## Supplementary Information to "Intrinsic curvature determines the crinkled edges of "crenellated disks"."

C. Nadir Kaplan<sup>1,2</sup>, Thomas Gibaud<sup>1,3</sup>, and Robert B. Meyer<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The Martin Fisher School of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts 02454, USA

<sup>2</sup>School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA

<sup>3</sup>Laboratoire de Physique, ENS Lyon, Universite de Lyon I,

CNRS/UMR 5672, 46 Alle d'Italie, 69007 Lyon, France

<sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, Wellesley College, Wellesley, Massachusetts 02481, USA

(Dated: March 19, 2013)

## A. Total free energy

For a single surface of revolution terminated by two space curves, in terms of the angles  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta_i$ , and  $\phi_i$ , and the differential geometric quantities defined in the main text, the total free energy is given by

$$F = F_H + F_{edge_1} + F_{edge_2} + F_{\lambda_1} + F_{\lambda_2} , \qquad (1)$$

where

$$F_{H} = \int_{\epsilon}^{R} dr(\pi + \phi_{1} + \phi_{2}) \left[ \frac{\sigma r}{\cos \alpha} + \frac{k}{2r} \sin \alpha \tan \alpha + \frac{k}{2} r \cos \alpha \left( \frac{d\alpha}{dr} \right)^{2} \right]$$

$$+ (k + \bar{k}) \int_{\epsilon}^{R} \frac{dr}{r} (\tan \beta_{1} + \tan \beta_{2}) - (k + \bar{k}) \cos \alpha(R) (\pi + \phi_{1}(R) + \phi_{2}(R)) + (k + \bar{k}) \cos \alpha(\epsilon) (\pi + \phi_{1}(\epsilon) + \phi_{2}(\epsilon))$$

$$(2)$$

$$F_{edge_i} = \int_{\epsilon}^{R} dr \left[ \frac{\gamma_i}{\cos \alpha \cos \beta_i} + k_b \frac{\kappa_{g,i}^2 + \kappa_{n,i}^2}{\cos \alpha \cos \beta_i} - h_i \frac{\sin \beta_i}{\cos \alpha} (\kappa_2 - \kappa_1) \right],$$
(3)

$$F_{\lambda_i} = \int_{\epsilon}^{R} dr \lambda_i \left( r \cos \alpha \frac{d\phi_i}{dr} - \tan \beta_i \right) \,. \tag{4}$$

The index of the edges is  $i = \{1, 2\}$ ,  $\epsilon$  is the cut-off length, which is given by  $\epsilon \equiv -R \sin \alpha(R)$  for the catenoid.

## B. Euler-Lagrange equations

The variations  $\frac{\delta F}{\delta g_j}$ , where  $g_j = \{\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2, \phi_1, \phi_2\}$ , are equivalent to the Euler-Lagrange (EL) equations. For one independent and several dependent variables, the EL equations are given by [1]

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial g_j} = \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\partial f}{\partial g'_j} \tag{5}$$

where  $f = f(r, g_j, g'_j)$  is the free energy density given by  $F = \int dr f(r, g_j, g'_j)$ . Primes denote derivatives  $\frac{dg_j}{dr}$ . The set of EL Equations in Eq. (5) contains ten coupled nonlinear first-order differential equations. Evaluating Eq. (5), these equations are calculated as

$$u_{1} \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha'} = \pi kr \cos \alpha \frac{d\alpha}{dr} + 2k_{b}\kappa_{n,1} \cos \beta_{1} + 2k_{b}\kappa_{n,2} \cos \beta_{2} + h_{1} \sin \beta_{1} + h_{2} \sin \beta_{2}, \qquad (6)$$

$$u_2 \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta_1'} = 2k_b \kappa_{g,1} \,, \quad u_3 \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta_2'} = 2k_b \kappa_{g,2} \,, \quad (7)$$

$$u_4 \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi'_1} = \lambda_1 r \cos \alpha , \quad u_5 \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi'_2} = \lambda_2 r \cos \alpha , \quad (8)$$

$$u_{1}^{\prime}\cos^{2}\alpha = \sigma\pi r\sin\alpha + \frac{k\pi\sin\alpha}{2} \left[\frac{2}{r} - \frac{\sin^{2}\alpha}{r} - r\cos^{2}\alpha \left(\frac{d\alpha}{dr}\right)^{2}\right]$$
$$+ \sin\alpha\sec\beta_{1}(\gamma_{1} + k_{b}\kappa_{n,1}^{2} - k_{b}\kappa_{g,1}^{2})$$
$$+ \sin\alpha\sec\beta_{2}(\gamma_{2} + k_{b}\kappa_{n,2}^{2} - k_{b}\kappa_{g,2}^{2})$$
$$+ 2k_{b}\kappa_{n,1}\sec\beta_{1} \left(\frac{\cos^{2}\alpha\sin^{2}\beta_{1}}{r} - \frac{\sin2\alpha}{2}\frac{d\alpha}{dr}\cos^{2}\beta_{1}\right)$$
$$+ 2k_{b}\kappa_{n,2}\sec\beta_{2} \left(\frac{\cos^{2}\alpha\sin^{2}\beta_{2}}{r} - \frac{\sin2\alpha}{2}\frac{d\alpha}{dr}\cos^{2}\beta_{2}\right)$$
$$- \frac{1}{r}\left(h_{1}\sin\beta_{1} + h_{2}\sin\beta_{2}\right)$$
$$- \frac{\sin2\alpha}{2}\left(\lambda_{1}\tan\beta_{1} + \lambda_{2}\tan\beta_{2}\right), \qquad (9)$$

$$\cos\alpha\cos^2\beta_1 u_2' = (k+\bar{k})\frac{\cos\alpha}{r} + \gamma_1\sin\beta_1 - \lambda_1\cos\alpha$$
$$+ k_b\sin\beta_1(\kappa_{n,1}^2 - \kappa_{g,1}^2) + \frac{2k_b}{r}\kappa_{g,1}\cos\alpha$$
$$+ (4k_b\kappa_{n,1}\cos\beta_1 - h_1\cos\beta_i\cot\beta_1)\tau_{g,1},$$
(10)

$$\cos\alpha\cos^2\beta_2 u'_3 = (k+\bar{k})\frac{\cos\alpha}{r} + \gamma_2\sin\beta_2 - \lambda_2\cos\alpha + k_b\sin\beta_2(\kappa_{n,2}^2 - \kappa_{g,2}^2) + \frac{2k_b}{r}\kappa_{g,2}\cos\alpha + (4k_b\kappa_{n,2}\cos\beta_2 - h_2\cos\beta_2\cot\beta_2)\tau_{g,2},$$
(11)

$$u_4' = u_5' = \frac{\sigma r}{\cos \alpha} + \frac{k}{2r} \sin \alpha \tan \alpha + \frac{k}{2} r \cos \alpha \left(\frac{d\alpha}{dr}\right)^2.$$
(12)

In Eq. (9), the two constraints  $r \cos \alpha \frac{d\phi_1}{dr} = \tan \beta_i$  are used. Based on Eqs. (8) and (12), we conclude that  $\lambda \equiv \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ . Then, the nine unknowns to be solved are  $\alpha, \alpha', \beta_1, \beta'_1, \beta_2, \beta'_2, \phi_1, \phi_2, \lambda$ .

Note that we neglect the contribution of the variations  $\frac{\delta F}{\delta \phi_i}$  to the surface and edge deformations, as explained in the main text. Therefore, the structure in our approximation is governed solely by  $\alpha, \alpha', \beta_1, \beta'_1, \beta_2, \beta'_2$ . In the case of the minimal surface, since  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  are calculated analytically (see main text), the equations governing  $\beta_1, \beta'_1$  and  $\beta_2, \beta'_2$  are decoupled from each other.

## C. Boundary conditions

The torque-free boundary conditions are given by Eqs. (6), (7), and (8) being equal to zero [1]. Suffi-

 Arfken G., Weber H. Mathematical Methods for Physicists, Sixth Edition (Elsevier Inc., 2005). ciently away from the rotation axis of the surface, in 2D membrane limit,  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\alpha' = 0$ , and  $\alpha'' = 0$ . Then,  $\kappa_{n,i} = 0$ . Plugging in these results to Eq. (9), we find that  $\sin \beta_i = A_i/r$ . This rather expected result applies to a straight line in polar coordinates, where the shortest distance between the origin and the straight line is  $A_i$ (or, the in-plane protrusion amplitude). When  $h_i = 0$  as in the achiral limit, then the same relation still holds, and this time the torque-free condition  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha'} = 0$  is satisfied. The geodesic curvature  $\kappa_{g,i}$  of a straight line vanishes in 2D, hence  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta'} = 0$ . In the 2D membrane limit  $\lambda$  must be zero. This is best seen when a curve with the free energy given in Eq. (3) is minimized on a plane. The resulting EL equations are independent of  $\phi_i$ , then  $\lambda = 0$ . Hence  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi'} = 0$ , away from the rotation axis of the nonplanar surface. Plugging in these results into Eqs. (10) and (11), we find that  $k + \bar{k} = \gamma_1 A_1 = \gamma_2 A_2$ . For a minimal surface, k does not contribute to this equation.

At the cusp, ideally, the boundary conditions are  $\alpha = -\pi/2$  and  $\beta_i = 0$  (again, we ignore  $\frac{\delta F}{\delta \phi_i}$  dependence of the structure). In the case of the minimal surface, since  $\epsilon \approx 10^{-2}R$ , we take  $\alpha = 0.95$  which nearly corresponds to a 70° slope. This slope is steep enough as evidenced in Fig. 3(a) in the main text.