

Supporting information

A Selective and Sensitive Chromogenic and Fluorogenic Detection of a Sulfur Mustard Simulant

Vinod Kumar[†] and Eric V. Anslyn*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, United States.

Experimental details

General

Fluorescence measurements were carried out using a Photon Technology International Quanta Master spectrofluorimeter with an 814 photomultiplier detection system using a 75W xenon short arc lamp. All chemicals and reagents were bought from Aldrich, Fluka Fisher Scientific and used without further purification.

Caution: *CEES is a blistering agent and potential vesicant so it should be handled inside the fuming hood by wearing hand gloves.*

Procedure for the Naked eye detection of CEES using **1** and **SQ** Solutions

A **1** (0.1 mg, 0.4 mM) was dissolved in 1 mL of methanol containing 3.0 equivalents of K₂CO₃. A solution of **SQ** dye at 14 μM was dissolved in chloroform using sonicator for 1hr. 15 μL of **1** solution was able to bleach 1.2 mL of **SQ** solution instantaneously. A solution of **CEES** (0.11 mg, 0.89 mM) was allowed to react with a **1** (0.4 mM). 25 μL (200 μM of **CEES**) of this solution was treated with 1.2 mL of **SQ** dye solution. These vials were photographed.

Fluorescence Titrations of **SQ** with thiol in Chloroform

A stock solution of **SQ** (2.65 μM) was prepared in CHCl₃. A separate stock solution of **1** (0.4 mM) and 3.0 equivalents of K₂CO₃ was also prepared in methanol. This solution at 0.2 mM (containing **SQ** was used for titration. A 2 mL aliquot of the **SQ** solution was transferred to the fluorescence cuvette and the initial fluorescence was measured. The titration was performed by adding successive 50 μL aliquots of the **1** solution to the cuvette and recording the spectrum.

Fluorescence Titrations of **SQ** with the solution of **CEES** treated with **1** (quantitative analysis)

A stock solution of **SQ** (2.65 μM) was prepared in CHCl₃. A separate stock solution of **1** (0.4 mM) and 3.0 equivalents of K₂CO₃ was also prepared in methanol. Increasing amount of 20 μM of **CEES** the 220

μM with respect to **1** was reacted at $80\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for a minute. Each time, this solution was titrated with 2 mL of stock solution of **SQ** ($2.65\text{ }\mu\text{M}$) in a fluorescence cuvette and the fluorescence was measured.

Calibration curve

The fluorescence response of **SQ** ($2.65\text{ }\mu\text{M}$) with various concentrations of **CEES** starting from $20\text{ }\mu\text{M}$ to $220\text{ }\mu\text{M}$ was measured in chloroform. In a similar manner as described above, **1** (0.2 mM) was allowed to react with different concentrations of **CEES** (from $20\text{ }\mu\text{M}$ to $220\text{ }\mu\text{M}$) at $80\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for one minute, followed by the addition of **SQ**. The magnitude of fluorescence intensity depends on the concentration of **CEES**. The results indicate that saturation point was achieved with the addition of $164\text{ }\mu\text{M}$ of **CEES**. Ideally, it should have been $200\text{ }\mu\text{M}$ of **CEES**. We anticipated that some portion of **1** is getting converted into disulfide under given reaction conditions. Consequently, it is not able to react with **CEES**, thus leaving it unreacted in solution, which leads to the saturation point at $164\text{ }\mu\text{M}$. In order to achieve a hypothetical saturation point at $200\text{ }\mu\text{M}$. We have multiplied all the x-axis values by a factor 1.25 and then plotted the calibration curve. This exercise would scale it to $200\text{ }\mu\text{M}$ of the analyte.

Chromogenic detection of CEES on surfaces using SQ

CEES ($8\text{ }\mu\text{L}$) was placed on a surface and absorbed by filter paper. This paper was allowed to react with a solution of **1** (1 mg , 4.09 mM) in 1 mL of methanol containing 3.0 equivalent of K_2CO_3 at $80\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 1 min. The solution was cooled to room temperature and then $25\text{ }\mu\text{L}$ of it was mixed with a solution of **SQ** dye (1.2 mL) at $14\text{ }\mu\text{M}$ in chloroform. Same procedure was followed when there is no mustard on the paper. Both these vials were photographed as shown in figure 1.



Figure 1. Detection of **CEES** on paper left (no **CEES**) and right (with **CEES**)

Chromogenic detection of CEES in soil using SQ

2.0 g of soil was mixed with **CEES** in diethyl ether (2 mL) and was allowed to stand for 20 min. The solvent from soil sample was evaporated by nitrogen blow down. **CEES** spiked soil sample was reacted

with a solution of **1** (1.0 mg, 4.09 mM) in 1 mL of methanol containing 3.0 equivalent of K_2CO_3 at 80 °C for 1 min. The solution was cooled to room temperature, centrifuged and then mixed with a solution of **SQ** dye (1.2 mL) at 14 μM in chloroform. This solution was then photographed.

Chromogenic detection of CEES in gas phase surfaces using SQ

1 (1mg, 4.09 mM) was dissolved in 1 mL of methanol containing 3.0 equivalent of K_2CO_3 . 200 μL of it was sprayed over (1" X 2.5") silica coated TLC and it was dried by blowing of air. The treated TLC plate was cut equally into two parts; one part was used for comparison and another part was kept in vapor generation chamber (Figure 2). The vapor generation chamber was placed on the hot place by providing 80 °C at chamber surface. **CEES** (10 μL) was placed on the heated surface of chamber. Air was passed through the chamber for 3 min. This pretreated TLC plate was taken out from chamber and drop of **SQ** (30 μM) was placed on the both the TLC plates (treated with and without **CEES**). Both the TLC plates were photographed together.



Figure 2. Gas generation chamber