Supporting Information

for

A novel hybrid of 6-chlorotacrine and metal-amyloid- β modulator for inhibition of acetylcholinesterase and metal-induced amyloid- β aggregation

Akiko Kochi,^{‡,a,b} Todd J. Eckroat,^{‡,c,d} Keith D. Green,^d Abdelrahman S. Mayhoub,^{§,b} Mi Hee Lim,^{*,a,b} and Sylvie Garneau-Tsodikova^{*,d}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, ^bLife Sciences Institute, and ^cDepartment of Medicinal Chemistry in the College of Pharmacy, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA, ^dDepartment of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40536-0596, USA.

[‡]*These two authors contributed equally to this work.*

[§]On leave from Faculty of Pharmacy, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, 11884, Egypt. * Correspondence: mhlim@umich.edu and sylviegtsodikova@uky.edu

Content:

- 1. Materials and instrumentation
- 2. Chemistry methods
 - 2.1. Preparation of 6-chlorotacrine (1)
 - 2.2. Preparation of N^1 -[(6-bromopyridin-2-yl)methyl]- N^4 , N^4 -dimethylbenzene-1,4-diamine (6)
 - 2.3. Preparation of N^1 -{[6-((10-aminodecyl)amino)pyridin-2-yl]methyl}- N^4 , N^4 -dimethylbenzene-1,4-diamine (**4**)
 - 2.4. Preparation of 2-bromo-6-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)pyridine (7)
 - 2.5. Preparation of N^1 -[6-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)pyridin-2-yl]- N^{10} -(6-chloro-tacrine)decane-1,10-diamine (**8**)
 - 2.6. Preparation of 6-{[10-((6-chloro-1,2,3,4-tacrine)amino)decyl]amino}picolinaldehyde (9)
 - 2.7. Preparation of N^{1} -{[6-((10-((6-chloro-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroacridin-9-yl)amino)decyl)amino)pyridin-2-yl]methyl}- N^{4} , N^{4} -dimethylbenzene-1,4-diamine (**5**)
- 3. Biochemical, biophysical, and computational methods
 - 3.1. In vitro acetyl- and butyrylcholinesterase (AChE and BChE) assay
 - 3.2. In vitro AChE and BChE inactivation assay in a ROS environment
 - 3.3. Metal binding studies by UV-Vis and NMR spectroscopy
 - 3.4. Amyloid- β (A β) peptide experiments
 - 3.5. Gel electrophoresis with Western blotting
 - 3.6. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)
 - 3.7. Effect of metals and A β peptide on AChE and BChE inhibition by compound **5**
 - 3.8. Molecular modeling
 - 3.9. Parallel artificial membrane permeability assay adapted for blood-brain barrier (PAMPA-BBB)
- 4. Abbreviations
- 5. Supporting information references

List of figures:

Fig. S1. Synthetic schemes for the preparation of A. compound 1, B. compounds 4 and 6, and C. compounds 5 and 7-9.

Fig. S2. ¹H NMR spectrum for compound **4**.

Fig. S3. ¹³C NMR spectrum for compound **4**.

Fig. S4. ¹H NMR spectrum for compound **5**.

Fig. S5. ¹³C NMR spectrum for compound 5.

Fig. S6. Metal binding studies of **A.** cpd **1**, **B.** cpd **2**, **C.** cpd **4**, and **D.** cpd **5** with CuCl₂ (left) or ZnCl₂ (middle) by UV-Vis. Conditions: [compound] = 40 μ M; [CuCl₂ or ZnCl₂] = 20-800 μ M; 30 min incubation (4 h for Zn²⁺ binding for cpd **4**); rt. **C** and **D.** right panel = Zn²⁺ binding of **4** or **5** by ¹H NMR. NMR spectra of **4** or **5** (black, 2.0 mM) with ZnCl₂ (red, 3.2 mM) in CD₃OD at rt.

Fig. S7. Metal binding studies of **5** with **A.** CuCl₂ or **B.** ZnCl₂ in the absence and presence of A β and/or AChE at pH 6.6 (Cu²⁺) and 7.4 (Zn²⁺), monitored by UV-Vis. Spectra of A β , **5**, [A β + **5**], [A β + MCl₂], [MCl₂ + **5**], and [A β + MCl₂ + **5** ± AChE] are depicted in black, light blue, yellow, red, green, and blue, respectively. Conditions: [A β] = 10 μ M; [CuCl₂ or ZnCl₂] = 10 μ M; [AChE] = 10 μ M; [**5**] = 10 μ M (5% v/v DMSO); 20 mM HEPES, pH 6.6 (Cu²⁺) and 7.4 (Zn²⁺), 150 mM NaCl; rt.

Fig. S8. Disaggregation studies using **1**, **2**, **3**, **4**, and **5** with and without AChE. **A.** Scheme of the disaggregation experiment. **B.** Visualization of various-sized A β species in the absence (left) and presence (right) of AChE by gel electrophoresis with Western blot (anti-A β antibody, 6E10). Conditions: $[A\beta] = 25 \ \mu$ M; [CuCl₂ or ZnCl₂] = 25 μ M; [AChE] = 25 μ M [compound] = 50 μ M; pH 6.6 (for Cu²⁺ samples) or 7.4 (for metal-free and Zn²⁺ samples); 24 h incubation; 37 °C; constant agitation.

1. Materials and instrumentation.

 2^{1} **3**² Compounds and were prepared previously reported. $A\beta_{1-40}$ as (DAEFRHDSGYEVHHQKLVFFAEDVGSNKGAIIGLMVGGVV) was purchased from Anaspec (Fremont, CA). All reagents for chemical synthesis were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) and used without further purification. Reactions were monitored by TLC (Merck, Silica gel 60 F_{254}). Visualization was achieved using the following methods: UV absorption by fluorescence quenching or a ninhydrin stain (ninhydrin (1.5 g, n-butanol (100 mL), AcOH (3 mL)). Compounds were purified by SiO₂ flash chromatography (Dynamic Adsorbents Inc., Flash Silica Gel 32-63u). ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AvanceTM DPX 500 or Varian 400 MHz spectrometer. Liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LCMS) was performed on a Shimadzu LCMS-2019EV equipped with a SPD-20AV UV-Vis detector and a LC-20AD liquid chromatograph. HRMS was performed on a Micromass AutoSpec Ultima Magnetic sector mass spectrometer. Optical spectra for metal binding studies were obtained by using an Agilent 8453 UV-Visible (UV-Vis) spectrophotometer. Analyses by UV-Vis assays (determination of IC₅₀ values and parallel artificial membrane permeability blood-brain barrier penetration (PAMPA-BBB) assay) were carried out on a multimode SpectraMax M5 plate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) using 96-well plates (Fisher Scientific). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were recorded on a Philips CM-100 transmission electron microscope (Microscopy and Image Analysis Laboratory, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI). Molecular modeling was performed using Sybyl-X and GOLD.³

2. Chemistry methods.



Fig. S1. Synthetic schemes for the preparation of A. compound 1, B. compounds 4 and 6, and C. compounds 5 and 7-9.



2.1. Preparation of 6-chlorotacrine (1) (Fig. S1A). The known compound **1** was prepared in a manner similar to those previously established in the literature.^{4, 5} Briefly, 2-amino-4-chlorobenzonitrile (382 mg, 2.5 mmol, 1 eq), cyclohexanone (1.26 mL, 12.2 mmol, 4.86 eq), and anhydrous ZnCl₂ (750 mg,

5.5 mmol, 2.2 eq) were combined and heated at 125 °C for 3 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to rt and treated with H₂O (50 mL). The remaining solid was collected by vacuum filtration, treated with 1 M aq. NaOH (50 mL), and heated to reflux for 16 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled to rt and extracted with CHCl₃ (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a crude yellow solid, which was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 9:1/EtOAc:MeOH, R_f 0.15) to yield **1** (135 mg, 23%) as an off-white solid: ¹H NMR ((CD₃)₂SO, 400 MHz) δ 8.18 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.0 Hz), 7.62 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.0 Hz), 7.28 (dd, 1H, *J*₁ = 9.0 Hz, *J*₂ = 2.0 Hz,), 6.48 (s, 2H), 2.81 (t, 2H, *J* = 5.4 Hz), 2.53 (t, 2H, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 1.81 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR ((CD₃)₂SO, 100 MHz) δ 158.8, 148.3, 147.0, 132.4, 126.4, 124.2, 122.7, 115.6, 109.4, 33.5, 23.6, 22.5, 22.4; LRMS *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₃H₁₃ClN₂: 232.08; found 233.00 [M+H]⁺.



2.2. Preparation of N^{1} -[(6-bromopyridin-2-yl)methyl]- N^{4} , N^{4} dimethylbenzene-1,4-diamine (6) (Fig. S1B). 6-Bromo-2pyridinecarboxaldehyde (1 g, 5.38 mmol, 1 eq), N,N-dimethyl-pphenylenediamine (733 mg, 5.38 mmol, 1 eq), and EtOH (40 mL) were combined and heated to reflux for 1 h. The reaction was cooled to rt, and

NaBH₄ (1.1 g, 28.8 mmol, 5.4 eq) was added. The reaction was again heated to reflux for 1 h before being cooled to rt, quenched with H₂O (100 mL), and extracted with Et₂O (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (100 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a crude brown oil, which was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 1:1/hexanes:EtOAc, R_f 0.43) to yield **6** (1.5 g, 91%) as a brown solid: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.48 (t, 1H, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 7.35 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 7.32 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.6 Hz), 6.76-6.58 (m, 4H), 4.41 (br s, 2H), 2.83 (br s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 161.5, 144.3, 141.6, 139.9, 139.1, 126.3, 120.3, 115.8, 114.5, 50.1, 42.2; LRMS *m/z* calcd for C₁₄H₁₆BrN₃: 305.05; found 305.75 [M+H]⁺.



2.3. Preparation of N^{1} -{[6-((10-aminodecyl)amino)pyridin-2yl]methyl}- N^{4} , N^{4} -dimethylbenzene-1,4-diamine (4) (Fig. S1B). Compound 6 (136 mg, 0.443 mmol, 1 eq), 1,10-diaminodecane (305 mg, 1.77 mmol, 4 eq), and 1-pentanol (2 mL) were combined and heated to reflux for 14 h. The reaction was cooled to rt, diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL), and washed with 10% aq. KOH (2 x 50 mL), H₂O (2 x 50 mL), and

brine (50 mL). The organic layer was dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a crude yellow oil, which was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 7:3/CH₂Cl₂:MeOH with NH₄OH (7 mL/L of solvent), R_f 0.76). Further purification by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 9:1/CH₂Cl₂:MeOH with NH₄OH (7 mL/L of solvent), R_f 0.23) removed remaining impurities and gave **4** (47 mg, 27%) as a dark yellow solid: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) (Fig. S2) δ 7.36 (t, 1H, *J* = 7.9 Hz), 6.73 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.8 Hz), 6.65 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.8 Hz), 6.58 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 6.23 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 4.56 (br t, 1H, *J* = 5.1 Hz), 4.19 (s, 2H), 3.22 (q, 2H, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 2.80 (s, 6H), 2.68 (t, 2H, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 1.61 (p, 2H, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 1.46-1.36 (m,

4H), 1.29 (s, 10H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) (Fig. S3) δ 158.8, 157.4, 144.2, 141.0, 138.1, 116.0, 114.6, 110.4, 104.1, 50.4, 42.5, 42.4, 42.2, 33.5, 29.7, 29.63, 29.62, 29.53, 29.49, 27.2, 27.0; HRMS *m*/*z* calcd for C₂₄H₃₉N₅: 397.3205; found 398.3260 [M+H]⁺.



Fig. S3. ¹³C NMR spectrum for compound 4.



2.4. Preparation of 2-bromo-6-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)pyridine (7) (Fig. S1C). The known compound 7 was prepared by following a modified protocol of previously reported procedures.^{6,7} A solution of 6-bromo-2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde (2.0 g,

10.8 mmol, 1 eq), ethylene glycol (1.2 mL, 21.5 mmol, 2 eq), and methanesulfonic acid (230 μ L, 3.55 mmol, 0.33 eq) in toluene (65 mL) was heated to reflux in a Dean-Stark apparatus for 24 h prior to being cooled to rt and neutralized with saturated aq. NaHCO₃. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H₂O (3 x 50 mL) and brine (50 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a crude yellow oil, which was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 1:1/hexanes:EtOAc, R_f 0.66) to yield **7** (1.81 g, 73%) as a pale

yellow oil: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 7.49 (t, 1H. *J* = 7.7 Hz), 7.38 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 7.35 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.9 Hz), 5.68 (s, 1H), 4.06-3.99 (m, 2H), 3.97-3.90 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 158.3, 141.3, 139.0, 128.2, 119.3, 102.5, 65.4.



2.5. Preparation of N^{1} -[6-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)pyridin-2-yl]- N^{10} -(6-chloro-tacrine)decane-1,10-diamine (8) (Fig. S1C). Compound 7 (500 mg, 2.17 mmol, 1 eq), compound 2 (1.25 g, 3.23 mmol, 1.5 eq), CuI (21 mg, 0.109 mmol, 0.05 eq), L-proline (25 mg, 0.217 mmol, 0.10 eq), K₂CO₃ (45 mg, 0.326 mmol, 0.15 eq), DMSO (8 mL), and H₂O (800 µL) were combined and stirred at 90 °C for 47 h. The reaction was cooled to

rt, diluted with H₂O (150 mL), and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H₂O (3 x 50 mL) and brine (50 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a crude brown oil, which was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 49:1/CH₂Cl₂:MeOH with NH₄OH (7 mL/L of solvent) to 19:1/CH₂Cl₂:MeOH with NH₄OH (7 mL/L of solvent), R_f 0.41 (9:1/CH₂Cl₂:MeOH with NH₄OH (7 mL/L of solvent))) to yield **8** (249 mg, 21%) as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.84 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.0 Hz), 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.39 (t, 1H, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 7.20 (dd, 1H, *J*₁ = 9.0 Hz, *J*₂ = 1.4 Hz), 6.73 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 6.29 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 5.63 (s, 1H), 4.65 (br t, 1H, *J* = 5.0 Hz), 4.13-4.05 (m, 2H), 4.02-3.96 (m, 2H), 3.94 (br s, 1H), 3.42 (br t, 2H), 3.15 (q, 2H, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 2.97 (br t, 2H), 2.60 (br t, 2H), 1.85 (br p, 4H), 1.59 (p, 2H, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 1.54 (p, 2H, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 1.32-1.29 (m, 4H), 1.23 (br s, 8H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 159.4, 158.8, 155.4, 150.8, 148.1, 138.0, 133.8, 127.5, 124.6, 124.0, 118.4, 115.6, 108.9, 106.0, 103.6, 65.3, 49.6, 42.3, 34.0, 31.7, 29.42, 29.38, 29.27, 29.26, 27.0, 26.8, 24.5, 22.9, 22.6; LRMS *m/z* calcd for C₃₁H₄₁ClN₄O₂: 536.29; found 537.35 [M+H]⁺.



2.6. Preparation of **6-{[10-((6-chloro-1,2,3,4-tacrine)amino)decyl]amino}picolinaldehyde (9)** (Fig. S1C). A solution of compound **8** (91 mg, 0.170 mmol) in MeCN (2.2 mL) and 2 M aq. HCl (1.1 mL) was stirred at 60 °C for 23 h. The reaction was cooled to rt, slowly quenched with saturated aq. NaHCO₃, and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 25 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (50

mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield **9** (81 mg, 96%) as a yellow oil, which was used without any further purification: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 9.82 (s, 1H), 7.85 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.1 Hz), 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.48 (t, 1H, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 7.20 (dd, 1H, *J*₁ = 9.1 Hz, *J*₂ = 1.9 Hz), 7.15 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 6.53 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 4.86 (br t, 1H), 4.03-3.97 (m, 1H), 3.43 (t, 2H, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 3.27 (q, 2H, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 2.98 (br t, 2H), 2.61 (br t, 2H), 1.85 (br p, 4H), 1.62 (p, 2H, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 1.57 (p, 2H, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 1.34-1.30 (m, 4H), 1.24 (br s, 8H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 193.8, 159.4, 159.1, 151.3, 150.9, 148.0, 137.8, 133.9, 127.4, 124.7, 124.1, 118.3, 115.6, 112.0, 111.5, 49.6, 42.1, 34.0, 31.8, 29.5, 29.4, 29.31, 29.28, 27.0, 26.9, 24.6, 22.9, 22.6; *m/z* calcd for C₂₉H₃₇ClN₄O: 492.27; found 493.20 [M+H]⁺.



2.7. Preparation of N¹-{[6-((10-((6-chloro-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroacridin-9-yl)amino)decyl)amino)pyridin-2-yl]methyl}-N⁴,N⁴-dimethylbenzene-1,4-diamine (5) (Fig. S1C). To a solution of compound 9 (64 mg, 0.129 mmol, 1 eq) in EtOH (2.5 mL) was added N,N-dimethyl-p-phenylenediamine (18 mg,

0.129 mmol, 1 eq). The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 1 h and cooled to 0 °C prior to addition of NaBH₄ (33 mg, 0.864 mmol, 6.7 eq). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 5 min, warmed to rt, and stirred for an additional 30 min before quenching with H_2O (10 mL) and extracting with Et₂O (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a crude yellow oil, which was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 19:1/CH₂Cl₂:MeOH with NH₄OH (7 mL/L of solvent), R_f 0.20) to yield 5 (41 mg, 52%) as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) (Fig. S4) δ 7.888 (d, 1H, J = 1.5 Hz), 7.886 (d, 1H, J = 8.8 Hz), 7.35 (t, 1H, J = 7.7 Hz), 7.25 (dd, 1H, $J_1 = 8.8$ Hz, J_2 = 1.5 Hz), 6.73 (d, 2H, J = 8.6 Hz), 6.64 (d, 2H, J = 8.6 Hz), 6.58 (d, 1H, J = 7.3 Hz), 6.22 (d, 1H, J = 8.3 Hz), 4.56 (br t, 1H, J = 4.9 Hz), 4.19 (s, 2H), 3.97 (br s, 1H), 3.47 (t, 2H, J = 7.0 Hz), 3.22 (q, 2H, J = 6.6 Hz), 3.02 (br t, 2H), 2.80 (s, 6H), 2.65 (br t, 2H), 1.90 (br p, 4H), 1.64 (p, 2H), 1.64 (pJ = 7.1 Hz), 1.61 (p, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz), 1.39-1.35 (m, 4H), 1.29 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) (Fig. S5) & 159.5, 158.7, 157.4, 150.9, 148.2, 144.1, 141.0, 138.1, 134.0, 127.6, 124.7, 124.2, 118.5, 115.9, 115.7, 114.6, 110.4, 104.1, 50.3, 49.7, 42.44, 42.36, 34.1, 31.9, 29.7, 29.5, 29.44, 29.39, 27.2, 27.0, 24.6, 23.0, 22.7; HRMS m/z calcd for C₃₇H₄₉ClN₆: 612.3707; found 613.3600 [M+H]⁺.



Fig. S4. ¹H NMR spectrum for compound 5.



Fig. S5. ¹³C NMR spectrum for compound 5.

3. Biochemical, biophysical, and computational methods.

3.1. *In vitro* acetyl- and butyrylcholinesterase (AChE and BChE) assay. Compounds of interest were dissolved in sodium phosphate (dibasic) buffer ((100 μ L), 0.1 M, pH 8.0 adjusted at rt). AChE was added to the solution of inhibitors (50 μ L, containing 0.08 U/mL (~0.29 nM) AChE (final concentration) (Sigma-Aldrich cat #C2888 from eel) in sodium phosphate (dibasic) buffer (100 mM, pH 8.0 adjusted at rt)). The mixture of inhibitor and enzyme was incubated for 10 min before initiation with a DTNB:acetylthiocholine (ATC) (0.25 mM:0.5 mM final concentration, respectively) mixture (50 μ L) in phosphate buffer (100 mM, pH 8.0 adjusted at rt). The reaction was monitored at 412 nm taking measurements every 30 sec for 20 min on a SpectraMax M5 plate reader. Data was corrected with the negative control (no ATC) and normalized to the positive control (no inhibitor) using the initial rates (first 2-5 min). All assays were performed in triplicate. The data was fitted to a Hill-plot and IC₅₀ values calculated using KaleidaGraph 4.1.1. All IC₅₀ values are reported in Table 1. All butyrylcholinesterase (BChE) experiments were performed using identical conditions substituting butyrylthiocholine (BTC) for ATC.

3.2. In vitro AChE and BChE inactivation assay in a ROS environment. In the wells of a 96well plate, horseradish peroxidase (0.25 μ M), H₂O₂ (100 μ M), AChE (0.08 U/mL, ~0.29 nM), inhibitors (25 μ M to 13 pM), and DETAPAC (100 μ M) were dissolved in sodium acetate buffer (50 mM, pH 6.0 adjusted at rt) and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. Note: all concentrations are reported as final concentrations. A DTNB:acetylthiocholine (ATC) (0.25 mM:0.5 mM final concentration, respectively) mixture in sodium phosphate (dibasic) buffer (50 mM, pH 7.4 adjusted at rt) was added to the AChE/inhibitor solution to initiate the reactions. The crude data was processed to obtain IC₅₀ values as described in section 3.1. All IC₅₀ values are reported in Table 1. BChE experiments were done in an identical manner substituting BTC for ATC.

3.3. Metal binding studies by UV-Vis and NMR spectroscopy. The interaction of compounds **1-5** with 0.5-2 eq of Cu²⁺ or Zn²⁺ in EtOH was monitored by UV-Vis ([compound] = 40 μ M (1% v/v final DMSO concentration; incubation for 30 min (4 h for Zn²⁺ binding for cpd **4**); rt) (Fig. S6). Metal binding properties of compound **5** in the presence of Aβ and/or AChE were also studied by UV-Vis (Fig. S7). Aβ (10 μ M) was treated for 2 min with CuCl₂ or ZnCl₂ (10 μ M) in HEPES (20 mM, pH 6.6 (for Cu²⁺) or pH 7.4 (for Zn²⁺) and NaCl (150 mM). AChE (10 μ M) was added to the solution containing Aβ and Cu²⁺ or Zn²⁺. The resulting sample was incubated for 5 min at rt and treated with compound **5** (10 μ M, 5% v/v final DMSO concentration) followed by 5 min incubation. For comparison, optical spectra of the samples generated from incubation of compound **5** (10 μ M) with CuCl₂ or ZnCl₂ (10 μ M) for 5 min were measured. The interaction of compound **4** or **5** (2 mM) was dissolved in CD₃OD and treated with 1 eq of ZnCl₂. The resulting solution was incubated for 30 min prior to NMR measurement. Sequentially, 0.2 eq of ZnCl₂ was added to this solution until no further change in the NMR spectrum or precipitation was observed.



Fig. S6. Metal binding studies of **A.** cpd **1**, **B.** cpd **2**, **C.** cpd **4**, and **D.** cpd **5** with CuCl₂ (left) or ZnCl₂ (middle) by UV-Vis. Conditions: [compound] = 40 μ M; [CuCl₂ or ZnCl₂] = 20-800 μ M; 30 min incubation (4 h for Zn²⁺ binding for cpd **4**); rt. **C** and **D.** right panel = Zn²⁺ binding of **4** or **5** by ¹H NMR. NMR spectra of **4** or **5** (black, 2.0 mM) with ZnCl₂ (red, 3.2 mM) in CD₃OD at rt.



Fig. S7. Metal binding studies of **5** with **A.** CuCl₂ or **B.** ZnCl₂ in the absence and presence of Aβ and/or AChE at pH 6.6 (Cu²⁺) and 7.4 (Zn²⁺), monitored by UV-Vis. Spectra of Aβ, **5**, [Aβ + **5**], [Aβ + MCl₂], [MCl₂ + **5**], and [Aβ + MCl₂ + **5** ± AChE] are depicted in black, light blue, yellow, red, green, and blue, respectively. Conditions: [Aβ] = 10 μ M; [CuCl₂ or ZnCl₂] = 10 μ M; [AChE] = 10 μ M; [**5**] = 10 μ M (5% v/v DMSO); 20 mM HEPES, pH 6.6 (Cu²⁺) and 7.4 (Zn²⁺), 150 mM NaCl; rt.

3.4. Amyloid- β (A β) peptide experiments. To aliquot the A β_{1-40} (1 mg), it was completely dissolved with the NH₄OH provided by the supplier, split into 5 aliquots, lyophilized, and stored at -80 °C. For assays, A $\beta_{1.40}$ solutions were prepared by addition of NH₄OH (10 µL, 1% v/v, aq) to the above aliquots and diluted with ddH₂O to obtain ca. 200 µM as determined by UV-Vis (280 nm, rt). For both inhibition and disaggregation experiments (Fig. 2A in the main text for inhibition and Fig. S8A for disaggregation), the buffer solution (20 µM HEPES, pH 6.6 (for Cu²⁺) or pH 7.4 (for metal-free and Zn²⁺), 150 µM NaCl) was used. For the inhibition experiment, A β (25 μ M) was first treated with either CuCl₂ or ZnCl₂ (25 μ M) for 2 min at rt followed by addition and incubation of AChE (25 µM) for 5 min (only for AChE-indicated samples). The resulting samples were then incubated with compounds 1-5 (50 µM, 1% v/v final DMSO concentration) at 37 °C for 24 h with constant agitation. For the disaggregation experiment, Aß (25 µM) was first incubated with CuCl₂ or ZnCl₂ (25 µM) at 37 °C for 24 h with continuous agitation. The samples were then treated sequentially with AChE (25 µM, only for AChEindicated samples) for 5 min followed by addition of compounds 1-5 (50 µM; 1% v/v final DMSO concentration). These resulting solutions were incubated for an additional 24 h at 37 °C with constant agitation.



Fig. S8. Disaggregation studies using **1**, **2**, **3**, **4**, and **5** with and without AChE. **A.** Scheme of the disaggregation experiment. **B.** Visualization of various-sized $A\beta_{1.40}$ species in the absence (left) and presence (right) of AChE by gel electrophoresis with Western blot (anti-A β antibody, 6E10). Conditions: $[A\beta] = 25 \ \mu\text{M}$; $[CuCl_2 \ or \ ZnCl_2] = 25 \ \mu\text{M}$; $[AChE] = 25 \ \mu\text{M}$ [compound] = 50 $\ \mu\text{M}$; pH 6.6 (for Cu²⁺ samples) or 7.4 (for metal-free and Zn²⁺ samples); 24 h incubation; 37 °C; constant agitation.

3.5. Gel electrophoresis with Western blotting. The A β peptide experiments (described in section 3.4) were analyzed by gel electrophoresis with Western blotting using anti-A β antibody (6E10) (Fig. 2B and Fig. S8B).^{2, 8} Various A β species generated by both inhibition and disaggregation experiments were separated by a 10-20% Tris-tricine gel (Invitrogen). The gel was transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and blocked for 2 h with BSA (Sigma, 3% w/v) dissolved in Tris-buffered saline (TBS, Fisher) containing 0.1% Tween-20 (TBS-T, Sigma). The membrane was treated with 6E10 (1:2,000; 2% BSA in TBS-T, Covance, Princeton, NJ) overnight at 4 °C with gentle agitation and probed with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:5,000; Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI) in 2% BSA in TBS-T solution for 1 h at rt. The protein bands were visualized by using the ThermoScientific Supersignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Fisher).

3.6. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM). TEM samples were prepared following a previously reported method.^{2, 8} Glow-discharged grids (Formar/Carbon 300-mesh, Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) were treated with the samples (5 μ L) from either the inhibition or disaggregation experiment for 2 min at rt. Excess sample was removed with filter paper. The grids were washed 5 times with ddH₂O, stained with uranyl acetate (1% w/v, ddH₂O, 5 μ L) for 1 min, and dried for 15 min at rt. A Philips CM-100 transmission electron microscope (80 kV, 25,000x magnification) was used for obtaining TEM images of the samples (Fig. 2C).

3.7. Effect of metals and A β peptide on AChE and BChE inhibition by compound 5. The AChE inhibitor 5 (10 µM to 5 pM) was dissolved in phosphate buffer (100 µL, 100 mM final concentration, pH 8.0 adjusted at rt) and one of three conditions was followed. Conditions (a), (d), and (g): AChE (25 µL, 0.08 U/mL final concentration) was added to the inhibitor solutions (100 µL) and incubated for 10 min prior to addition of CuCl₂ or ZnCl₂ or A β peptide (25 µL, 10 µM final concentration). After 10 min, the reactions were initialized with a DTNB:ATC (0.25

mM:0.5 mM final concentration, respectively) mixture (50 μ L) in phosphate buffer (100 mM final concentration, pH 8.0 adjusted at rt). Conditions (b), (e), and (h): CuCl₂ or ZnCl₂ or A β peptide (25 μ L, 10 μ M final concentration) were added to the inhibitor solutions (100 μ L) and incubated for 10 min prior to addition AChE (0.08 U/mL final concentration). After 10 min, the reactions were initialized with a DTNB:ATC (0.25 mM:0.5 mM final concentration, respectively) mixture (50 μ L) in phosphate buffer (100 mM final concentration, pH 8.0 adjusted at rt). Conditions (c), (f), and (i): A mixture (50 μ L) of AChE (0.08 U/mL final concentration) and CuCl₂ or ZnCl₂ or A β peptide (10 μ M final concentration) (50 μ L total) was added to the inhibitor solutions (100 μ L). After 10 min, the reactions were initialized with a DTNB:ATC (0.25 mM:0.5 mM final concentration, respectively) mixture (50 μ L) and to the inhibitor solutions (100 μ L). After 10 min, the reactions were initialized with a DTNB:ATC (0.25 mM:0.5 mM final concentration, respectively) mixture (50 μ L) in phosphate buffer (100 mM final concentration) (50 μ L total) was added to the inhibitor solutions (100 μ L). After 10 min, the reactions were initialized with a DTNB:ATC (0.25 mM:0.5 mM final concentration, respectively) mixture (50 μ L) in phosphate buffer (100 mM final concentration, pH 8.0 adjusted at rt). IC₅₀ values were determined as described in section 3.1 and are reported in Table 1. Outside of using BTC in lieu of ATC, all BChE experiments were performed as AChE experiments.

3.8. Molecular modeling. Compound **5** was built using the Sybyl-X software and minimized to 0.01 kcal/mol by the Powell method, using Gasteiger-Hückel charges and the Tripos force fields. The coordinates of acetylcholinesterase (*Tc*AChE) and amyloid-beta (A $\beta_{1.40}$) were downloaded from the Protein Data Bank website (PDB codes: 1ACJ and 2LFM, respectively). The H₂O molecules and all other ligands were removed from the two proteins. Hydrogen atoms were added and the energy of both proteins was minimized separately using the Amber force fields with Amber charges. Then, the energy-optimized ligand (compound **5**) was docked into the tacrine-binding site in the energy minimized tacrine-free *Tc*AChE using GOLD.³ The parameters were set as the default values for GOLD. The maximum distance between hydrogen bond donors and acceptors for hydrogen bonding was set to 3.5 Å. After docking, the first-ranked conformation of compound **5** was merged into the corresponding tacrine-free *Tc*AChE (to determine the proper binding area for A $\beta_{1.40}$ binding).

The energy-optimized A β was then docked close to the surface of *Tc*AChE using GOLD. A distance constraint was applied between the His6 residue of A $\beta_{1.40}$ and the Gln74 of *Tc*AChE (that was chosen due its vicinity to the metal-chelator portion of compound **5** in the compound **5**-*Tc*AChE complex). Here again, the parameters were set as the default values for GOLD. The maximum distance between hydrogen bond donors and acceptors for hydrogen bonding was set to 3.5 Å. After docking, the first-ranked conformation of A $\beta_{1.40}$ was merged into the corresponding tacrine-free *Tc*AChE. The new A $\beta_{1.40}$ -*Tc*AChE complex was subsequently subjected to energy minimization using the Amber force fields with Amber charges. During the energy minimization, the structure of A $\beta_{1.40}$ and residues within a 7-Å radius were allowed to move. The remaining residues were kept frozen in order to save calculation time. The energy minimization was performed using the Powell method with a 0.05 kcal/mol energy gradient convergence criterion and a distance dependent dielectric function.

Compound **5** was then docked into the tacrine-binding site in the obtained energy optimized $A\beta_{1.40}$ -*Tc*AChE complex as described above. The first-ranked conformation of compound **5** was merged into the tacrine-free $A\beta_{1.40}$ -*Tc*AChE complex (Fig. 3).

3.9. Parallel artificial membrane permeability assay adapted for blood-brain barrier (PAMPA-BBB). Previously reported protocols with modification using the PAMPA Explorer kit (Pion, *Inc.*) were applied to our PAMPA-BBB experiment.^{2, 9-11} Each stock solution of the

compounds was diluted to a final concentration of 10 μ M (1% v/v final DMSO concentration) with pH 7.4 Prisma HT buffer (Pion). The resulting solution (200 μ L) was added to each of the wells of the donor plate (number of replicates per sample = 12). The BBB-1 lipid (Pion formulation, 5 μ L) was used to coat the polyvinylidene fluoride (PDVF, 0.45 μ M) filter membrane on the acceptor plate. The acceptor plate was placed on the top of the donor plate generating a "sandwich" and each well of the acceptor plate was filled with the brain sink buffer (200 μ L, Pion). The sandwich was incubated at rt for 4 h without stirring. A microplate reader was used to obtain the optical spectra (250-500 nm) of the solutions in the reference, acceptor, and donor plates. The $-\log P_e$ for each compound was calculated using the PAMPA Explorer software c. 3.5 (Pion). CNS+/– assignment was determined in comparison to compounds identified previously.⁹⁻¹¹ Compounds categorized as CNS+ have the ability to permeate through the BBB and target the CNS. Compounds assigned as CNS– have poor permeability through the BBB and therefore, their bioavailability into the CNS is considered to be minimal. All values (MW, clogP, HBA, HBD, PSA, logBB, and $-logP_e$) for compounds **1-5** are reported in Table 2.

4. Abbreviations.

AChE, acetylcholinesterase; ATC, acetylthiocholine; BSA, bovine serum albumin; BTC, butyrylthiocholine; DETAPAC, diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid; DTNB, 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid); HEPES, 2-[4-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazin-1-yl]ethanesulfonic acid; ROS, reactive oxygen species; TLC, thin layer chromatography.

5. Supporting information references.

- 1. J. J. Bornstein, T. J. Eckroat, J. L. Houghton, C. K. Jones, K. D. Green and S. Garneau-Tsodikova, *MedChemComm*, 2011, **2**, 406-412.
- 2. J.-S. Choi, J. J. Braymer, R. P. R. Nanga, A. Ramamoorthy and M. H. Lim, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2010, **107**, 21990-21995.
- 3. M. L. Verdonk, J. C. Cole, M. J. Hartshorn, C. W. Murray and R. D. Taylor, *Proteins*, 2003, **52**, 609-623.
- 4. M. Recanatini, A. Cavalli, F. Belluti, L. Piazzi, A. Rampa, A. Bisi, S. Gobbi, P. Valenti, V. Andrisano, M. Bartolini and V. Cavrini, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2000, **43**, 2007-2018.
- 5. J. S. da Costa, D. S. Pisoni, C. B. da Silva, C. L. Petzhold, D. Russowsky and M. A. Ceschi, *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **20**, 1448-1454.
- 6. A. Landa, A. Minkkilä, G. Blay and K. A. Jørgensen, *Chemistry*, 2006, **12**, 3472-3483.
- F. Hamon, E. Largy, A. Guédin-Beaurepaire, M. Rouchon-Dagois, A. Sidibe, D. Monchaud, J.-L. Mergny, J. F. Riou, C.-H. Nguyen and M.-P. Teulade-Fichou, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2011, **50**, 8745-8749.
- 8. S. S. Hindo, A. M. Mancino, J. J. Braymer, Y. Liu, S. Vivekanandan, A. Ramamoorthy and M. H. Lim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 16663-16665.
- 9. L. Di, E. H. Kerns, K. Fan, O. J. McConnell and G. T. Carter, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2003, **38**, 223-232.
- 10. A. Avdeef, S. Bendels, L. Di, B. Faller, M. Kansy, K. Sugano and Y. Yamauchi, *J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2007, **96**, 2893-2909.
- 11. Pion Inc. BBB protocol and test compounds, 2009.