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## Detail synthesis of Boc-Aib-mABA-OMe (Peptide 2)

## Synthesis of Boc-Aib-OH

The amino acid $\alpha$-aminoisobutyric acid ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 48.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was suspended in a $1: 1$ tetrahydrofuran (THF) water mixture. Solid $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(12.23 \mathrm{~g}, 145.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and Boc-anhydride (11.63 $\mathrm{mL}, 53.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to it. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature over night. After 24 h , the THF layer should be driven out with the help of vaccum pump. The aqueous layer was cooled in an icebath, acidified with 2 M HCl and extracted with ethylacetate. The organic layer was washed with excess of water and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated in vacuo producing a white solid. Yield: $8.0 \mathrm{~g}(81.21 \%)$.

## Synthesis of the peptide Boc-Aib-mABA-OMe (Peptide 2)

Boc-Aib-OH ( $0.65 \mathrm{~g}, 3.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dimethylformamide (DMF; 10 mL ). m-ABA-OMe ( $1.40 \mathrm{~g}, 6.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) obtained from its hydrochloride was added followed by DC DCC $(0.97 \mathrm{~g}, 4.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{HOBT}(0.42 \mathrm{~g}, 3.25 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 day. The precipitated dicyclohexylurea (DCU) was filtered and diluted with ethyl acetate $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with excess of water, $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \mathrm{X} 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution ( 3 X 30 mL ) and again with water. The solvent was then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated in vacuo, giving a light yellow gum. Purification was done using silica gel as stationary phase and an ethyl acetate-petroleum ether mixture as the eluent. Yield: 0.95 g (88.78\%). M.p $=138^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Single Crystal X-Ray Diffraction

Diffraction data for peptide 2 grown by slow evaporation of methanol was collected with $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha$ radiation at 100 K using the Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer System. Data analyses were carried out with the Bruker SAINT program. The structures were solved using direct methods with the SHELXL-2013 program (Sheldrick, 2013). For peptide 1 and 2 the structures were refined on $F^{2}$ using SHELXL-2013 (Sheldrick, 2013) to R1 $=0.039$; wR2 $=0.103$ for 4367 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ for peptide 1 (Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 2638-2641), and to R1=0.052; wR2 $=0.097$ for 2530 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ for peptide 2 , respectively. Crystallographic details of peptide $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre; reference CCDC no of peptide 1 is 949965 (Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 2638-2641) and that of peptide $\mathbf{2}$ is 992075 . These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK; fax: (+44) 1223-336-033; or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

## Detail characterization of Boc-Aib-mABA-OMe (Peptide 2)



Mass spectrum of peptide 2

Boc-Aib-m-ABA-OMe


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of peptide 2 in DMSO-D6 ( 300 MHz )

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of peptide 2 in DMSO-D6 ( 75 MHz )


DEPT-135 spectrum of peptide 2 in DMSO-D6 ( 75 MHz )


Fig. S1 FE-SEM images of methanolic solutions of peptide at concentrations of: (a) 10 mM ; (b) 5 mM , arrows indicate the fused spherical structures and (c) 1 mM solutions. In the insets of (a), (b) and (c) the TEM images show the hollow nature of the spherical structures


Fig. $\boldsymbol{S} \mathbf{2}$ Different types of microscopic analysis showing the formation of tubular structures selfassembled from methanolic solutions. SEM images of peptide at concentrations of: (a) 1 mM , (b) 5 mM , and (c) 10 mM solutions. In the insets of (a), (b) and (c) the TEM images show the hollow nature of the nanotubes


Fig. $\boldsymbol{S 3}$ Size distribution profile in DLS study of peptide 2 showing hydrodynamic diameter, 122.58 nm , with polydispersity index, 1.00


Fig. $\boldsymbol{S} 4$ FE-SEM images of peptides $\mathbf{1}$ and 2, obtained after thermal treatment for 1 hr in a convection oven at a constant temperature of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [(a) peptide 1 and (d) peptide 2], $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [(b) peptide 1 and (e) peptide 2] and $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [(c) peptide 1 and (f) peptide 2]


Fig. $\boldsymbol{S 5}$ TEM images of peptide 2 forming nano tubes from (a) chloroform-methanol ( $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), (b) toluene, (c) from ethyl-acetate, (d) $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$-Petroleum ether (1:1 v/v), (e) acetone and (f) dimethylformamide solvent.



Fig. S6 X-ray crystallographic structure of peptide 1; (a) peptide molecules self-assemble to form $\beta$-sheet like structure in $a b$ plane; (b) $\beta$-sheet like structures are stacking layer by layer in $b c$ plane (Taken from Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 2638-2641)


Fig. $\boldsymbol{S} 7$ ORTEP diagram of peptide 2. Percentage probability of the ellipsoids is $50 \%$

Table S1 Selected backbone torsion angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) for peptides $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$


Selected backbone torsion angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for peptide 2

| N1-C14-O6-C15 | 178.2(3) | C2-C1-N2-C10 ( $\phi_{2}$ ) | -2.4(6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C11-N1-C14-O6 ( $w_{0}$ ) | -164.3(3) | C3-C2-C1-N2 ( $\theta_{l}$ ) | -179.9(4) |
| C10-C11-N1-C14( $\phi_{1}$ ) | -61.2(4) | C7-C3-C2-C1 ( $\theta_{2}$ ) | 178.9(3) |
| N2-C10-C11-N1 ( $\psi_{1}$ ) | -42.2(4) | O1-C7-C3-C2 ( $\psi_{2}$ ) | -10.3(5) |
| C1-N2-C10-C11 ( $w_{1}$ ) | 176.2(3) |  |  |



Selected backbone torsion angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for peptide 1 (Taken from Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 26382641)

| N1-C5-O1-C4 | -179.25(10) | N2-C12-C8-C7 $\left(\psi_{1}\right)$ | 22.87(13) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C6-N1-C5-O1 ( $w_{0}$ ) | -177.19(9) | C13-N2-C12-C8 ( $w_{1}$ ) | -178.94(8) |
| C7-C6-N1-C5 $\phi_{1}$ ) | 24.31(15) | C14-C13-N2-C12 ( $\phi_{2}$ ) | -55.80(11) |
| C8-C7-C6-N1 ( $\theta_{1}$ ) | 177.31(8) | O5-C14-C13-N2 ( $\psi_{2}$ ) | 145.55(8) |
| C12-C8-C7-C6 ( $\theta_{2}$ ) | 177.78(8) |  |  |

Table $\boldsymbol{S} \mathbf{2}$ Intermolecular hydrogen bonds parameters for peptides $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$


Peptide 2

| Type | N....O/(Á) | H....O/(Á) | O.... $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N} /\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1) \ldots \mathrm{O}(5)^{a}$ | 2.915(4) | 2.06(4) | 164(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2) \ldots \mathrm{O}(4)^{a}$ | $2.846(5)$ | 2.13(4) | 143(3) |

Symmetry elements: ${ }^{a} 1.5-\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, 1 / 2+\mathrm{z},{ }^{b} 1.5-\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, 1 / 2+\mathrm{z}$


Peptide 1

| Type | N....O/(Á) | H....O/(Á) | O.... $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N} /\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2) \ldots \mathrm{O}(2)^{a}$ | 2.943(1) | 2.08(2) | 176(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1) \ldots \mathrm{O}(3)^{b}$ | 2.934(1) | 2.10(2) | 160(1) |

Symmetry elements: ${ }^{a}-\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y},-\mathrm{z}+1 / 2 ;{ }^{b}-\mathrm{x}+1 / 2, \mathrm{y}-1 / 2,-\mathrm{z}+1 / 2$.

Table $\boldsymbol{S 3}$ Crystallographic refinement details for peptide 2

| Crystallographic refinement details | Peptide 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Crystal Colour | Colourless |
| Chemical Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ |
| Formula Weight (g) | 336.37 |
| Crystal System | orthorhombic |
| Space group | P c a 21 |
| Z | 4 |
| a ( $\AA$ ) | 16.161(2) |
| b ( $\AA$ ) | 12.5161(16) |
| c ( $\AA$ ) | $9.3110(12)$ |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90.00 |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90.00 |
| $Y\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90.00 |
| $\mathrm{V}\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 1883.3(4) |
| density $\left(\mathrm{gcm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.186 |
| Temperature (K) | 100 |
| Unique reflections | 3973 |
| reflections $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ | 2530 |
| № Parameters | 228 |
| GoF | 0.977 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$, all | 0.0526, 0.1044 |
| $w \mathrm{R}_{2}[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$, all | 0.0985, 0.1172 |
| residual electron density (e/ $\hat{A}^{3}$ ) | 0.264 and -0.232 |



Fig. $\boldsymbol{S 8}$ X-ray crystallographic structure of peptide 2; (a) peptide molecules self-assemble in $b c$ plane to form a $\beta$-sheet structure. The closest $\pi$-stacking distance between two aromatic rings is $4.587 \AA$ (b) Peptide molecules again self-assemble in $a b$ plane to form another zipper like structure; and (c) the closest $\pi$-stacking distance between two diagonally situated aromatic rings is $5.527 \AA$

In case of peptide $\mathbf{1}$ the formation of nanovesicles by peptide $\mathbf{1}$ may be envisaged by considering the wrapping of the $\beta$-sheet-like layers in two different directions simultaneously (Fig. S9). We assumed in our previous study, that thermal treatment or interaction with $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ functional group on different SAM surfaces or in presence of different solvents, like acetone, ethyl acetate, DMF and chloroform-petroleum ether ( $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), the two-ways wrapping of $\beta$-sheet layers opens up and they are arranged side by side to form the fibrils/ribbons (Fig. S9). Again in chloroform-methanol solvent mixture ( $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and aromatic solvent like toluene $\beta$-sheet-like layers may fold in only one direction to form the nano-tubes (Fig. S9). But under all the variable conditions peptide 2 maintains the one way wrapping of the sheet-like structures.


Fig. $\boldsymbol{S 9}$ Schematic representation of formation of different nano-structures

Table $\boldsymbol{S 4}$ Solid state FT-IR spectral analysis of as synthesized peptide $\mathbf{2}$ and its tubular form

|  | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching <br> vibration \&overtone <br> of the N-H bending <br> vibration $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching <br> vibration in ester <br> group $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching <br> vibrations of the <br> peptide |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| urethane,amide I, and |  |  |  |
| bending peaks of |  |  |  |
| amide II $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ |  |  |  |

