \% Report : C: $:$ EZChrom Elite ${ }^{\text {Enterprise }}$ Projects Simon EitelDatal10-MA097 B2


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9.633 | 1259958 | 5.01 |
| 10.577 | 2388653 | 9.49 |
| 13.413 | 21332820 | 84.76 |
| $\mathbf{1 6 . 9 9 3}$ | 187743 | 0.75 |

Area \% Report : C:\EZChrom Elite\Enterprise\Projects\Simon Eitel\Datal10-MA090 Bb


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0 . 4 8 7}$ | 6684733 | 4.67 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 . 9 2 3}$ | 60274531 | 42.11 |
| $\mathbf{1 3 . 0 6 0}$ | 75026155 | 52.42 |
| $\mathbf{1 7 . 9 1 7}$ | 1151214 | 0.80 |

Area \% Report : C:LEZChrom ElitelEnterprise $\backslash$ Projects\Simon EitelDatalMA newl10-MA017rac-2


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0 . 6 9 3}$ | 15859681 | 35.24 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 . 7 1 7}$ | 6895738 | 15.32 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 . 1 8 7}$ | 16031721 | 35.63 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 . 3 8 7}$ | 6211357 | 13.80 |

tert -Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-(3,3-dimethyl-5-oxocyclohexyl)acetate (3hd)

## Area \% Report : C: $:$ EZChrom Elite $\mathrm{EnterpriselProjects} \backslash$ Simon

Eitel Datal10-MA121-HD_ODH_SE-99-1-210nm-60min-0.7flow.met.dat


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9.910 | 3675498 | 3.95 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 3 5 4 7 2 2}$ | 96.05 |

Area \% Report : C:LEZChrom ElitelEnterprise\Projects\Simon EitelDatalMA newl10-MA122rac A


## UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 9 0}$ | 5466964 | 15.60 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 . 5 2 3}$ | 12351420 | 35.25 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 . 4 6 7}$ | 5707721 | 16.29 |
| $\mathbf{2 1 . 5 7 0}$ | 11514968 | 32.86 |

tert -Butyl-2-cyano-2-(4-bromophenyl)-2-(3,3-dimethyl-5-oxocyclohexyl)acetate (3id)
Area \% Report : C:|EZChrom ElitelEnterpriselProjects\Simon EitelData\10-MA169-5-10


| UV Results |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1 3 . 0 4 0}$ | 2970154 | 75.36 |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 . 4 8 7}$ | 66524529 | 20.41 |
|  | $\mathbf{2 3 . 1 0 3}$ | 18031655 | 0.92 |

Area \% Report : C: $\mathbf{E Z C h r o m}$ ElitelEnterprise $\operatorname{Projects} \backslash$ Simon Eitel IDatalracemic products $10-\mathrm{MA} 304 \mathrm{rac}$ _ODH_SE-99-1-210nm-60min-0.7flow.met.dat

tert-Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1 H -inden-1-yl)-2-phenylacetate (3ae)
Data File: $\quad$ C:IEZChrom ElitelEnterprise\Projects/Simon EitelDDatalMA new $10-M A 392 c$


UV Results

| Retention Time | Height | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 2 . 3 2 0}$ | 2086262 | 103803905 | 9.95 |
| $\mathbf{2 8 . 1 3 0}$ | 5687697 | 449619745 | 43.08 |
| $\mathbf{3 2 . 9 6 3}$ | 2193092 | 190422925 | 18.24 |
| $\mathbf{8 8 . 7 2 0}$ | 1392940 | 299929136 | 28.74 |

Data File: C:IEZChrom ElitelEnterprise\Projects\Simon Eitel\DatalMA new $\quad$ 10-MA393a


UV Results

| Retention Time | Height | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 2 . 3 4 0}$ | 1419474 | 70419618 | 15.03 |
| $\mathbf{2 8 . 4 1 0}$ | 2966712 | 211254865 | 45.09 |
| 33.170 | 974763 | 78077641 | 16.66 |
| 89.387 | 519599 | 108804666 | 23.22 |

Data File: C:IEZChrom Elite Enterprise\Projects\Simon Eitel\Datalracemic
products $\backslash 10-\mathrm{MA} 374 \mathrm{rac}$ _ADH_SE-99-1-210nm-120min-1.5flow.met.dat


UV Results

| Retention Time | Height | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 21.897 | 327178 | 16034409 | 11.46 |
| 28.317 | 240888 | 15753964 | 11.26 |
| 32.700 | 677894 | 54813166 | 39.17 |
| 90.460 | 245289 | 53342303 | 38.12 |

Ethyl-2-cyano-2-(3-oxocyclohexyl)-2-phenylacetate (3a’a)
Area \% Report : C: $\mathbf{E Z C h r o m}$ Elite Enterprise $\backslash$ Projects $\backslash$ Simon Eitel IDatalold datalSEM-057_OdH_sj-99-1-210nm-32min-2ml.met.dat


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7.640 | 2146215 | 7.26 |
| 8.987 | 6166033 | 20.86 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 . 4 2 7}$ | 16798762 | 56.83 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 . 5 7 3}$ | 4449943 | 15.05 |

## Area \% Report : C:|EZChrom Elite $\operatorname{Enterprise\ Projects\ Simon~EitelDatal10-MA089~Gb~}$



UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7.687 | 1647990 | 5.42 |
| 8.857 | 18949213 | 62.32 |
| 10.660 | 5532241 | 18.20 |
| 12.320 | 4275531 | 14.06 |

Area \% Report : C:IEZChrom ElitelEnterprise\Projects\Simon EitelDatalOld datalSEM-058 RAC_ODH_sj-99-1-210nm-35min-2ml.met.dat


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7.647 | 10112042 | 31.40 |
| 9.063 | 10115934 | 31.41 |
| 10.680 | 6011446 | 18.67 |
| 12.760 | 5966729 | 18.53 |

tert -Butyl-2-cyano-2-(3-hydroxycyclohexyl)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-acetate (6ma)
Area \% Report : C: $:$ EZChrom Elite ${ }^{\text {Enterprise } \operatorname{Projects} \backslash S i m o n ~ E i t e l D a t a \ 10-M A 131 ~}$
A_ODH_SE-97-3-210nm-90min-lflow.met.dat


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 7 . 1 7 3}$ | 5689478 | 18.69 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 . 7 4 0}$ | 1507434 | 4.95 |
| $\mathbf{2 9 . 2 6 0}$ | 23016431 | 75.61 |
| $\mathbf{4 6 . 0 3 3}$ | 226685 | 0.74 |

Area \% Report : C:|EZChrom Elite\Enterprise\Projects\Simon EitelDatalracemic products\10-MA068 rac-2_ODH_SE-97-3-210nm-90min-lflow.met.dat


UV Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 6 . 0 6 3}$ | 23389625 | 14.37 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 . 7 8 3}$ | 58116902 | 35.70 |
| $\mathbf{2 7 . 7 1 0}$ | 56150704 | 34.49 |
| $\mathbf{5 7 . 0 0 0}$ | 25135385 | 15.44 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {i }}$ The notation of the Michael-addition products, e.g. 3ab implicates the use of Michael-donor 1a and of the Michaelacceptor $\mathbf{2 b}$.

[^1]:    $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & \mathrm{ppm}\end{array}$

[^2]:    $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & p p m\end{array}$

[^3]:    $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0\end{array}$

[^4]:    $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllll}210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & p p m\end{array}$

[^5]:    $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0\end{array}$

[^6]:    $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & p p m\end{array}$

[^7]:    $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & p p m\end{array}$

[^8]:    $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllll}210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & p p m\end{array}$

[^9]:    $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & p p m\end{array}$

[^10]:    $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & p p m\end{array}$

[^11]:    $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & \mathrm{ppm}\end{array}$

[^12]:    $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & p p m\end{array}$

[^13]:    $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllll}210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & p m\end{array}$

[^14]:    $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0\end{array}$

[^15]:    $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & p p m\end{array}$

[^16]:    $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0\end{array}$

