

Figure S1 (A) SEM, (B) XRD of CuO nanoneedle arrays fabricated by heat treatment of  $Cu(OH)_2$  nanoneedles arrays at 120°C for 2 h and then maintained at 180°C for 2 h.



Figure S2 (A-B) SEM images of CuO nanoneedle arrays after Charge/discharge at current of 674 mA/g between 0.05-3.00 V (vs  $Li/Li^+$ ) for 100 cycles.



Figure S3 (A) XRD, (B) XPS spectra of the O region, (C) Raman spectra of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/CuO hybrid nanowires fabricated by two step electrochemical fabrication method.

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A This journal is The Royal Society of Chemistry 2013



Figure S4 Linear scan of energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/CuO hybrid nanowires.



Figure S5 (A-B) SEM image of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/CuO hybrid nanowires fabricated by electrodeposition of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> at -1.1 V on

 $Cu(OH)_2$  for 200 s.



Figure S6 (A) Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of the first two cycles of pure  $Fe_3O_4$ , (B-C) SEM images of  $Fe_3O_4$ /CuO hybrid nanowires after Charge/discharge at a current density of 820 mA/g between 0.05-3.00 V (vs Li/Li<sup>+</sup>) for 100 cycles.



Figure S7 (A) TEM, (B) HRTEM of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoflakes.

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A This journal is O The Royal Society of Chemistry 2013



Figure S8 Nyquist plots of CuO,  $Fe_3O_4$  and  $Fe_3O_4/CuO$  electrodes obtained by application of a sine wave at amplitude of 10.0 mV over the frequency range 10 kHz–0.1 Hz.