## Supporting Information for

## Amphiphilic DNA-Dendron Hybrid: A New Building Block for Functional

#### Assemblies

By Liying Wang, Yu Feng, Yawei Sun, Zhibo Li, Zhongqiang Yang, Yan-Mei He, Qing-Hua Fan,\* and Dongsheng Liu\*

#### **Table of Contents**

1.	General Information	52
2.	Synthesis of Phosphoramidite Functionalized Dendrons	53
3.	Synthesis DNA-Dendron ConjugatesS2	12
4.	Formation of FibersS	14
5.	CMC Measurement ExperimentS2	14
6.	DNA Modified Gold Nanoparticles Preparation and Hybridization with	
G	<sub>2</sub> Cl-18 NanofiberS	14
G <sub>2</sub> 7.	2CI-18 NanofiberS2	14 15
G; 7. 8.	<sup>2</sup> Cl-18 NanofiberSi Nile Red Encapsulation ExperimentsSi Cryo-TEM and TEM ExperimentSi	14 15 16
G <sub>?</sub> 7. 8. 9.	2CI-18 NanofiberSi Nile Red Encapsulation ExperimentsSi Cryo-TEM and TEM ExperimentSi FiguresSi	14 15 16 17
G 7. 8. 9. 10.	<sup>2</sup> Cl-18 Nanofiber	14 15 16 17 20

#### **1. General Information**

Unless otherwise noted, all experiments were carried out under an inert atmosphere of dry nitrogen by using standard Schlenk-type techniques. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AMX 300 Spectrometer <sup>(1</sup>H: 300 MHz; <sup>13</sup>C: 75 MHz) at 298 K. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to the internal standards, partially deuterated solvents or tetramethylsilane (TMS). Coupling constants (J) are denoted in Hz and chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) in ppm. Multiplicities are denoted as follows: s = singlet, d = doublet, m = multiplet, br = broad. Matrix-assisted laser desorption-ionization (time of flight) mass spectrometer (MALDI-TOF) was performed on a Bruker Biflex III MALDI-TOF spectrometer with  $\alpha$ -cyano-4- hydroxylcinnamic acid (CCA) as the matrix. High resolution mass spectrometer. Electron spray ionization mass spectrometry was performed on a Thermo Finnigan Surveyor MSQ-Plus Mass spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed on a Flash EA 1112 Elemental Analyzer.

All chemicals were obtained from Aldrich or Alfa Aesar (Tianjing, China) and used as received unless otherwise mentioned. The organic solvents used for synthesis were dried according to published methods<sup>1</sup>. Water used in all experiments was Milli-Q deionized (15.6 M $\Omega$ .cm).

AFM samples were preparated by deposition of 10  $\mu$ L self-assembled mixture at a concentration of 20  $\mu$ M onto freshly cleaved mica and dried automatically in air. AFM images were acquired in air in tapping mode on a Veeco MultiMode 8 Scanning Probe Microscope with SNL (Sharp Nitride Lever) probe.

## 2. Synthesis of Phosphoramidite Functionalized Dendrons



Chart S1. Chemical structures of phosphoramidite functionalized dendrons.



Scheme S1. Synthetic routes to phosphoramidite functionalized dendrons.

#### 2.1 General procedure for the preparation of MOMG<sub>n</sub>-COOMe

**MOMG**<sub>0</sub>-**COOMe:** Dimethyl 5-hydroxyisophthalate (6.30 g, 30.0 mmol) solution in 70 ml anhydrous THF was added to an ice cooled suspension of NaH (3.60 g, 81.0 mmol, as 60% dispersion in oil) in anhydrous THF (30 mL) dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 2 h and methoxymethyl chloride (MOMCl, 4.35 g, 4.10 mL, 54.0 mmol) was added dropwise. After warming to room temperature, the mixture was stirred overnight. Then the reaction was quenched by dropwise addition of saturated ammonium chloride. The product was extracted with dichloromethane. The extract was dried by anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated to afford the crude product. Precipitating with petroleum ether afforded pure MOMG<sub>0</sub>-COOMe (7.08 g, 93% yield) as an off-white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 3.49 (s, *CH*<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>, 3H), 3.94 (s, COOCH<sub>3</sub>, 6H), 5.25 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>, 2H), 7.88 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, Ar*H*, 2H), 8.34 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, Ar*H*, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 166.4, 157.6, 132.2, 124.5, 121.9, 94.8, 56.7, 52.8. HRMS-FAB (m/z): [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>1</sub>2H<sub>15</sub>O<sub>6</sub>, 255.0863; found: 255.0863.

**MOMG**<sub>1</sub>-**COOMe**: Diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (DIAD, 5.05 g, 25.0 mmol, 4.95 mL) was added dropwise to an ice-bath cooled solution of  $MOMG_0$ -CH<sub>2</sub>OH (1.98 g, 10.0 mmol), dimethyl 5-hydroxyisophthalate (4.30 g, 20.5 mmol) and triphenylphosphine (PPh<sub>3</sub>, 5.77 g, 22.0 mmol) in dry THF (60 mL) via syringe. The reaction mixture was then stirred for 10 min at 0 °C and then 24 h at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was monitored by TLC upon the completion. The crude product was purified as follows: i) The reaction mixture was concentrated to about 20 mL, methanol was added under vigorous stirring, and the precipitate was isolated by filtration; ii) The resulting precipitate was redissolved in THF (20 mL), and precipitated into methanol (200 mL). After filtration, an

off-white solid of MOMG<sub>1</sub>-COOMe (5.24 g, 90%) was obtained. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ): 3.50 (s, *CH*<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>, 3H), 3.94 (s, COOC*H*<sub>3</sub>, 12H), 5.13 (s, Ar*CH*<sub>2</sub>O, 4H), 5.22 (s, *CH*<sub>3</sub>OC*H*<sub>2</sub>O, 2H), 7.12 (s, Ar*H*, 2H), 7.18 (s, Ar*H*, 1H), 7.83 (s, Ar*H*, 4H), 8.29 (s, Ar*H*, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ): 166.0, 158.6, 157.9, 138.2, 131.9, 123.3, 120.1, 119.7, 115.0, 94.5, 70.1, 56.1, 52.4. HRMS-FAB (*m*/*z*): [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>12</sub>Na: 605.1629; found: 605.1627.

**MOMG**<sub>2</sub>-**COOMe**: Following the procedure for MOMG<sub>1</sub>-COOMe, the reaction temperature was 45 °C. MOMG<sub>1</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>OH (1.60 g, 3.4mmol), dimethyl 5-hydroxyisophthalate (3.22 g, 15.3 mmol), PPh<sub>3</sub> (4.46 g, 17.0 mmol), DIAD (4.13 g, 20.4 mmol, 4.05 mL), and dry THF (60 mL) yielded MOMG<sub>2</sub>-COOMe (3.80 g, 90%) as an off-white solid after precipitation. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 3.48 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>, 3H), 3.93 (s, COOCH<sub>3</sub>, 24H), 5.08 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>O, 4H), 5.13 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>O, 8H), 5.19 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>O, 2H), 7.05 (s, ArH, 4H), 7.10 (s, ArH, 2H), 7.13 (s, ArH, 2H), 7.17 (s, ArH, 1H), 7.82 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, ArH, 8H), 8.29 (t, *J* = 1.4 Hz, ArH, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 166.0, 159.3, 158.6, 157.8, 138.7, 138.2, 131.9, 123.3, 120.1, 119.7, 118.8, 114.9, 113.6, 94.5, 70.1, 69.9, 56.1, 52.4. (MALDI-TOF): m/z calcd for C<sub>66</sub>H<sub>62</sub>O<sub>24</sub>: 1239.2; found: 1261.6 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 1277.6 [M+K]<sup>+</sup>.

#### 2.2 General procedure for the preparation of MOMGn-CH2OH

**MOMG**<sub>0</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>OH: A solution of MOMG<sub>0</sub>-COOMe (7.65 g, 30.1 mmol) in dry THF (300 mL) was added dropwise to a suspension of lithium aluminum hydride (LAH, 2.50g, 65.9 mmol) in THF at 0  $^{\circ}$ C. The reaction mixture was then stirred and refluxed for 1-2 h. The

reaction was quenched successively by dropwise addition of saturated ammonium chloride solution until H<sub>2</sub> evolution ceased. The granular salts were filtered and washed with THF (3 × 50 mL). The combined filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. Drying *in vacuo* for 3 h at 50 °C afforded MOMG<sub>0</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>OH (5.90 g, 99% yield) as an off-white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz,  $d^6$ -acetone,  $\delta$ ): 3.42 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>O, 3H), 4.18 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>OH, 2H), 4.59 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>OH, 4H), 5.17 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>, 2H), 6.92 (s, ArH, 2H), 6.97 (s, ArH, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz,  $d^6$ -acetone,  $\delta$ ): 158.5, 144.9, 118.9, 113.7, 95.1, 64.6, 64.5, 56.0. HRMS-FAB (m/z): [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: 199.0965; found: 199.0967.

**MOMG**<sub>1</sub>-**CH**<sub>2</sub>**OH:** Following the procedure for MOMG<sub>0</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>OH. LAH (1.50 g, 39.5 mmol) in THF (50 mL) and MOMG<sub>1</sub>-COOMe (4.90 g, 8.4 mmol) in THF (100 mL), afforded the MOMG<sub>1</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>OH (3.92 g, 99% yield) as an off-white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz,  $d^6$ -acetone,  $\delta$ ): 3.43 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>O, 3H), 4.24 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>OH, 4H), 4.58 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>OH, 8H), 5.07 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>O, 4H), 5.20 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>, 2H), 6.92 (s, ArH, 6H), 7.11 (s, ArH, 2H), 7.22 (s, ArH, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz,  $d^6$ -acetone,  $\delta$ ): 159.9, 158.6, 144.9, 140.3, 120.5, 118.0, 115.4, 112.3, 95.2, 70.1, 64.7, 56.1. HRMS-FAB (m/z): [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>31</sub>O<sub>8</sub>: 471.2013; found: 471.1998.

**MOMG**<sub>2</sub>-**CH**<sub>2</sub>**OH:** Following the procedure for MOMG<sub>0</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>OH. LAH (0.31 g, 8.2 mmol) in THF (50 mL) and MOMG<sub>2</sub>-COOMe (1.00 g, 0.81 mmol) in THF (50 mL), afforded the MOMG<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>OH (0.64 g, 79% yield) as an off-white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz,  $d^6$ -acetone,  $\delta$ ): 3.45 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>O, 3H), 4.29 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>OH, 8H), 4.61 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, ArCH<sub>2</sub>OH, 16H), 5.09 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>O, 8H), 5.14 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>O, 4H), 5.24 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>, 2H), 6.95 (s, ArH, 12H), 7.12 (s, ArH, 4H), 7.16 (s, ArH, 2H), 7.20 (s, ArH, 2H), 7.26 (s, ArH, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C

NMR (75 MHz,  $d^6$ -acetone,  $\delta$ ): 159.7, 159.6, 158.3, 144.5, 144.1, 139.8, 120.4, 119.4, 117.7, 115.3, 113.7,111.9, 94.9, 70.0, 69.8, 64.3, 55.9. (MALDI-TOF): m/z calcd for  $C_{58}H_{62}O_{16}$ : 1015.1; found: 1037.6 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>.

#### 2.3 General procedure for the preparation of MOMGnCl

**MOMG<sub>1</sub>Cl:** Diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (DIAD, 8.03 g, 39.6 mmol, 7.87 mL) was added via syringe to an ice-bath cooled solution of MOMG<sub>0</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>OH (3.15 g, 15.9 mmol), 3,5-dichlorophenol (5.32 g, 32.6 mmol) and triphenylphosphine (PPh<sub>3</sub>, 9.20 g, 35.1 mmol) in dry THF (120 mL) dropwise. The reaction mixture was then stirred for 10 min at 0 °C and then 24 h at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was monitored by TLC upon the completion. The crude product was purified as follows: i) The reaction mixture was concentrated to about 30 mL, methanol was added under vigorous stirring, and the precipitate was isolated by filtration; ii) The resulting precipitate was redissolved in THF, and precipitated into methanol. After filtration, afforded the MOMG<sub>1</sub>Cl (5.60 g, 72% yield) as an off-white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 3.49 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>O, 3H), 5.01 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>O, 4H), 5.20 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>O, 2H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, Ar*H*, 4H), 6.97 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, Ar*H*, 2H), 7.07 (m, Ar*H*, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 159.7, 158.0, 138.1, 135.6, 121.6, 119.6, 115.1, 114.1, 94.6, 70.2, 56.3. (ESI): m/z calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>18</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: 488.2; found: 488.3 [M]<sup>-</sup>.

**MOMG<sub>2</sub>Cl:** Following the procedure for MOMG<sub>1</sub>Cl. MOMG<sub>1</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>OH (3.22 g, 6.9 mmol), 3,5-dichlorophenol (4.80 g, 29.4 mmol), PPh<sub>3</sub> (9.05 g, 34.5 mmol), DIAD (8.30 g, 41.1 mmol, 8.14 mL). After precipitating twice in methanol, afforded MOMG<sub>2</sub>Cl (7.45 g, 89% yield) as an off-white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 3.48 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>O, 3H),

5.00 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>O, 8H), 5.06 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>O, 4H), 5.20 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>O, 2H), 6.85 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, ArH, 8H), 6.98 (m, ArH, 8H), 7.01 (s, ArH, 2H), 7.09 (s, ArH, 2H), 7.15 (s, ArH, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 159.7, 159.5, 158.0, 138.7, 138.2, 135.6, 121.6, 119.8, 118.7, 115.0, 114.1, 113.6, 94.6, 70.2, 70.0, 56.3. (MALDI-TOF): m/z calcd for C<sub>50</sub>H<sub>38</sub>Cl<sub>8</sub>O<sub>8</sub>: 1050.5; found: 1073.2 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**MOMG**<sub>3</sub>**Cl**: Following the procedure for MOMG<sub>1</sub>Cl. MOMG<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>OH (0.87 g, 0.86 mmol), 3,5-dichlorophenol (1.68 g, 10.3 mmol), PPh<sub>3</sub> (3.15 g, 12.0 mmol), DIAD (2.77 g, 13.7 mmol, 2.72 mL). After precipitating twice in methanol, afforded MOMG<sub>3</sub>Cl (1.43 g, 78% yield) as an off-white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 3.46 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>O, 3H), 4.97 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>O, 16H), 5.05 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>O, 12H), 5.18 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>O, 2H), 6.83 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, Ar*H*, 16H), 6.95 (m, Ar*H*, 16H), 7.00 (m, Ar*H*, 8H), 7.07 (m, Ar*H*, 4H), 7.14 (m, Ar*H*, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 159.7, 159.4, 157.9, 138.8, 138.8, 138.2, 135.6, 121.6, 119.9, 118.8, 118.7, 115.0, 114.1, 113.6, 113.5, 94.6, 70.2, 70.0, 69.9, 56.2. (MALDI-TOF): m/z calcd for C<sub>106</sub>H<sub>78</sub>Cl<sub>16</sub>O<sub>16</sub>: 2175.0; found: 2197.4 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>.

#### 2.4 General procedure for the preparation of GnCl -the cleavage of MOM Group

**G**<sub>1</sub>**Cl**: Concentrated HCl (5 mL) was added to an ice cooled solution of MOMG<sub>1</sub>Cl (5.30 g, 10.9 mmol) in THF/*i*-PrOH (6/1, V/V) mixed solvent (110 mL). The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature. After stirred for 12 h, the solution was concentrated, and extracted with dichloromethane (50 mL × 3). The extract was dried by anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated. After purified by flash column chromatography, afforded the G<sub>1</sub>Cl (4.55 g, 92% yield) as an off-white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ): 4.86 (s, ArOH, 1H), 5.00 (s,

ArCH<sub>2</sub>O, 4H), 6.86 (m, ArH, 6H), 6.98 (m, ArH, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ): 159.6, 156.3, 138.4, 135.6, 121.6, 118.4, 114.1, 114.0, 69.9. (ESI): m/z calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>14</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 444.1; found: 443.3 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>.

**G<sub>2</sub>Cl:** Following the procedure for G<sub>1</sub>Cl. MOMG<sub>2</sub>Cl (4.80 g, 4.6 mmol), THF/*i*-PrOH (6/1, V/V) (110 mL), conc. HCl (10 mL). The reaction mixture was precipitated twice by methanol/water (1/1, V/V) mixed solvent, afforded G<sub>2</sub>Cl (4.30 g, 94% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ): 5.00 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>O, 8H), 5.04 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>O, 4H), 6.85(m, ArH, 10H), 6.97 (s, ArH, 8H), 7.01 (m, ArH, 2H), 7.04 (m, ArH, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ): 159.6, 159.4, 156.3, 139.1, 138.2, 135.6, 121.6, 118.7, 118.4, 114.1, 114.0, 113.6, 70.2, 69.8. (MALDI-TOF): m/z calcd for C<sub>48</sub>H<sub>34</sub>Cl<sub>8</sub>O<sub>7</sub>: 1006.4; found: 1029.1 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**G**<sub>3</sub>**Cl**: Following the procedure for G<sub>1</sub>Cl. MOMG<sub>3</sub>Cl (1.20 g, 0.55 mmol), THF/*i*-PrOH (6/1, V/V) (80 mL), conc. HCl (5 mL). The reaction was carried out at 45 °C for 8 h. The reaction mixture was precipitated twice by methanol/water (1/1, V/V) mixed solvent, afforded G<sub>3</sub>Cl (1.10, 94% yield) as an off-whited solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ): 4.97 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>O, 8H), 5.02 (m, ArCH<sub>2</sub>O, 12H), 6.83-7.07 (m, ArH, 45H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ): 159.7, 159.4, 159.4, 156.2, 139.2, 138.9, 138.2, 135.6, 121.7, 118.8, 118.7, 114.1, 113.9, 113.7, 113.5, 70.2, 70.0, 69.7. (MALDI-TOF): m/z calcd for C<sub>104</sub>H<sub>74</sub>Cl<sub>16</sub>O<sub>15</sub>: 2130.9; found: 2153.3 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>.

#### 2.5 General procedure for the preparation of GnCl-P

**G<sub>1</sub>Cl-P:** G<sub>1</sub>Cl (1.34 g, 3.0 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (15 mL). N, *N*-Diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA, 1.17 g, 9.0 mmol, 1.50 mL) was added followed by

dropwise addition of 2-cyanothyl *N*, *N*-diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite (0.86 g, 3.6 mmol, 0.85 mL). After 30 h, analytical TLC showed no more starting material existed. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate (30 mL). The solution was washed with saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (20 mL  $\times$  3) and NaCl (20 mL), dried by anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated. After purified by flash column chromatography, G<sub>1</sub>Cl-P (1.75 g, 90 % yield) was obtained as colorless oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 1.15 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, CH (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 6H), 1.23 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, CH (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 6H), 2.67 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CN, 2H), 3.66-3.79 (m, CH (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 2H), 3.85-4.00 (m, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CN, 2H), 5.02 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>O, 4H), 6.85 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz ArH, 4H), 6.97 (m, ArH, 2H), 7.07 (m, ArH, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 159.6, 155.1, 155.0, 138.1, 135.5, 121.6, 119.9, 118.6, 118.5, 117.6, 114.1, 70.0, 59.2, 58.9, 43.9, 43.8, 24.8, 24.7, 24.5, 24.4, 20.6, 20.5. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 147.0. (MALDI-TOF): m/z calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>32</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P: 644.4; found: 683.1 [M+K]<sup>+</sup>.

**G<sub>2</sub>Cl-P:** Following the procedure for G<sub>1</sub>Cl-P. G<sub>2</sub>Cl (1.00 g, 1.0 mmol), DIPEA (0.38 g, 3.0 mmol, 0.49 mL), 2-cyanothyl *N*, *N*-diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite (0.35 g, 1.5 mmol, 0.35 mL). After concentrated, G<sub>2</sub>Cl-P (1.10 g, 92% yield) was obtained by precipitated in methanol as an off-white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ): 1.15 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, CH (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 6H), 1.23 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 6H), 2.64 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CN, 2H), 3.66-3.78 (m, CH (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 2H), 3.83-3.93 (m, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CN, 2H), 5.00 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>O, 8H ), 5.06 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>O, 4H ), 6.85-7.16 (m, ArH, 21H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ): 159.6, 159.4, 155.0, 154.9, 138.7, 138.1, 135.6, 121.6, 120.1, 118.7, 118.5, 118.4, 117.6, 114.0, 113.6, 70.1, 69.8, 59.1, 58.9, 43.9, 43.8, 24.8, 24.7, 24.5, 24.4, 20.5, 20.4. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (162

MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ): 146.5. (MALDI-TOF): m/z calcd for C<sub>57</sub>H<sub>51</sub>Cl<sub>8</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>P: 1206.6; found: 1245.3 [M+K]<sup>+</sup>.

**G<sub>3</sub>Cl-P:** Following the procedure for G<sub>1</sub>Cl-P. G<sub>3</sub>Cl (0.44 g, 0.21 mmol), DIPEA (0.08 g, 0.63 mmol, 0.10 mL), 2-cyanothyl *N*, *N*-diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite (0.059 g, 0.25 mmol, 0.06 mL). After concentrated, G<sub>3</sub>Cl-P (0.44 g, 92% yield) was obtained by precipitated in methanol as an off-white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ): 1.15 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, CH (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 6H), 1.21 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, CH (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 6H), 2.60 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CN, 2H), 3.66-3.74 (m, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 2H), 3.84-3.90 (m, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CN, 2H), 4.97 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>O, 16H), 5.04 (s, ArCH<sub>2</sub>O, 12H), 6.83-7.15 (m, ArH, 45H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ): 159.7, 159.4 159.4, 155.0, 154.9, 138.9, 138.8, 138.2, 135.6, 121.6, 120.2, 118.9, 118.7, 118.5, 118.4, 117.6, 114.1, 113.6, 113.5, 70.2, 70.0, 69.7, 59.2, 58.9, 44.0, 43.8, 24.8, 24.7, 24.6, 24.5, 20.5, 20.4. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ): 144.9. (MALDI-TOF): m/z calcd for C<sub>113</sub>H<sub>91</sub>Cl<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>16</sub>P: 2331.2; found: 2370.2 [M+K]<sup>+</sup>.

#### **3.** Synthesis DNA-Dendron Conjugates

General Procedure for the preparation DNA-Dendron conjugates: The CPG loaded DNA was synthesized using ABI 394 DNA synthesizer in 1  $\mu$ mol scale with a standard phosphoramidite DNA synthesis protocol.<sup>2</sup> The DNA-loaded CPG (1  $\mu$  mol) was transferred into a vial, then 5-ethylthiotetrazole (100  $\mu$ mol) and G<sub>n</sub>Cl-P (50  $\mu$ mol) were added consequently. After dried *in vacuo*, 0.5 mL anhydrous THF was added under dry nitrogen protection. The reaction mixture was allowed to stay overnight under room temperature. Then CPG was washed twice with anhydrous THF followed by oxidation with iodine and water in

THF. After cleaved by concentrated ammonia solution in 55 °C for 3 h, the crude product was purified by 10% denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) with 1×TBE buffer as the running buffer. Identification of the conjugates was achieved by UV shadowing. The respective bands were excised from the gel and incubated in deionized water for 12 h. After centrifugation, the supernatant was desalted with C18 column and dried by lyophilization. The product was analyzed by MALDI-TOF. The purity of G<sub>1</sub>-18, G<sub>2</sub>Cl-18 and G<sub>2</sub>Cl-9 was assessed by 20% PAGE, followed by staining with Stains All, and the purity of G<sub>3</sub>Cl-18 was analyzed by 2% agarose gel. The samples were stored at -20 °C before use.



*Chart S2.* Chemical structures of other DNA-dendron hybrids G1Cl-18, G3Cl-18 and G2Cl-9.

Table S1. MALDI-TOF Results and Isolated Yield of DNA-Dendron Hybrids.

sample	calculated	found	Error (calfou.)	Yield
G <sub>1</sub> Cl-18	6140	6144	-4	5.9%
G <sub>2</sub> Cl-18	6702	6705	-3	15.6%
G <sub>3</sub> Cl-18	7826	7832	-6	1.2%
G <sub>2</sub> Cl-9	3862	3862	0	3.8%

#### 4. Formation of Fibers

The  $G_n$ Cl-DNA was dissolved in water to make a concentrated stock solution. Dilute the stock solution with deionized water to desired concentration and add 5  $\mu$ L of dichloromethane. After vibration by a vortex mixer and centrifugation at 6000 r/min for 2 min, heated the solution to 90 °C and kept for 30 min, and then allowed it to cool to room temperature naturally. Keep the assembled solution under 4 °C for storage before used.

#### 5. CMC Measurement Experiment

By using a stock solution of  $G_2$ Cl-18 varying concentrations ranging from 0.05 to 20  $\mu$ M were prepared. Acetone solution of Nile Red (0.02 mM) 40  $\mu$ L was added to every tube and sonicated for 10 min. Then the solvent was evaporated under vacuum overnight and the redissolved with 200  $\mu$ L water. Fluorescence spectra were recorded at room temperature using an excitation wavelength of 550nm.

# 6. DNA Modified Gold Nanoparticles Preparation and Hybridization with G<sub>2</sub>Cl-18 Nanofiber

5 nm gold nanoparticle and thioctic acid modified DNA were prepared and purified according to the published method.<sup>[6]</sup> 28.6  $\mu$ L of DNA (87.4  $\mu$ M) was added to 50  $\mu$ L solution of Au nanoparticles (3.4  $\mu$ M). The solution was shaked overnight at room temperature on an orbital shaker at low speed. Then add 12  $\mu$ L of 1M NaCl and 3  $\mu$ L 0.4 M phosphate buffer and shake at low speed for another 12 hours at room temperature. Use ultracentrifuge to centrifuge the suspension to form a redcolored solution of nanoparticles.

The concentration of the Au/DNA conjugatets was calculated by measuring the UV absorption of the gold particle at 520 nm wavelength.

 $G_2CI-18$  was first assembled in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer at a concentration of 20  $\mu$ M. Then 5  $\mu$ L of the assembled solution was mixed with 17  $\mu$ L of the DNA modified gold nanoparticle (5.8  $\mu$ M) and kept overnight. The solution was used for TEM sample preparation without further treatment.

#### 7. Nile Red Encapsulation Experiments

To the assembled solution of G<sub>2</sub>Cl-18 (20  $\mu$ M) 50  $\mu$ L in 0.5 mL vial, the acetone solution of Nile Red (0.038 mM) 10  $\mu$ L was added. The acetone was evaporated by opening the microcentrifuge tube cap overnight and the final volume of the solution became about 30-40  $\mu$ L. The resultant solution was diluted to about 500  $\mu$ L directly for the fluorescence spectroscopy experiment. The fluorescence spectra were acquired on a HITACHI Fluorescence Spectrophotometer F-4500 and the excitation wavelength is 550 nm.

**Fluorescence microscopy experiment:** The coverslips were cleaned by sonication in detergent, ultrapure water, acetone, ethanol, NaOH/ethanol, ultrapure water for 30 min each, and boiled in 1/3 H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> for 2 h. The clean coverslips were stored in ultrapure water until use. Immediately prior to use, two coverslips were dried by nitrogen flow. 7 µL of a solution were deposited onto one slide and covered by a second one. The DNA solution was drawn under the capillary forces created by the two slides and sealed with vacuum grease.

Samples were imaged on an Olympus Reflected Fluorescence System (the microscope was Olympus B  $\times$  51). Blue light (420-480 nm) was filtered from a mercury arc lamp (Olympus

U-RFL-T) for excitation. The emitted fluorescence passed through a dichroic mirror (DM 500). Images were recorded to a computer via a cooled CCD camera (Q-IMAGING RETIGA 2000R) using the corresponding software (Image-Pro Express Version 6.0).

#### 8. Cryo-TEM and TEM Experiment

Cryo-TEM samples were prepared in a controlled environment vitrification system (CEVS) at 28  $^{\circ}$ C.<sup>3</sup> A micropipet was used to load 5 µL of the assembled solution of G<sub>n</sub>Cl-DNA onto a lacey support TEM grid that was held with tweezers. The excess solution was blotted with a piece of filter paper, resulting in the formation of thin films suspending the mesh holes. After waiting for about 10 s to release any stress induced during blotting, the samples were quickly plunged into a reservoir of liquid ethane (cooled by liquid nitrogen) at its melting temperature. The vitrified samples were then stored in liquid nitrogen until they were transferred to a cryogenic sample holder (Gatan 626) and examined with a JEM 2200FS TEM (200 keV) at about -174  $^{\circ}$ C. The images were recorded on a Gatan multiscan CCD and processed into a digital micrograph.

TEM samples were prepared by drop casting 7  $\mu$ L of solution on carbon coated copper grids. After 5 min, the excess solution was blotted with a piece of filter paper. Then a drop of 1 wt % uranyl acetate aqueous solution was deposited onto the surface of the sample-loaded grid. After 5 min, the excess uranyl acetate aqueous solution was blotted with a piece of filter paper. The sample-loaded grid was dried overnight. TEM images were recorded on a JEOL JEM-1011 microscope operated at 100 KeV.

## 9. Figures



*Figure S1.* The gel electrophoresis results of the G<sub>n</sub>Cl-DNA hybrids.



Figure S2. The TEM images of the G<sub>2</sub>Cl-18 nanofibers without annealing. The scale bar is 200 nm.



*Figure S3.* CMC determination curve of G<sub>2</sub>Cl-18.



*Figure S4.* The TEM images of the G<sub>2</sub>Cl-18 nanofibers at different concentrations: (A) 1  $\mu$ M, (B) 10  $\mu$ M, (C) 20  $\mu$ M and (D) 50  $\mu$ M. The scale bar is 500 nm.



*Figure S5.* Cryo-TEM and TEM image of (A and D) G<sub>1</sub>Cl-18, (B and E) G<sub>3</sub>C-18 and (C and F) G<sub>2</sub>Cl-9. The scale bar is 200 nm.



*Figure S6.* The chemical structure of FAM-15 and fluorescent images of the  $G_2$ Cl-18 nanofibers hybridized with FAM-15. The scale bar is 20  $\mu$ m.



Figure S7. The TEM images of the  $G_2Cl-18$  nanofibers after Nile Red encapsulated. The scale bar is 10  $\mu$ m.

## 10. <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, <sup>31</sup>P NMR and MALDI-TOF Spectra



10.1 MALDI-TOF Spectra of G<sub>n</sub>Cl-DNA





Figure S9. MALDI-TOF spectra of G<sub>2</sub>Cl-18.



Figure S10. MALDI-TOF spectra of G<sub>3</sub>Cl-18.



Figure S11. MALDI-TOF spectra of G<sub>2</sub>Cl-9.



## 7.2 <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, <sup>31</sup>P NMR and MALDI-TOF Spectra of Organic Compounds

*Figure S12.* <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of **MOMG<sub>0</sub>-COOMe** 



Figure S13. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of MOMG<sub>0</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>OH





Figure S14. <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR and ESI spectra of MOMG<sub>1</sub>Cl.





Figure S15. <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR and ESI spectra of G<sub>1</sub>Cl.







*Figure S16.* <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, <sup>31</sup>P NMR and MALDI-TOF spectra of G<sub>1</sub>Cl-P.



*Figure S17.* <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of **MOMG<sub>1</sub>-COOMe** 



Figure S18. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of MOM-G<sub>1</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH





Figure S19. <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR and MALDI-TOF spectra of MOMG<sub>2</sub>Cl







*Figure S20.* <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR and MALDI-TOF spectra of G<sub>2</sub>Cl.







*Figure S21.* <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, <sup>32</sup>P NMR and MALDI-TOF spectra of G<sub>2</sub>Cl-P.



Figure S22. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of MOMG<sub>2</sub>-COOMe.



Figure S23. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of MOMG<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>OH.



200 180 160 140 120 100 80 60 40 20 ppm

S38



*Figure S24.* <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR and MALDI-TOF spectra of **MOMG<sub>3</sub>Cl.** 





*Figure S25.* <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR and MALDI-TOF spectra of **G<sub>3</sub>Cl.** 







*Figure S26.* <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, <sup>31</sup>P NMR and MALDI-TOF spectra of **G<sub>3</sub>Cl-P**.

#### **11.References**

- Armarego W. L. F.; Chai C. L. L. Purification of Laboratory Chemicals (fifth edition); Elsevier Science: USA, 2003.
- (2) Caruthers M. H. Acc. Chem. Res. 1991, 24, 278-284.
- (3) Bellare, J. R.; Davis, H. T.; Scriven, L. E.; Talmon, Y. J. *Electron. Microsc. Tech.* 1988, 10, 87-111.
- (4) Feng, Y.; Liu, Z.-T.; Liu, J.; He, Y.-M.; Zheng, Q.-Y.; Fan, Q.-H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 7950-7951.
- (5) Lim, Y.; Lee, E.; Lee, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 9011-9014.
- (6) Zhang, T.; Chen, P.; Sun, Y.; Xing, Y.; Yang, Y.; Dong, Y.; Xu, L.; Yang, Z.; Liu, D.; *Chem. Commun.* 2011, DOI 10.1039/C1CC11337B.