SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Structural study of a small molecule receptor bound to dimethyllysine in lysozyme

Róise E. McGovern,^a Brendan D. Snarr,^b Joseph A. Lyons,^c James McFarlane,^b Amanda L. Whiting,^b Irina Paci,^b Fraser Hof^b and Peter B. Crowley^{*,a}

^aSchool of Chemistry, National University of Ireland Galway, University Road, Galway, Ireland.

^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Victoria, British Columbia, V8W 3V6, Canada.

^cSchool of Biochemistry and Immunology, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland.

*Correspondence to: peter.crowley@nuigalway.ie, +353 91 49 24 80



Figure S1. Crystals of the lysozyme-KMe₂:sclx₄ complex grew in the presence of different concentrations of sclx₄. The crystallization drops contained 20 μ M lysozyme-KMe₂, 1-160 μ M sclx₄, 20 mM NaCl or Na₂SO₄ and 10 mM (CH₃)₂AsO₂Na (cacodylate) at pH 6. There was no precipitant (*e.g.* PEG or ammonium sulfate) in the drops. Crystals were evident two days after the experiment set up.



Figure S2. Crystals of the lysozyme-KMe₂:sclx₄ complex grew in the presence of different chloride- and sulfate-containing salts. The crystallization drops contained 20 μ M lysozyme-KMe₂, 10 μ M sclx₄, 20 mM salt and 10 mM (CH₃)₂AsO₂Na (cacodylate) at pH 6. There was no precipitant (*e.g.* PEG or ammonium sulfate) in the drops. Crystals were evident two days after the experiment set up.



Figure S3. 1D ¹H NMR spectra (showing the region corresponding to -NMe₂ resonances and further upfield) of lysozyme-KMe₂ in (A) 40 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, (B) 40 mM sodium phosphate, 10 % DMSO- d_6 , pH 7.4, and (C) 40 mM sodium phosphate, 20 % DMSO- d_6 , pH 7.4. The signal at ~2.7 ppm corresponds to DMSO.

Crystallization Conditions ^a		
[protein], [sclx ₄] (mM)	0.5, 2.5	0.5, 4.3
PEG 8000 (%)	6	18
Buffer, (CH ₃) ₂ AsO ₂ Na	0.1 M, pH 6.1	0.05 M, pH 6.3
Salts	0.05 M NaCl, 0.1 M MgC	l_2
Data Collection ^b		
Space group	<i>P</i> 2 ₁	<i>P</i> 2 ₁
	<i>a</i> = 45.85 Å	a = 44.04 Å
	b = 30.20 Å	b = 30.28 Å
Cell constants	c = 94.21 Å	c = 95.01 Å
	$\alpha = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$	$\alpha = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$
	$\beta = 96.31^{\circ}$	$\beta = 96.62^{\circ}$
Resolution (Å)	31.32-1.90 (1.95-1.90)	39.41-2.20 (2.26-2.20)
Wavelength (Å)	0.95372	1.033190
Unique reflections	20709 (19591)	44504 (13557)
Multiplicity	3.6 (3.5)	3.3 (3.3)
Ι/σ	9.2 (2.6)	7.9 (3.7)
Completeness (%)	94 6 (90 1)	99 6 (98 9)
$R_{\text{marge}^{c}}(\%)$	8 4 (41 1)	130(734)
Solvent content (%)	45.02	49 53
Refinement		
PDB ID	4N0I	4PRU
$R_{\text{forter}}(\%)$	16 77	18.00
$R_{\rm factor}(\%)$	21.04	23 52
rmsd ^d bonds (Å)	0.01	0.01
rmsd angles (°)	1 19	1 53
# molecules in asymmetric	1.17	1.00
unit		
Protein	2	2
Solv.	2	2
Na ⁺	т 1	-
$M\alpha^{2+}$	1 2	-
Cl-	2	-
Cluserel	5	-
Solvent	5 101	2 07
A varage P factors ^e (λ^2)	191	31
Average <i>D</i> factors ² (A ⁻)	12.12	27.57
Piotein	13.12	21.57
$Scix_4$	1/./9	33.30
INA N 2+	10.85	-
Mg ²	19.13	-
	18.12	-
Glycerol	25.64	38.37
Solvent	23.75	30.65
Kamachandran analysis ¹		
% residues (favoured regions)	97.95	98.0
% residues (allowed regions)	100.0	98.5

Table S1. Summary of crystallization conditions, data collection and refinement statistics

^aThe crystallization drops comprised 1 μ L each of the protein, ligand and reservoir solution; ^bValues in parentheses correspond to the highest resolution shell; ^cR_{merge} = $\sum_{hkl} \sum_i |I_i(hkl) - \langle I(hkl) \rangle| / \sum_{hkl} \sum_i I_i(hkl)$; ^droot mean square deviation; ^ecalculated from the B values of all non-hydrogen atoms; ^fcalculated with Molprobity.

Movie S1. Movie of the MD simulation of $sclx_4$ binding to Lys116-Me₂. Each frame corresponds to a 100 ps time point over the 10 ns simulation. The simulation was run in explicit solvent but the waters are not shown. The side chains of Asn106 and Arg112 are shown as sticks. Note the formation of hydrogen bonds and salt bridges between these side chains and $sclx_4$.