Supporting Information for

# Switching the anisotropy barrier of a single-ion magnet by symmetry change from quasi- $D_{5h}$ to quasi- $O_h$

Jun-Liang Liu,<sup>a</sup> Yan-Cong Chen,<sup>a</sup> Yan-Zhen Zheng,<sup>b</sup> Wei-Quan Lin,<sup>a</sup> Liviu Ungur,<sup>c</sup> Wolfgang Wernsdorfer,<sup>d</sup> Liviu F. Chibotaru,<sup>\*c</sup> Ming-Liang Tong<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> MOE Key Laboratory of Bioinorganic and Synthetic Chemistry / State Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Materials and Technologies, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China

<sup>b</sup> Center for Applied Chemical Research, Frontier Institute of Science and Technology, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710054, China

<sup>c</sup> Division of Quantum and Physical Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200F, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

<sup>d</sup> Institut Néel, CNRS & Université Joseph Fournier, BP 166, 25 avenue des Martyrs, 38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

### Contents of the Supporting Information

- **1** Synthetic Procedures and Experimental Techniques
- 2 Structure and Crystallographic Data
- **3 Plots of Magnetic Data**
- 4 Ab initio Calculations

### 1. Synthetic Procedures and Experimental Techniques

### **Experimental Section**

### **General Remarks:**

All chemicals were commercially available and used as received without further purification. The C, H, and N microanalyses were carried out with an Elementar Vario-EL CHNS elemental analyzer. The FT-IR spectra were recorded from KBr pellets in the range 4000-400 cm<sup>-1</sup> on an EQUINOX 55 spectrometer.

### Synthesis of [Zn<sub>2</sub>DyL<sub>2</sub>(MeOH)]NO<sub>3</sub>·3MeOH·H<sub>2</sub>O (1) and [Zn<sub>2</sub>DyL<sub>2</sub>]NO<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (2)

A mixture of 5-bromo-salicylaldehyde (121 mg, 0.6 mmol), tris(2-aminoethy1)amine (29 mg, 0.2 mmol) and triethylamine (56 mg, 0.55 mmol) in methanol (20 mL) was stirred for 5 min, yielding an orange turbid liquid. NaBH<sub>4</sub> (30 mg, 0.8 mmol) was added to the solution. One hour later, the precipitate and the orange color disappeared. Then  $Dy(NO_3)_3$ ·6H<sub>2</sub>O (46 mg, 0.1 mmol) and Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (59 mg, 0.2 mmol) was added, and the colorless solution was stirred for additional 10 min. After that the solution was filtered, and the filtrate was left standing at room temperature for evaporation. Colorless crystals available for single crystal diffraction were obtained overnight. Colorless crystals were obtained from the filtration in ~35 % yield based on 5-bromo-salicylaldehyde.

Complex 1 loses easily the solvent molecules and the coordinated methanol. The desolvated complex  $[Zn_2DyL_2]NO_3 H_2O$ (2) was obtained after placing sample 1 into dry air for 1 day. When immersing sample 2 into methanol for 1 day, 2 converted back to 1.

Anal. Calc. (%) for **1**: N, 6.64; C, 36.70; H, 4.14; for **2**, N, 7.12; C, 36.65; H, 3.53. Found (%) for **1\_as-synthesis**: N, 6.97; C, 36.10; H, 3.60; for **2**: N, 7.16; C, 36.64; H, 3.43; for **1\_bath\_in\_MeOH**: N, 6.96; C, 36.49; H, 3.65.



Figure S1. Infra-red spectra for 1 (blue) and 2 (red).

### X-ray Crystallographic Study

Diffraction intensities were collected on a Rigaku R-AXIS SPIDE IP diffractometer with  $MoK_{\alpha}$  radiation ( $\lambda$ = 0.71073 Å) for 1 and 2 at 150 K. The structures were solved by direct methods, and all non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically by least-squares on  $F^2$  using the SHELXTL program. For **1\_bath\_in\_MeOH**, the program SQUEEZE,<sup>1</sup> a part of the PLATON package of crystallographic software, was used to calculate the solvent area and remove its contribution to the overall intensity data. Anisotropic thermal parameters were assigned to all non-hydrogen atoms. Hydrogen atoms on organic ligands were generated by the riding mode.<sup>2</sup> CCDC reference numbers 924441 (**1\_as-synthesis**), 924442 (**2**), and 924443 (**1\_bath\_in\_MeOH**).

### **Magnetic measurements**

Magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed with a Quantum Design MPMS-XL7 SQUID and a Quantum Design PPMS-XL9 VSM. Polycrystalline samples were embedded in vaseline to prevent torqueing. Data were corrected for the diamagnetic contribution calculated using the Pascal constants.

- 1 P. van der Sluis, A. L. Spek, *Acta Crystallogr.* **1990**, *A46*, 194.
- 2 a) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr.* **2008**, *A64*, 112; b) G. M. Sheldrick, *SHELXTL 6.10*, Bruker Analytical Instrumentation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, **2000**.

## **2. Structure and Crystallographic Data** Table S1. Crystallographic Data and Structural Refinements for 1 and 2.

Tuste S1. Offstundgruphie Duta and Structural Actimentents for T and 2.				
1_as-synthesis	2	1_bath_in_MeOH		
$C_{58}H_{78}Br_6DyN_9O_{14}Zn_2$	$C_{54}H_{62}Br_6DyN_9O_{10}Zn_2$	$C_{58}H_{78}Br_6DyN_9O_{14}Zn_2$		
1897.99	1769.83	1897.99		
	150			
	$MoK_{\alpha}$			
	orthorhombic			
	Pbca			
22.0395(5)	20.5186(14)	21.8930(15)		
16.0165(4)	16.4699(11)	15.9613(15)		
39.3819(7)	37.585(3)	39.306(3)		
13901.6(5)	12701.4(15)	13734.9(19)		
	8			
1.814	1.851	1.836		
5.264	5.749	5.328		
1.089	0.944	1.019		
0.0821	0.0725	0.0924		
0.2339	0.1736	0.2621		
	$\begin{array}{c} \underline{1\_as\text{-synthesis}} \\ \hline \mathbf{C}_{58}H_{78}Br_{6}DyN_{9}O_{14}Zn_{2} \\ 1897.99 \\ \hline \\ 22.0395(5) \\ 16.0165(4) \\ 39.3819(7) \\ 13901.6(5) \\ \hline \\ 1.814 \\ 5.264 \\ 1.089 \\ 0.0821 \\ 0.2339 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		

 $\frac{wR_2(a)}{a}R_1 = \sum ||F_0| - |F_c|| / \sum |F_0|, {}^{b}wR_2 = \left[\sum w(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \sum w(F_0^2)^2\right]^{1/2}$ 

### Table S2. Selected bond lengths (Å) of $Zn^{II}$ for 1 and 2.

	1_as-sy	nthesis		2	1_bath_i	n_MeOH
	Zn1—O2	2.124(7)			Zn1—O2	2.119(9)
	Zn1—O3	2.068(7)	Zn1—O3	2.069(7)	Zn1—O3	2.012(11)
71	Zn1—N1	2.203(9)	Zn1—N1	2.292(9)	Zn1—N1	2.209(14)
Zni	Zn1—N2	2.333(8)	Zn1—N2	2.080(9)	Zn1—N2	2.314(14)
	Zn1—N3	2.126(8)	Zn1—N3	2.090(9)	Zn1—N3	2.123(12)
	Zn1—N4	2.160(9)	Zn1—N4	2.109(8)	Zn1—N4	2.140(14)
	Zn2—O5	2.062(6)			Zn2—O5	2.081(10)
	Zn2—06	2.115(7)	Zn2—O6	2.046(7)	Zn2—O6	2.278(12)
7-1	Zn2—N5	2.260(8)	Zn2—N5	2.256(9)	Zn2—N5	2.227(13)
2112	Zn2—N6	2.269(8)	Zn2—N6	2.073(10)	Zn2—N6	2.278(15)
	Zn2—N7	2.168(8)	Zn2—N7	2.122(9)	Zn2—N7	2.133(14)
	Zn2—N8	2.136(8)	Zn2—N8	2.090(9)	Zn2—N8	2.134(11)

### Table S3. The CShM values calculated by SHAPE 2.0 for 1 and 2.

	CN	Coordination Geometry	1_as-synthesis	2	1_bath_in_MeOH
	7	pentagonal bipyramid (D <sub>5h</sub> )	0.610		0.660
DIII		<b></b> capped octahedron $(C_{3\nu})$	6.622		6.685
Dy	6	octahedron (O <sub>h</sub> )		1.879	
	0	trigonal prism $(D_{3h})$		10.325	
	6	octahedron $(O_h)$	2.845-2.982		3.019-3.072
7. II	0	trigonal prism $(D_{3h})$	8.820-9.267		8.627-9.352
Zn-	5	trigonal bipyramid $(D_{3h})$		0.963-1.023	
	5	square pyramid ( $C_{4\nu}$ )		4.770-5.037	



**Figure S2.** View along indicated axis for 1 (*top*) and 2 (*bottom*). The dash lines correspond the nearest neighboring [Zn-Dy-Zn] cores.

### 3. Plots of Magnetic Data



**Figure S3.** Plots of ac susceptibility *vs.* frequency at  $H_{ac} = 5$  Oe,  $H_{dc} = 0$  Oe (*top*) and  $H_{ac} = 5$  Oe,  $H_{dc} = 1000$  Oe (*bottom*, oscillating at 1–1488 Hz (*left*) and 0.1–1.0 Hz (*right*)) for **1** at the indicated temperatures. The solid line is a guide for the eye.



**Figure S4.** *Left*: Plot of ac susceptibility *vs.* temperature oscillating at 1 Hz and 1488 Hz at  $H_{ac} = 5$  Oe and  $H_{dc} = 0$  Oe for **2**. *Right*: Plot of ac susceptibility *vs.* frequency oscillating at 1–1488 Hz at  $H_{ac} = 5$  Oe and  $H_{dc} = 1200$  Oe in the temperature range of 1.8-16.0 K for **2**. The solid line is a guide for the eye.



**Figure S5.** *Left*: Plot of ac susceptibility *vs.* frequency oscillating at 0.2–20 Hz at the indicated applied fields at 18 K for 1; *Right*: Dc field dependence of the relaxation time at 18 K for 1. The solid line is a guide for the eye.



**Figure S6.** Plot of time vs. normalized magnetization used to derive relaxation times for **1** at the indicated temperatures. The measurements were first going from an initial field ( $H_0 = 2$  T) to a final field ( $H_f = 0.01$  T) and then measuring continuously for 3740 s. The obtaining data were fit to a function of  $M(t) = M_f + (M_f - M_0) \exp[-(t/\tau)^{\beta}]$  (where  $\tau$  is the relaxation time). The solid lines correspond to the best fit.



**Figure S7.** The normalized magnetization  $(M/M_s)$  vs applied dc field sweeps at the indicated sweep rates and temperatures on a micro-SQUID magnetometer for the single crystal samples of **1** (*top*) and **2** (*bottom*), applying the magnetic fields along the easy axis.



**Figure S8.** *Left*: Plot of ac susceptibility *vs.* frequency oscillating at 1–1488 Hz at the indicated applied fields at 10 K for **2**; *Right*: Dc field dependence of the relaxation time at 10 K for **2**. The solid line is a guide for the eye.



**Figure S9.** Hysteresis loop of the powder samples for 2 (*left*) and **1\_bath\_in\_MeOH** (*right*) at 2 K at an average sweep rate of 0.02 T/s.



**Figure S10.** Cole-Cole plots in the temperature range of 22-30 K in the absence of dc field (*left*) and 22-29 K at an applied field of 1000 Oe (*right*) for **1**. The solid lines represent the best fitting of the experimental data to a generalized Debye model.



**Figure S11.** Cole-Cole plots in the temperature range of 8.0-16.0 K at an applied field of 1200 Oe for **2**. The solid lines represent the best fitting of the experimental data to a generalized Debye model.

### 4. Ab initio Calculations

### 4.1. Computational approximations

All calculations on individual magnetic centers were done with MOLCAS 7.8 and are of CASSCF/RASSI/SINGLE\_ANISO type.

Active space of the CASSCF included 9 electrons in seven 4f orbitals of the Dy<sup>3+</sup>. The spin-orbit coupling included the mixing of 21 sextets, 128 quartets and 130 doublet states.

The structure of the calculated ZnDyZn corresponded to the reduced molecule: all distant Br ions were computationally replaced by hydrogens.

Table S4. Contractions of the employed ANO-RCC basis sets in computational approximations A1 and A2.

Basis 1	Basis 2
Dy.ANO-RCC7s6p4d3f1g.	Dy.ANO-RCC8s7p5d4f2g1h.
Zn.ANO-RCC5s4p2d1f.	Zn.ANO-RCC6s5p3d2f1g.
O.ANO-RCC3s2p1d.	O.ANO-RCC4s3p2d1f.
N.ANO-RCC3s2p.	N.ANO-RCC3s2p.
C.ANO-RCC3s2p.	C.ANO-RCC3s2p.
H.ANO-RCC2s.	H.ANO-RCC2s.

## **4.2. Electronic and magnetic properties of the complex 1** *Table S5.* Energies of the lowest Kramers doublets (cm<sup>-1</sup>) of the **1**.

Kramers doublets	(cm⁻')
A1	A2
0.000	0.000
249.999	289.911
288.935	303.369
327.723	369.468
393.849	433.459
431.768	464.063
465.793	504.373
507.221	542.533
3693.196	3700.532
3805.307	3824.580
3840.879	3877.338
3874.903	3907.282
3903.351	3937.414
3938.065	3974.396
3967.669	4005.448
6290.088	6310.066
6322.762	6337.400
6349.579	6375.263
6394.256	6426.450
6436.612	6472.606
6484.442	6524.712
8243.094	8264.902
8292.177	8309.581
8311.152	8336.313
8374.429	8407.863
8425.451	8463.895
9779.002	9800.371
9823.888	9840.871
9884.202	9914.632
9947.189	9984.422

*Table S6.* Energies  $(cm^{-1})$  and g tensors of the lowest Kramers doublets (KD) of the 1.

K	(D	<b>A</b> 1		A2	
		E	g	E	g
1	gx gy gz	0.000	0.000151 0.000199 19.868853	0.000	0.000102 0.000130 19.870388
2	gx gy gz	249.999	$0.223162 \\ 0.405080 \\ 18.782700$	289.911	0.382147 0.822461 18.052916
3	gx gy gz	288.935	0.163439 0.226374 16.620541	303.369	0.195937 0.655172 16.021798
4	gx gy gz	327.723	1.233534 1.381285 14.327818	369.468	1.122614 1.359527 14.730118
5	gx gy gz	393.849	4.310371 6.235691 8.916654	433.459	4.173696 6.139064 9.366774
6	gx gy gz	431.768	1.804588 2.299802 12.335452	464.063	1.722385 2.488901 12.449911
7	gx gy gz	465.793	0.499558 0.574532 16.971749	504.373	0.659288 0.869183 16.714235
8	gx gy gz	507.221	0.016579 0.066232 17.830754	542.533	0.067046 0.110423 17.783671

Table S7. Angles between the main magnetic axes of the lowest Kramers doublet of the 1 obtained in different computational approximations (degrees).

	A1	A2
A1	0.0000	0.1644

**A2** 0.1644 0.0000

*Table S8.* Angles between the main magnetic axes of the lowest Kramers doublet and the shortest DyO bond (with oxygen O1 in the structure file).

	A1	A2
angle (degrees)	4.010	4.113

## **4.3. Electronic and magnetic properties of the complex 2** *Table S9.* Energies of the lowest Kramers doublets (cm<sup>-1</sup>) of the **2**.

Kramers doublets	s (cm⁻')
A1	A2
0.000	0.000
280.973	294.832
317.244	330.498
391.938	413.581
469.732	491.751
548.650	560.210
679.746	710.061
801.661	838.492
3710.710	3716.203
3855.600	3868.110
3904.542	3919.332
3947.667	3960.477
3999.663	4015.906
4089.849	4115.254
4224.540	4257.823
6314.641	6327.223
6376.083	6381.395
6430.052	6442.443
6491.424	6506.225
6568.321	6589.481
6696.903	6727.364
8281.356	8293.628
8336.517	8340.988
8414.344	8428.794
8481.537	8498.611
8617.521	8644.851
9818.246	9829.654
9874.636	9878.756
9984.968	10000.785
10128.674	10154.212

*Table S10.* Energies (cm<sup>-1</sup>) and g tensors of the lowest Kramers doublets (KD) of the 2.

K	(D	<b>A</b> 1		A2	
		E	g	E	g
1	gx gy gz	0.000	0.014718 0.016509 19.759367	0.000	0.012148 0.013777 19.763169
2	gx gy gz	280.973	2.240673 5.591768 12.968551	294.832	2.397632 6.713330 11.963338
3	gx gy gz	317.244	8.781177 5.351794 1.809328	330.498	3.226131 5.286081 8.272749
4	gx gy gz	391.938	0.399162 2.506125 14.051065	413.581	0.230993 2.370181 14.083157
5	gx gy gz	469.732	9.536617 7.366826 2.138286	491.751	9.819921 6.507633 1.483853
6	gx gy gz	548.650	2.122602 2.403658 15.486645	560.210	2.430646 3.218625 14.669848
7	gx gy gz	679.746	0.450758 0.752029 16.383299	710.061	0.385514 0.603227 16.481817
8	gx gy gz	801.661	0.081834 0.176593 19.031665	838.492	0.074725 0.149914 19.059782

Table S11. Angles between the main magnetic axes of the lowest Kramers doublet of the 2 obtained in different computational approximations (degrees).

	A1	A2
A1	0.0000	0.3794

A2	0.3794	0.0000

*Table S12.* Angles between the main magnetic axes of the lowest Kramers doublet and the shortest DyO bond (with oxygen O1 in the structure file).

	A1	A2
angle (degrees)	4.198	4.320

### 4.4. Ab initio calculations on symmetrized models with D<sub>5h</sub> and O<sub>h</sub> symmetries

We have performed similar ab initio calculations of CASSCF/RASSI/SINGLE\_ANISO type for two symmetrized complexes: a)  $[Dy(OCH)_6]^3$  having exact O<sub>h</sub> symmetry;

b)  $[Dy(OCH)_7]^{4-}$  having exact  $D_{5h}$  symmetry;

We have obtained these models by cutting the Dy with the oxygens and carbons from the first and second coordination spheres. The neighbouring Zn atoms were removed, since the O-Zn bond is much weaker than O-C bond. The ligand comes usually with a small negative charge, therefore we have added one electron per O-C bond, and in order to compensate the charge on the ligand, we added one H connected to the C atom.

For the O<sub>h</sub> model the Dy-O bonds were set to the average value 2.26050 Å, O-C was set to 1.33758 Å.

For the  $D_{5h}$  model two Dy-O distances were set: the axial Dy-O was 2.20785 Å, while the equatorial Dy-O was 2.393594 Å. All seven O-C bonds were set to 1.35823 Å.

All O-H bonds were set to 1.0700 Å for both symmetrized models.

We have employed the Basis 2 (Table S4) for all atoms of these models.

*Table S13.* Energies of the low-lying Kramers doublets and the corresponding ab initio calculated parameters of the crystal field  $B_q^k$  for the idealized crystal environment (D<sub>5h</sub> and O<sub>h</sub>).

$B_q^k$ parameters		Ab initio energies	
		(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	
Model D <sub>5h</sub>	Model O <sub>h</sub>	Model D <sub>5h</sub>	Model O <sub>h</sub>
$B_{2}^{0} = -0.181E+01$		0.000	0.000
		199.197	23.278
		302.577	23.278
		336.718	148.678
		352.689	264.106
$B_{4}^{0} = -0.829E-02$	$B_{4}^{0} = -0.968E-02$	390.487	264.106
	$B_{4}^{4} = -0.485E-01$	431.267	339.523
		434.446	339.523
		g tensor of the ground Kramers doublet	
		$g_{\rm X} = 0.00$	$g_{\rm X} = 6.582$
$B_{6}^{0} = 0.235E-04$	$B_{6}^{0} = 0.955E-05$	$g_{\rm Y} = 0.00$	$g_{\rm Y} = 6.582$
	$B_{6}^{4} = -0.198E-03$	$g_Z = 19.886$	$g_Z = 6.582$