Supporting Information for

Kinetically Selective Inhibitors of Histone Deacetylase 2 (HDAC2) as Cognition Enhancers

F.F. Wagner^a, Y.-L. Zhang^a, D.M. Fass^{a,c}, N. Joseph^{a,b}, J.P. Gale^a, M. Weïwer^a, P. McCarren^a, S. L. Fisher^c, T. Kaya^a, W.-N. Zhao^{a,d}, S.A. Reis^{a,d}, K.M. Hennig^{a,d}, M. Thomas^a, B. C. Lemercier^a, M.C. Lewis^a, J.S. Guan^{a,b}, M.P. Moyer^a, E. Scolnick^a, S.J. Haggarty^{a,d}, L.-H. Tsai^{a,b}, E.B. Holson^a*

<u>1. Compound Synthesis</u>

1.1. General details.

All reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial vendors and used as received. All final compounds were confirmed to be of >95% purity based on HPLC LC-MS analysis (Alliance 2795, Waters, Milford, MA). Purity was measured by UV absorbance at 210 nm. Identity was determined on a SQ mass spectrometer by positive and negative electrospray ionization. Mobile phase A consisted of 0.01% formic acid in water, while mobile phase B consisted of 0.01% formic acid in acetonitrile. The gradient ran from 5% to 95% mobile phase B over 1.75 minutes at 1.75 mL/min. An Agilent Poroshell 120 EC-C18, 2.7 µm, 3.0x30 mm column was used with column temperature maintained at 40 oC. 2.1 μ L of sample solution were injected. All reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial vendors and used as received. 1H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 300 MHz or Varian UNITY INOVA 500 MHz spectrometer as indicated. Proton and carbon chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane (δ 0 for both 1H and 13C) and d6-DMSO (1H δ 2.50, 13C δ 39.5). NMR data are reported as follows: δ , multiplicity (br = broad, s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, m = multiplet); coupling constants in Hz; integration. NMR data were collected at 25°C. Flash chromatography was performed using 40-60 µm Silica Gel (60 Å mesh) on a Teledvne Isco Combiflash Rf system.

1.2. Characterization of known compounds

The analytical data collected for all known compounds matched the published literature.



<u>4-acetamido-*N*-(2-aminophenyl)benzamide (**CI-994**):</u> ESI+ MS: m/z 270 ([M+H]⁺); ¹HNMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 10.18 (s, 1H), 9.54 (s, 1H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 8.5Hz, 2H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 8.5Hz, 2H), 7.16 (d, *J* = 7.5Hz, 1H), 6.96 (t, *J* = 7.5Hz, 1H), 6.78 (d, *J*=7.5Hz, 1H), 6.60 (t, *J*=7.5Hz, 1H), 4.87 (s, 2H), 2.09 (s, 3H); HPLC purity: >99%.



4-acetamido-*N*-(2-amino-5-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)benzamide (1)

ESI+ MS: m/z 352 ([M+H]⁺); ¹HNMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 10.18 (s, 1H), 9.61 (s, 1H), 7.95 (d, J = 8.5Hz, 2H), 7.69 (d, J = 9.0Hz, 2H), 7.46 (d, J = 1.5Hz, 1H), 7.34 (dd, J = 5.5Hz, J = 1.0Hz, 1H), 7.28 (dd, J = 8.5Hz, J = 2.5Hz, 1H), 7.23 (dd, J = 3.5Hz, J = 1.0Hz, 1H), 7.04 (dd, J = 5.0Hz, J = 4.0Hz, 1H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.5Hz, 1H), 5.11 (s, 2H), 2.08 (s, 3H); HPLC purity: 98%.

1.3. <u>Characterization of newly synthesized compounds</u> 1.3.1. Key Intermediates Syntheses



tert-Butyl (4-bromo-2-nitrophenyl)carbamate. To a solution of 4-bromo-2-nitroaniline (1, 10.0 g, 46.1 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in DMF (50 mL) at 0°C was added sodium hydride (1.8 g, 73.7 mmol, 1.6 eq.) slowly. After 30 minutes, a solution of di-*tert*-butyl dicarbonate (12.1 g, 55.3 mmol, 1.2 eq.) in DMF (50 mL) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was then stirred for 16 h at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with water. The product was extracted with methyl tertiary butyl ether. The organic layer was washed with water and brine. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 0-20 % EtOAc/hexanes) to give *tert*-butyl (4-bromo-2-nitrophenyl)carbamate (7.5 g, 51% yield) as yellow solid.



<u>tert-Butyl (2-nitro-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate</u>. A mixture of tert-butyl (4-bromo-2-nitrophenyl)carbamate (6.0 g, 18.92 mmol, 1.0 eq.), thiophen-2-ylboronic acid (3.2 g, 24.6 mmol, 1.3 eq.), potassium carbonate (7.84 g, 56.8 mmol, 3.0 eq.) and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (1.53 g, 1.32 mmol, 0.07 eq.), tritolylphosphine in DME/H2O (105 mL) was first degassed then heated to 90°C for 20 h. The reaction was then filtered through Celite. The product was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 2% EtOAc/hexanes) to obtain pure tert-butyl (2-nitro-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (4.42 g, 73 % yield).



<u>tert-Butyl</u> (2-amino-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate. To a solution of *tert*-butyl (2-nitro-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (2 g, 6.2 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in ethanol (20 mL) and methanol (20 mL) was added 10 % Pd/C (0.66 g, 0.1 eq.). The reaction mixture was stirred 12h under a hydrogen atmosphere. The reaction was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to give *tert*-butyl (2-amino-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (1.25 g, 69 % yield) as an off-white solid.



<u>tert-Butyl (2-amino-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate.</u> To a solution of *tert*-butyl (2nitro-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (1.6 g, 4.99 mmol, 1 eq.) in methanol (20 mL) was added hydrazine hydrate (14 mL) and ferric chloride (0.05 g, 0.3 mmol, 0.06 eq.). The resulting mixture was warmed to 60°C and stirred for 2 h. The reaction was then filtered through Celite, the solids were washed with MeOH. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. Water was added to the residue and the suspension was stirred for 1 h. The obtained solid was filtered, washed with hexanes then dried to yield *tert*-butyl (2-amino-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (1.2 g, 83 % yield). ESI+ MS: m/z 313 ($[M+Na]^+$).



<u>*tert*-Butyl</u> (3-amino-4'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)carbamate was prepared using the same procedure with 4-fluorophenylboronic acid. ESI+ MS: m/z 303 ([M+H]⁺).



<u>*tert*-Butyl</u> (2-amino-4-(pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)carbamate was prepared using the same procedure with 4-pyridylboronic acid. ESI+ MS: $m/z 286 ([M+H]^+)$.



<u>4-(Thiophen-2-yl)benzene-1,2-diamine (4).</u> A solution of *tert*-butyl 2-amino-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenylcarbamate (70 mg, 0.24 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in dichloromethane (3 mL) was treated with TFA (15 eq.). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20h. The crude reaction was concentrated under reduced pressure. A saturated solution of sodium bicarbonate was added. The product was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated to afford 4-(thiophen-2-yl)benzene-1,2-diamine (4) (42 mg, 92% yield). ESI+ MS: m/z 191 ([M+H]⁺); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 7.26 (d, J = 5.0 Hz s, 1H), 7.09 (d, J = 3.5Hz, 1H), 6.99 (t, J = 3.5Hz, 1H), 6.79 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.69 (dd, J = 2.0 Hz, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.49 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (bs, 4H); HR ESI MS calcd. for C10H11N2S *m/z* [M]⁺ 190.0565, found 190.0564; HPLC purity: 90%.

1.3.2. Representative synthesis of amide analogs using carboxylic acids:



A solution of *tert*-butyl (2-amino-4-(4-fluorophenyl)phenyl)carbamate (12.1 g, 40.1 mmol, 0.9 eq.), tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-4-carboxylic acid (6.0 g, 46.1 mmol, 1.0 eq.), HATU (21.0 g, 55.3 mmol, 1.2 eq.) and Hünigs base (16.1 mL, 92.3 mmol, 2.0 eq.) in DMF (60 mL) was stirred at room temperature. After completion, the reaction mixture was diluted with water. The solid was isolated by filtration and washed with pentane to afford *tert*-butyl (2-(tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-4-carboxamido)-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl) carbamate (15.1 g, 79 % yield).



To a stirred solution of *tert*-butyl (2-(tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-4-carboxamido)-4-(4-fluorophenyl)phenyl)carbamate (15.0g, 36.2 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in dichloromethane (120 mL) was added trifluoroacetic acid (40 mL, 519 mmol, 15.0 eq.) at 0°C. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The solvents were then removed under reduced pressure. A saturated aqueous solution of sodium bicarbonate was added. The product was extracted with EtOAc, washed with water and brine, dried, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂) to afford *N*-(2-amino-5-(4-fluorophenyl)phenyl)tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-4-carboxamide (**BRD4884**) as a beige solid (7.96 g, 70% yield). ESI+ MS: m/z 331 ([M+H]⁺), ¹H NMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 9.11 (s, 1H), 7.55-7.48 (m, 3H), 7.25-7.15 (m, 3H), 6.78 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.99 (s, 2H), 3.90 (broad d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 2H), 3.40-3.31 (m, 2H), 2.70-2.60 (m, 1H), 1.79-1.62 (m, 4H). HR ESI MS calcd. for C18H20FN2O2 *m*/z [M + H]⁺ 315.1503, found 315.1508; HPLC purity: >99%.



<u>*N*-(2-amino-5-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)benzamide (2)</u> was prepared by substituting tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-4-carboxylic acid with benzoic acid and by substituting *tert*-butyl (3-amino-4'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)carbamate with *tert*-butyl (2-amino-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate. ESI+ MS: m/z 295 ([M+H]⁺), ¹HNMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): 9.73 (s, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.62-7.56 (m, 1H), 7.55-7.46 (m, 3H), 7.35 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 7.31-7.29 (m, 1H), 7.24 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (t, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (s, 2H). HR ESI MS calcd. for C17H15N2OS *m/z* [M + H]+ 295.0900, found 295.0901; HPLC purity: 95%.



<u>*N*-(2-amino-5-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)cyclopropanecarboxamide (7)</u> was prepared by substituting tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-4-carboxylic acid with cyclopropanecarboxylic acid and by substituting *tert*-butyl (3-amino-4'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)carbamate with *tert*-butyl (2-amino-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate. ESI+ MS: m/z 259 ([M+H]⁺), ¹H NMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 9.46 (s, 1H), 7.56 (s, 1H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 7.25-7.16 (m, 2H), 7.04 (t, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (s, 2H), 1.86-1.82 (m, 1H), 0.82-0.77 (m, 4H). HR ESI MS calcd. for C14H15N2OS *m/z* [M + H]+259.0900, found 259.0905; HPLC purity: >99%.



<u>*N*-(4-amino-4'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)cyclobutanecarboxamide (8)</u> was prepared by substituting tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-4-carboxylic acid with cyclobutanecarboxylic acid. ESI+ MS: m/z 308 ([M+Na]⁺), ¹HNMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 8.99 (s, 1H), 7.54-7.51 (m, 3H), 7.21-7.18 (m, 3H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 8.5Hz, 1H), 4.98 (s, 2H), 3.32-3.22 (m,1H), 2.26-2.21 (m, 2H), 2.20-2.09 (m, 2H), 1.99-1.91 (m, 1H), 1.90-1.75 (m, 1H); HR ESI MS calcd. for C17H18FN2O *m*/*z* [M + H]+ 285.1398, found 285.1410; HPLC purity: 98%.



<u>*N*-(2-amino-5-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)cyclopentanecarboxamide (9)</u> was prepared by substituting tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-4-carboxylic acid with cyclopentanecarboxylic acid and by substituting *tert*-butyl (3-amino-4'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)carbamate with *tert*-butyl (2-amino-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate. ESI+ MS: m/z 287 ([M+H]⁺), ¹H NMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 9.15 (s, 1H), 7.52 (s, 1H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.26-7.18 (m, 2H), 7.04 (t, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 5.06 (bs, 2H), 2.84 (q, *J* =

7.5 Hz, 1H), 1.95-1.75 (m, 2H), 1.75-1.60 (m, 4H), 1.60-1.50 (m, 2H). HR ESI MS calcd. for C16H19N2OS *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺ 287.1213, found 287.1224; HPLC purity: >99%.



<u>*N*-(2-amino-5-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)cyclopent-1-enecarboxamide</u> (**BRD2283**) was prepared by substituting tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-4-carboxylic acid with cyclopent-1enecarboxylic acid and by substituting *tert*-butyl (3-amino-4'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4yl)carbamate with *tert*-butyl (2-amino-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl) carbamate. ESI+ MS: m/z 285 ([M+H]⁺), ¹H NMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 9.12 (s, 1H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (dd, *J* = 5.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (dd, *J* = 8.0 ; 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (dd, *J* = 4.0 Hz; 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (dd, *J* = 5.0; 4.5 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.73-6.68 (m, 1H), 5.06 (s, 2H), 2.61-2.57 (m, 2H), 2.51-2.48 (m, 2H), 1.93-1.90 (m, 2H); HR ESI MS calcd. for C16H17N2OS *m/z* [M + H]⁺ 285.1056, found 285.1051; HPLC purity: >99%.

<u>*N*-(2-amino-5-(pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-4-carboxamide (12) was prepared by substituting *tert*-butyl (3-amino-4'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)carbamate with *tert*-butyl (2-amino-4-(pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)carbamate. ESI+ MS: m/z 298 ($[M+H]^+$); ¹HNMR (500 MHz, d6-DMSO): δ 9.13(s, 1H), 8.50 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.83 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (bs, 2H), 3.91 (brd, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 3.41-3.30 (m, 2H), 2.70-2.61 (m, 1H), 1.79-1.65 (m, 4H); HR ESI MS calcd. for C17H19N3O2 *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺ 298.1550, found 298.1565; HPLC purity: >99%.</u>



<u>1-acetyl-*N*-(2-amino-5-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)piperidine-4-carboxamide (**BRD3349**) was prepared by substituting tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-4-carboxylic acid with 1-acetylpiperidine-4-carboxylic acid and by substituting *tert*-butyl (3-amino-4'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)carbamate with *tert*-butyl (2-amino-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate. ESI+ MS: m/z 316 ([M+H]⁺), ¹H NMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 9.19 (s, 1H), 7.50 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 7.24-7.18 (m, 2H), 7.04 (dd, *J* = 4.0, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 5.06 (s, 2H), 4.35 (d, *J* = 13.0 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (d, *J* = 13.5 Hz, 2H), 3.01 (t, *J* = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 2.68-2.58 (m, 2H), 2.00 (s, 3H), 1.88-1.82 (m, 2H), 1.64-1.58 (m, 1H), 1.48-1.43 (m, 1H). HR ESI MS calcd. for C18H22N3O2S *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺ 344.1427, found 344.1438; HPLC purity: >99%.</u>



<u>*N*-(2-amino-5-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)-1-methylpiperidine-4-carboxamide (11)</u> was prepared by substituting tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-4-carboxylic acid with 1-methylpiperidine-4-carboxylic acid and by substituting *tert*-butyl (3-amino-4'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4yl)carbamate with *tert*-butyl (2-amino-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate. ESI+ MS: m/z 316 ([M+H]⁺), ¹H NMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 9.12 (s, 1H), 7.51 (s, 1H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 7.24-7.18 (m, 2H), 7.04 (t, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (t, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 5.06 (bs, 2H), 2.82 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 2H), 2.37-2.25 (m, 1H), 2.16 (s, 3H), 1.90-1.60 (m, 6H). HR ESI MS calcd. for C17H22N3OS *m*/*z* [M+H]⁺ 316.1478, found 316.1487; HPLC purity: >99%.

1.3.3. Representative synthesis of amide analogs using acid chlorides:



<u>N-(4'-fluoro-4-nitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)cyclohexanecarboxamide</u>. To a solution of 4'-fluoro-4-nitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-amine (100mg, 0.43 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in DCM (10 mL) was added triethylamine (0.18 mL, 1.29 mmol, 3.0 eq.) followed by cyclohexanecarbonyl chloride (76 mg, 0.52 mmol, 1.2 eq.) and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM and washed

successively with a citric acid solution, water, and brine. The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford and off-white solid (100mg, 68% yield). ESI- MS: m/z 341 ([M-H]⁻).



<u>*N*-(4-amino-4'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)cyclohexanecarboxamide (10).</u> To a solution of *N*-(4'-fluoro-4-nitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)cyclohexanecarboxamide (50 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in methanol (10 mL) was added 10 % Pd/C (10 mg, 1.0 eq.). The reaction mixture was stirred 2h under a hydrogen atmosphere. The reaction was filtered on celite and washed with methanol. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and washed with diethyl ether to afford the product (10 mg, 22% yield) as an off-white solid. ESI+ MS : m/z 313 ([M+H]⁺), ¹HNMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO) : δ 9.05 (s, 1H), 7.60 - 7.50 (m, 3H), 7.25 - 7.18 (m, 3H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 4.97 (s, 2H), 2.44-2.37 (m, 1H), 1.90-1.10 (m, 10H). HR ESI MS calcd. for C19H22FN2O *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺ 313.1711, found 313.1718; HPLC purity: 96%.



<u>*N*-(2-amino-5-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)acetamide (3)</u> was prepared by substituting isobutyryl chloride with acetyl chloride and by substituting 4'-fluoro-4-nitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-amine with 2-nitro-5-(thiophen-2-yl)aniline. ESI+ MS: m/z 233 ([M+H]⁺), ¹HNMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 9.16 (s, 1H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 7.23-7.17 (m, 2H), 7.03 (dd, *J* = 4.0, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (bs, 2H), 2.06 (s, 3H). HR ESI MS calcd. for C12H13N2OS *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺ 233.0743, found 233.0738; HPLC purity: >99%.



To a solution of *tert*-butyl 2-amino-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenylcarbamate (200mg, 0.69 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in DCM (4 mL) were added successively triethylamine (0.23 mL, 1.72 mmol, 3.0 eq.) and isobutyryl chloride (80 μ L, 0.76 mmol, 1.2 eq.) and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM and washed successively with water, and brine. The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford and off-white solid (200mg, 81% yield). ESI- MS: m/z 262 ([M+H-Boc]⁺).



<u>*N*-(2-amino-5-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)isobutyramide (5)</u>: *tert*-butyl 2-isobutyramido-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenylcarbamate (190mg, 0.53 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was diluted in methanol (4 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. A solution of HCl in dioxane (4M, 2mL) was added and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. Solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting solid was neutralized with aq. NaHCO3, filtered and dried. The crude material was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of methanol in DCM to afford and off-white solid (50mg, 36% yield).

ESI+ MS: m/z 261 ($[M+H]^+$), ¹H NMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 9.10 (s, 1H), 7.50 (s, 1H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 7.25-7.18 (m, 2H), 7.04 (t, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 5.03 (s, 2H), 2.70-2.60 (m, 1H), 1.13 (s, 3H), 1.12 (s, 3H); HR ESI MS calcd. for C14H16N2OS *m*/*z* [M+H]⁺ 261.1056, found 261.1067. ; HPLC purity: 98%.



<u>N-(2-amino-5-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)pivalamide (6)</u>:

ESI+ MS: m/z 275 ([M+H]⁺), ¹H NMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 8.84 (s, 1H), 7.40-7.20 (m, 4H), 7.04 (s, 1H), 6.80-6.75 (m, 1H), 4.89 (bs, 2H), 1.25 (s, 9H); HR ESI MS calcd. for C15H18N2OS *m*/*z* [M+H]⁺ 275.1213, found 275.1215; HPLC purity: >99%.

1.3.4. Representative synthesis of urea and carbamate analogs:



<u>*N*-(2-nitro-5-(pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxamide.</u> To a stirred solution of 2nitro-5-(pyridin-4-yl)aniline (7.0g, 32.5 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in DCM (100 mL) were added triphosgene (9.65g, 32.5 mmol, 1.0 eq.) and triethylamine (42.8g, 423 mmol, 13.0 eq.) at 0°C. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. Then, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0°C, pyrrolidine (3.46g, 48.8 mmol, 1.5 eq.) was added and the mixture was gluenched with sat. citric acid solution and extracted with DCM. The organic layer was washed with water, dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography using 2% methanol in DCM to afford an off-white solid (5.0g, 50% yield). ESI+ MS:m/z 313 ([M+H]⁺).



<u>*N*-(2-amino-5-(pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxamide (**BRD6688**).</u> To a stirred solution of *N*-(2-nitro-5-(pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxamide (7.0g, 22.41 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in methanol (350 mL) was added 10% palladium on activated carbon (3.0g, 1.0 eq.) and the solution was stirred at room temperature under hydrogen atmosphere for 4 hours. After completion, the reaction mixture was filtered through celite and washed with methanol. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by washing with pentane to afford an off-white solid (4.5g, 71% yield). ESI+MS:m/z 283 ([M+H]⁺), ¹HNMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 8.49 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 2H), 7.56-7.52 (m, 3H), 7.50 (s, 1H), 7.39 (dd, *J* = 1.5, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.81 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 5.23

(s, 2H), 3.39-3.27 (m, 4H), 1.90-1.75 (m, 4H). HR ESI MS calcd. for C16H19N4O *m/z* [M + H]+ 283.1553, found 283.1562; HPLC purity: 95%.



<u>Propyl (2-amino-5-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (13)</u> was prepared by substituting pyrrolidine with propanol. ESI+ MS: m/z 277 ($[M+H]^+$), 1H NMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 8.60 (bs, 1H), 7.52 (bs, 1H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 7.21-7.16 (m, 2H), 7.05-7.02 (m, 1H), 6.71 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (s, 2H), 4.02 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.68-1.60 (m, 2H), 0.94 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H); HR ESI MS calcd. for C14H17N2O2S *m*/*z* [M + H]+ 277.1005, found 277.1012; HPLC purity: 98%.



<u>(Tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)methyl</u> (2-amino-5-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (14) was prepared by substituting pyrrolidine with (tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)methanol. ESI+ MS: m/z 333 ($[M+H]^+$), ¹H NMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 8.61 (bs, 1H), 7.52 (bs, 1H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 7.20-7.16 (m, 2H), 7.03 (dd, *J* = 3.5, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (s, 2H), 3.94 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.90-3.82 (m, 2H), 3.35-3.28 (m, 2H), 1.95-1.85 (m, 1H), 1.64-1.56 (m, 2H), 1.34-1.20 (m, 2H). HR ESI MS calcd. for C17H21N2O3S *m/z* [M + H]+ 333.1267, found 333.1275; HPLC purity: >99%.



<u>3-(2-amino-5-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)-1-methyl-1-propylurea</u> (16) was prepared by substituting pyrrolidine with *N*-methylpropan-1-amine. ESI+ MS: m/z 290 ($[M+H]^+$), ¹HNMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 7.69 (bs, 1H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (bs, 1H), 7.22-7.16 (m, 2H), 7.05-7.02 (m, 1H), 6.74 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 4.94 (bs, 2H), 3.26 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.94 (s, 3H), 1.60-1.48 (m, 2H), 0.87 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H). HR ESI MS calcd. for C15H19N3OS *m/z* [M + H]+ 290.1322, found 290.1328; HPLC purity: 96%.



<u>*N*-(4-amino-4'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)azetidine-1-carboxamide (**BRD3321**) can be prepared by substituting pyrrolidine with azetidine and by substituting 2-nitro-5-(pyridin-4-yl)aniline with 4'-fluoro-4-nitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-amine. ESI+ MS: m/z 286 ($[M+H]^+$), ¹HNMR (500MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 7.62 (s,1H), 7.52 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 5.5 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.23-7.12 (m, 3H), 6.76 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (s, 2H), 3.94 (t, *J* = 7.5Hz, 4H), 2.18 (qt, *J* = 7.5Hz, 2H); HR ESI MS calcd. for C16H17FN3O *m/z* [M + H]+ 286.1350, found 286.1354; HPLC purity: 94%.</u>



<u>*N*-(4-amino-4'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxamide (17)</u> was prepared by substituting 2-nitro-5-(pyridin-4-yl)aniline with 4'-fluoro-4-nitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3amine. ESI+ MS: m/z 300 ([M+H]⁺), 1H NMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 7.58-7.50 (m, 2H), 7.48 (s, 1H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.24-7.14 (m, 3H), 6.78 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 4.97 (s, 2H), 3.38 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 4H), 1.86 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 4H). HR ESI MS calcd. for C17H19FN3O *m*/*z* [M + H]+ 300.1507, found 300.1512; HPLC purity: 98%.



<u>*N*-(2-amino-5-(pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)piperidine-1-carboxamide (18)</u> can be prepared by substituting pyrrolidine with piperidine. ESI+ MS: m/z 297 ($[M+H]^+$), ¹HNMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 8.48 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 7.86 (s, 1H), 7.53 (d, *J* = 6.0Hz, 2H), 7.47 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.39-7.37 (m, 1H), 6.80 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (s, 2H), 3.42-3.39 (m, 4H), 1.64-1.48 (m, 6H). HR ESI MS calcd. for C17H21N4O *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺ 297.1710, found 297.1718; HPLC purity: 98%.



<u>N-(4-amino-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)piperidine-1-carboxamide (19)</u> can be prepared by substituting pyrrolidine with piperidine and by substituting 2-nitro-5-(pyridin-4-yl)aniline with 4-nitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-amine. ESI+ MS: m/z 296 ($[M+H]^+$), ¹HNMR (400 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 7.86 (s, 1H), 7.52 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.40-7.35 (m,2H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.24-7.18 (m, 2H), 6.78 (d, *J* = 10.5Hz, 1H), 4.87 (s, 2H), 3.45-3.30 (m, 4H), 1.62-1.55 (m, 2H), 1.55-1.45 (m, 4H). HR ESI MS calcd. for C18H22N3O *m*/*z* [M + H]+ 296.1757, found 296.1757; HPLC purity: 95%.



<u>4-acetamido-*N*-(2-amino-5-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)piperidine-1-carboxamide (**BRD3227**) was prepared by substituting pyrrolidine with *N*-(piperidin-4-yl)acetamide and by substituting 2-nitro-5-(pyridin-4-yl)aniline with 2-nitro-5-(thiophen-2-yl)aniline. ESI+ MS: m/z 359 ([M+H]⁺), 1H NMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 7.98 (s, 1H), 7.86 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (dd, *J* = 1.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 7.23-7.18 (m, 2H), 7.05 (dd, *J* = 3.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (s, 2H), 4.08-3.95 (m, 2H), 3.85-3.70 (m, 1H), 3.00-2.86 (m, 2H), 1.81 (s, 3H), 1.81-1.70 (m, 2H), 1.40-1.20 (m, 2H). HR ESI MS calcd. for C18H23N4O2S *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺ 359.1536, found 359.1537; HPLC purity: >99%.</u>



<u>*N*-(4-amino-4'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)morpholine-4-carboxamide (20)</u> can be prepared by substituting pyrrolidine with morpholine and by substituting 2-nitro-5- (pyridin-4-yl)aniline with 4'-fluoro-4-nitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-amine. ESI+ MS: m/z 316 ($[M+H]^+$), ¹HNMR (400 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 8.10 (s, 1H), 7.58-7.55 (m, 2H), 7.36 (d, *J* =

2.4Hz, 1H), 7.27-7.19 (m, 3H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.4Hz, 1H), 6.02 (bs, 2H), 3.63 (t, J = 4.6Hz, 4H), 3.44 (t, J = 4.6Hz, 4H). HR ESI MS calcd. for C17H19FN3O2 m/z [M + H]⁺ 316.1456, found 316.1459; HPLC purity: >99%.



<u>*N*-(4-amino-4'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-2-oxa-6-azaspiro[3.3]heptane-6-carboxamide</u> (**BRD3386**) can be prepared by substituting pyrrolidine with 2-oxa-6azaspiro[3.3]heptanes and by substituting 2-nitro-5-(pyridin-4-yl)aniline with 4'-fluoro-4nitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-amine. ESI+ MS: m/z 328 ([M+H]⁺), ¹HNMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 7.73 (s, 1H), 7.51 (dd, *J* = 5.5, 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.21 -7.15 (m, 3H), 6.76 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (s, 2H), 4.68 (s, 4H), 4.10 (s, 4H). HR ESI MS calcd. for C18H19FN3O2 m/z [M + H]+ 328.1456, found 328.1457; HPLC purity: 98%.



<u>*N*-(4-amino-4'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)isoindoline-2-carboxamide (**BRD8951**) was prepared by substituting pyrrolidine with isoindoline and by substituting 2-nitro-5-(pyridin-4-yl)aniline with 4'-fluoro-4-nitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-amine. ESI+ MS: m/z 348 ([M+H]⁺), ¹HNMR (400 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 7.70 (s, 1H), 7.57-7.54 (m, 2H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.38-7.35 (m, 2H), 7.33-7.30 (m, 2H), 7.23-7.18 (m, 3H), 6.81 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 5.06 (s, 2H), 4.79 (s, 4H). HR ESI MS calcd. for C21H19FN3O *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺ 348.1507, found 348.1519; HPLC purity: >99%.</u>



<u>*N*-(2-amino-5-(pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)isoindoline-2-carboxamide (**BRD4161**) was prepared by substituting pyrrolidine with isoindoline. ESI+ MS: m/z 331 ([M+H]⁺), ¹HNMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 8.52 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 2H), 7.74 (s, 1H), 7.65-7.60 (m, 3H), 7.47 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.40-7.35 (m, 2H), 7.35-7.30 (m, 2H), 6.84 (d, *J* = 8.5Hz, 1H), 4.79 (s, 4H); HR ESI MS calcd. for C20H19N4O *m*/*z* [M + H]+ 331.1553, found 331.1566; HPLC purity: 97%.</u>



Synthesis of N-(4-amino-4'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-3-((dimethylamino)methyl) azetidine-1-carboxamide (**BRD0302**)



To a solution of 4'-fluoro-4-nitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-amine (1.5g, 6.46 mmol) in DCM (50 mL) were added triphosgene (1.917g, 6.46 mmol) and TEA (8.5g, 84 mmol) at 0°C. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for two hours. *tert*-butyl azetidin-3-ylmethylcarbamate (1.203g, 6.46 mmol) was then added at 0°C and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for four hours. The reaction crude was diluted with saturated citric acid solution and extracted with DCM. The organic layer was washed with water, brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated to yield crude residue which was purified by column chromatography eluting with 30% EtOAc in Hexane to afford *tert*-butyl ((1-((4'-fluoro-4-nitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)carbamoyl)azetidin-3-yl)methyl)carbamate (2g, 69.7% yield).



To a solution of tert-butyl (1-(4'-fluoro-4-nitrobiphenyl-3-ylcarbamoyl)azetidin-3-yl)methylcarbamate (2.0 g, 4.5 mmol) in DCM (60 mL) was added TFA (8 mL, 104

mmol) at 0°C. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 2 h. The reaction was then concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was basified with a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ and extracted with a 15% MeOH in DCM. The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to afford 3-(aminomethyl)-N-(4'-fluoro-4-nitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)azetidine-1-carboxamide (1.6g, 100% yield) as yellow syrup which is used in the next step as such without any further purification.



To a solution of 3-(aminomethyl)-N-(4'-fluoro-4-nitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)azetidine-1carboxamide (2g, 5.81 mmol) in MeOH (50 mL) were added formaldehyde (1.74g, 58.1 mmol) and acetic acid (1.74g, 29.0 mmol) at room temperature and stirred for 1h. NaCNBH₃ (1.46 g, 23.23 mmol) was then added at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for two hours. The reaction was quenched with saturated NaHCO₃ and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with water, brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. The organic layer was concentrated under vacuum to afford crude residue which was purified by column chromatography eluting with 2% MeOH in DCM to yield 3-((dimethylamino)methyl)-N-(4'-fluoro-4-nitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)azetidine-1carboxamide (1.69g, 78% yield).



To a solution of 3-((dimethylamino)methyl)-N-(4'-fluoro-4-nitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3yl)azetidine-1-carboxamide (1.7 g, 4.57 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in methanol (100 mL) and was added 10 % Pd/C (1.0 g, 0.94 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred 2h under a hydrogen atmosphere. The reaction was then filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure give N-(4-amino-4'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-3to ((dimethylamino)methyl)azetidine-1-carboxamide (35 mg, 3 % yield) as an off-white solid. ESI+ MS: m/z 343 ([M+H]⁺), ¹HNMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 7.61 (s, 1H), 7.53-7.51 (m, 2H), 7.36 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.21-7.15 (m, 3H), 6.76 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (s, 2H), 3.99 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.58 (dd, J = 6.0, 8.0 Hz, 2H), 2.72-2.69 (m, 1H), 2.45-2.44 (m, 2H), 2.13 (s, 6H). HR ESI MS calcd. for C19H24FN4O m/z [M + H]⁺ 343.1929, found 343.1935; HPLC purity: 96%.

1.3.5. Representative synthesis of ureas analogs using isocyanates:



To a solution of *tert*-butyl (2-amino-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (0.25 g, 0.86 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) in dichloromethane (5 mL) was added *n*-propyl isocyanate (0.088 mg, 1.03 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and TEA (0.24 mL, 1.72 mmol) at 0°C. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The reaction was then diluted with dichloromethane and water. The organic layer was separated, washed with water and brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, then concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 20% EtOAc/hexanes) to give *tert*-butyl (2-(3-propylureido)-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (0.25 g, 77 % yield).



<u>1-(2-Amino-5-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)-3-propylurea</u> (17). A 4M solution of HCl in dioxane (2 mL) was added to a stirred solution of *tert*-butyl (2-(3-propylureido)-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (0.16 g, 0.43 mmol, 1 equiv.) in methanol (4 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 2h. The reaction was then concentrated under reduced pressure. A saturated aqueous solution of sodium bicarbonate was added. The obtained solid was filtered, washed with water and dried to yield <u>1-(2-Amino-5-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)-3-propylurea</u> (17) (0.035 g, 30% yield). ESI+MS: m/z 276 ([M+H]⁺), ¹HNMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): δ 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.56 (s, 1H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (t, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.21 (t, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 4.90 (s, 2H), 3.05 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 1.45 (sext, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 0.89 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H). HR ESI MS calcd. for C14H18N3OS *m*/*z* [M + H]+ 276.1165, found 276.1170; HPLC purity: >99%.

2. ¹HNMR and ¹³CNMR spectra and HPLC/UPLC traces of synthesized <u>compounds</u>



3

j.

.7



	RT	Area	% Area
1	1.13	968	0.14
2	1.28	663362	99.28
3	1.73	3862	0.58







.









```
MS Report
```







.

ŝ.

INFORMATION SAMPLE



• **

9

695

0.05



MS Report







3

min

0		0.5 1	1.5		2
Integration Re	esults for ADC1 A.	ELSD			
RetTim	Width	Area	Height	Area%	
1.62	0.02	28461.57	27050.88	100.00	

1.62	0.02	28461.07	21030.

Integration Results for DAD1 A. Sig=214.4 Ref=off

RetTim	Width	Area	Height	Area%
1.62	0.01	554.05	652.18	100.00

Integration Results for DAD1 B, Sig=254.4 Ref=off

RetTim	Width	Area	Height	Area%
1.62	0.01	333.64	390.67	100.00












DA Ch1	254nm 4nm	PeakTable		
Peak#	Ret. Time	Area	Height	Area %
1	7.864	2622	507	0.214
2	10.277	1208690	260499	98.764
3	10.507	12500	2407	1.021
Total		1223812	263414	100.000



MS Report









File D:\EZXDATA\T46\10-09\CP-3819-115-11380.D	Tgt Mass (EZX): 284.00
Injection Date : 13 Oct 09 11:23 am +0800	Seq. Line : 0
Sample Name : CP-3819-115	Location : P1-F-02
Acq. Operator : LCMSA102	Inj : 1
Spec. Reported : MS Integration	Inj Volume : 2 ul
Acq. Method : C:\Chem32\1\METHODS\1-T46.M	0
Analysis Method : C:\Chem32\1\METHODS\1-T46.M	Î.
Sample Info : 284.00	NH
Method Info : Mobile Phase: A: water(0.05%TFA)	B: ACN (0.05%TFA)
Gradient: 5%-95% B in 1.4min	
Flow Rate: 2.2ml/min	
Column: SunFire C18,4.6*50mm,3.5um	
Oven Temperature: 50 C	$\langle 1$





.....

x.









·. - .







RT	Area	% Area
1.64	2314	0.21
1.68	1750	0.16
1.74	1098372	99.63
	RT 1.64 1.68 1.74	RT Area 1.64 2314 1.68 1750 1.74 1098372













4 2.43

5 2.64

6 3.16

400 0.25

571 0.36

223 0.14



 \mathbf{x}_{i}

1



2 2.01

3 2.11

4 2.20

1358

3583

732233

0.18

0.49

99.16

SAMPLE INFORMATION



 $^{\circ}$

leye -



2 2.51

1357

0.53

SAMPLE









NH NH₂

2.02







	RT	Area	% Area
1	1.75	1084	0.10
2	1.87	1039928	98.04
3	1.98	1002	0.09
4	1.99	8698	0.82
5	2.35	1028	0.10
6	2.57	1098	0.10
7	2.90	3671	0.35
8	3.06	4173	0.39



LCMS REPORT



Total

23171560

100.000




















4 2.87

1551

0.18



и и ^и



4 1.86

5 2.25

2076 0.36

945 0.16





		1 1 0 0	/or incu
1	2.31	4062	0.11
2	2.35	3540431	99.06
3	2.50	29408	0.82





609 0.12

3. <u>Protocol for HDAC inhibition assay (microfluidic lab-on-a-chip assay)</u>

All HDACs were purchased from BPS Bioscience. The substrates, Broad Substrate A, and Broad Substrate B, were synthesized in house. All the other reagents purchased from Sigma. Caliper EZ reader II system was used to collect all data.

HDAC inhibition assays:

Compounds were tested in duplicate in a 12-point dose curve with 3-fold serial dilution starting from 33.33μ M.

Purified HDACs were incubated with 2 μ M carboxyfluorescein (FAM)-labeled acetylated or trifluoroacetylated peptide substrate (Broad Substrate A and B respectively) and test compound for 60 min at room temperature, in HDAC assay buffer that contained 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 100 mM KCl, 0.01% BSA and 0.001% Tween-20. Reactions were terminated by the addition of the known pan HDAC inhibitor LBH -589 (panobinostat) with a final concentration of 1.5 μ M. Substrate and product were separated electrophoretically and fluorescence intensity in the substrate and product peaks was determined and analyzed by Labchip EZ Reader. The reactions were performed in duplicate for each sample. IC₅₀ values were automatically calculated by Origion8 using 4 Parameter Logistic Model. The percent inhibition was plotted against the compound concentration, and the IC50 value was determined from the logistic dose-response curve fitting by Origin 8.0 software. (Madan Katragadda, Paola Magotti, Georgia Sfyroera, and John D. Lambris, *J. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *49*, 4616-4622).

Binding Kinetic Measurements.

Slow, tight-binding kinetics of BRD6688 and BRD4884 with HDACs 1, 2, and 3 were evaluated by the progress curves in inhibition and dilution experiments. To determine the mechanism and associated kinetic values, a series of progress curves of HDACs 1, 2 or 3 inhibition were generated in the presence of BRD6688 or BRD4884 at different concentrations. Kinetic reactions were assembled in microtiter plate wells by adding HDAC enzymes into separate reaction mixtures containing fluorophore conjugated Broad Substrate A and the inhibitors. Plates were immediately placed into a LabChip EZ Reader whereby the wells were sampled periodically throughout a 3-hour reaction period. The fluorescent product and substrate were separated and monitored on the Caliper microfluidic instrument. The conversion of substrate was calculated with Caliper software. The progress curves in the presence of increasing inhibitor concentrations were analyzed with a nonlinear regression program in Origin 8.5 to the integrated rate equation for slow-binding inhibitors (Eq.1). The on-rates were derived from linear fitting of first-order rate constant k_{obs} against the inhibitor concentrations (Eq.2).

The off-rates of BRD6688 and BRD4884 were determined from a dilution experiment. HDACs were incubated with BRD6688 or BRD4884 at saturated concentration (>10 fold IC50) for 1 hour to reach fully inhibition. The reaction was initiated by diluting the enzyme-inhibitor complex (100 fold) into reaction buffer. HDAC-diluted samples were

measured continuously over a 4-hour period. The recovery of activity of the diluted samples reflects the dissociation of inhibitor to generate the active enzyme species. The HDAC inhibitor off-rates of the dissociation reaction were calculated by measuring HDAC activity of the inhibitor-preincubated HDAC compared to the non-preincubated control using eq.1 and eq2. The reciprocal of the rate constant for dissociation of the inhibitor-HDAC complex (k_{off}) is residence time, which can be used to quantify the lifetime of the drug-target interaction.

$$[F] = v_s t + (v_0 - v_s)(1 - \exp(-k_{obs}t))/k_{obs} \quad (Eq. 1)$$

$$k_{obs} = k_{-1} + k_1 [I]/(1 + [S]/K_m) \quad (Eq. 2)$$



Time (mins)

Dissociation of BRD6688 from HDAC2-BRD6688 complex upon dilution of the enzyme-inhibitor complex into buffer(100-fold). The recovery of activity reflects the dissociation of inhibitor to generate the active enzyme.

4. <u>Supplemental Table 1: HDAC inhibitors' IC50s for HDACs 1-9</u>

		HDAC Isoform Inhibition IC50 (μΜ)ª								
Compound		HDAC 1	HDAC 2	HDAC3	HDAC4	HDAC5	HDAC6	HDAC7	HDAC8	HDAC9
LBH		0.001 ±0.000005	0.002 ±0.0001	0.002 ± 0.0002	0.373 ±0.037	0.092 ±0.021	0.002 ±0.0001	2.83 ± 0.135	0.231 ±0.003	2.68 ±0.364
SAHA		0.004 ±0.0001	0.011 ±0.0005	0.003 ± 0.0005	>33.33	8.75 ±1.80	0.002 ±0.0002	>33.33	1.02 ± 0.187	>33.33
CI-994		0.041 ±0.012	0.147 ±0.066	0.046 ±0.018	>33.33	>33.33	>33.33	>33.33	>33.33	>33.33
BRD6688	N N N N	0.021 ±0.013	0.100 ±0.048	11.48 ±2.54	>33.33	>33.33	>33.33	>33.33	>33.33	>33.33
BRD4884	NH NH ₂	0.029 ±0.012	0.062 ±0.031	1.09 ±0.38	>33.33	>33.33	>33.33	>33.33	>33.33	>33.33

^{*a*}Values are the mean of two experiments. Data are shown as IC50 values in $\mu M \pm$ standard deviation. Compounds were tested in duplicate in a 12-point dose curve with 3-fold serial dilution starting from 33.33 μM .

BRD4884	BRD6688	Kinetic P
	NH NH ₂	arameters Summary
0.41	0.185	K _{on} (min ⁻¹ .μM ⁻¹)
0.0345	0.01065	HDAC K _{off} (min ⁻¹)
20	65	:1 T _{1/2} (min)
0.084	0.058	к і (µМ)
0.014	0.00895	κ _{on} (min ⁻¹ .μM ⁻¹)
0.00485	0.00182	HDAC2 K _{off} (min ⁻¹)
143	381	T _{1/2} (min)
0.346	0.203	к і (µМ)
0.0013	0.00028	κ _{on} (min ⁻¹ .μM ⁻¹)
0.0027	0.0025	HDAC: K _{off} (min ⁻¹)
260	280	3 T _{1/2} (min)
2.08	8.93	К і (µМ)

5. <u>Supplemental Table 2: Kinetic parameters for BRD4884 and BRD6688</u>

6. <u>Supplemental Figure 1:</u> C57BL/6 Mice Brain Pharmacokinetics following single dose of BRD4884 (10 mg/kg) or BRD6688 (10 mg/kg) by intraperitoneal administration.



7. <u>Supplemental Figure 2. Kinetic selectivity for HDAC2 (BRD4884,</u> <u>BRD6688)</u>



	Target	% Inhibition at 10 μM^{*}
	Dopamine transporter (<i>h</i>) antagonist radioligand	21%
	5-HT ₆ (<i>h</i>) agonist radioligand	16%
BRD6688	CB ₁ (<i>h</i>) agonist radioligand	15%
	Norepinphrine transporter	15%
	5-HT _{2B} (<i>h</i>) agonist radioligand	14%
	hERG (automated patch- clamp)	8.3%
BRD4884	hERG (automated patch- clamp)	-0.40%

8. <u>Supplemental Table 3.</u> In vitro pharmacology: binding and enzyme assays; hERG.

* No hits >25% at 10 μ M. Top 5 hits shown. Screen included transmembrane and soluble receptors, ion channels and monoamine transporters (dopaminergic, kinases, GPCR, etc...). In vitro pharmacology, binding assay list: A1(*h*) (agonist radioligand) A2A(h) (agonist radioligand) A3(*h*) (agonist radioligand) alpha1 (antagonist radioligand) alpha2 (antagonist radioligand) beta2(*h*) (agonist radioligand) beta1(h) (agonist radioligand) AT1(*h*) (antagonist radioligand) BZD (central) (agonist radioligand) B2(*h*) (agonist radioligand) CB1(*h*) (agonist radioligand) CCK1(*h*) (CCKA) (agonist radioligand) D1(*h*) (antagonist radioligand) D2S(h) (agonist radioligand)

ETA(*h*) (agonist radioligand) GABA(non-selective) (agonist radioligand) GAL2(*h*) (agonist radioligand) CXCR2 (IL-8B) (*h*) (agonist radioligand) CCR1(*h*) (agonist radioligand) H1(*h*) (antagonist radioligand) H2(*h*) (antagonist radioligand) MC4(*h*) (agonist radioligand) MT1 (ML1A) (h) (agonist radioligand) M1(*h*) (antagonist radioligand) M2(*h*) (antagonist radioligand) M3(*h*) (antagonist radioligand) NK2(*h*) (agonist radioligand) NK3(*h*) (antagonist radioligand) Y1(*h*) (agonist radioligand) Y2(*h*) (agonist radioligand) NTS1 (NT1) (h) (agonist radioligand) delta2 (DOP) (h) (agonist radioligand) kappa (KOP) (h) (agonist radioligand) mu (MOP) (*h*) (agonist radioligand) NOP (ORL1) (*h*) (agonist radioligand) EP4(*h*) (agonist radioligand) 5-HT1A(*h*) (agonist radioligand) 5-HT1B(*h*) (antagonist radioligand) 5-HT2A(*h*) (antagonist radioligand) 5-HT2B(*h*) (agonist radioligand) 5-HT3(*h*) (antagonist radioligand) 5-HT5a(*h*) (agonist radioligand) 5-HT6(*h*) (agonist radioligand) 5-HT7(*h*) (agonist radioligand) sst (non-selective) (h) (agonist radioligand) VPAC1 (VIP1) (*h*) (agonist radioligand) V1a(*h*) (agonist radioligand) Ca2+- L (verapamil site) (phenylalkylamine) (antagonist radioligand) Kv channel (antagonist radioligand) SKCa channel (antagonist radioligand) Na+ channel Na+- site 2 (antagonist radioligand) Cl- channel (GABA-gated) (antagonist radioligand) norepinephrine transporter(*h*) (antagonist radioligand) dopamine transporter(*h*) (antagonist radioligand) 5-HT transporter (antagonist radioligand)

9. Pharmacokinetic studies

Twelve male C57BL/6 mice were weighed and administered intraperitoneally with a dose of test compound solution formulation. The dosing volume administered for the intraperitoneal route was at 10 mL/kg. Blood samples (approximately 60 μ L) were collected from retro-orbital plexus of each mouse under light isoflurane anesthesia at 0.08, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 hr. Following the collection of blood, plasma was also harvested by centrifugation and stored at -70°C until analysis. After collection of plasma, the animals were euthanized and brain and CSF samples were isolated at 0.08, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 hr. Tissue samples (brain) were homogenized using ice-cold phosphate buffer saline (pH 7.4) and homogenates were stored below -70°C until analysis. Total homogenate volume was three times the tissue weight. Concentrations of test compound in mouse plasma and brain samples were determined by LC-MS/MS method.

Identical extraction procedures were used for the plasma/brain homogenate study samples and the spiked plasma calibration standards: A 25 μ L sample of either study sample (plasma/brain) or spiked calibration standard was added to individual pre-labeled microcentrifuge tubes. A volume of 100 μ L of IS (antipyrine, 500 ng/mL) prepared in acetonitrile was then added to the micro-centrifuge tubes, except in a sample used as a negative control where only acetonitrile was added and vortexed for 5 minutes. Samples were centrifuged for 20 minutes at the speed of 4000 rpm at 4°C. Following centrifugation, 100 μ L of the supernatant was sampled from each centrifuge tube and transferred into insert vials. These vials remained within the auto-sampler for the LC/MS/MS analysis. Standards used for calibration were prepared by spiking 10 μ L of the test compound in 190 μ L of control (used as a negative control) mouse plasma/ brain homogenate.

The plasma and brain concentration-time data of test compound was provided for data analysis. The plasma and brain concentration-time data was then used for the pharmacokinetic analysis. Non-Compartmental-Analysis module in Phoenix® WinNonlin® (Version 6.3) was used to assess the pharmacokinetic parameters. Peak plasma concentrations (Cmax) and time for the peak plasma concentrations (Tmax) were the observed values. The areas under the concentration time curve (AUClast and AUCinf) were calculated by linear trapezoidal rule. The terminal elimination rate constant, ke was determined by regression analysis of the linear terminal portion of the log plasma concentration-time curve.

10. <u>Target Engagement Simulations</u>

Simulated pharmacokinetic profiles of the brain-specific, free inhibitor concentration were prepared based on the parameters obtained using non-compartmental pharmacokinetic modeling (See Supplemental Figure 1) and visual inspection to ensure goodness of fit to the experimental data. The density of brain homogenate was assumed to be 1 to facilitate conversion of brain concentrations to umol/L. Intracellular concentrations were assumed to in rapid equilibrium with and equal to the unbound brain homogenate fraction. The simulated pharmacokinetic profiles were then used to calculate the intracellular enzyme species according to Scheme I, through a system of differential equations (Eq 1-4). The system was assumed to be in rapid equilibrium between E and ES, while the inhibition complex EI was driven by the rate constants k_{on} and k_{off} , respectively (Eq 4). Total enzyme concentration (E_0) was fixed over the course of all simulations and the intracellular enzyme concentration was estimated using isoformspecific relative protein amounts determined in brain tissue (Wang et al., 2013) and a total protein concentration of 300 mg/mL (Albe *et al.*, 1990) ([HDAC1] = 0.57 μ M, $[HDAC2] = 0.74 \mu M$, $[HDAC3] = 2.0 \mu M$). Since the specific substrate concentrations and $K_{\rm m}$ values for each isoform are undefined, these values were assumed to be equivalent ([S]/ $K_{\rm m}$ = 1). The kinetic parameters $k_{\rm on}$, $k_{\rm off}$ were obtained from *in vitro* activity assessments (Supplemental Table 2). Target engagement was determined as the fraction of the inhibited species relative to the total enzyme concentration (Eq 5) and plotted as a function of time.

$$E + S \xrightarrow{K_m} ES$$

$$\downarrow^+$$

$$k_{on} \downarrow^+ k_{off}$$

$$EI$$

$$[E_0] = [E]_{RE} + [EI]$$
(1)

$$\frac{dES}{dt} = [E]_{RE} \cdot \frac{\left[\frac{[S]}{K_m} \right]}{\left(1 + \frac{[S]}{K_m} \right)}$$
(2)

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = [E]_{RE} - [ES] \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{dEI}{dt} = k_{on} \cdot [E] \cdot [I] - k_{off} \cdot [EI]$$
(4)

$$\% TE = \frac{[DI]}{[E_0]} \cdot 100$$
(5)

where:

$$[E]_{RE}$$
 = Concentration of free enzyme in rapid equilibrium with substrate

- $[E_0]$ = Total enzyme concentration
- [EI] = Slow binding inhibitor:enzyme complex
- [ES] = Enzyme:Substrate complex, in rapid equilibrium with free enzyme
- [I] = Inhibitor concentration
- [S] = Concentration of substrate
- $K_{\rm m}$ = Michaelis constant of substrate binding

- $k_{\rm on}$ = Inhibitor binding rate constant
- k_{off} = Enzyme:inhibitor slow binding complex dissociation rate constant
- TE = Target engagement level

Parameter]	BRD4884		BRD6688				
Biochemical Parameters								
	HDAC1	HDAC2	HDAC3	HDAC1	HDAC2	HDAC3		
[S] (µM)	50	50	50	50	50	50		
$K_{\rm m}$ (μ M)	50	50	50	50	50	50		
$[E]_0 (\mu M)^a$	0.56	0.74	2.0	0.56	0.74	2.0		
$k_{\rm on} (\mu {\rm M}^{-1} {\rm h}^{-1})^{\rm b}$	24.6	0.84	0.078	11.1	0.537	0.017		
$k_{\rm off} ({\rm h}^{-1})^{\rm b}$	2.07	0.291	0.162	0.021	0.100	11.5		
Pharmacokinetic Parameters (10mg/kg i.p.)								
fu		0.06		0.54				
$k_{\rm a}$ (absorption	6.0			6.0				
rate, h^{-1}) ^c								
Clearance $t_{1/2}$	0.213			0.462				
$(h)^{c}$								
$V_{\rm d} \left({\rm L/kg} \right)^c$		1.9		2.5				
F		1.0		1.0				

^{*a*}Calculated using total HDAC isoform protein concentrations in brain (Wang et al, *Epigenetics*, (2013), *8*, 756-764) and total cellular protein concentration of 300mg/mL (Albe et al, *J. Theoretical Biol.*, (1990), *143*, 163-95). ^{*b*}From Supplemental Table 2. ^{*c*}Calculated from fitting data in Supplemental Figure 1.

11. Molecular Modeling and Docking

In order to determine the pose of compound 3, subunit A of the crystal structure deposited to the PDB by Bressi et al. (accession code 3MAX) was used as a starting point to construct a docking grid. The structure was protonated with histidines 145 and 146 protonated at the delta position and histidine 183 protonated at the epsilon position. The grid was prepared using Schrodinger Glide 6.0 with default parameters (25Å3 bounding box, 10 Å3 center of mass box, no constraints) and centered on the crystal ligand (LXX). Neutral hydroxamic acid, compound 3, was then docked using Glide XP with enhanced planarity for conjugated pi groups and post-docking minimization. A reasonable pose was returned with a docking score of -9.6 with Zn-N and Zn-O distances of 2.40 and 2.21Å respectively. Hydrogen bonding geometries of the dashed hydrogen bonds in Figure 1 of the text are: NHis145 – HNligand, NH₂ d= 1.95Å C γ -N ϵ -HNH₂=137° NNH₂-HNH₂-Nε=136°, NHis146 - HNligand, NH₂ d=2.42 Å Cγ-Nε-HNH₂=133° NNH₂-HNH₂-N ε =127°, OGly154-HNligand, amide d= 1.8 Å CGly54-OGly54-HNligand, amide=138°, Nligand,amide-Hligand,amide-OGly154=153°. Tyr308 did not have an ideal hydrogen bonding geometry with an amide carbonyl to Tyr OH (Cligand,amide-Oligand,amide-HTyr308OH) angle of 79°. Electrostatic maps were produced using the pdb2pqr and APBS tools web server (http://nbcr-222.ucsd.edu/pdb2pgr 1.8/) with the PARSE force field and visualized in PyMol using the APBS plugin 2.1.

Dolinsky TJ, Czodrowski P, Li H, Nielsen JE, Jensen JH, Klebe G, Baker NA. PDB2PQR: expanding and upgrading automated preparation of biomolecular structures for molecular simulations. Nucleic Acids Research 2007; 35 (suppl 2): W522-W525.

Dolinsky TJ, Nielsen JE, McCammon JA, Baker NA. PDB2PQR: an automated pipeline for the setup, execution, and analysis of Poisson-Boltzmann electrostatics calculations. Nucleic Acids Research 2004; 32:W665-W667.

Baker NA, Sept D, Joseph S, Holst MJ, McCammon JA. Electrostatics of nanosystems: application to microtubules and the ribosome. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 2001; 98:10037-10041.

MG Lerner and HA Carlson. APBS plugin for PyMOL, 2006, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.

Friesner RA, Murphy RB, Repasky MP, Frye LL, Greenwood JR, Halgren TA, Sanschagrin PC, Mainz, DT. Extra Precision Glide: Docking and Scoring Incorporating a Model of Hydrophobic Enclosure for Protein-Ligand Complexes, Journal of Medicinal Chemistry 2006; 49: 6177–6196

Small-Molecule Drug Discovery Suite 2013-2: Glide, version 6.0, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2013.

12. Neuronal Histone Acetylation Assays

Measurements of increases in neuronal histone acetylation in mouse forebrain primary neuronal cultures induced by HDAC inhibitor compounds was performed exactly as described in Fass et al., (2013). On the 13th day after generating the cultures, cells were treated for 24 hours with compounds at 10 μ M. Cells were fixed with formaldehyde, stained with antibodies to acetyl-histone H3, lysine 9 (AcH3K9), or acetyl-histone H4, lysine 12 (AcH4K12), and green fluorescent secondary antibodies, and cellular fluorescence signals were quantitated with an Acumen microcytometer. To determine the efficacy of HDAC inhibitor compounds, we calculated the percentage of compound-treated cells with a fluorescence signal above a baseline threshold established in vehicle (DMSO)-treated cells.

References:

Fass D.M., Reis S.A., Ghosh B., Hennig K.M., Joseph N.F., Zhao W.N., Nieland T.J., Guan J.S., Kuhnle C.E., Tang W., Barker D.D., Mazitschek R., Schreiber S.L., Tsai L.H., Haggarty S.J. Crebinostat: a novel cognitive enhancer that inhibits histone deacetylase activity and modulates chromatin-mediated neuroplasticity. *Neuropharmacology* 2013; 64:81-96.

13. Fear conditioning tests

a. CK-p25 Induction

Mice at 3 months of age, CK-p25 male mice were induced for 6 weeks to obtain forebrain-specific expression of p25 (Cruz et al., 2003). Littermates lacking p25 were used as controls. All mice were heterozygous for their respective genes.

b. Administration of HDAC inhibitors

BRD6688 and BRD4884 were dissolved in DMSO (5% of the total resultant solution) and then diluted in 30% Cremophor/65% in physiological saline (ddH₂O containing 0.9% NaCl (Sigma)), for a final dosage solution of 1 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg, respectively. Vehicle solutions consisted of the abovementioned solution without the compounds. Solutions were prepared immediately before injection and administered daily via intraperitoneal injection for a period of 10 days prior to behaviour.

c. <u>Context-dependent fear conditioning</u>

Training consisted of habituating the mice to the conditioning box (TSE Systems) for a period of 3 mins, which was followed by a foot shock (2 s; 0.8 mA; constant current). The shock was repeated 30 s later and the mice were allowed to remain in the box for an additional 15 s. To assess associative learning, a long-term memory test was performed 24 h later by re-exposing the mice for 3 mins to the conditioning context, while measuring freezing behaviour¹.

d. Immunohistochemistry:

Immunohistochemistry was performed essentially as described in previous reports (Graff et al, 2012; Graff et al. 2014). Coronal brain slices (40 µm thickness) were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100, blocked and incubated overnight with 0.3% Triton X-100/10% fetal bovine serum in 1x PBS containing AcH2K12 (Abcam) and visualized with a fluorescently conjugated secondary antibody (Molecular Probes). Neuronal nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen). Images were acquired using a confocal microscope (LSM 510, Zeiss) at identical settings at the highest intensity for each of the conditions. Using the Hoechst signal channel, 20-40 representative non- apoptotic cells were chosen per experimental condition, and the mean AcH2K12 signal intensity was measured. Images were quantified using ImageJ 1.42q by an experimenter blind to treatment groups.

e. <u>Statistics:</u>

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 5. One-way ANOVAs followed by Tukey's posthoc analyses or one-tailed Student's t tests were used unless

indicated otherwise. All data are represented as mean \pm SEM. Statistical significance was set at p = 0.05.

References:

J. Graff, D. Rei, J. S. Guan, W. Y. Wang, J. Seo, K. M. Hennig, T. J. Nieland, D. M. Fass, P. F. Kao, M. Kahn, S. C. Su, A. Samiei, N. Joseph, S. J. Haggarty, I. Delalle and L. H. Tsai, *Nature*, 2012, 483, 222-226.

J. Graff, N. F. Joseph, M. E. Horn, A. Samiei, J. Meng, J. Seo, D. Rei, A. W. Bero, T. X. Phan, F. Wagner, E. Holson, J. Xu, J. Sun, R. L. Neve, R. H. Mach, S. J. Haggarty and L. H. Tsai, *Cell*, 2014, 156, 261-276.